Determination of the optimal time for tamoxifen treatment in combination with radiotherapy
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Abstract. Although radiotherapy and tamoxifen have been extensively used to treat estrogen receptor α (ERα)-positive breast cancers, it is still questionable when tamoxifen should be started to maximize clinical benefits in combination with radiotherapy. Generally, clinician’s opinion and experience are major determinants in scheduling concurrent or sequential tamoxifen and radiotherapy. Thus, we attempted to determine an optimal time to start tamoxifen treatment by analyzing tamoxifen responses at different times after irradiating MCF-7 cells to cumulative doses of 10 or 20-30 Gy. MCF-7 cells were irradiated with 5 Gy a week, twice (a cumulative dose of 10 Gy) followed by a period of recovery. MTT viability assay for tamoxifen was done with MCF-7 cells harvested immediately after each 5 Gy (MCF-7-5 Gy) or 10 Gy (MCF-7-10 Gy) irradiation or after subsequent culture of surviving MCF-7-10 Gy cells for 40 days (MCF-7-R1). To establish the radioresistant cells, the above cycles of irradiation were repeated for a cumulative dose of 20 Gy (MCF-7-R2) or 30 Gy (MCF-7-R3). In addition, cytotoxic effects of tamoxifen were also measured. Attenuated tamoxifen response was observed in MCF-7-5 Gy and 10 Gy cells, whereas the efficacy of tamoxifen was restored in MCF-7-R1 cells. Furthermore, these responses to tamoxifen correlated with ERα expression. However, the radioresistant MCF-7 cells (MCF-7-R2/R3) exhibited resistance to tamoxifen without change in ER expression, but the phosphorylation of AKT was increased. Taken together, our data suggest that sequential tamoxifen treatment following radiotherapy is more effective than concurrent treatment. Furthermore, the reduced efficacy of tamoxifen on radioresistant cells indicates that an additional targeted therapy, such as AKT inhibitor treatment, is required to improve tamoxifen response in radioresistant breast cancer.

Introduction

Surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, and molecular targeted therapy are extensively recommended as combinatorial therapies in breast cancer, depending on cancer stage and biomolecular subtype (1). Particularly, radiotherapy is the indispensable treatment modality for loco-regional control after breast conserving therapy and for eradicating cancer cells remaining after surgery (2-4). Besides radiotherapy, endocrine therapy such as aromatase inhibitor or tamoxifen, is another important treatment option since ~70% of breast cancer patients are positive for estrogen receptor α (ERα) (5). Generally, endocrine therapy is administered for 3-5 years when breast cancer is ERα-positive (6,7). However, despite the fact that combined radiation and endocrine therapy is commonly used nowadays (8-10), it has not been determined when tamoxifen should be started with respect to radiotherapy to maximize clinical benefits. In fact, clinician’s opinion and experience are the major determinants of whether concurrent or sequential tamoxifen and radiotherapy are adopted due to a lack of clear guidelines.

Some preclinical studies have shown that pretreatment of breast cancer cells with tamoxifen interferes with the effects of radiotherapy by arresting cells in the G0/G1 phase (11-13). Moreover, concern has been expressed about increased pulmonary and breast fibrosis associated with concurrent tamoxifen and radiotherapy (14,15). Thus, some clinicians may delay tamoxifen therapy until radiotherapy has been completed to avoid possible toxicities. However, others have suggested that pretreatment with tamoxifen enhances the effect of radiation and does not alter the radiosensitivity of breast cancer cells (16). In addition to preclinical studies, several randomized trials have addressed the relative effectiveness of sequential and concurrent tamoxifen and radiation therapy, but unfortunately, findings were contradictory and no firm conclusions
were drawn due, in part, to the small numbers of patients enrolled (9,17,18).

