Abstract. CCRT (concomitant chemotherapy and radiation therapy) is often used for glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) treatment after surgical therapy, however, patients treated with CCRT undergo poor prognosis due to development of treatment resistant recurrence. Many studies have been performed to overcome these problems and to discover genes influencing treatment resistance. To discover potential genes inducing CCRT resistance in GBM, we used whole genome screening by infecting shRNA pool in patient-derived cell. The cells infected ~8,000 shRNAs were implanted in mouse brain and treated RT/TMZ as in CCRT treated patients. We found DDX6 as the candidate gene for treatment resistance after screening and establishing DDX6 knock down cells for functional validation. Using these cells, we confirmed tumor associated ability of DDX6 in vitro and in vivo. Although proliferation improvement was not found, decreased DDX6 influenced upregulated clonogenic ability and resistant response against radiation treatment in vivo and in vitro. Taken together, we suggest that DDX6 discovered by using whole genome screening was responsible for radio- and chemoresistance in GBM.

Introduction

Standard therapy, CCRT (concomitant chemotherapy and radiation therapy), is the most effective method and the only curable therapy for glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) except surgery (1,2). This method is composed of radiation and temozolomide (TMZ) treatment for GBM patients. Radiation has been an effective therapeutic method for various cancer types for a long time; however, repeated radiation treatment often induces radioresistance (3,4). Although TMZ is the only active medicine available for GBM patients, it is not beneficial for every patient. TMZ response varies in each patient because of MGMT [O-(6)-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase] enzyme expression, which induces DNA repairment of the damaged DNA after TMZ treatment. Methylation status of MGMT promoter is important in enzyme expression (5). Patients with unmethylated MGMT promoter show resistance to TMZ treatment and long-term treatment also induces resistance to TMZ as seen in radioresistance (6,7). To overcome such obstacle, many groups have studied radiation/TMZ resistance mechanism and developed substitute treatment methods (8-12).

shRNA (short hairpin RNA) library screening method for targeting genome has been used for discovering new therapeutic targets or tumor associated genes due to the shRNA ability to induce deprived gene functions (13,14). ShRNA induction experiments are conducted to identify specific genes that are associated with drug treatment, metastasis, and transcriptional activity. Several tumor suppressor genes have been discovered by using such screening method (14-16). In this study, we discovered the radiation/TMZ resistance related gene DDX6, using in vivo shRNA screening and demonstrated that suppression of DDX6 induces resistance to radiation/TMZ treatment. DDX6 is a RNA helicase and regulates mRNA translation and storage in P-bodies. Recently, Chen et al reported CNOT1 (CCR4-NOT complex 1) interacts with DDX6 for mRNA decapping in human cells and CNOT1 complex regulates mRNA translation in breast
cancer and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (17). Although mRNA regulation and indirect with other tumor-associated complex of DDX6 have been reported, direct tumor-associated actions still remain unknown. Herein, we present for the first time the resistant mechanism of DDX6 to antitumor treatment.

Materials and methods

Patient-derived cell and sphere culture. According to the Institutional Review Boards, specimens were obtained from glioblastoma patients after surgery. Patient-derived cells were cultured in Neurobasal-A medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with N2 and B27 supplements (0.5x respectively, Gibco) and human recombinant bFGF (20 ng/ml, R&D systems, MN, USA) and EGF (20 ng/ml, R&D Systems) (18,19). All used human recombinant bFGF (20 ng/ml, R&D systems, MN, USA) supplemented with cells were cultured in Neurobasal-A medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) from glioblastoma patients after surgery. Patient-derived cell and sphere culture. According to the Institutional Review Boards, specimens were obtained for the first time the resistant mechanism of DDX6 to antitumor treatment.

Viral production. 293FT cells (Invitrogen) were transfected with target vectors and viral package vectors (pCMV-PAK2 and pCMV-VSVG) by using CalPhos™ (Clontech Laboratories, Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. There are target vectors for pGIPZ for shRNA screening and DDX6 shRNA vectors (MISSION® shRNA, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for gene validation. All vectors have puromycin selection markers. The manufactured lentiviral supernatants were filtered through a 0.45 µm filter to remove cell debris and centrifuge at 20,000 x g for 2 h at 4˚C to concentrate the virus supernatant.

