**A double-negative feedback loop between EZH2 and miR-26a regulates tumor cell growth in hepatocellular carcinoma**
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**Abstract.** Accumulating evidence demonstrates the important roles of microRNAs (miRNAs) in tumor development and progression. miR-26a has been reported to be down-regulated in several types of cancers including hepatocellular carcinoma, but the underlying mechanism of how miR-26a is repressed remains largely unknown. In the present study, we performed western blot analysis, qRT-PCR, luciferase reporter assay and chromatin immunoprecipitation assay to investigate the relationship between miR-26a and the enhancer of zest homologue 2 (EZH2). CCK-8 assay and colony formation assay were carried out to explore the effect of miR-26a on HCC cells proliferation. We demonstrated that miR-26a was epigenetically repressed by EZH2-mediated H3K27 trimethylation within the miR-26a promoter. Moreover, we confirmed that EZH2 was also a direct target of miR-26a in HCC cells, thus, creating a double-negative feedback loop. Furthermore, miR-26a restoration increased the expressions of its host genes (CTDSPL and CTDSP2). Overexpression of EZH2 abrogated miR-26a induction of CTDSPL and CTDSP2. Restoring the balance of the double-negative feedback loop by miR-26a overexpression or EZH2 silence significantly inhibited HCC cell growth. Overexpression of EZH2 rescued the growth inhibition effect of miR-26a. These findings suggest that an imbalanced double-negative feedback loop between EZH2 and miR-26a exists in HCC cells, which contributes to miR-26a deregulation and regulates tumor cells proliferation.

**Introduction**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) represents the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1), resulting in ~700,000 deaths per year. Although therapeutic options such as surgical resection and liver transplantation are used to improve the outcomes and decrease mortality of HCC patients, the 5-year survival rate is still less than 30% (1). In many cases, HCC is detected at advanced stage with limited therapeutic options. Thus, a better understanding of the molecular mechanism underlying HCC carcinogenesis will help to indentify novel diagnostic biomarkers and develop targeted therapies.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs with a length of 20-25 nucleotides that post-transcriptionally regulate gene expression by complementary binding to the 3’untranslated region (3’UTR) of target mRNAs, inducing degradation or translational repression (2). miRNAs have been well established to closely associate with carcinogenesis and progression, where they function as oncogenes or tumor suppressors depending on their targets. miR-26a, an early discovered miRNA, comprises miR-26a-1 and miR-26a-2, which are located in the intron of CTD small phosphatase like (CTDSPL) and CTD small phosphatase 2 (CTDSP2), respectively. miR-26a-1 and miR-26a-2 are expressed concomitantly with their host genes in physiological and pathological conditions (3). It has been reported that miR-26a is downregulated in several types of cancers including HCC (4,5). miR-26a suppressed tumor growth and metastasis by targeting multiple oncogenes and cell cycle-related genes such as IL-6 (5), Zcchc11 (6), ITGA5 (7), CCND2 and CCNE2 (8) in HCC. However, the underlying mechanism of how miR-26a is repressed remains largely unknown.

Epigenetic regulation plays a central role in the regulation of genes. Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) is an important epigenetic regulator that represses tumor suppressor genes such as KLF2, ID4 and E-cadherin by chromatin modification (9-12). The enhancer of zest homologue 2 (EZH2) is the catalytically active subunit of PRC2. It suppresses gene expression by inducing the trimethylation of lysine 27 on histone 3 (H3K27) (13). EZH2 has been shown overexpressed in a variety of cancers including HCC (14,15). EZH2 plays an important role in carcinogenesis, progression and metastasis, where it functions as an oncogene (16-18). Recently, several
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studies reported that miRNAs such as miR-214 (19), miR-101 (20), miR-200s (21-23), miR-218 (24), miR-143 (25), miR-622 (26) and miR-31 (27), could be epigenetically suppressed by EZH2-mediated H3K27 trimethylation. It seems that over-expression of EZH2 may partly explain the suppression of tumor suppressor miRNAs. Thus, we presume that miR-26a could be silenced by EZH2-mediated epigenetic mechanisms. Moreover, EZH2 has been reported to be a direct target of miR-26a in nasopharyngeal (28), lung (29) and prostate cancer (30). However, the regulatory mechanism of EZH2 expression and the relationship between EZH2 and miR-26a in HCC are still unclear.