Since the optimal scheduling for tamoxifen and radiotherapy remain unclear, we attempted to identify an optimal time for commencing tamoxifen treatment by analyzing tamoxifen responses in MCF-7 cells at different times after irradiation. In addition, we assessed the effect of tamoxifen in radioresistant cells because tamoxifen has to be administered for several years after radiotherapy and tumors may recur during tamoxifen treatment due to the presence of radioresistant breast cancer cells.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. MCF-7 cells (a human breast cancer cell line) were purchased from the Korean Cell Line Bank (Seoul, Korea). Cells were cultured in DMEM (WelGENE, Daegu, Korea) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (WelGENE), and 10 µg/ml insulin (WelGENE).

Irradiation and establishment of radioresistant cell lines. MCF-7 cells (1x10⁶) were seeded in a 75-cm² culture flask, and irradiated using a 21 EX Linac (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with 6 MV X-rays at a rate of 3 Gy per minute. The field size was 25x25 cm and the beam was delivered postero-anteriorly. Cells were irradiated with 5 Gy and harvested (MCF-7-5 Gy) or maintained for a week, passaged at 80% confluence, and when in the growth phase irradiated with a second fraction of 5 Gy (MCF-7-10 Gy). MCF-7-5 Gy and MCF-7-10 Gy cells were harvested immediately after each 5 or 10 Gy irradiation for further experiments. In addition, MCF-7-10 Gy cells were maintained for 40 days to allow them time to recover (MCF-7-R1). The same cycles of irradiation were repeated for a cumulative dose of 20 Gy (MCF-7-R2) and 30 Gy (MCF-7-R3) over 5 months to establish radioresistant MCF-7 cells.

Colony formation assay. Radioresistance was measured using a clonogenic cell survival assay. MCF-7-R3 and MCF-7 control cells were seeded into 6-well plates at 300-1,200 cells/well and exposed to 2 or 4 Gy of radiation. All cells were incubated for 10 days at 37°C in 5% CO₂; medium was replaced every 3 days. Colonies were fixed with 4% formaldehyde and stained with 0.01% crystal violet. Positive colonies, defined as groups of >50 cells, were counted manually under a microscope (TS 100, Nikon, Japan). Plating efficiencies of MCF-7-R3 and control cells were determined, and survival fractions were calculated by counting colonies. The experiments were performed in triplicate, and results are presented as means ± SDs.

Cell viability assay. MCF-7 cells were maintained in phenol-red free DMEM (WelGENE) supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped FBS, 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (WelGENE), and 10 µg/ml insulin (WelGENE).

Western blot analysis. MCF-7 cells were lysed with RIPA buffer [150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 and 2 mM EDTA]. Phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktail (GenDEPOT, Barker, TX, USA) were added to RIPA buffer immediately before use. Total protein concentrations were measured using bicinchoninic acid reagent (Sigma). Proteins were separated in 8 or 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes at 100 V.
for 45 min, membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat skim milk containing TBS-Tween (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) for 1 h at room temperature. Blots were incubated with the following antibodies at 4°C overnight; ERα, phosphorylated extracellular signal regulated kinase 1/2 (p-ERK1/2), total-ERK1/2, phosphorylated protein kinase B (p-AKT), total AKT, and β-actin (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA). Blots were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibody (1:5,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) for 1 h at room temperature, and developed using Luminescent Image Analyzer LAS-4000 (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

RNA isolation and RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated using the easy-BLUE™ Total RNA Extraction kit (iNtRON Biotechnology, Inc., Sungnam, Korea) and cDNA synthesis and RT-PCR (reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction) were performed as previously described (19). The primer sequences used for the RT-PCR were as follows: ERα, forward, 5'-TCC TGATGATTGGTCTCGTCT-3'; reverse, 5'-ACATTTTTCCC TGGTTCCTGTC-3'. GAPDH forward, 5'-ATCCCATCACC ATCTTCCAG-3'; and reverse, 5'-TCTTAGACGCGCAGTCA GGT-3'. Densitometric analysis was performed using Scion Image Software (Scion Corp., Frederick, MD, USA).

Flow cytometry. MCF-7 cells were trypsinized and washed with 2% FBS in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), incubated with CD24-PE and CD44-FITC (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) for 30 min on ice, washed with 2% FBS in PBS, and resuspended in a final volume of 500 µl PBS buffer for analysis. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) was performed using a FACSCalibur II (BD Biosciences). Unstained and single color-labeled samples were used to calibrate the analyzer prior to each experiment.

