Synergistic anticancer effects of cisplatin and histone deacetylase inhibitors (SAHA and TSA) on cholangiocarcinoma cell lines
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Abstract. Clinical application of cisplatin against cholangiocarcinoma is often associated with resistance and toxicity posing urgent demand for combination therapy. In this study, we evaluated the combined anticancer effect of cisplatin and histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACIs), suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) and trichostatin A (TSA), on the cholangiocarcinoma KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cell lines. Antiproliferative activity was evaluated using MTT assay. Apoptosis induction and cell cycle arrest were analyzed by flow cytometry. Cell cycle and apoptosis regulating proteins were evaluated by western blot analysis. MTT assay showed that cisplatin, SAHA and TSA dose-dependently reduced the viability of KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells. The combination of cisplatin and HDACIs exerted significantly more cytotoxicity than the single drugs. Combination indices below 1.0 reflect synergism between cisplatin and HDACIs, leading to positive dose reductions of cisplatin and HDACIs. Cisplatin and HDACIs alone induced G0/G1 phase arrest in KKU-100 cells, but the drug combinations increased sub-G1 percent more than either drug. However, cisplatin and HDACIs alone or in combination increased only the sub-G1 percent in KKU-M214 cells. Annexin V-FITC staining revealed that cisplatin and HDACIs combinations induced more apoptotic cell death of both KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells than the single drug. In KKU-100 cells, growth inhibition was accompanied by upregulation of p53 and p21 and downregulation of CDK4 and Bcl-2 due to exposure to cisplatin, SAHA and TSA alone or in combination. Moreover, combination of agents exerted higher impacts on protein expression. Single agents or combination did not affect p53 expression, however, combination of cisplatin and HDACIs increased the expression of p21 in KKU-M214 cells. Taken together, cisplatin and HDACIs combination may improve the therapeutic outcome in cholangiocarcinoma patients.

Introduction

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is a devastating malignancy with poor prognosis and a public health concern in the northeastern Thailand (1). Cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), leucovorin, epirubicin, mitomycin-C and gemcitabine either alone or in combination have been used to treat CCA (2). The clinical application of chemotherapeutic modality with cisplatin is often coupled with adverse side effects, such as nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity and anemia (3). Another major drawback in applications of cisplatin is the development of resistance by tumors (4). Developing pharmacologically active compounds, termed as chemosensitizers seems to be the unique approach for reversing anticancer chemoresistance and lessening side-effects as well as toxicity to normal tissues (5). Therefore, CCA patients with chemoresistance and toxicities are urgently in need of a more effective combinative chemotherapy.

CCA evolves from gradual accumulation of genetic and epigenetic changes in regulatory genes in cholangiocytes that lead to the activation of oncogenes and the inactivation or loss of tumor suppressor genes (6). Unlike genetic changes, epigenetic changes are potentially reversible. The reversible histone acetylation and deacetylation are epigenetic phenomena that play crucial roles in the modulation of chromatin topology and the regulation of gene expression. Aberrant expression or mutation of genes, encoding histone acetyltransferase (HAT) or histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzymes has been implicated with carcinogenesis (7). HDACIs induce acetylation of lysine residues of nuclear histones, ‘opens up’ the chromatin structure, allowing transcription of tumor suppressor and pro-apoptotic genes. In addition, the open chromatin structures provide additional target sites for DNA-damaging anticancer drugs, such as cisplatin and paraplatin (8,9). HDACIs suppress the proliferation of tumor cells in vitro and in vivo by inducing cell cycle arrest, terminal differentiation and/or apoptosis (7). On the basis of the chemical structures, the natural and synthetic HDACIs reported to date have been classified into four groups including hydroximates, cyclic peptides, aliphatic...
acids and benzamides (7). HDACIs have received considerable attention for treating cancers by reason of improved patient compliance and minimum side effects (10). Among these compounds, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) and Romidepsin have already been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), USA for treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (11,12).

