Abstract. Quercetin is a potent cancer therapeutic agent and dietary antioxidant present in fruit and vegetables. Quercetin prevents tumor proliferation by inducing cell cycle arrest and is a well known cancer therapeutic agent and autophagy mediator. Recent studies showed that drug delivery by nanoparticles have enhanced efficacy with reduced side effects. In this regard, gold-quercetin into poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles was examined. In this study, we explored the role and possible underlying mechanisms of quercetin nanoparticle in regulation of antitumor activity in liver cancer cells. Treatment with quercetin nanoparticle effectively inhibited the liver cancer cell proliferation, cell migration and colony formation, thus suppressing liver cancer progression. Quercetin nanoparticle also upregulated apoptosis markedly. Further study suggested that quercetin nanoparticle accelerated the cleavage of caspase-9, caspase-3, and induced the up-releasing of cytochrome c (Cyto-c), contributing to apoptosis in liver cancer cells. Quercetin nanoparticles also promoted telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) inhibition through reducing AP-2β expression and decreasing its binding to hTERT promoter. In addition, quercetin nanoparticle had an inhibitory role in cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) via suppressing the NF-κB nuclear translocation and its binding to COX-2 promoter. Quercetin nanoparticle also inactivated Akt and ERK1/2 signaling pathway. Taken together, our results provided new mechanistic basis for further investigation of quercetin nanoparticles to find potential therapeutic strategies and possible targets for liver cancer inhibition.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a highly malignant disease with extremely poor prognosis (1). Due to its difficult early diagnosis, high malignancy, and most importantly the ineffectiveness of treatments using radiotherapy and chemotherapy, HCC is the third leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide, with more than 700,000 deaths each year (2,3). Conventional surgical resection is still the major treatment strategy for HCC (4). However, the overall survival rate after hepatic resection remains low. Finding new therapeutic strategies and understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying liver tumor formation, cancer progression, recurrence and metastasis may contribute to discover more effective methods and liver cancer treatment targets.

Quercetin (3,3',4',5,7-pentahydroxy-flavone), a flavonoid found in a wide variety of plants and present in human diet (5). It is a naturally-occurring flavone that is found at high concentrations in different berries, onions, apples, and red wine (6,7). Quercetin exhibits beneficial effects on human health with its broad pharmacological properties, including anti-inflammation and anti-oxidation (8). Quercetin has selective anti-proliferative and antitumor effects via apoptotic mechanisms on different human cancer cell lines. Quercetin treatment resulted in cell cycle arrest during G0/G1 in leukemia, S phase in colorectal carcinoma and G2/M phases of the cell cycle in leukemia, breast carcinoma, as well as esophageal adenocarcinoma cells (9-11).

Currently, the application of polymeric drug delivery systems, including polymeric nanoparticles is regarded as one promising strategy for disease prevention or treatment (12-15). Nanoparticles have advantages in comparison to the traditional chemotherapeutic drugs. Nanoparticles may further enhance the tumor-targeted delivery through regulation of the nanoparticle surface with specific tumor or cancer cell targeting ligands, including biotin, folic acid, and antibodies (16). In addition, nanoparticles could transport...
numerous types of agents via different antitumor mechanisms, such as a chemotherapeutic drug combined with a chemosen-
sitizer, displaying synergistic anticancer effects (17). Further,
nanoparticles help to accumulate higher tumor encapsulated
drugs through the promoted effect of permeability and retention (18). However, the precise molecular mechanism of quercetin nanoparticle action against liver cancer has not been elucidated, which prompted us to evaluate the effects of quercetin nanoparticles on liver cancer through apoptosis induction and proliferation inhibition in liver cancer cells.

Here, quercetin nanoparticles were used to achieve the
promoted effects on liver cancer suppression through multiple
cell signaling pathways. The role of quercetin nanoparticles
in cell viability, cell morphology, apoptosis, colony forma-
tion, as well as cell migration was investigated in our study
to reveal the underlying molecular mechanisms. It was the
first time that quercetin nanoparticles inhibited liver cancer progression via regulation of signaling pathways, including caspase/Cyto-c, NF-κB/COX-2, AP-2/β/hTERT, as well as Akt/ERK1/2, which possible provide therapeutic strategies for liver cancer suppression.