Statistical analysis. All numerical data are expressed as mean values and standard deviations. The Student's t-test was used to compare mean values. P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant, and the analysis was performed using SPSS version 18 software.

Results

Attenuated tamoxifen response in concurrently irradiated MCF-7 cells. As schematically presented in Fig. 1A, MCF-7 cells were irradiated with 5 Gy (MCF-7-5 Gy), re-cultured for a week, and irradiated with a second fraction of 5 Gy (MCF-7-10 Gy). Interestingly, initial irradiation of cells with 5 Gy (MCF-7-5 Gy) exhibited mild effects on cell viability and a change in cell morphology. However, a second fraction of 5 Gy, resulting in a total dose of 10 Gy (MCF-7-10 Gy), induced formation of giant cells with aberrant nuclear morphology (Fig. 1B). The formation of giant cells is normally followed by mitotic catastrophe and cell death within a week. However, a few MCF-7-10 Gy cells survived and slowly recovered over 40-50 days (MCF-7-R1 cells). The overall morphology of MCF-7-R1 cells was similar to that of controls (Fig. 1B). Since mitotic catastrophe is the main form of cell death induced by radiation, we considered MCF-7-5 Gy and MCF-7-10 Gy best represented the clinical situation during radiotherapy, while MCF-7-R1 better represented breast cancer soon after a course of radiation therapy.

To evaluate the efficacy of concurrent and sequential tamoxifen, we tested tamoxifen responses in MCF-7-5 Gy and MCF-7-10 Gy (viewed as representative of concurrent treat-
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ment) or in MCF-7-R1 (sequential treatment) by measuring cell viabilities using the MTT assay. MTT assay was done with MCF-7 cells harvested immediately after each 5 Gy (MCF-7-5 Gy) or 10 Gy (MCF-7-10 Gy) irradiation or after subsequent culture of surviving MCF-7-10 Gy cells for 40 days (MCF-7-R1). Although no significant difference in survival response to tamoxifen was observed between MCF-7-5 Gy and control cells, MCF-7-10 Gy cells exhibited an attenuated response to tamoxifen; at a tamoxifen concentration of 20 µM they exhibited ~30% increase in cell viability versus control cells. However, the efficacy of tamoxifen was restored in MCF-7-R1 cells (Fig. 2A). These observations suggest that sequential tamoxifen treatment is more effective than concurrent treatment.

The correlation between the efficacy of tamoxifen and ERα expression. Since ERα is the major molecular target of tamoxifen, we assessed the level of ERα expression in MCF-7-5 Gy, MCF-7-10 Gy, and MCF-7-R1 cells to investigate whether ERα expression is correlated with the tamoxifen response. Western blot analysis revealed that ERα expression was gradually decreased in MCF-7-5 Gy and remarkably lower in MCF-7-10 Gy cells (Fig. 2B), which also showed the most attenuated response to tamoxifen (Fig. 2A). However, ERα expression and tamoxifen response (Fig. 2A) was recovered in MCF-7-R1 cells (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, RT-PCR analysis showed that the mRNA expression of ERα exhibited a similar pattern observed in western blot analysis (Fig. 2C). Taken together, these results show that response to tamoxifen was positively correlated with ERα expression.

Establishment of radioresistant MCF-7 cells. To establish radioresistant MCF-7 cells, MCF-7-R1 cells were further irradiated as described in Fig. 1A to cumulative doses of...
20 and 30 Gy for MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3, respectively (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, the more the process was repeated, the lesser the recovery period was. To confirm the radioresistance of MCF-7-R3, MCF-7 control and MCF-7-R3 cells were irradiated with 2-4 Gy and clonogenic survival assays were performed. As shown in Fig. 3B, MCF-7-R3 exhibited radioresistance; survival fractions at 2 Gy for control and MCF-7-R3 cells were 0.37 and 0.46, respectively.