Previous studies revealed that trichostatin A (TSA), a hydroxamic acid-based inhibitor, could induce cell growth arrest, differentiation and/or apoptosis in a number of cancer cells (13,14). However, therapeutic application of TSA is limited due to poor bioavailability in vivo and toxicity at high doses (15). SAHA is a subsequent hydroxamic acid-based HDACI and the leading compound in a new promising class of anticancer drugs. It is relatively safe and non-toxic in vivo and has improved properties over TSA (15,16). Moreover, SAHA has significant antitumor effects against a wide variety of tumor types at dosages that can be tolerated by patients when administered intravenously and orally (10). Considering the aforementioned scenario, we selected TSA and SAHA to study the anticancer potential in combinatorial approach.

HDACIs with unique and complementary mode of action have been reported to show additive or synergistic anticancer activities with some platinum-based chemotherapeutic agents, including cisplatin in various cancer cell lines in vitro and in vivo (17). Though a wide-ranging knowledge of mechanism of tumor sensitivity to cisplatin and HDACIs has been achieved, several basic aspects in combination therapy for CCA are yet to be understood. Therefore, investigating these aspects in the context of combinatorial therapy in vitro and exploitation of this knowledge will further improve the design of optimized clinical protocols.

Materials and methods

Reagents. Cisplatin, SAHA and TSA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Culture media and its supplements including antibiotics and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Gibco, Invitrogen Corp. (Carlsbad, CA, USA). FITC Annexin V apoptosis detection kit was purchased from BioLegend Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA). Antibodies against p53, p21 and CDK4 and Bcl-2 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Beverly, MA, USA). Cisplatin was dissolved in normal saline. SAHA and TSA were dissolved in DMSO. The final concentration of DMSO was <0.5%.

Cell lines and cell culture. Two CCA cell lines (poorly differentiated KCU-100 and well-differentiated KCU-M214 adenocarcinoma cells established from opisthornichiasis-associated Thai CCA patients) and a non-cancer cell line (H69; human bile duct epithelial cells kindly donated by Dr D. Jefferson, Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA) were used in this study. Cancer cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 µg/ml) (Gibco-BRL). H69 cells were grown in Dulbecco's minimum essential medium (DMEM; Gibco, Invitrogen Corp.) supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 µg/ml), adenine (25 µg/ml), epinephrine (1 µg/ml), insulin (5 µg/ml), T,T Triiodo-L-thyronine (13.6 ng/ml), holo-transferrin (8.3 µg/ml), hydrocortisone (0.62 µg/ml) and EGF (10 ng/ml). The cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Antiproliferative activity assay. Antiproliferative effect on cells was determined by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay as previously described (18). Briefly, cells (8x10⁵ cells/well) were cultured in 96-well plates. After 24 h, cells were treated with different concentrations of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) for 24, 48 and 72 h. For combination study, cells were treated with single subtoxic concentration [the concentration causing ~20% growth inhibition (IC20)] of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) alone and in combination. After indicated periods, medium was replaced with fresh medium containing MTT. After a 2 h-incubation, the formazan from MTT reduction was dissolved in DMSO, and the absorbance at 550 nm was measured with a microtiter plate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) using 655 nm as a reference wavelength. Cell viability was determined as percentage by following equation: % Cell viability = [Sample OD/Control OD] x100.

Determination of drug interaction. The interactions between cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) were evaluated by calculating combination index (CI) according to median-effect principle (19,20). For 50% growth inhibition, the CI values for agents with mutually non-exclusive mode of action were calculated based on the following equation

$$CI = \frac{(Dx)1 + (Dx)2 + \alpha (D1)(D2)}{(Dx)1 + (Dx)2 + (D1)(D2)}$$

where (Dx)1, dose of drug 1 (cisplatin) to produce 50% growth inhibition alone; (D1), dose of drug 1 to produce 50% growth inhibition in combination with (D)2; (D2), dose of drug 2 (HDACIs) to produce 50% growth inhibition alone; (D2), dose of drug 2 to produce 50% growth inhibition in combination with (D)1; α=1 for mutually non-exclusive modes of drug actions. CI<1 indicates synergism; CI=1 indicates an additive relationship; and CI>1 indicates antagonism. The dose reduction index (DRI) indicates the extent of dose reduction in a favorable combination for a given level of effect as compared to the dose of a single agent. The equation for dose reduction is as follows (19,20):

