**Abstract.** Radiotherapy has been widely used for the treatment of cancer patients, especially for esophageal cancer patients. Ring finger protein 2 (RNF2) plays an important role in promoting the growth of cancer cells after exposure to irradiation. The present study aims to characterize the proliferative effects of RNF2 on cancer cells, and its mechanisms on the growth of esophageal cancer cells. We demonstrate that expression of RNF2 was markedly upregulated in esophageal cancer cell lines and surgically resected cancer specimens. In addition, RNF2 expression level is positively correlated with the presence of tumor size, lymph node metastases and negatively correlated with patient survival rates, suggesting that it plays an important role in the progression of esophageal cancer. Furthermore, the expression of RNF2 at both mRNA and protein levels in esophageal cancer ECA109 and TE13 cells was detected by real-time PCR and western blot assay after shRNA targeting RNF2. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay and western blot analysis were employed to detect the interaction between RNF2 and r-H2AX, H2AK119ub, and the expression of proteins associated with cell cycle and apoptosis, respectively. We used flow cytometry assay to analyze cell cycle and apoptosis of transfected cells, and further examined cellular growth in vitro and in vivo. shRNA targeting RNF2 gene and protein downregulated RNF2 expression after transfection for 24 h. The proliferation of tumor cells in RNF2-shRNA group was suppressed after radiotherapy. In addition, the interaction of RNF2, H2AK119ub, r-H2AX was increased after exposure to IR, followed by increasing apoptosis rates and inducing the arrest at G0/G1 phase after irradiation and shRNA targeting RNF2. Expression of the short-hairpin RNA is also correlated with the upregulation of p16 and Bax, and the downregulation of cyclin D2, cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)-4, H2AX and Bcl-2. RNF2 gene knockdown induces radiosensitivity of esophageal cancer cells in vitro and significantly inhibits the growth of tumor cells. The mechanisms include inducing the cell cycle arrest at G0/G1 phase and promoting apoptosis.

**Introduction**

Esophageal carcinoma is one of alimentary canal malignancies with high incidence of approximately 0.3104 million new malignancies worldwide each year. Despite extensive application in its diagnosis and treatment, recurrence of malignancy remains the main cause of high mortality after treatment for esophageal carcinoma patients. Current treatments, including surgical intervention, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, are moderately effective in the early-stage cases, but are less effective in more advanced cases (1). For example, patients with esophageal carcinoma may benefit from radiotherapy (RT) to a certain degree, however, patient prognosis remains unsatisfactory and unpredictable due to profound radioresistance. Thus, identification of key molecules or pathways specifically expressed in esophageal carcinoma that are essential for the growth of cancer cells may provide novel therapeutic targets and ultimately lead to improved survival. Research over the past years clearly implicates multiple genetic alterations in the development and progression of esophageal carcinoma (2), including those that have important functions in cell growth, metastasis, DNA damage and repair (3).

Ring finger protein (RNF2), a member of the polycomb group of transcriptional repressors, has been detected in a variety of human carcinoma specimens in various stages. In particular, RNF2 is overexpressed in a number of malignancies (4,5). GeneChip analysis also showed that RNF2 can be used to predict cancer metastasis (6), promote cancer cell proliferation and invasion, resist apoptosis and enhance transfer capabilities (7). Moreover, as an active heterodimer E3 ligase, RNF2 may be associated with the ubiquitination and phosphorylation of H2AX, which is thought to be a critical sensor that can initiate DNA damage response (DDR) (8,9). It has been also shown that H2AX was associated with radiosensitization of esophageal cancer cells (10). However, how the
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function of RNF2 in radiosensitivity is regulated remains a fundamental question that needs to be answered to elucidate the essential mechanisms controlling DDR.

To identify new and crucial factors regulating the function of RNF2 in DDR, we conducted a proteomic analysis to systematically identify RNF2 interacting proteins. To the best of our knowledge, the mechanisms by which RNF2 promoted the growth of esophageal carcinoma cells after radiotherapy has not been reported thus far. Given the important role of RNF2 in ionizing radiation-induced DDR, we hypothesize that silencing of RNF2 may bring in DNA damage pathway defects and thus increase the radiosensitivity. In the present study, we attempted verification of this hypothesis through different cell lines in vitro and explored the mechanisms through which RNF2 induces oncogenesis and tumor progression.