Since cell morphology of MCF-7-R2 and R3 resembled that of cells with an epithelial-mesenchymal transition phenotype, exhibiting fibroblast and mesenchymal characteristics (Fig. 3A), we analyzed the expression of cell-surface proteins, CD44 and CD24, in MCF-7-R2 and R3 cells by flow cytometry. The majority of MCF-7 control cells were of the CD24+/CD44- phenotype and MCF-7-R1 cells also exhibited this phenotype. However, the expression of CD44 was increased in MCF-7-R2 and R3 cells and CD24 was barely expressed (Fig. 3C), which suggested that cell characteristics were completely changed in radioresistant cells.

Radioresistant MCF-7 cells were also tamoxifen resistant with no change in ERα expression. Generally, tamoxifen treatment is recommended for 3-5 years in ERα-positive breast cancer patients after radiotherapy (6,7), and thus, there is a risk of tumor recurrence during the long tamoxifen treatment period. This suggests that the efficacy of tamoxifen in radioresistant cells needs to be assessed to maximize the clinical benefits of tamoxifen in cases that recur after radiotherapy. To evaluate the efficacy of tamoxifen in radioresistant cells, MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3 cells were exposed to different concentrations...
of tamoxifen and cell viabilities were determined. As shown in Fig. 4A, MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3 cells were less sensitive to tamoxifen; they exhibited >30% increased viability than the control cells. Furthermore, the resistances of MCF-7-R2 and -R3 cells to tamoxifen was greater at the higher concentrations tested (Fig. 4A). Since attenuated tamoxifen response in concurrently irradiated MCF-7 cells was found to be positively correlated with ERα expression (Fig. 2), we measured the expression of ERα in MCF-7-R2 and -R3 cells. Interestingly, the expression of ERα was not altered in these MCF-7-R2 and -R3 cells (Fig. 4B), which implies that the ERα signaling pathway was not involved in the tamoxifen resistance exhibited by MCF-7-R2 and -R3 cells.

Enhanced AKT activation in radioresistant MCF-7 cells. To understand the mechanism underlying cellular resistance to tamoxifen, we investigated signaling pathways in MCF-7-R2 and -R3 cells. We first evaluated molecules associated with the non-genomic ERα signaling pathway including EGFR, HER2, mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular-signal-regulated kinases (MAPK/ERK), and AKT (20-23). No changes in phosphorylated HER2 and phosphorylated EGFR levels were observed in MCF-7-R2 and -R3 cells (data not shown). Phosphorylated ERK1/2 levels were depressed in MCF-7-R1 cells but recovered in MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3 cells (Fig. 4C), suggesting that phosphorylated ERK1/2 is associated with response to tamoxifen in concurrently irradiated MCF-7-R1 cells, but not in MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3 cells. On the other hand, phosphorylated AKT levels were enhanced in MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3 cells versus control cells (Fig. 4D), implying that constitutive AKT activation in radioresistant cells promotes resistance to tamoxifen.

Discussion

In this study, we tried to identify the optimal time to start tamoxifen treatment in ERα-positive breast cancer patients to maximize clinical benefits in combination with radiotherapy. Some previous studies have evaluated the relative effectiveness of sequential and concurrent tamoxifen treatment in this context, but the results obtained were inconsistent (11-13,16). Furthermore, the majority of preclinical studies did not consider the timing of tamoxifen administration relative to radiotherapy, and only analyzed the radiosensitivity of breast cancer after tamoxifen treatment. However, we considered the timing and sequencing of tamoxifen should be applied to reflect the clinical situation. Thus, in this study, we classified irradiated MCF-7 cells according to the course of clinical treatment by treating them with tamoxifen during, immediately and several months after radiotherapy.