Pooled shRNA screening. shRNA pool was generated using pGIPZ system (GE System, CO, USA) and information of pGIPZ vector was based on the website (http://dharmacon.gelifesciences.com). Target genes for shRNA were chosen randomly. The 827 patient-derived cells were infected with the shRNA library and selected by puromycin (0.5 µg/ml) for 3 days. After 3 days, selected cells were sorted by fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACSaria™, BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) for mouse implantation. The control cells (before mouse implantation) and mass were harvested at the mouse survival end point for genetic analysis. shRNA barcodes were PCR-recovered from genomic samples and analyzed through next generation sequencing (Illumina High-Seq 2000, San Diego, CA, USA). shRNA level were normalized to its whole population and relative alteration of shRNA expression were measured. shRNAs presented in 2 or more replicates were selected for next experiment.

Generation of candidate gene knockdown cells. Five shRNAs specifically targeting the candidate gene DDX6, was used to reduce gene expression. The sequences of shRNAs were derived from the DDX6 coding region, but the control shRNA did not depress the DDX6 expression (pLKO, Sigma). Transduced cells were harvested for western blotting and successfully depressed DDX6 clone was selected. Among five shRNAs, only one shRNA was accepted, and used for functional validation.

Orthotopic xenograft model. Six-week-old male BALB/c nude mice (Orient Bio Inc., Seoul, South Korea) were used for pooled shRNA screening and gene validation. Patient-derived GBM cells (5x10⁴/mouse) were intracranially injected in mouse brain by stereotactic instrument (AP +0.5 mm, ML +1.7 mm, DV -3.2 mm from the bregma). Radiation or temozolomide (TMZ) treatment were performed at time two thirds of the control group median survival. Each mouse was sacrificed when unusual conditions (cachexia, lethargy and seizures) or 20% body weight loss were observed. For survival analysis, 5 mice were used per groups. All mouse experiments were performed according to the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-accredited guidelines of Samsung Medical Institute's Animal Use and Care Committee (Permit number: K-B2-035).

Western blot assay. Cell lysis was performed in RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Total proteins (10 µg/lane) were separated in SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore). The membranes were blocked for 2 h in room temperature by 5-10% skim milk solution and were incubated with primary antibodies (rabbit anti-DDX6 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and mouse anti-β-catenin (Santa Cruz, Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) overnight at 4˚C. After washing with TBST (Tris-Buffered Saline, 0.1% Tween-20), the membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at 4˚C. Development of membrane was performed using the chemiluminescence method (ECL, GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA, USA).

Cell proliferation and viability test. Cell proliferation and viability were performed using EZ-cytox cell viability kit (DAEIL Lab, South Korea) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For cell proliferation, 0.5-1x10⁵ cells were seeded per well in 96-well plate and each sample was in triplicate. After 3-6 days, Ez-cytox was added into each well and incubated for 2 h. The absorbance at 450 nm was measured for incubated plates. Cell viability were also performed according to the manufacturer's protocol with treatment schedule. Radiation or temozolomide (TMZ) treatment was administered within 6 h of seeding.

Sphere limiting dilution assay (SLDA) and sphere counting. Sphere limiting dilution assay (SLDA) was performed according to published method (20). Briefly, each of the cells was plated at densities range from 500 to 2 cells in a 96-well plate with 6 replicates for each dilution and evaluated after several days in culture. We scored each well for the absence (-) or presence (+) of sphere growth to determine the fraction of negative wells. The plot shows percentage for the fraction of non-responding wells (y-axis) versus plating density (x-axis). In addition, sphere counting was performed when each of the cells had similar clonogenic ability in SLDA.

Establishment of radiation/TMZ resistant cell lines. We established radiation/TMZ resistant cell lines by modifying pre-published method (21,22). Briefly, radiation or TMZ treatment was performed for each cell at lethal dose and these cells were incubated several days to recover their population.
For competent resistant cell lines, repeated treatments were conducted several times and these cells were confirmed by toxicity test using EZ-cytox cell viability kit.

**Statistics.** All statistical analysis was conducted using Student’s t-test to determine the significance of results (P<0.05, P<0.01, P<0.001). Overall survival curves were plotted according to the Kaplan-Meier method.