Materials and methods

Tissue specimens and immunohistochemical analysis. Sixty-four esophageal carcinoma tissues and five normal esophageal tissues were obtained from the Department of Thoracic Surgery, Hebei Hospital of the Fourth affiliated Medical University (Hebei, China), from January 2008 to December 2009. Patient consent was obtained for the collection of specimens, and all study protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee for Clinical Research of the Fourth affiliated Medical University. Immunohistochemistry protocols were performed as previously described (11). In brief, slides were incubated with an anti-RNF2 monoclonal antibody (1:100, EPR12245; Upstate, Lake Placid, NY, USA) followed by incubation with a horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated antimouse secondary antibody (1:200; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Antibody binding was visualized using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine and counterstained with hematoxylin. Negative control sections were incubated with PBS instead of the primary antibody. Immunostained results were independently evaluated by two pathologists who were blinded to the clinical and histopathological features. Ring finger protein 2 (RNF2) cytoplasm accumulation was quantified as a percentage of the total number of cytoplasm detected in at least 4-5 random high power fields (x400) in each section. Cases with >10% of cells staining for RNF2 were scored as positive samples (12).

Cell lines and cell culture. Five human esophageal cancer cell lines, TE13, TE1, KYSE30, EC9706 and ECA109, and the normal esophageal cell line HEEC, were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2 in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (0.1 mg/ml).

X-ray irradiation. Irradiation was performed at room temperature with a 6MV Siemens linear accelerator (Siemens, Concord, CA, USA) at a dose rate of 2 Gy/min. After irradiation, cells were recovered in an incubator for the indicated time until harvesting.

shRNA transfection. For the shRNA analyses, human RNF2 small interfering RNA (shRNA) with the nucleotide sequence 5′-GGUAACCGCACUGUAGAUCUUAU-3′ (sense) and 5′-AUAGUAGUAACAGUUGCUGUACC-3′ (antisense), corresponding to part of the RNF2 mRNA, and the negative control scrambled shRNA (NC-shRNA: sense, 5′-UUCGCC GAACGUGUCAGUTT-3′ and antisense, 5′-ACGUGACAG GUUCGGAAGATT-3′) were designed and purchased from Invitrogen. All of the shRNA sequences were subjected to basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) to confirm the absence of homology to any additional known coding sequences in the human genome. Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, one day prior the transfection, ECA109 and TE13 cells (5x10^5/well) were cultured in 6-well tissue culture plates until they reached 50% confluence, then the cells were transiently transfected with either RNF2-shRNA (Shanghai Genechem Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) or NC-shRNA (100 nM). Cells were collected 24 h after transduction with shRNA and were selected in puromycin for stable clones. Subsequently, the cells were used for irradiation as indicated.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Total RNAs were extracted by using TRIzol reagent. Real-time PCR was then performed using Platinum SYBR-Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, after the reverse transcription reaction at 42°C for 60 min and 70°C for 5 min, cDNAs was synthesized using the ReverAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA), then initially denatured at 94°C for 30 sec, and followed by 40 cycles of repeated procedure as follows: denatured at 94°C for 5 sec, annealed at 56°C for 15 sec and extended at 72°C for 10 sec. As a control, the levels of glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) expression were also analyzed. Incorporation of the SYBR-Green dye into PCR products was monitored in real-time with LightCycler real-time PCR detection system (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA), thereby allowing determination of the threshold cycle (Ct) at which exponential amplification of products begins. Independent experiments were repeated three times for each sample and the relative expression levels of genes were analyzed by using 2^−ΔΔCt method (13).

Western blot analysis. The cellular total protein was solubilized in RIPA lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 Mm EGTA, pH 8.0, 0.2 mM NaVO4, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride and 0.5% NP-40). The protein amount was evaluated by using BCA assays (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) and separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gel, and electrotransferred to a PVDF membrane (Pierce). The membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with the indicated antibodies. The specific antibodies were rabbit RNF2 antibody (1:10,000; Abcam, Cambridge, USA), anti-hH2AX (1:10,000; Abcam), anti-H2AK119ub (1:10,000; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), rabbit Bcl-2 (1:1,000; Abcam), and anti-Bax (1:500; Abcam), anti-H2AX (1:1,000; Abcam), anti-p16 (1:50,000; Abcam), anti-cyclin D2 (1:500; Abcam), anti-CDK4 (1:1,000; Abcam) and rabbit β-actin (1:10,000; Bioworld Technology, Inc., Louis Park, MN, USA). After washing for 3x5 min with TBS-T, the membrane was incubated
with secondary anti-rabbit IgG for 1 h at room temperature away from light. The membrane was scanned for the relative value of protein expression in gray scale by Image-Pro plus software 6.0 (Media Cybernetics, Sliver Spring, MD, USA). The levels of the proteins were calculated as the ratio of the intensity of protein to that of β-actin. Experiments were carried out in triplicate wells each time and repeated three times.