In clinical practice, breast radiation is most commonly given 5 days a week for ~5 or 6 weeks. During the course of radiotherapy, DNA is damaged in tumor cells and this triggers mitotic catastrophe, which is considered to be the major mechanism of cell death induced by radiation in solid tumors (24,25). It is known that mitotic death is caused by aberrant mitosis and subsequent giant cell formation (26,27). In this study, we observed the formation of giant cells when MCF-7 cells were irradiated with a second dose of 5 Gy (MCF-7-10 Gy). However, after the formation of giant cells followed by mitotic catastrophe, a few cells survived and returned to the normal cell cycle (MCF-7-R1). Thus, we considered that MCF-7-5 Gy and MCF-7-10 Gy cells represented the clinical situation during radiotherapy, and MCF-7-R1 cells, which survived mitotic catastrophe, represented breast cancer cells soon after radiotherapy. When we evaluated the efficacy of tamoxifen at different times after irradiation, attenuated tamoxifen response was observed in MCF-7-10 Gy cells, but not in MCF-7-R1 cells, which suggests that tamoxifen is ineffective when aberrant mitosis had occurred by radiation. Furthermore, we found the expression of ERα was diminished when giant cells were formed, presuming that radiation-induced aberrant mitosis reduces ERα expression, resulting in the decreased response to tamoxifen. Taken together, our data suggested that sequential tamoxifen treatment following radiotherapy would be optimal instead of the concurrent treatment.

As mentioned above, patients generally receive radiotherapy for 5-6 weeks, whereas tamoxifen is recommended for 3-5 years in ERα-positive breast cancer patients after radiotherapy (6,7). To investigate the efficacy of tamoxifen on recurred tumors due to surviving radioresistant cells, we established the radioresistant MCF-7 cell lines, MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3. Interestingly, MCF-7-R3 cells exhibited resistance to tamoxifen without exhibiting aberrant mitosis or reduction in ERα expression. Although the expression of ERα was not altered in radioresistant MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3, they were distinguishable from parental MCF-7 cells or MCF-7-R1 by the upregulation of CD44 and downregulation of CD24. CD44 and CD24 are cell surface glycoproteins that participate in cell-matrix and cell-cell interactions (28,29). Furthermore, a subset of CD24⁺/CD44⁺ cells were found to be cancer stem cells in human breast cancer (30). We observed that normal control and MCF-7-R1 cells were of the CD24⁺/CD44⁺ subtype, whereas radioresistant MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3 cells exhibited the CD24⁻/CD44⁺ subtype even after 3 months, implying that the changes of cell characteristics may contribute to the resistance to both radiation and tamoxifen treatment.

Several studies have shown that PI3K/AKT, HER2, and MAPK/ERK signaling are associated with radioresistance (31-36). Ahmed et al reported that total ERK1/2 is slightly increased and phosphorylated ERK1/2 is decreased in radioresistant MCF-7 cells (31). Chang et al found that the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway is activated in radioresistant prostate cancer cells (36). In this study, we evaluated the expression of AKT, ERK1/2, HER2, and EGFR in radioresistant cells, and found that phosphorylated AKT was increased in MCF-7-R2 and MCF-7-R3 cells, but phosphorylated HER2 and EGFR were not (data not shown). Since activation of the PI3K-AKT signaling pathway is associated with the radioresistance of many cancers by increasing the rate of DNA repair (37), and AKT is related to the non-genomic ERα pathway (38), our data suggest that constitutive AKT activation may contribute to the resistance shown by MCF-7-R2 and -R3 cells to radiation and tamoxifen.

The main goal of this study was to propose an optimal schedule for tamoxifen and radiotherapy. Obviously, extensive clinical studies on a large number of patients are the best way to address this issue, but this clinical approach is demanding.
in terms of time and money. Although this study was conducted in vitro using breast cancer cells, our experimental scheme considered the timing and sequencing of tamoxifen administration so as to reflect the clinical situation. Based on the tamoxifen response and the status of ERα expression shown by irradiated and non-irradiated MCF-7 cells, our findings propose that tamoxifen treatment after radiotherapy is a better treatment option than concurrent treatment. However, the observed reduced efficacy of tamoxifen on radioresistant cells, which showed normal ERα expression, suggests that an additional targeted therapy, such as, AKT inhibitor therapy, is required to improve radioreistant breast cancer response to tamoxifen.
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