**Results**

**Pooled shRNA screening for CCRT resistance.** Screening using a shRNA library was used to identify CCRT resistance-related genes. Patient-derived cells treated with the various shRNAs were implanted in mouse brains. The mice received a standard treatment of GBM, radiation and/or TMZ, and the remaining tumor mass was harvested from each mouse at the survival end-point for analysis of shRNA expression change (Fig. 1A). Survival increased in all treatment groups as compared with control group. However, survival gain in the combination therapy group (GIV, ILS=56%) was similar to the radiation therapy group (GII, ILS=59%) (Fig. 1B). These data resulted from different responses of the 827 cell samples to radiation therapy (RT) and TMZ. Since the 827 samples were sensitive to RT and already resistant to TMZ, no combination-related survival gain was evident. The next experiments were done to demonstrate these characteristics *in vitro* (Figs. 5 and 6). Next generation sequencing analysis of the remaining tumor mass revealed shRNAs with increased expression, which enabled selection of the targeted genes in commonly expressing genes of three references to ensure that the genetic change noted in the 827 cells was

---

**Figure 1.** Pooled shRNA screening for CCRT resistance associated gene using patient-derived cell xenograft model. (A) shRNA screening experiment scheme using the 827 sample orthotopic xenograft model. (B) Survival rate of the 827 tumor-bearing mice. ILS was significantly changed by radiation and temozolomide treatment. (C) Highly expressed shRNAs targeted DDX6 after treatment in all treatment groups.
correct. The approach revealed the abundant expression of DDX6 in all treatment groups (Fig. 1C). These cells survived the radiation/TMZ treatment, which indicated DDX6 as a candidate gene regulating the resistance to radiation and/or TMZ treatment in GBM.

**Functional confirmation of decreased DDX6 in the xenograft model.** For functional validation of DDX6, a stable DDX6 knock-down cell line was established using lentiviral shRNA in two patient-derived cell lines (827 and 578). Since the 827 cells were resistant to TMZ treatment (Fig. 1B), TMZ sensitive cells were needed to confirm TMZ resistance in cells with abrogated expression of DDX6. Because 827 cells were used in shRNA screening and 578 cells were sensitive to TMZ treatment, these cell types were judged suitable. Analysis of protein level confirmed reduced DDX6 expression in both cell types in established DDX6 knock-down cells against non-target cells (Fig. 2A). Use of 827 cells allowed the establishment of a xenograft model that confirmed functional activity of DDX6 in radiation treatment, as evident in the first screening experiment (Fig. 2B). Non-targeted cells and shDDX6 cells were implanted in the mouse brain. Mice were sacrificed 20 days after cell implantation (n=4 in each control group) to verify tumor incidence. Tumor incidence and tumor size significantly improved (P<0.001) in the 827 shDDX6 cell implantation group compared to the NT cell group (Fig. 2C). No tumor mass was detected in NT implanted mouse brain. All shDDX6 implanted mice had tumor masses. Other mice were sacrificed when their body weight loss rate exceeded 20% or when abnormal behaviors (cachexia, lethargy and seizures) were displayed. The sacrifice day represented the survival end date for measuring median survival (Fig. 2D). The shDDX6s implanted mice (GIII) survived significantly shorter than NT implanted mice (GI) without radiation treatment. The median survival of all RT groups (NT-GII, shDDX6-GIV) was increased compared to untreated mice. The median survival
was slightly but significantly changed in radiation treated mice by decreased DDX6 (GII vs. GIV, P<0.01). Moreover, the range of survival end points within group was totally different between RT groups (GII, 39–48 days; GIV, 22–39 days). These results explained the earlier survival end point of the shDDX6 implanted mice compared to the NT group against RT. The results confirmed that decreased DDX6 increased tumorigenicity and induced a resistant response to radiation in vivo.