**Cell proliferation assay.** The cells (2×10^3/well) were cultured in 96-well tissue culture plates until they reached 50% confluence, then transfected with a final concentration of 100 nM. After the cells had been transfected for 24 h, viability of the cells were determined at 24, 48, 72 and 96 h after irradiation using the Cell Titer 96 AQueous One Solution cell proliferation assay (MTS) that was purchased from the Dojindo Molecular Technologies (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Briefly, cells were collected and incubated in medium containing 5 mg/ml MTS reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at 37°C for 2 h. The absorbance at 492 nm was measured by an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate reader. This experiment was repeated three times.

**Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay.** Cell lysates were first pre-cleared with 25 ml of Protein A-agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The supernatants were immunoprecipitated with 2 mg of anti-R2A (1:100; Abcam), anti-H2AK119ub (1:100; Millipore), anti-RNF2 (1:100; Abcam) antibody for 2 h at 4°C, followed by incubation with protein A-agarose overnight at 4°C. Protein A-agarose-antigen antibody complexes were pelleted by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 60 sec at 4°C. The pellets were washed five times with 1 ml IPH buffer, for 20 min each time at 4°C. Bound proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE, followed by western blot analysis with antibodies against R2AX, H2AK119ub and RNF2.

**Analysis of cell cycle and apoptosis.** Both cell cycle distribution and spontaneous apoptosis events were detected by using a FACSCalibur II sorter and CellQuest FACS system (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). To analyze cell cycle distribution, cells were transfected by using shRNA for 24 h and stimulated with irradiation for 24 h before being harvested. Cells were fixed with 70% ethanol at 4°C overnight, washed twice with PBS, and resuspended in staining solution (50 µg/ml propidium iodide, 1 mg/ml RNase A, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. To detect the extent of apoptosis under stress conditions, cells were transfected using shRNA for 24 h before irradiated and stained with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated Annexin V and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) using the Annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Colony formation assay.** Cell survival curves were generated by a standard colony formation assay with minor modifications (14). Precocooned tumor cells were irradiated by graded single doses (0-8 Gy) in control, NC and RNF2 shRNA groups, seeded in Petri dishes, and then cultivated in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. The experiments were repeated at least twice. Two weeks later, the cells were fixed and stained with crystal violet (0.6%). Colonies of exceeding 50 cells were scored as survivors.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was conducted with the SPSS software package (version 13.0). All data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), and analyzed using ANOVA with the SPSS 13.0. For all tests, a P-value <0.05 and 0.01 was considered to be statistically significant and indicated by asterisks in the figures. All P-values given were the results of two-sided tests. Data were obtained from at least three independent experiments with a similar pattern.

**Results**

**RNF2 expression is increased in esophageal cancer cells and specimens.** The expression of RNF2 in esophageal cancer and normal esophageal tissues was analyzed by immunohistochemistry. In contrast to previous reports (15), RNF2 was mainly expressed in neoplastic epithelial cytoplasm, plasma-lema and occasionally in the cell nuclei (Fig. 1A-b and -c). In addition, no staining or only weak staining was seen in normal epithelium cells (Fig. 1A-a). For the 64 esophageal cancer patients, increased cytoplasm accumulation of RNF2 was found in 35 (54.69%) specimens. Furthermore, we detected RNF2 expression in five esophageal cancer cell lines (TE13, TE1, KYS30, EC9706 and ECA109) and the immortal esophageal epithelial cell line HEEC. The levels of RNF2 expression in the esophageal cell lines were higher than that for the HEEC cell line (Fig. 1A-f).

