Abstract. LMO1, a nuclear transcription coregulator, is implicated in the pathogenesis of T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and neuroblastoma. However, the role of LMO1 in human prostate cancer (PCa) is still unknown. Androgen receptor (AR) plays a critical role in the progression of prostate cancer. The activation of AR signaling pathway could be modulated by AR cofactors. In the present study, we discovered that LMO1 could bind to AR and co-localize with AR in the nucleus. In addition, the expression of LMO1 in human PCa tissues was significantly higher than that in benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) tissues. Moreover, LMO1 appeared to be a novel coactivator to enhance AR transcriptional activities, followed by the elevation of expression of P21 and PSA, downstream targets of AR. Taken together, LMO1 appears to be a coactivator of AR involved in the progression of prostate cancer, and could be a promising molecular target for treating prostate cancer.

Introduction

PCa is the most commonly diagnosed invasive cancer and second only to lung cancer as a cause of cancer death in males in the United States and other Western countries (1). The growth of PCa cells depends on the presence of androgens, a group of steroid hormones. Most prostate cancers are androgen-dependent and respond to the anti-androgens or androgen-deprivation therapy. Unfortunately, patients who have undergone androgen ablation therapy frequently develop a hormone-refractory state, for which there is no curative therapy (2).

AR signaling plays a crucial role in the development of androgen-independent prostate cancer. AR, a member of the steroid receptor subfamily of nuclear receptors, is a ligand-activated transcription factor that regulates the expression of target genes to mediate the biological function of androgens (3). AR is composed of three major domains: an NH2-terminal transcriptional activation domain (NTD), a central DNA-binding domain (DBD) and a COOH-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD) (4). After binding to androgen, AR formats the receptor homodimerization and translocates to the nucleus. By recruiting to androgen response elements located within AR-target genes, it can regulate the expression of AR-target genes.

Once activated by a ligand, AR interacts with various cofactors that facilitate transcription according to the general transcriptional machinery (5). Deregulation of AR activity by positive and negative cofactors is likely to play a key role in prostate cancer progression (6). It has been reported that aberrant regulation of coregulator expression or activity allows weak androgens to activate AR target genes responsible for cell growth, eventually leading to the development of hormone-refractory prostate cancers (7). An increasing number of AR-binding proteins have been recognized to date, however, details remain largely elusive. Therefore, finding novel and more effective cofactors of AR signaling is of great interest in the present research.

The LIM-only (LMO) proteins are a family of nuclear transcription coregulators, which are characterized by the exclusive presence of two tandem LIM domains and no other functional domains (8). The LIM domain is an ~55-residue, highly conserved cysteine-rich zinc-binding motif (9,10). To date, four LMO proteins (LMO1-LMO4) have been identified. These proteins are required for many developmental processes, and are implicated in the initiation and progression of several cancers, including T cell leukemia, breast cancer and neuroblastoma (11). LMO1 was initially found as T cell oncogene through its association with chromosomal translocations occurring in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (12). Although LMO1 has been implicated in cancer, most previous studies have only focused on defining its role in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and neuroblastoma pathogenesis.

This is the first report on the investigation into the role of LMO1 as a potential AR-interacting protein and its function in the regulation of AR transcriptional activity in PCa cells. It has been found that LMO1 expression is significantly increased with a higher level of aggressiveness in human PCa. Together,
our data suggest that LMO1, as a novel coactivator of AR, can form a complex with AR and activate AR transcriptional activity in the progression of human prostate cancer.

Materials and methods

**Plasmid construction.** AR, ARE-luc and PSA-luc were presented by Dr Yue Zhao (China Medical University). GST-tagged, GFP-tagged, Myc/His-tagged and Flag-tagged LMO1 (full-length and deletions) were constructed by PCR amplification and subcloned into pGEX-5X-1/2 (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA), pEGFP-C1 (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA, USA), pcDNA3.1Myc/HisA (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA) and p3xFlag CMv (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) vectors, respectively. All primer sequences for generating these constructs are provided in Table I.