Establishment of RT/TMZ resistance cells to confirm alteration of DDX6 in RT/TMZ treatment. For confirmation of substantial DDX6 alteration against RT or TMZ treatment, we established RT/TMZ resistant cells by repeated treatment. Similar to DDX6 K/D cells, we used 827 and 578 patient-derived cell types. Different protocols for the cell types reflected their different RT/TMZ sensitivity. The 827 cell type received 2 Gy radiation and the 578 cells received 1 Gy radiation to isolate RT resistant cells. The resistant response to radiation was confirmed by comparing post-treatment viability and altered DDX6 production (Fig. 3A and B). DDX6 protein expression decreased in both RT resistant cell types. Since 827 cells were resistant to TMZ treatment, TMZ sensitive cells were necessary to define TMZ resistance. In 578 cells, response to TMZ treatment was more sensitive and the response to RT was similar to that of 827 cells (data not shown; confirmed in Figs. 5A and 6A). Therefore, 578 cells were judged suitable to define TMZ resistance. These cells were treated with 5 µM TMZ and their viability compared to control 578 cells treated with DMSO, which was the TMZ solvent. Like radioresistant cells, TMZ resistant 578 cells also displayed diminished expression of DDX6 protein compared to control cells (Fig. 3C). This was evidence of decreased DDX6-induced resistance to radiation and TMZ.

Confirmation of tumor associated ability in 827 and 578 DDX6 knock-down cells. Next, alteration of tumor progression, proliferation and clonogenicity were assessed in DDX6 K/D cells. Although proliferation was unchanged, shDDX6s cells displayed significantly more frequent tumor initiation than non-target (NT) cells in both cell types (Fig. 4A and B). Since altered clonogenic potential had been demonstrated in the 827 xenograft model (Fig. 2C), the results suggested that
Figure 4. Confirmation of tumor associated ability in 827 and 578 DDX6 knock-down cells. (A) Proliferation assay in vitro. shDDX6 cells displayed no improved proliferation. (B) In vitro limiting dilution assay results. Sphere forming ability was significantly increased in cells with decreased DDX6 production.

Figure 5. The cell decreased DDX6 expression acquired resistance against radiation treatment. (A) shDDX6 cells became resistant to radiation therapy. These results were retained while radiation dose increased. DDX6 expression level in the 827 and 578 cells. (B) Limiting dilution assay showed significantly improved clonogenic ability by decreased DDX6 despite radiation treatment in both cells. The 827 cells treated with 2 Gy radiation and 578 cells treated with 1 Gy radiation. (C) Average of the generated sphere number increased by decreased DDX6 despite radiation treatment in both cells. These results were also retained while radiation dose increased.
decreased DDX6 can influence the increased clonogenicity in vitro and in vivo.

Cell decreased DDX6 expression acquired resistance against radiation and temozolomide treatment. Irradiated 827 and 578 shDDX6s cells displayed significantly better survival than their corresponding NTs regardless of increased radiation intensity (Fig. 5A). Sphere formation was enhanced in untreated 827 and 578 shDDX6s cells. Therefore, clonogenic alteration due to the irradiation-mediated decreased DDX6 content was confirmed using two sphere formation assays (Fig. 5B and C). In the sphere limiting dilution assay (SLDA), irradiated shDDX6s formed spheres with fewer cells compared to NT 827 and 578 cells (Fig. 5B). Consistently, irradiated shDDX6s generated significantly more spheres than NT cells when cells were seeded with similar clonogenic potential (Fig. 5C). On the other hand, the observation that cells harboring shRNA targeting DDX6 were enriched after TMZ and combination treatment confirmed TMZ resistance due to decreased DDX6 expression. In an experiment with 827 cells, decreased DDX6 expression had no influence on cell viability and sphere forming ability after TMZ treatment (Fig. 6). Although the limiting dilution assay showed no influence by decreased DDX6 expression (Fig. 6B), average sphere numbers were slightly increased at a high concentration of TMZ (1 mM) with similar sphere forming capacity evident (Fig. 6C). Resistance to TMZ by decreased DDX6 was clearly evident in 578 cells. Viability and sphere forming ability were significantly improved after TMZ treatment in cells with decreased DDX6 expression (Fig. 6A and B), and the average sphere number with similar clonogenic capacity significantly increased in 578 shDDX6 cells, by ~12 times compared to NT cells (Fig. 6C).