In the present study, we demonstrate that miR-26a is repressed by EZH2-mediated H3K27 trimethylation within the miR-26a promoter and confirm that EZH2 is a direct target of miR-26a in HCC cells. EZH2 and miR-26a form a double-negative feedback loop, contributing to miR-26a deregulation and regulating HCC cell growth. Our findings provide a better understanding of HCC pathogenesis.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and transfection. LO2, HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cell lines were obtained from the Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China), and were maintained at 37°C (5% CO₂) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA). All experiments were performed when cells were at logarithmic growth phase. For transfection, cells were plated in 6-well plates for 24 h to ensure 70-80% confluence. Then, the cells were transfected with 4 µg pcDNA3.1, pcDNA3.1-miR-26a, pcDNA3.1-EZH2 and sh-EZH2, or 100 nM miR-26a mimics and control mimics using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The miR-26a mimics and control mimics were purchased from Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China).

Construction of expression plasmids. To construct miR-26a expression plasmid (pcDNA3.1-miR-26a), a 254-bp DNA fragment containing pre-miR-26a and the 5'- and 3'-flanking sequence was amplified from human genomic DNA and cloned into the KpnI and EcoRI sites of pcDNA3.1 vector. The primers were 5'-AGGGGTACCGGCTGGGGTCAGAAAT-3' (forward) and 5'-GCA GAATTCGCTACATGCAAAGGGC GGTCCGAGGT-3' (reverse); sh-EZH2, 5'-AGTTTGGCTGCTCTTCAC3'- (forward) and 5'-GGTTCTCTCCCGGCTTTTC-3' (reverse); CTDSP1, 5'-TGCTGAGGGAGGAGGAGTGAAG-3' (forward) and 5'-GCAGCATGCCACAGGTGGTCTC-3' (reverse); CTDSP2, 5'-TCACCGGTGTATGCTGCTCAA-3' (forward) and 5'-AA GCTACGCGATAGGCCG-3' (reverse); E-cadherin, 5'-CC CATACCGAGACCC-3' (forward) and 5'-TTCTTGGG TTGGGGTGTCCGTTT-3' (reverse); GAPDH, 5'-GAAAGGTGAAG GTCCGAGTC-3' (forward) and 5'-GAAGATGGTGATGG GATTTC-3' (reverse).

Western blot analysis. Cells were lysed using RIPA reagent (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Jiangsu, China). Protein lysates were sonicated and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. Then protein extracts were subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to NC membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). After blocked with 5% non-fat milk, the membrane was incubated with rabbit anti-human specific primary antibodies (anti-EZH2, 1:1,000 and anti-GAPDH, 1:2,000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:5,000; Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd., Wuhan, China) at room temperature for 1 h. The membrane was detected with BeyoECL plus kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) and the intensity of protein fragments was quantified using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The signals of the detected bands were normalized to GAPDH band, and the values were presented as the ratio between treated cells and control cells.

CCK-8 assay. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (2×10^3/well) at a final volume of 100 µl. After transfection, the cells were cultured for another 24, 48, 72 and 96 h. Then, 10 µl of CCK-8 reagent (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) was added into each plate at different time-points and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. The absorbance was measured by a microplate reader at 450 nm.

Colony formation assay. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were seeded in 6-well plates (500 cells/well) and maintained in DMEM medium containing 10% FBS for 2 weeks. The cells were then fixed and stained with 1% crystal violet. The number of colonies was counted under a microscope (Olympus IX83; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). All experiments were repeated three times and the values were reported as the ratio between treated cells and control cells.