**Cell culture and transfections.** HEK-293, Cv1 and PC-3 cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The prostate CWR22Rv1 cells, were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS. Charcoal-stripped serum (CSS) was purchased from HyClone (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) was used for transfection. Cells at ~60% confluence were transfected for 6 h and incubated with phenol red-free medium containing 10% CSS for 16 h. Cell extracts were prepared following another 16-h treatment with 10 nM dihydrotestosterone (DHT) (Sigma-Aldrich). LMO1-siRNA and control siRNA were purchased from Shanghai GeneChem Co. (Shanghai, China).

**GST pull-down assay.** In vitro GST pull-down has been previously described in detail (13). In vitro transcription and translation of LMO1 proteins were performed by using the TNT-coupled transcription and translation system (Promega Biotech Co., Madison, WI, USA). Equal amounts of GST, GST-fusion proteins immobilized by GST Sepharose Beads (Amersham Biosciences) were incubated with in vitro translated protein at 4°C for 2 h. Protein bound to beads was eluted by 2X SDS-loading buffers and analyzed using western blot analyses.

**Immunoprecipitation and western blot analysis.** The cells were washed with cold PBS twice before being lysed in IP lysis buffer supplemented with PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Cell lysates were collected, washed and incubated at 4°C. Then, protein A agarose slurry (GE Healthcare) preloaded with antibodies or normal IgG was added to an equal amount of cell extracts and incubated overnight at 4°C. Washed precipitated proteins were analyzed by western blot analysis.

Denatured protein were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Samples were incubated and detected with indicated antibodies. Anti-AR, anti-PSA and anti-P21 antibodies were from Thermo Fisher Scientific and anti-LMO1 antibody was from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Anti-myc antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-Flag antibody was from Shanghai Genomics, Inc., (Shanghai, China) and anti-GAPDH antibody was from Shanghai KangChen (Shanghai, China).

**Immunofluorescence staining.** Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X. Then they were blocked with normal goat serum, after which they were incubated with the primary antibody, followed by incubation with Alexa Flour 546 secondary antibody (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). Nuclei were visualized by TO-PRO-3 (Molecular Probes) and the stained cells were observed using a Leica/Olympus laser confocal scanning microscope.

**Tissue samples and immunohistochemistry.** Tissue specimens were collected from 97 patients with PCa who underwent surgery in Shengjing Hospital and First Hospital of China Medical University. All human tissues were collected and used according to protocols approved by the Ethics Committee of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers name</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LMO1</td>
<td>F: GACACGGAGGGATCCAGATGATGGTGGCTGAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMO1 (1-83)</td>
<td>F: GACACGGAGGGATCCAGATGATGGTGGCTGAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMO1 (24-83)</td>
<td>F: GAAGCGGATCCCTGGGGCTGAGGTACAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMO1 (83-156)</td>
<td>F: CTACCGGATCTCTTGGGCACCAACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMO1 (88-147)</td>
<td>F: GAAGCGGATCTCTTGGGCACCAACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMO1 (24-147)</td>
<td>F: GAAGCGGATCTCTTGGGCACCAACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAG-LMO1</td>
<td>F: CGGAGGAGAATTTAGTGTGTGGTGGCTGAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>F: CCAAGGATCCGGATGAAATGTCAATGTCAGTTAGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (1-559)</td>
<td>F: CCAAGGATCCGGATGAAATGTCAATGTCAGTTAGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (505-676)</td>
<td>F: ATGTTGCGATCTGCTGCGCGATGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (633-919)</td>
<td>F: CCGGAGGATCCGAGAATCTTGGTGAATC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, forward; R, reverse.
The histological grade of cancers was based on the criteria set by the World Health Organization. Immunohistochemistry was previously specified, and the intensity values of immunohistochemical results were determined by HSCORE (histological score). The HSCORE was calculated using two indices of proportion (Pᵢ) and intensity (ί). The proportion (Pᵢ) represented the percentage of positive cells. The intensity (ί) was classified as 0 (no staining), or 1+ (light brown staining), or 2+ (brown staining) or 3+ (heavy brown staining). HSCORE was obtained for each slide by using the following algorithm: HSCORE = ∑Pᵢ x ί. Where ί is 0, 1, 2, 3 and Pᵢ varies from 0.0 to 1.0, HSCOREs ranged from a minimum of zero in cases with no staining to a maximum of 3.0 in cases in which all the cells stained with maximal intensity. The HSCORE was determined by two independent observers.