Moreover, there were several primary-recurrent paired samples from the same patients in our patient sample bank, and several samples were cultured in vitro. As with shRNA screening (Fig. 1), we collected primary-recurrent paired samples to confirm the relationship between DDX6 expression and recurrence against CCRT including TMZ treatments. The DDX6 protein level was confirmed in two paired samples. In these samples, the DDX6 protein level of recurrent tumor cells were lower than in primary tumor cells.
Discussion

The present study was aimed at discovering potential genes involved in tumorigenic ability using shRNA screening techniques (14-16,23-25). Previous studies have utilized a pool of less than 1,000 shRNAs as it was difficult to select a single meaningful gene from a large-scale shRNA pool. Therefore, they conducted preliminary studies using a large-scale pool for more accurate results (26-28). Using a large-scale pool, they discovered a gene cluster that are involved in a particular pathway rather than identifying a single meaningful gene. In this study, we discovered DDX6 by treating shRNA-integrated cells with two different types of individual cellular stress despite using a large-scale pool.

Patient-derived xenograft models were used in our previously reported models and well representing tumor microenvironment and parental genomic characteristics compared to the in vitro models (19,29,30). Because of its advantages, we performed shRNA screening using patient-derived xenograft models for identifying a particular gene responsible for CCRT resistance. Screening with approximately 8,000 shRNAs has previously identified several targets including transcriptional factors (RFX7 and ZNF 649), neurogenic factor (PRTG), tumor suppressor (BTG3), carcinogen (MYD88) and other cancer-related genes (31-36). Of those, 11 genes were selected in the treatment groups. Among them, BTG3 and MYD88 are known to be associated with cancer regulation and treatment resistance. Collectively, identification of the above genes supports the fidelity of our RNAi screen. Within the group, DDX6 was the only gene that was identified as a candidate hit in all three different treatment groups. Therefore, we sought to functionally validate DDX6.

DDX6 is an RNA helicase that regulates RNA modification (37). However, the functional activity of DDX6 remains unknown in cancer including GBM. Noteworthy, it has been reported that DDX6 upregulates tumorigenicity in colon cancer. Moreover, upregulation of DDX6 decreases miR-145 expression and induces tumorigenicity in GBM (38,39). Yet, our studies show that DDX6 induces RT/TMZ resistance rather than tumorigenic ability or miRNA modulation. Since DDX6 was the only gene that was identified in the treatment-resistant tumors and the results of our validation experiments were reliable, we suggest that DDX6 acts to regulate RT/TMZ resistance in GBM. Although 827 DDX6 K/D cells showed unsettled response to TMZ, we reasoned that DDX6 protein level in 827 cells is lower than 578 cells and the level of 827 DDX6 K/D cells was not sufficient to present any phenotypic changes to TMZ resistance (data not shown). Therefore, we validated DDX6 function to TMZ resistance using 578 DDX6 K/D cells. Our data showed DDX6 playing a critical role in determining the sensitivity to CCRT in GBM patients. Therefore, DDX6 could be implemented as a therapeutic target in the clinic to predict a proficient response to CCRT treatment and to propose an alternative treatment.

To utilize DDX6 as a biomarker for patient prognosis, more evidence including functional activity of DDX6 to CCRT resistance need to be presented. However, we found no reliable changes of CSC markers in our DDX6 K/D cells (data not shown, in 8 CSC markers of GBM). Although we need more supplementary data for the mechanism of action, we consider miRNA could be involved in treatment resistance by DDX6 except CSC. miRNAs regulate many molecular actions in cells (44-46). In particular, several miRNAs have been reported for their role in treatment resistance. Reported miRNAs consists of miRNA-221/222, miRNA-181, miRNA-21, miRNA-195 (47-50). We need more studies for interaction between DDX6 and miRNAs to explain how DDX6 could regulate miRNAs in treatment resistance. Furthermore, DDX6 could be a putative tumor suppressor because of increased tumorigenicity of DDX6 K/D cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. We need more studies for interaction between DDX6 and miRNAs to explain how DDX6 could regulate miRNAs in treatment resistance. Furthermore, DDX6 could be a putative tumor suppressor because of increased tumorigenicity of DDX6 K/D cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Further research using DDX6 overexpressed patient-derived cells is needed to confirm the tumor suppressor role of DDX6. Investigation of the roles of other candidate genes in response to CCRT resistance is also required.
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