$$\text{DRI} = \frac{(Dx)1}{(D)1} \quad \text{DRI} = \frac{(Dx)2}{(D)2}$$

Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry. Briefly, cells (2.5x10⁶ cells/ml) were seeded in culture dish and treated with cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) alone and in combination for 24 h. Following 24 h incubation, cells were harvested and fixed in 70% cold ethanol at 4°C for 1 h. After fixation, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with 0.5 mg/ml RNase A (Sigma) at 37°C for 1 h. Nuclear DNA was stained using propidium iodide (PI) (50 µg/ml) under subdued light for 30 min at room temperature. The DNA histograms, reflecting cell cycle distribution, were assessed by using BD FACSanto II Calibur Flow Cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

Apoptosis assay. The induction of apoptosis was analyzed using a FITC-Annexin V apoptosis detection kit according to
Table I. Combination index (CI) and dose reduction index (DRI) at IC₅₀ values of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) against CCA cells for 72 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Drug combination</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>Cisplatin</th>
<th>SAHA</th>
<th>TSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KKU-100</td>
<td>Cisplatin + SAHA</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cisplatin + TSA</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKU-M214</td>
<td>Cisplatin + SAHA</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cisplatin + TSA</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCA, cholangiocarcinoma; HDACIs, histone deacetylase inhibitors; SAHA, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid; TSA, trichostatin A.

Statistical analysis. All experiments were conducted three times. Data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. Duncan's post hoc test was performed using statistical program of IBM SPSS Statistic version 20.0 for Mac (SPSS Corp., Chicago, IL, USA) for testing significant differences between solvent controls and sample-treated cells. The criterion for statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Antiproliferative activity of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) against CCA cells. The in vitro antiproliferative activity of cisplatin, SAHA and TSA in both KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells were examined. Exposure to each drug resulted in significant growth inhibition and cytotoxicity in both cell lines in a concentration- and time-dependent manner (Fig. 1). Cellular sensitivities for each drug were determined by their IC₅₀ values. The results presented in Fig. 1 show that cisplatin significantly inhibited proliferation of KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells, with IC₅₀ values of 7.57±0.35 and 12.98±0.61 µM, respectively. The viabilities of KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells significantly decreased after SAHA treatments for 72 h, with IC₅₀ values of 1.41±0.01 and 1.85±0.06 µM in KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells, respectively. Likewise, TSA exhibited antiproliferative activity against both KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells with IC₅₀ values of 435.64±35.15 and 516.08±8.04 nM, respectively.

Antiproliferative activity against CCA cells of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) in combinations. The combined effects of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) on proliferation of CCA cells are illustrated in Fig. 1G and H. KKU-100 cells were treated with cisplatin (3 µM), SAHA (0.5 µM), TSA (200 nM), cisplatin (3 µM) + SAHA (0.5 µM) and cisplatin (3 µM) + TSA (200 nM); KKU-M214 cells were treated with cisplatin (6 µM), SAHA (0.75 µM), TSA (250 nM), cisplatin (6 µM) + SAHA (0.75 µM) and cisplatin (6 µM) + TSA (250 nM) for 72 h and then analyzed by MTT assay. The combination of cisplatin and HDAC inhibitors significantly reduced cell viability more than either agent alone. In KKU-100 cells, exposure to combinations of cisplatin + SAHA and cisplatin + TSA reduced cell viability to 41.76±3.23 and 39.43±3.46%, respectively, whereas cisplatin, SAHA and TSA alone decreased the cell viability to 74.50±2.81, 80.34±1.34 and 77.23±4.40%, respectively. Similar trends were also observed in KKU-M214 cells, where cell viability was significantly reduced more for combined treatments of cisplatin and HDACIs (42.63±1.98% for cisplatin + SAHA and 40.02±1.23% for cisplatin + TSA) than single agent treatment (72.65±1.87% for cisplatin, 76.63±3.67% for SAHA and 75.70±1.97% for TSA).