Luciferase assay. Luciferase assay has been previously described. CV1, PC-3 and CWR22Rv1 cells were transfected with indicated reporter and Renilla-encoding plasmids with or without DHT. Then, the supernatants from cells were measured for luciferase activities using the Dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) and a Berthold Luminometer LB9570 (EG & G. Berthold, Freiburg, Germany). Measured luciferase values were normalized to internal Renilla control. Each experiment was repeated in triplicate.

Real-time PCR. Real-time PCR has been described. Total RNA was isolated from CWR22Rv1 cells using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription using an RT reaction kit (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Real-time PCR was performed using LightCycler 480 (Roche) and SYBR® Premix ExTaq (Takara) as a DNA-specific fluorescent dye. The housekeeping gene β-actin was used as an endogenous control. PCR was performed in triplicate for each reaction. The sequences of PCR primers were as follows: P21 forward, 5'-TGTCCGTCAGAACCCATGC-3' and P21 reverse, 5'-AAAGTCGAAGTTCCATCGCTC-3'; PSA forward, 5'-CACCTGCTCGGGTGATTCG-3' and PSA reverse, 5'-CCACTTCCGGGATGATTCTG-3' and PSA reverse, 5'-CCACTTCCGGGATGATTCTG-3'.

Statistical analysis. All statistical calculations were performed using the SPSS (16.0) statistical software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Immunohistochemistry data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. For luciferase assay and real-time PCR, two-sided Student’s t-test was used to determine the significant difference. Data are presented as means ± standard errors (SE). Statistical significance was accepted at P<0.05.

Results

Identification of LMO1 as an interacting protein of AR. To investigate the potential physical and functional interactions between LMO1 and AR, as a first step, we performed in vitro GST pull-down assays to demonstrate the ability of LMO1 and AR to interact directly. An interaction between AR and GST-LMO1 was observed (Fig. 1A), suggesting that LMO1 is an AR-binding protein.

To define the interacting domains within AR that were required for the observed interaction, we used three deletion
constructs of AR (NTD, DBD and LBD) in GST pull-down assays. *In vitro*-translated LMO1 was bound to LBD domain rather than NTD or DBD domain (Fig. 1B). The results indicate that AR interacts with LMO1 primarily via its LBD.

We then identified the AR-binding domain in LMO1 using a series of LMO1 deletion constructs. GST pull-down assays showed that deletion of either of the LMO1 LIM domains would not abolish the ability of the LMO1 binding to the AR (Fig. 1C), which suggests that both of the LIM domains of LMO1 are required for the cooperation with AR.

Subsequently, immunoprecipitation assays were used to show the interaction of endogenous LMO1 and AR in the AR-positive PCa cell line CWR22Rv1. Cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitate with AR antibody and then underwent immunoblotting analysis with antibodies to LMO1 and AR. Endogenous LMO1 was immunoprecipitated by the AR antibody, but not by the control IgG antibody, confirming the physiological association between endogenous LMO1 and AR (Fig. 1D). Furthermore, the same experiments carried out in a reciprocal manner revealed that endogenous AR was also immunoprecipitated by the LMO1 antibody (Fig. 1E). In addition, the interaction was significantly enhanced in the presence of DHT. Taken together, our findings suggest that LMO1 can interact with AR both in vitro and in vivo.

**LMO1 cotranslocates into the nucleus with AR in an androgen-dependent manner.** To observe the intracellular localization of LMO1 and AR, we cotransfected HEK293 cells with GFP-LMO1 and pcDNA3.1-AR, followed by treatment with DHT. LMO1 was primarily distributed in both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments, and then became concentrated in the nucleus after DHT treatment (Fig. 2, first column) from immunofluorescence analysis. As we know, AR translocated into the nucleus upon DHT exposure (Fig. 2, second column). Interestingly, merged images revealed that the distribution of LMO1 and AR in the nucleus overlapped under DHT treatment (Fig. 2, fourth column). Together, the results suggest that LMO1, as part of an AR complex, cotranslocates into the nucleus with AR in an androgen-dependent manner, thus, probably modulating AR transcriptional activity.