Quantitative real-time PCR. TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) was used to extract total RNA according to the manufacturer's instructions. The expression of miR-26a was determined by a stem-loop qRT-PCR assay. Reverse transcription of miR-26a and U6 was performed by using PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) with specific RT primer. For mRNA analysis, 1 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed using PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix (Takara Bio). Subsequently, real-time PCR was performed using SYBR-Green PCR Master Mix (Takara). All PCR reactions were performed under the conditions of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 5 sec at 95°C, 30 sec at 60°C and 30 sec at 72°C on a Stratagene MX3000P system. The relative expression levels of miR-26a and mRNAs were calculated by 2-ΔΔCt method using GAPDH or U6 as internal control. The primers used for qRT-PCR were as follows: miR-26a, 5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTGTTCC GAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACAGCCA-3' (RT), 5'-GC CCGCTTCAGAATCCACAGGG-3' (forward) and 5'-GTCGA GGTTCGGAGGT-3' (reverse); U6, 5'-CTCGCTTCGGCAG CACA-3' (forward) and 5'-AACGCTTTCGCAAGATTTCG GT-3' (reverse); EZH2, 5'-AGTTTGGCTGCTCTTCAC3'- (forward) and 5'-GGTTCTCTCCCCCGTTTC-3' (reverse); CTDSP1, 5'-GTCGTGAGGGAGGAGGAGTGAAG-3' (forward) and 5'-GCAGCATGCCACAGGTGGTCTC-3' (reverse); CTDSP2, 5'-TCACCGGTGTATGCTGCTCAA-3' (forward) and 5'-AA GCTACGCGATAGGCCG-3' (reverse); E-cadherin, 5'-CC CATACCGAGACCC-3' (forward) and 5'-TTCTTGGG TTGGGGTGTCCGTTT-3' (reverse); GAPDH, 5'-GAAAGGTGAAG GTCCGAGTC-3' (forward) and 5'-GAAGATGGTGATGG GATTTC-3' (reverse).
Luciferase reporter assay. To construct EZH2-3’UTR-WT plasmid, a 274-bp DNA fragment of EZH2 3’UTR containing the predicted binding site of miR-26a was amplified from human genomic DNA and cloned into a reporter vector as previously described (31). The primers for EZH2-3’UTR-WT were as follows: 5’-ATAGAATTC CATCTGCTACCTCCTCC TTC-3’ (forward) and 5’-CGC AAGCTTGAATTCAACAAGG AC-3’ (reverse). The EZH2-3’UTR-MUT plasmid, which converted the miR-26a binding site ‘TACTTGAA’ to ‘CTGACAGA’, was generated by site-specific mutagenesis based on EZH2-3’UTR-WT plasmid. The primers for EZH2-3’UTR-MUT plasmid were: 5’-AACCTTTGAATAAAGAACACT GCAGAACTTGTCCCTGGTTG-3’ (forward) and 5’-AACAA GGACAAGTTCTGCAGTCTTTATTCAAGTTG-3’ (reverse).

To investigate the transcriptional regulation of miR-26a, a 2147-bp fragment of CTDSPL/miR-26a-1 promoter (-1521/+626) and a 1569-bp fragment of CTDSPL/miR-26a-2 promoter (-1322/+247) were amplified by PCR and cloned into the pGL3-basic plasmid, generating pGL3-26a-1 and pGL3-26a-2. The primers for pGL3-26a-1 were as follows: 5’-ACGGGTACCCAGAGTGTGGCCTGCAAGTTGGAA-3’ (forward) and 5’-TC AAAGCTT CGCACACACATTCCACCCCTCA-3’ (reverse). The primers for pGL3-26a-2 were: 5’-TCACTCGAGATTGGGGTTGGATTTAGC-3’ (forward) and 5’-AAC AAGCTT CGAAAACAAGAGGAGGAAAT-3’ (reverse).

For luciferase reporter assay, HepG2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates until 70-80% confluence. Then, 50 ng of the constructed EZH2 3’UTR reporter plasmids were cotransfected with either 100 nm miR-26a mimics or control mimics, and 5 ng of pRL-TK plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI, USA); 50 ng of the constructed miR-26a promoter reporter plasmids were cotransfected with 200 ng of either pcDNA3.1-EZH2 or pcDNA3.1 and 5 ng of pRL-TK. The pRL-TK plasmid was used as internal control. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation. CHIP assay was performed using EpiQuik™ chromatin immunoprecipitation kit (Epigentek, Farmingdale, NY, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the cells were transfected with sh-EZH2 or sh-control for 48 h prior to formaldehyde fixation. After cell lysis, the chromatin was fragmented to ~200-1,000 bp. The DNA fragment was then enriched with anti-EZH2, anti-H3K27me3 or normal IgG antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology). The purified DNA was used as template and quantified by qRT-PCR method. The primers for CHIP were as follows: 26a1s1-F 5’-AGGCTGAGGAGGCACTTTG-3’, 26a1s1-R 5’-AGTGGGCATTTTCGGGTG-3’, 26a1s2-F 5’-GC CGACTGAGCCAGTGGTGATTTTGAC-3’ (forward) and 5’-AACAAAGCTTCAAGAGTTGGAAT-3’ (reverse).