CI and DRI. As cytotoxicity is probably the most important parameter for a successful cancer treatment modality, it is, thus, important to evaluate whether or not the combination of these two types of agents has additive or synergistic cytotoxic effects in CCA cell lines. As presented in Table I, the results revealed that the simultaneous combination of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) produced synergistic cytotoxic
effect as evidenced by the CI values of <1, which result in favorable dose reduction of both cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA).

Antiproliferative activity of H69 cells for cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) alone or in combination. To further justify the therapeutic application of drug combination, the impact
of this combination on non-cancer H69 cell proliferation was examined. For combination, the highest sub-toxic doses of each agent used against KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells were selected to evaluate. Dose-response curve demonstrates that cisplatin, SAHA and TSA were relatively ineffective against H69 cells (Fig. 2). Cisplatin (6.0 µM) alone decreased cell viability to 81.06±3.85% for 72 h. When it was used in combination with SAHA (0.75 µM) and TSA (250 nM), the viability was reduced to 64.29±1.3 and 59.15±2.36%, respectively. These values of cell viability, however, are still higher than that of KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells after concurrent cisplatin and SAHA or TSA treatment (Fig. 2). This, therefore, indicates that combination of cisplatin and HDACIs preferentially inhibited the proliferation of cancer cells to non-cancer cells.

**Effect on cell cycle progression by cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) alone and in combination.** To elucidate if the cytotoxic potentiation of cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity by HDACIs is associated with alteration of cell cycle progression, we examined the cell cycle profiles of PI-stained cells using flow cytometry. This type of analysis is based on nuclear DNA content and quantitates the distribution of cells in the G0/G1 (2n DNA content), S (2n-4n DNA content) and G2/M (4n DNA content) phases of the cell cycle. As presented in Table II, in KKU-100 cells, exposure to cisplatin (10 µM) retarded cell cycle at G0/G1 phase with 56.10±1.44% of cells. Likewise SAHA (1.5 µM) and TSA (400 nM) induced evidence of a cell cycle arrest, with accumulation of cells (52.55±2.31% and 50.95±3.46%, respectively) in G0/G1 phase. When cisplatin and HDAC inhibitors were used in combination, there was a greater sub-G1 population (26.40±1.56% for cisplatin + SAHA, 24.05±1.15% for cisplatin + TSA) than either drug alone (13.88±1.56% for cisplatin, 9.25±0.62% for SAHA, and 7.12±0.37% for TSA). In addition, more percentage of cells at G0/G1 phase was found with cisplatin + SAHA (45.50±1.41%) or cisplatin + TSA (49.50±1.42%) combination when compared with the control (35.60±2.10%).

Unlike KKU-100 cells, treatment with cisplatin (10 µM) and HDACIs (1.5 µM SAHA and 400 nM TSA) alone or in combination produced no evidence of cell cycle arrest in any particular phase of the cell cycle in KKU-M214 cells, but increased sub-G1 population (Fig. 3). Combination treatments caused more sub-G1 percent (36.90±1.56% for cisplatin + SAHA, 35.59±1.63% for cisplatin + TSA) in comparison to control and single agents. The sub-G1 population of combination treatments was significantly different from control and single agent treatment. DNA histogram representing proportions of cells in different phases of cell cycle are shown in Fig. 3. These findings suggest that the cytotoxic potentiation associated with combination is independent of cell cycle arrest in KKU-M214 cells.

**Apoptosis induction by cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) alone and in combination.** After evaluation of cell cycle profiles, we further aimed to evaluate the induction of apoptosis in KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells. As depicted in Fig. 4, each drug combination showed significantly higher apoptotic percentage as compared to single agent treatment. The simultaneous combination of cisplatin (10 µM) plus SAHA (1.5 µM) produced 30.15±1.29 and 27.10±1.22% of early apoptosis in KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells, respectively, as evidenced by Annexin V/FITC-positive and PI-negative population. By contrast, cisplatin (10 µM) and SAHA (1.5 µM) alone