**RNF2 overexpression is associated with the progression and adverse prognosis of esophageal cancer.** To investigate the clinical role of RNF2 during esophageal cancer development, we analyzed the correlation between RNF2 expression and the clinicopathological features of patients with esophageal cancer. As shown in Table I, the cytoplasm accumulation of RNF2 in esophageal cancer was significantly associated with tumor size, clinical stage and lymph node metastases (P<0.05), indicating a correlation between RNF2 expression and esophageal cancer invasion, and metastasis. However, no evident correlation were observed between the expression of RNF2 and other clinical features such as patient age, gender and histological grade (P>0.05 for all comparisons). Furthermore, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis demonstrated that patients harboring RNF2 overexpression had a significantly shorter overall survival than patients with lower levels of RNF2 expression (P<0.001, log-rank test; Fig. 1A-e). Taken together, these observations indicate that RNF2 could contribute to the evaluation of the prognosis in patients with esophageal cancer.

**Specific knockdown of RNF2 expression by shRNA inhibits the growth and improves the radiosensitivity of esophageal cancer cells after IR in vitro and in vivo.** To address the functional importance of the RNF2 gene, we first employed RNF2-specific shRNA to deplete its expressions in ECA109 and TE13 cells, both of which were treated with negative control (NC) shRNA or shRNA targeting the RNF2 gene owing to their high levels of RNF2. After transfection for 24 h, the expression of RNF2 in cells was subsequently determined by real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase
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... chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and western blot analysis. The qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that the levels of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were unaffected by transfection of RNF2 shRNA or NC shRNA. As shown in Fig. 1B, qRT-PCR showed that the shRNA RNF2 effectively suppressed the expression of RNF2 in both ECA109 and TE13 cells (P<0.01). Furthermore, the empty vector had no effect on the expression of RNF2 in either cell line. Similar results were observed in the western blot analysis (Fig. 1C). Therefore, ECA109-RNF2 shRNA and TE13-RNF2 shRNA cells were further characterized.

To evaluate the effect of RNF2 depletion on cell proliferation, cell viability was first examined by using MTS assay. As shown in Fig. 2A, the proliferation rate between ECA109 and TE13 cells was not significantly different in three groups at each time point without irradiation. In contrast, RNF2 depl...
tion significantly inhibited the growth of ECA109 and TE13 cells compared with cells in control group and NC group after IR (P<0.05) (Fig. 2B). Moreover, the proliferation level in each group was obviously higher at 48, 72 and 96 h after IR than corresponding unirradiated groups. In addition, we also further detected the radiatiosensitivity in different groups after exposure to IR and found that there was no significant difference between control and NC groups. However, cells in the RNF2 shRNA group had higher sensitivity than the other groups (Fig. 2C). To confirm these findings in vivo, xenograft tumor growth assays were performed in nude mice. Compared with the control cells, injection of ECA109 and TE13 RNF2 shRNA cells led to markedly decreased tumor weight (P<0.05; Fig. 2D). Collectively, these data suggested that the knockdown of RNF2 after irradiation significantly inhibited cells proliferation and tumorigenicity and increased radiosensitivity.

RNF2 interacts with the ubiquitination and phosphorylation of H2AX in ECA109 and TE13 cells in a DNA damage-induced manner. In our experiment, ionizing radiation induced the expression of RNF2, H2AK119ub, r-H2AX in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, the expression of three kinds of proteins were consistent in ECA109 and TE13 cells (Fig. 3A and B). All were highest at 1 and 2 h, and their expression gradually reduced after this time. By 24 h, levels were restored to unirradiated levels. These data led us to believe that there was some relationship between RNF2 and H2AK119ub, r-H2AX. Therefore, the specificity of this interaction was

---

**Table I. Association between RNF2 expression and clinico-pathological variables of patients with esophageal cancer.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>RNF2 expression</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤60</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well or moderated</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-II</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. RNF2 depletion causes inhibition of growth and tumorigenesis of esophageal carcinoma cells. There were no obvious difference among control group, NC group and RNF2 shRNA group without irradiation both in ECA109 and TE13 cells (A), while downregulation of RNF2 expression by RNF2 shRNA significantly inhibited the growth of ECA109 and TE13 cells after irradiation (B). Besides, the proliferation levels in the three groups at 48, 72 and 96 h after IR were higher than before irradiation. Survival curves of treated with IR were drawed, respectively. GraphPad Prism 5.0 was used to fit cell survival curves, as well as the radiation biological parameters. Control and NC group cells had a broader shoulder compared to RNF2 shRNA group cells, indicating that they were more radioresistant than the latter (C). The nude mice were sacrificed, the tumors were dissected, and tumor weights were measured, the data are shown as the means ± standard error for each group (n=5) (D). *P<0.05 compared with control group or NC group. ▲P<0.05 compared with corresponding unirradiated group.
confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation of H2AK119ub, r-H2AX and RNF2. Notably, there was no significant interaction without irradiation (Fig. 3C), while their interaction was obviously enhanced in both ECA109 and TE13 cells upon IR (Fig. 3D), indicating that RNF2 may play an important role in DNA damage repair through inducing the ubiquitination or phosphorylation of H2AX.