Figure 1. Expression levels of miR-26a and EZH2 in HCC cell lines. (A) The expression of miR-26a in normal liver cell line and HCC cell lines, detected by qRT-PCR. (B) The expression of EZH2 in normal liver cell line and HCC cell lines, detected by western blot analysis. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 vs. Lo2.

Results

The expression of miR-26a is downregulated while EZH2 is upregulated in HCC cell lines. To determine the expression level of miR-26a in HCC cells, two HCC cell lines (HepG2 and SMMC7721) and a normal liver cell line (Lo2) were used to detect miR-26a expression levels by qRT-PCR. The result showed that the expression level of miR-26a was significantly decreased in HCC cells compared with that in Lo2 cells (P<0.01) (Fig. 1A). Moreover, we detected the level of EZH2 by western blot analysis, the result showed that the expression of EZH2 was significantly increased in HCC cells (P<0.01) (Fig. 1B).

miR-26a is negatively regulated by EZH2. EZH2 as an oncogene, has been reported to epigenetically repress expression of various tumor suppressor miRNAs such as miR-101, miR-200s and miR-31. To investigate whether miR-26a could be inhibited by EZH2 in HCC cells, we performed loss- and gain-of-function studies. The result showed that knockdown of EZH2 led to a 1.9- and 1.8-fold increase of miR-26a in HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells, respectively (P<0.01) (Fig. 2A). We also detected the expression of miR-26a host genes, CTDSPL...
and CTDSPL, which were reported to be concomitantly expressed with miR-26a. As shown in Fig. 2b, knockdown of EZH2 increased the expressions of CTDSPL and CTDSPL (P<0.01). Ectopic expression of EZH2 significantly decreased the expression of miR-26a and its host genes by ~60% in Lo2 cells (P<0.01) (Fig. 2B). Futhermore, luciferase reporter assay revealed that transcriptional activities of CTDSPL/miR-26a-1 and CTDSPL/miR-26a-2 increased significantly in sh-EZH2 treated HCC cells compared with sh-control (P<0.01) (Fig. 2C).

EZH2 regulates H3K27 methylation on the miR-26a promoter.
To determine whether EZH2 could regulate histone H3K27 methylation on the miR-26a promoter, we performed CHIP assay near the transcription start site on CTDSPL/miR-26a-1 promoter and CTDSPL/miR-26a-2 promoter, which containing rich CpG islands and were highly conserved according to UCSC Genome Browser (Fig. 3A). As shown in Fig. 3B-D, EZH2 and H3K27me3 were enriched at both CTDSPL/ miR-26a-1 and CTDSPL/miR-26a-2 promoters. Knockdown of EZH2 decreased the binding of EZH2 and the level of H3K27me3 on CTDSPL/miR-26a-1 and CTDSPL/miR-26a-2 promoters. The result indicates that miR-26a is repressed by EZH2-mediated H3K27 methylation.