**Expression of LMO1 in clinical prostate biopsies.** To test the role of LMO1 expression in PCa progression, we observed the expression of LMO1 in clinical prostate biopsies including 64 cases of PCa and 33 cases of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) by immunohistochemical staining. The representative images are shown in Fig. 3A. Higher staining of LMO1 was observed in PCa tissues than in BPH tissues (Fig. 3B). Moreover, there was an increase in LMO1 staining in poorly differentiated PCa (Gleason score 8-10) compared with well and moderately differentiated PCa (Gleason score 2-7) (Fig. 3B). These data indicate a connection between LMO1 expression and the development of PCa.

**LMO1 upregulates AR-mediated transcriptional activation in a DHT-dependent manner.** AR is an important transcription factor that regulates expression of a variety of target genes that harbor the androgen response element (ARE) in their promoters. To reveal the functional role of LMO1 in AR signaling, we first assessed the effect of its expression on the ARE luciferase activity via luciferase assays in CV1, CWR22Rv1 and PC-3 cells, respectively. Cells were transfected with ARE-Luc, pRL-TK and LMO1. AR-deficient CV1 and PC-3 cells were co-transfected with AR. LMO1 induced ARE luciferase activity only in the presence of DHT stimulation. LMO1 upregulated ARE luciferase activity in a
dose-dependent manner and the increase reached a plateau at 300 ng LMO1 (Fig. 4A). LMO1 also increased ARE luciferase activity in the presence of DHT in PC-3 and CWR22Rv1 cells (Fig. 4B and C). On the other hand, ARE luciferase activity was decreased when LMO1 was silenced by siLMO1 in CWR22Rv1 cells (Fig. 4D).

Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) gene, another target gene downstream of AR, is known to contain an ARE, and its transcriptional level is regulated by AR (18). Furthermore, we checked PSA luciferase and found LMO1 also promoted PSA luciferase activity in CWR22Rv1 and PC-3 cells, respectively (Fig. 4E and F).

**Discussion**

One of the most troubling aspects of PCa progression is that most cases will ultimately progress into a hormone-refractory stage with high mortality rates after an initial response to androgen-deprivation therapy. Despite advances in the detection and treatment of PCa, current therapies remain limited in prostate cancer cells (19,20). When CWR22Rv1 cells were transiently transfected with Myc-LMO1, the protein (Fig. 5A) and mRNA levels (Fig. 5B) of P21 and PSA increased, respectively. Furthermore, the expression of protein (Fig. 5C) and mRNA (Fig. 5D) of P21 and PSA were elevated, respectively, in CWR22Rv1 cells stably transfected with Myc-LMO1 compared to cells with the vector. On the other hand, when LMO1 levels were repressed by transfection with siLMO1, the protein (Fig. 5E) and mRNA levels (Fig. 5F) of P21 and PSA decreased accordingly.

**Figure 3.** Immunohistochemistry of LMO1 and AR in clinical specimens. (A) Representative images of immunohistochemical staining from BPH prostate epithelium, lower-grade (Gleason score 2-7) and higher-grade PCa (Gleason score 8-10). (B) The quantification of the data is shown in the bar graphs. Data represents the mean values (± SD) of HSCORE values, as described in Materials and methods. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. *P<0.01, indicates significant differences compared to the BPH group. **P<0.001, indicates significant differences compared to the lower-grade PCa group. GS, Gleason score.

**Figure 4.** LMO1 stimulate AR transactivation DHT-dependently. (A-C) Cv1, PC-3 or CWR22Rv1 cells were transfected with ARE-Luc, pRL-TK, together with the indicated expression plasmids with or without DHT. AR-deficient Cv1 and PC-3 cells were co-transfected with AR. The ARE-promoter activities were measured by luciferase assays. The expression of LMO1 was evaluated by western blot assays. (D) The transcriptional activity of ARE was estimated in CWR22Rv1 cells transfected with ARE-Luc, pRL-TK, together with LMO1-siRNA or control, with or without DHT. The expression of LMO1 was evaluated by western blot assays. (E and F) PC-3 or CWR22Rv1 cells were transfected with PSA-Luc, pRL-TK together with vector or Myc-LMO1 constructs, with or without DHT, and then assayed for luciferase activity. Experiments were repeated three times (n=3). *P<0.05, indicates significant differences compared to the control group.