Table II. Effect of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) alone and in combination on cell cycle distribution of CCA cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell lines</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Sub-G1 (mean±SD)</th>
<th>G0/G1 (mean±SD)</th>
<th>S (mean±SD)</th>
<th>G2/M (mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KKU-100</td>
<td>Solvent control</td>
<td>3.26±0.49</td>
<td>35.60±2.10</td>
<td>27.83±0.75</td>
<td>33.31±1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 µM cisplatin</td>
<td>13.88±1.56</td>
<td>56.10±1.44</td>
<td>13.15±3.25</td>
<td>16.87±1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 µM SAHA</td>
<td>9.25±0.62</td>
<td>60.70±1.49</td>
<td>9.80±1.14</td>
<td>20.25±1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 nM TSA</td>
<td>7.12±0.37</td>
<td>65.93±1.94</td>
<td>6.75±1.41</td>
<td>20.20±1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 µM cisplatin</td>
<td>26.40±1.56</td>
<td>45.50±1.41</td>
<td>15.70±1.97</td>
<td>12.40±1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+1.5 µM SAHA</td>
<td>20.45±1.21</td>
<td>49.50±1.42</td>
<td>16.90±1.13</td>
<td>13.15±1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+400 nM TSA</td>
<td>20.45±1.21</td>
<td>49.50±1.42</td>
<td>16.90±1.13</td>
<td>13.15±1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKU-M214</td>
<td>Solvent control</td>
<td>4.02±1.38</td>
<td>66.70±1.63</td>
<td>13.10±1.75</td>
<td>16.18±1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 µM cisplatin</td>
<td>18.85±1.38</td>
<td>46.10±1.48</td>
<td>16.35±2.05</td>
<td>18.70±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 µM SAHA</td>
<td>19.85±3.04</td>
<td>52.55±2.31</td>
<td>12.35±2.19</td>
<td>15.25±1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 nM TSA</td>
<td>17.56±2.06</td>
<td>50.95±3.46</td>
<td>16.69±1.13</td>
<td>14.8±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 µM cisplatin</td>
<td>36.90±1.56</td>
<td>49.20±1.70</td>
<td>9.50±0.71</td>
<td>4.40±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+1.5 µM SAHA</td>
<td>35.59±1.63</td>
<td>45.70±1.13</td>
<td>15.40±0.71</td>
<td>3.31±0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, significant difference compared with solvent control; *p<0.05, significant difference compared with single treatment.
induced only 13.77±2.02 and 13.87±0.90% in KKU-100, and 13.28±1.56 and 13.37±1.51% in KKU-M214 cells, respectively. Another combination comprising of cisplatin (10 µM) and TSA (400 nM) demonstrated similar trends of apoptosis in both cell lines. Exposure to TSA induced the apoptotic cell death of 13.14±0.94 and 12.75±1.48% in KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells, respectively, while cisplatin and TSA combination increased apoptosis to 28.72±2.21 and 26.02±1.17%, respectively.

Detection of p53, p21, CDK4 and Bcl-2 proteins on western blotting. Since data from flow cytometry analysis provided evidence of apoptosis induction and cell cycle profile perturbation with cisplatin and HDACIs alone and in combination, we conducted western blotting to assess protein factors involved with cell cycle arrest and pro-apoptotic responses. The expression of gene products in response to drugs treatment for 24 h was studied in KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells. As depicted in Fig. 5, treatment with cisplatin, SAHA and TSA alone or in combination upregulated p53 and p21 in KKU-100 in comparison to control while expression of CDK4 and Bcl-2 was downregulated. The expression status of p53 was profound in co-treatment of cisplatin and SAHA or TSA compared to single-agent treatment while p21 was not as expected. In KKU-M214 cells, p53, CDK4 and Bcl-2 expression was not affected with single or combination treatment though apoptosis was induced with the tested dose. However, combination of cisplatin and HDACIs increased the expression of p21 moderately to slightly. As both the cell lines are of wild type p53 status, our western blot results suggest that apoptosis upon combination treatment may be via p53 dependent pathway in KKU-100 cells while in KKU-M214 may be p53-independent.