EZH2 is a direct target of miR-26a in HCC cells. As EZH2 has been proposed to be a direct target of miR-26a in several types of cancers, it is of interest to investigate whether this relationship also exists in HCC. To investigate whether miR-26a could regulate EZH2 expression in HCC cells, we transfected HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells with miR-26a mimics at different concentrations to upregulate miR-26a expression and then detected EZH2 expression levels. As shown in Fig. 4A and B, ectopic expression of miR-26a significantly decreased both mRNA and protein levels of EZH2 in a dose-dependent manner. At the dose of 100 nM, the mRNA and protein levels of EZH2 were decreased by ~50 and 70%, respectively (P<0.01).
Figure 3. EZH2 regulates H3K27 methylation on the miR-26a promoter. miR-26a and EZH2 form a double-negative feedback loop in HCC cells. (A) Illustration shows the locations of miR-26a-1 and miR-26a-2 within CTDSPL and CTDSP2, and the regions amplified in CHIP-PCR. 26a1s1, 26a1s2 and 26a2s represent the amplified regions. Both CTDSPL/miR-26a-1 and CTDSP2/miR-26a-2 promoters are highly conserved and CpG-riched. (B-D) CHIP assay shows the enrichment of EZH2 and H3K27me3 on CTDSPL/miR-26a-1 and CTDSP2/miR-26a-2 promoters. Data are expressed as the fold of enrichment relative to input DNA. *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Figure 4. EZH2 is a direct target of miR-26a in HCC cells. (A and B) Suppressed expression of EZH2 by miR-26a. (A) qRT-PCR and (B) western blot analysis were used to detect the expression of EZH2 in HCC cells after transfected with different concentrations of miR-26a mimics or control mimics for 48 h. (C) Putative miR-26a binding sequence in the 3’UTR of EZH2 mRNA (www.microrna.org). 3’UTR-mUT was generated by converting the putative miR-26a binding sequence on EZH2 3’UTR to ‘CTGCAGAA’. (D) Luciferase reporter assays in HCC cells. HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells were co-transfected with pRL-TK, either EZH2-3’UTR-WT or EZH2-3’UTR-MUT, and 100 nM of either miR-26a or control mimics. Forty-eight hours after transfection, luciferase activity was measured. *P<0.05; **P<0.01.
Furthermore, luciferase reporter assay was performed to determine whether miR-26a could bind to the 3'UTR of EZH2 mRNA. Luciferase reporter plasmids containing wild-type or mutant EZH2 3'UTR were constructed (Fig. 4C) and cotransfected with miR-26a mimics or control mimics into HepG2 and SmmC7721 cells. As shown in Fig. 4D, miR-26a but not control mimics, significantly decreased the luciferase activity of EZH2-3'UTR-WT reporter, and EZH2-3'UTR-mUT reporter was not affected by miR-26a. These results suggest that EZH2 is a direct target of miR-26a in HCC cells.

miR-26a and EZH2 form a double-negative feedback loop in HCC cells. The above results suggested that EZH2 and miR-26a may reciprocally regulate each other, forming a double-negative feedback loop. Here, we performed a self-induction experiment of miR-26a. HCC cells were transfected with exogenous miR-26a mimics and the expression of endogenous miR-26a was detected. Because the detection of endogenous miR-26a could be disturbed by exogenous miR-26a mimics, we detected its host genes (CTDSPL and CTDSP2) instead. As shown in Fig. 5A, compared with control, miR-26a mimics increased the mRNA expression of CTDSPL and CTDSP2 in both HepG2 and SmmC7721 cells. Ectopic expression of EZH2 abrogated miR-26a induction of CTDSPL and CTDSP2. These results benefit the existence of the double-negative feedback loop between EZH2 and miR-26a in HCC. Moreover, we analyzed the expression of E-cadherin, which has been reported to be a target of PRC2. The result showed that miR-26a significantly increased E-cadherin mRNA expression, and the induction was abrogated by EZH2 overexpression (Fig. 5B).

The imbalance of double-negative feedback loop between EZH2 and miR-26a contributes to HCC cell growth. As the double-negative feedback loop between EZH2 and miR-26a is imbalanced in HCC cells, in the present study we restored the balance and investigated the effect of double-negative feedback loop on HCC cell growth. First, we evaluated whether miR-26a restoration could induce growth inhibition of HCC cells, and whether ectopic expression of EZH2 could rescue the growth inhibition effect of miR-26a. miR-26a expression vector (pcDNA3.1-miR-26a) and EZH2 expression vector (pcDNA3.1-EZH2, without 3'UTR) were constructed and confirmed to be effective by qRT-PCR and western blot analysis (Fig. 6A). HepG2 and SmmC7721 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1 or pcDNA3.1-miR-26a, or cotransfected with pcDNA3.1-miR-26a and pcDNA3.1-EZH2, and then CCK-8 and colony formation assays were performed. As shown in Fig. 6B and C, miR-26a restoration significantly suppressed HCC cell proliferation and colony formation (P<0.01), and EZH2 abrogated miR-26a-induced cell proliferation inhibition and suppression of colony formation (P<0.01).

Subsequently, we used the sh-EZH2 vector to suppress EZH2 expression, and investigated whether reduction of EZH2 could mimic the suppressive effect of miR-26a restoration. The
result showed that, similar to miR-26a restoration, knockdown of EZH2 significantly inhibited HCC cell proliferation and colony formation (P<0.01) (Fig. 7). Taken together, our results suggest that the double-negative feedback loop between EZH2 and miR-26a regulates HCC cell growth.