**LMO1 facilitates the expression of AR-targeted gene P21 and PSA.** To further investigate the significance of LMO1 effect on AR signaling, we examined expression of the AR target genes P21 (Waf1/Cip1) and PSA, which have been implicated...
for the development of androgen-independent state (21). This highlights the need for a better understanding of the mechanism of constitutive activation of AR-mediated growth and subsequent androgen-independent receptor activation involved in PCa progression, aiming to develop novel targets for the diagnosis and treatment of the disease. Both the AR and its coregulators have been extensively studied as hopeful therapeutic targets for the treatment of PCa (22).

In the present study, we first provide evidence that LMO1, which is overexpressed in human PCa tissues, is an AR-interacting protein serving as a coactivator of AR to promote the AR-mediated transactivation function and subsequently induce the expression of P21 and PSA. These findings indicate that LMO1 is involved in PCa progression by acting as a coactivator of AR.

LMO proteins are emerging as crucial molecules in a wide variety of human cancers. All four LMO family members play key roles in several cancers. LMO1 and LMO2 are involved in the onset of T cell leukemia. LMO1 was identified near the break point of the chromosomal translocation t(11;14) (p13;q11) in T-ALL (24,25). Even in the absence of chromosomal lesions near LMO genes, overexpression of LMO1 or LMO2 is found in ~50% of human T-ALLs (26). The roles of LMO1- and LMO2-transgenic mice during the development of T-ALL have been more clearly defined (27,28). Besides, LMO1 and LMO3 are implicated in human neuroblastoma. Silence of LMO1 may suppress the growth of neuroblastoma cells with high LMO1 expression, whereas overexpression of LMO1 in neuroblastoma cells with low LMO1 expression promotes proliferation (29). The overexpressing LMO3 shows a marked increase in cell growth and is indicative of poor prognosis in neuroblastoma (30). LMO4 seems to regulate progression of breast cancer by modulating cell cycle arrest, cell proliferation, and apoptosis (31,32).

In the present study, the overexpression of LMO1 was detected in human PCa tissues and it contributed to advanced stage of PCa. Ma et al (33) reported that LMO2 expression was significantly higher in PCa than in normal epithelium and correlated positively with the level of aggressiveness in human PCa. Yet no data on LMO1 expression in human PCa are available to date. For the first time, our data demonstrated that overexpression of LMO1 was found in human PCa tissues, which also correlated with the level of cancer stage. Moreover, there have been no data revealing the cellular location of LMO1 in PCa cells. Our immunofluorescence analysis in the present study demonstrated that LMO1 was distributed in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm and then cotranslocated into the nucleus with AR after DHT treatment.

AR cofactors that associate with AR and influence its transcriptional activity could contribute to PCa progression. Here, we first demonstrated LMO1 interacted with AR to form a complex, then cotranslocated into the nucleus with AR in an androgen-dependent manner and enhanced the AR transcriptional activity. It is known that P21 and PSA are the target genes of AR in prostate and their expressions are linked to the progression of prostate cancer. P21, which belongs to a second family of cyclin-dependent-kinase inhibitors, has various biological functions such as cell cycle control, DNA repair and anti-apoptosis (34,35). Androgens can upregulate the expression of P21 at both the mRNA and protein levels in cell lines (19,36). Increased expression of P21 is associated with advanced PCa or cancer relapse in patients (37,38). P21 protects cells against p53-induced apoptosis, rescuing cells from a path of programmed cell death to one of enhanced survival (39). PSA is highly expressed in prostate gland and is one of the most reliable diagnostic markers for PCa (40). Our results support that LMO1 is also able to induce the expression of P21 and PSA in mRNA and protein levels. These results imply that LMO1 acts as a cofactor to modulate expression of some AR-target genes, thus regulating PCa development and progression.

In summary, our results indicate that LMO1 binds to AR and co-localize with AR in the nucleus, serving as a coactivator of AR to promote the AR-mediated transactivation function and subsequently induce the expression of P21 and PSA, downstream targets of AR. In addition, the expression of LMO1 in PCa tissues was significantly higher than that in BPH tissues and their expression levels positively correlated with the grade of cancers. This finding provides insights into...
the role of LMO1 in the progression of PCa. Therefore, LMO1 could be a potential prognostic marker and drug target for human prostate cancer.
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