Discussion

Combination chemotherapy is the first choice modality for treating many types of cancer. Combining drugs that target different oncogenic cell signaling pathways often erase adverse side-effects and complication in patients while increasing the efficacy of treatment and reducing morbidity (5). Many studies on cancer cell lines pointed out dose-dependent anti-proliferative activity of HDACIs. It was reported that SAHA and TSA inhibited the proliferation of TAMR/MCF-7 cells, NSCLC cells and retinoblastoma cells in a dose-dependent manner (21-23). In agreement with the earlier findings, the inhibition of cell proliferation in CCA cells was dose and time-dependent for all cases.

In addition, our data demonstrated that administration of a low dose of cisplatin and HDACIs alone had little effect on CCA cells. On the contrary, the combined use of cisplatin and HDACIs resulted in a greater inhibition of cell growth for both KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells than either agent alone. Previous findings agree with our results regarding antiproliferative activity of combined HDACIs and cisplatin against various cell lines. SAHA and cisplatin combination resulted in more profound cytotoxicity than individual cisplatin or SAHA in oral squamous cell carcinoma (24-26), in human glioblastoma D54 and breast cancer MCF-7 cells (27), and platinum resistant...
Figure 3. DNA histograms representing cell cycle profiles of KKU-100 (A) and KKU-M214 (B) cells. Cells treated with DMSO (0.5%) as control, cisplatin (10 µM), SAHA (1.5 µM) and TSA (400 nM) alone or in combination for 24 h were subjected to cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry using propidium iodide (PI) staining. Data shown are the means ± SD of three independent experiments. Histograms showed a number of cells per channel (vertical axis) vs. DNA content (horizontal axis). Percentage of cells at various phases of cell cycle after the treatment with drugs varied.
Several studies indicated favorable drug interactions between TSA and cisplatin in various platinum-resistant and non-resistant cancer cell lines. It was reported that TSA increases cytotoxicity of cisplatin in human glioblastoma (D54) and breast cancer (MCF-7) (27). Furthermore, TSA is reported to enhance the cytotoxic effects of human ovarian and colon cancer cell lines with cross-resistance to a wide range of DNA-damaging drugs and reduce the dose of chemotherapeutics (29).

In this study, the simultaneous co-treatment with cisplatin and HDACIs conferred a significant synergistic cytotoxic effect on the CCA cell lines rather than a simple additive effect.

Figure 4. Apoptosis induction by cisplatin, SAHA and TSA alone or in combination in KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells. (A and B) Representative dot plots from flow cytometry for apoptosis induction in KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells, respectively; (C and D) Apoptosis for KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells, respectively. Cells were treated with DMSO (0.5%) as a control, cisplatin (10 µM), SAHA (1.5 µM) and TSA (400 nM) alone or in combination for 24 h. After 24 h, cells were analyzed for apoptosis induction using Annexin V-FITC/propidium iodide (PI) staining with flow cytometry. Data shown are the means ± SD of three independent experiments. *p<0.05 significant difference between treatments and solvent control; **p<0.05 significant difference between the combination treatment and single treatment.
With the sequel to synergistic interaction, >2-fold dose reduction (DR) was observed for each agent in the drug combination to produce the same effects as the single agent. Our findings are consistent with several studies that documented additive to synergistic interactions between HDACIs and a variety of anticancer drugs including DNA damaging and covalently.
DNA modifying agents (30). The simultaneous use of SAHA and cisplatin led to synergistic cytotoxic interaction for a wide range of concentrations in platinum-resistant OVCAR-3 and SKOV-3 cells, resulting in >2-fold dose reductions of both compounds for 50% cell killing (28). A strong synergistic anti-tumor effect between cisplatin and TSA resulted in 3.5- and 4.9-fold dose reduction in cisplatin and TSA, respectively, in cisplatin resistant human bladder cancer cell line T24R2 (31).

Efficacious cancer cell killing and better tolerance to normal cells should be the prime focus on designing a better combinative chemotherapeutic regimen in cancer therapy. Previous studies indicated that the enhanced anticancer efficacy and reduced cytotoxicity to normal cells may be achieved by combinative chemotherapies of cisplatin and HDACIs (25,28). Evaluating cytotoxic effects on non-cancer H69 cells justified the rationale of cisplatin and HDACIs as the combination of the highest sub-toxic doses used against KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cells for each agent did not produce as much profound cytotoxic effect as on cancer cells. Our findings on cytotoxicity corroborate many earlier findings that non-cancer cells are almost always considerably more resistant to SAHA (32) and TSA (14,22) in comparison to cancer cells.