Discussion

Accumulating evidence demonstrates the important roles of miRNAs in tumor development and progression (32), but the regulatory mechanisms of miRNA expression are still largely unknown. PRC2 is an epigenetic regulator and plays an important role in gene regulation (9,10). Growing number of studies suggest that, similar to protein coding genes, miRNAs could also be regulated by epigenetic mechanisms (21,33,34). As the key component of PRC2, EZH2 has been shown to participant in the epigenetic regulation of miRNAs in a variety of cancers. Zhang et al (27) demonstrated that EZH2 suppressed miR-31 expression by regulating H3K27 trimethylation on miR-31 promoter in prostate cancer. Wang et al (20) reported that EZH2 coordinated with c-Myc epigenetically silencing miR-101 expression during hepatocarcinogenesis, showing that EZH2 was a target of miR-101, thus creating a double-negative feedback loop that regulated the process of hepatocarcinogenesis. In the present study, we report that reduction of EZH2 caused a significant increase of miR-26a expression. This effect was accompanied by an increase in transcriptional activity of miR-26a promoter. CHIP assay indicated that EZH2 regulated H3K27 trimethylation on miR-26a promoter. These results are consistent
with a previous study by Zhao et al (35), which reported an enrichment of EZH2 on miR-26a promoter, and that reduction of EZH2 increased miR-26a expression in aggressive B-cell lymphomas. Moreover, another study by Borno et al (36) showed that both the expression and the promoter methylation status of miR-26a were associated with EZH2 expression in prostate cancer tissues and cell lines. It seems that epigenetic regulation of miR-26a by EZH2 may be a common mechanism in malignancies.

CTDSPL and CTDSP2, the host genes of miR-26a, have been reported as tumor suppressors. It has been demonstrated that CTDSPL and CTDSP2 dephosphorylated the ppRb protein and induce cell cycle arrest in HCC (3) and renal carcinoma (37). Moreover, CTDSPL is reported to be downregulated and frequently methylated in non-small cell lung cancer (38), renal cell carcinoma (39) and ovarian cancer (40). Consistently, the bioinformatics analysis revealed that the promoter region of CTDSPL and CTDSP2 contained many CpG islands. As EZH2 can directly regulate DNA methylation (41), it is possible that EZH2 suppresses miR-26a by regulating both H3K27 trimethylation and DNA methylation.

miR-26a has been previously reported to function as a tumor suppressor in a variety of cancers by targeting multiple oncogenes (5-8,28-30) including EZH2, but its relationship with EZH2 is not fully elucidated in HCC. A recent study by Wang et al (42) reported that miR-26a suppressed EZH2 expression in HepG2 cells. In the present study, we demonstrated that miR-26a suppressed EZH2 expression in a dose-dependent manner, which was consistent with Wang et al (42). We further confirmed that EZH2 was a direct target of miR-26a in HCC cells by luciferase reporter assay. Moreover, we showed that exogenous miR-26a mimics could efficiently induce the endogenous expression of CTDSPL and CTDSP2, and that overexpression of EZH2 disrupted this induction. These data indicate that EZH2 and miR-26a form a double-negative feedback loop, and that overexpression of EZH2 contributes to the imbalance of the double-negative feedback loop, resulting in
deregulation of miR-26a in HCC. These results also suggest that, besides directly targeting oncogenes, ectopic expression of miR-26a could indirectly upregulate tumor suppressor genes through suppressing EZH2 expression. Thus, miR-26a may function as a master tumor suppressor.

Moreover, we restored the balance of the double-negative feedback loop and found that, similar to miR-26a restoration, knockdown of EZH2 induced cell growth inhibition, and over-expression of EZH2 rescued the growth inhibition effect of miR-26a in HCC cells. These data suggest that the imbalance of double-negative feedback loop between EZH2 and miR-26a may contribute to HCC cell growth.

In conclusion, our data suggest that EZH2 and miR-26a reciprocally regulate each other in HCC, forming a double-negative feedback loop, which contributes to miR-26a deregulation and regulates tumor cell growth. Our findings provide new insights into the regulatory mechanism of miR-26a expression in HCC.
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