Mechanisms of radiosensitization by silencing of RNF2.

In order to further explore the mechanisms by silencing of
RNF2 in promoting radiosensitization of esophageal cancer cells, flow cytometry was performed demonstrating that irradiation could obviously induce cell cycle arrest at G2/M phase after irradiation for 24 h in both ECA109 and TE13 cells in vitro (Fig. 4B). However, silencing RNF2 by shRNA could cause G1 arrest in cells and reduce compensatorily G2/M phase, which allowed much time to kill more tumor cells, and induced radiosensitivity. If no irradiation, there was no significant difference among RNF2 shRNA group, control group and NC group (Fig. 4A). Our results showed that RNF2 gene knockdown suppressed the entry of cells from G1 into S phase, inhibited cell growth, and therefore enhanced radiosensitivity. Furthermore, western blotting was performed to explore the cell cycle regulatory role of RNF2. Interestingly, the levels of these indexes were also detected but were not obviously altered before IR (Fig. 4C). However, after RNF2 knockdown, the expression of cyclin D2, cyclin dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) and H2AX was significantly decreased, while the level of p16 was obviously increased after IR (Fig. 4D). Collectively, these data demonstrated that RNF2 may regulate proteins associated with cell cycle through interaction with H2AX.
In addition, the apoptosis rate in RNF2 shRNA group was significantly higher than the other two groups in both ECA109 and TE13 cells before (Fig. 5A) and after IR (Fig. 5B; \( P < 0.05 \)). Moreover, a strong increase in apoptosis was detected in both cell lines after IR compared to the corresponding irradiated groups (\( P < 0.05 \)). Furthermore, the expression of several cell survival-related proteins was evaluated by western blot analysis (Fig. 6A). Following RNF2 silencing, the expression of Bcl-2 was decreased (Fig. 6B), while the expression of Bax was increased before and after IR (Fig. 6C), and the level of these indexes was higher after IR than before IR (\( P < 0.05 \)). Thus, RNF2 has an ability to regulate the cell cycle, and induce apoptosis thus promoting radiosensitivity after IR.

Discussion

Esophageal carcinoma is one of the most frequent malignant tumors, with high morbidity and mortality worldwide. For example, the incidence of esophageal carcinoma is as high as 26.3/100,000 individuals in America, which is higher than in Asia. Radiotherapy is one of the most important therapeutic strategies for esophageal carcinoma, however, the 5-year survival rate is only from 10 to 15%, accompanied high recurrence rate from ~60 to 80%. Although the local control rate is improved after extensive application of new techniques in radiotherapy, local failure is still the main cause of death. Moreover, the molecular mechanisms behind the development and progression of esophageal carcinoma after RT are uncertain.

RNF2, one of core components in the polycomb repressive complex 1, may play an important role in the immortalization of normal epithelial cells, early malignant transformation, the maintenance of the self-renewal of stem cells, and carcinogenesis (16-18). In addition to their role in development, RNF2 has been reported to be overexpressed in a variety of human cancers, such as various malignant solid tumors (19). In the present study, we provided additional clinicopathological evidence and a mechanistic basis for the role of RNF2 in human esophageal carcinoma progression. We found that RNF2 was overexpressed in both esophageal carcinoma tissues and cell lines compared with normal esophageal tissues and a normal esophageal epithelial cell line. Here, we further demonstrated that aberrant cytoplasm overexpression of RNF2 was positively correlated with tumor size and lymph node metastases, but negatively correlated with patient survival, underscoring the role of RNF2 in the progression of esophageal carcinoma. Indeed, this association between RNF2 upregulation and tumor size, pathological grade, and an adverse prognosis is not unprecedented, as similar findings have been reported in other tumor types (20). However, there is no statistically significant correlation between RNF2 overexpression and the age or gender of esophageal carcinoma patients. The reason may be due to the small number of cases examined in the present study, and therefore additional specimens would need to be analyzed to confirm our results.