When attempts were made to explore the mechanisms of increased cytotoxicity by combination, it was revealed that combination of cisplatin and HDACIs produced different effects on cell cycle profiles with respect to cell lines. In KKU-100 cells, cisplatin, SAHA and TSA alone showed perturbation of cell cycle progress at G0/G1 phase. When cisplatin and HDACIs were used in combination, there were more sub-G1 than either drug alone indicating that combined effects drive cells to undergo apoptosis or other form of cell death. In addition, more percentage of cells at G0/G1 phase reflecting growth arrest was also found with cisplatin and HDACIs combination when compared with control. Nonetheless, the treatment with cisplatin and HDACIs alone or in combination increased only sub-G1 percent without affecting any particular phase of cell cycle in KKU-M214 cells. Cisplatin was demonstrated to block cell cycle progression at G1 phase with concomitant reduction in S phase population in non-small cell lung cancer cells (33). HDACIs were also found to induce G0/G1 and G2/M arrest in several cancer cell lines. It was reported that TSA caused significant inhibition of cell proliferation accompanied by G0/G1 arrest in the human hepatoma cell lines HepG2 and Huh-7 in vitro (34). SAHA inhibited the growth of chondrosarcoma cell lines RCS and OUMS-27 by causing G1/S arrest in RCS, G2/M arrest in OUMS-27 cells (35). In addition, an increase in the percentage of cells in the G0/G1 phase was seen for squamous cell carcinoma HSC-3 cells when cisplatin alone and in combination with HDAC inhibitors was used (5). However, it has also been observed that net effect of concurrent use of cisplatin and SAHA occurred independently of cell cycle arrest in ovarian cancer cell lines as neither cell line displayed any significant perturbations of G1 or G2/M phases of the cell cycle (28). This observation is in agreement with ours in the case of KKU-M214 cells.

Many studies have evidenced that cisplatin can induce inhibition of cancer cells by producing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (4). Furthermore, studies bearing the testimony of apoptosis induction by HDACIs were documented previously. TSA was reported to cause apoptotic cell death in gastric and oral cancer cell lines (36) and in non-small cell lung cancer cells (22). SAHA was demonstrated to inhibit the growth of chondrosarcoma cell line SW1353 by inducing apoptosis (35). A considerable amount of evidence suggests that cytotoxic cell killing of cisplatin in various cancer cell lines was modulated by HDACIs in additive or synergistic manner. Previous studies have demonstrated that co-administration of cisplatin with SAHA resulted in synergistic induction of cytotoxicity and apoptosis in OSCC cells (25,26). The enhanced chemosensitization in OSCC cells was attributed to synergistic apoptotic effects between SAHA and cisplatin (5,24). Concurrent SAHA and cisplatin therapy resulted in a significant increase in the percentage of apoptotic cells in OVCA-3 and SKOV-3 cells (28). A very recent study reported that HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) inhibited growth of SCCHN cell lines along with apoptosis and cell cycle (G0/G1 and/or G2/M phase) arrest (37). It has also been demonstrated that combination of SAHA or TSA with cisplatin had synergistic cytotoxic effects in SCCNH cell lines. Our present findings regarding apoptosis induction comply with earlier research. As evidenced in FACS analysis, CCA cells treated with combination of cisplatin and HDACIs (SAHA and TSA) underwent significantly more apoptosis than single agent treated cells consistent with more significant cytotoxicity from our MTT assay. However, cisplatin and HDACIs alone also produced significantly increased apoptosis as compared with solvent control. As HDACIs have many histone and non-histone substrates and acetylation status of these proteins varies in cancer cell types, cancer cell lines may respond differently to individual HDACIs. These may explain, in part, the differential cell killing response in different combinations as we observed more KKU-M214 cell death in cisplatin/SAHA combination and cisplatin/TSA combination in KKU-100 cells. There are controversial reports indicating that pan-HDACIs with low doses have modulating effects on induction of autophagy in some cancer cells (21,35), indicating that autophagy induction depends on cellular model and HDACIs used. In addition, low doses of HDACIs can cause cell cycle arrest at G0/G1 phase. For example, at low doses of HDACs, KKU-100 cells underwent both apoptosis (Fig. 4A and C) and cell cycle arrest (Fig. 3A). However, other cell death mechanisms such as autophagy and necrosis may also underpin the death of KKU-M214 cells. Our statement is in compliance with previously mentioned report that at least two cell death mechanisms, apoptosis and probably necrosis, are involved in the sensitization of HDACIs to anticancer drugs (27).