To further confirm the significance of RNF2 overexpression in the progression of esophageal carcinoma, we designed and
constructed shRNA recombinant expression vector targeting RNF2 to downregulate its expression in both the protein and mRNA level in two esophageal carcinoma cell lines. We investigated the effect of RNF2 shRNA on the proliferation and radiosensitivity of esophageal carcinoma cells upon irradiation. RNF2 gene knockdown in ECA109 and TE13 cells significantly inhibited cell proliferation and improved radiosensitivity after the cells were treated with shRNA and irradiation at different times. Previous studies have reported that the downregulation of RNF2 by the adenovirus-mediated delivery of RNF2 shRNA reduced the proliferation, and invasion of cancer cells, and decreased the radioresistance (15). Our results were in accordance with previous studies. Notably, there was no obvious difference in cell proliferation of different groups without irradiation, manifesting that radiotherapy combined with shRNA can effectively inhibit the proliferation of tumor cells and enhance the radiosensitization.

It has been reported that RNF2 was associated with ubiquitination and phosphorylation of H2AX (8,21). Similar studies identified that RNF2 possesses the E3 ligase activity for H2AX monoubiquitination and interacts with H2AX in a DNA damage-induced manner (22,23). In accordance with previous studies, we found RNF2 regulated monoubiquitination and phosphorylation of H2AX in the DNA damage response induced by ionizing radiation in a dose-dependent and time-dependent manner. In addition, the interaction between RNF2 and H2AK119ub, r-H2AX was obviously enhanced in esophageal carcinoma after exposure to IR, while there was no significant interaction without irradiation, indicating a vital role of RNF2 in DNA damage response and its potential mechanism. However, we did not assess whether RNF2 is involved in ubiquitination or phosphorylation of H2AX. Thus, we will evaluate this aspect in order to better explore its related mechanism of RNF2 in DNA damage response.

Cellular responses to DNA damage include cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Previous studies have reported that downregulation of RNF2 caused G0/G1 arrest in Tera-1 cells (7). Our data demonstrated that inhibiting the expression of RNF2 by shRNA in ECA109 and TE13 cells significantly induced spontaneous cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 phase after IR. However, given no ionizing radiation, there was no statistically significant difference among the

Figure 6. Protein expression associated with apoptosis among different groups in ECA109 and TE13 cells in vitro. Expression of Bcl-2 and Bax after irradiation in each group was higher than before IR (A). In addition, the downregulation of Bcl-2 (B) and upregulation of Bax (C) in ECA109 and TE13 cells was significantly observed before and after exposure to irradiation in RNF2 shRNA group. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 compared with NC group. *P<0.05. **P<0.01 compared with corresponding unirradiated group.
control group, NC group and RNF2 shRNA group. We also detected the expression of several known G0/G1 cell cycle-related proteins in different groups before and after IR. As a result, obvious decreases in the levels of cyclin D2, CDK4 and marked increases of p16 were observed after RNF2-depletion and IR. However, their levels had no significant alteration before IR. P16 is a member of CDK inhibitors which mediated negative effects on cell cycle progression through the binding of various cyclin-CDK complexes and suppression of their viability (24). Prior studies demonstrated that R2HAX, phosphorylation of H2AX and a marker of DNA damage response, was associated with p16 and p53 (25,26). DNA damage can induce the expression of p53 and p16, enhancing cell cycle arrest at G1 phase (27). In the present study, RNF2 depletion downregulated the level of cyclin D2, CDK4 and upregulated the expression of p16, and then altered cell cycle distribution. Interestingly, knockdown of RNF2 also decreased the expression of H2AX. As described above, the expression of RNF2 was related to ubiquitination or phosphorylation of H2AX. Therefore, these results suggest that RNF2-mediated promotion of tumor progression is related to increased cell growth via interaction with H2AX to regulate the expression of some cyclins and p56, and further to regulate the cell cycle.

In the present study, our data showed that apoptosis of cells was higher in RNF2 shRNA group than control group and NC group before and after exposure to irradiation. Moreover, our results also detected the promotion effect of RNF2 shRNA on apoptosis in ECA109 and TE13 cells after treated with irradiation -thoracic cancer. Thorac Cancer 4: 30806-30815, 2013.