Activation of p53 protein plays a crucial role in the control of tumor cell response to chemotherapeutic agents and DNA-damaging agents by regulating DNA damage repair, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (38). p21 and Bcl-2 are downstream effectors of p53 which dictate the cell cycle events and apoptosis of cells. The induction of p21waf1/cip1 arrests cells at the G1 phase of the cell cycle through blocking cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK4) activity. In this study, the expression of p21 was induced through p53-dependent mechanism for cisplatin, SAHA and TSA in KKU-100. Combination of cisplatin and HDACIs increased p21 expression when compared with control, supporting the G0/G1 cell cycle arrest. Cell cycle regulatory protein CDK4 was downregulated by cisplatin, SAHA, and TSA alone or in combination in KKU-100.
cells. However, expression of p53 and cell cycle regulatory protein CDK4 was not affected with either drug treatment in KKU-M214 cells while p21 was increased for combination treatment. In line with our findings, low dose cisplatin increased p53 and p21/WAF1/CIP1 expression in hepatoma cells, leading to apoptosis and cell cycle arrest at G1 phase in hepatoma cells via both p53-dependent and -independent pathways (39). Recent reports indicate that HDACI-induced apoptosis in cancer cells may or may not be mediated by p53 (40,41). SAHA has induced G2/M cell cycle arrest in pancreatic cancer cells where it upregulated the expression of p21 (42). Enhanced expression of p21 after TSA exposure may deter cell growth of gastric and oral carcinoma cells (36). SAHA significantly inhibited growth of ESCC tumors with decreased expression of CDK4 and increased expression of p21 (43). It has been mentioned that p53 has been found to play a functional role in SAHA-mediated sensitization to cisplatin against OSCC cancer cells (25). This study revealed that the expression of anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 decreased in KKU-100 cells upon exposure to combination therapy and single agents whereas it was not changed in KKU-M214 cells. The induction of apoptosis by single agent and combination may be through extrinsic pathway not involving p53 and Bcl-2 proteins in KKU-M214. Consistent with our results, a significant decrease in Bcl-2 was observed in TAMR/MCF-7 after exposure to SAHA (21). Additionally, TSA decreased the expression of the anti-apoptotic proteins Bcl-2 in HCT116 and HT29 cells (44). However, level of anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 did not change in either LNCaP or DU145 cells following treatments with single agents or combinations of retinoids and HDAC-inhibitors, despite the initiation of apoptosis (45). The expression of Bcl-2 protein remained essentially unchanged in ovarian cancer cells treated with TSA (41). Although expression of p53 and p21 proteins varied in KKU-100 and KKU-M214 cell lines, the apoptosis percentage did not alter. Perhaps, this is because different cell lines may adopt different cell death mechanisms. We can deduce that KKU-100 cells may undergo apoptosis via intrinsic pathway which can also be explained by decrease in anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein while KKU-M214 may prefer other apoptosis pathways (such as stress-induced and/or extrinsic).

In conclusion, the anticancer activities of cisplatin and HDACIs combinations against CCA cell lines were synergistic in nature. The mechanisms of cytotoxic potentiation were different for these two cellular models. Both apoptosis and cell cycle arrest contributed to growth inhibition of CCA cells where p53-dependent mitochondrial pathway, cell cycle arrest and p53-independent pathway were involved. The combination may improve the therapeutic efficiency and create an avenue to effective treatment of CCA with minimum side effects. Further research to delineate the more detail molecular mechanism(s) of action of cisplatin, HDACIs and their combination is needed to optimize chemotherapeutic approach for cancer patients.
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