Materials and methods

Quercetin nanoparticle preparation. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade quercetin was purchased (>98%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) in an anhydrous powdered form. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were synthe-
sized by reducing 1 mM gold chloride with a freshly prepared
quercetin solution in absolute alcohol. The pale-yellow solu-
tion turned to deep red as the quercetin nanoparticles were
formed. PLGA (50 mg) was added to an aqueous dispersion
of AuNPs. Next, we added this mixture drop-wise to 20 ml
of an aqueous solution with a stabilizer (1% polyoxyethylene-
polyoxypropylene; F68). The mixture was stirred at 400 rpm
and 4°C until the organic solvent had evaporated completely.
The redundant stabilizer was removed by repeated washing
and centrifugation (25,000 x g and 4°C for 30 min), and the
pellet was then resuspended in Milli-Q water. The quercetin
colloidal nanoparticles were stored at 4°C for further study. Fluorescent dye was conjugated to the gold surface by adding FITC dye
to the PLGA and quercetin nanoparticle mixture, which were
performed in the dark. In addition, scanning transmission electron microscopy (SEM) (FEI Quanta650, USA) was used to determine the size of naked quercetin nanoparticles. Further, dynamic light scattering (DLS) with LB-550 DLS
particle size analyzer (Horiba Scientific, Edison, NJ, USA)
was used to examine the average size of quercetin nanopar-
ticles. We analyzed the data in the automatic mode. Size
was presented as the mean value of 20 runs, with triplicate
measurements for each run. We measured the zeta potential of the quercetin nanoparticles in the same instrument using
the same procedure.

Cell culture. The human liver cancer cell lines of MHCC97H, Hep3B, HCCLM3 and Bel7402 were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, VA, USA). Cells were cultured as monolayers in RPMI-1640 culture media supple-
mented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 1x10⁵
U/l streptomycin sulfate, pH 7.2 (Gibco Corp., Gaithersburg,
MD, USA) with a concentration of 1x10⁵/ml at 37°C, 5% CO₂
at 37°C.

Cell viability assay. Liver cancer cell viability was assessed
using MTT assay (Roche Diagnosis, IN, USA). In brief, liver
cancer cell lines were planted at 4x10⁵ cells/well in 96-well
plates. Cells were then cultured overnight, and next the
cells were changed into fresh medium with various doses of
quercetin nanoparticles dissolved in DMSO with final concen-
tration of 0.1%. After incubation for 48 h, the cell growth was
measured. The cell viability was assessed as the percent cell
viability compared to the vehicle-treated control cells without
quercetin nanoparticles administration, which were deter-
mined arbitrarily as 100% viability.

Colony formation assays. One hundred liver cancer cells per
well in 60-mm plates were cultured in 10% FBS DMEM. Cells were treated with quercetin nanoparticles of the
indicated concentrations for 24 h. After another 7 days of
incubation, the cell colonies were washed twice with PBS,
fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and then stained
by Giemsa for 30 min. Each clone with >50 cells were
evaluated. Clone forming efficiency for cells was calculated
based on: Plate colony formation inhibitory ratio = (number
of colonies treated with quercetin nanoparticles / number of
cells inoculated) x 100%.

Wound-healing assay. Wound-healing assays were carried
out using migration culture dish inserts. Liver cancer cells
were seeded in the chambers of the culture dish insert and
transfected. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the insert
was removed and fresh culture medium was added to start the
migration process. Cells were treated with indicated doses of
quercetin nanoparticles in full medium and kept in a CO₂
incubator. After 48 h, medium was replaced with PBS, and images
were acquired using a Zeiss Axiovert 24 light microscope and
an Axiocam MRc camera.

Flow cytometry assays. Flow cytometric assay was used to
clarify cell apoptosis. The cells were collected with trypsin-
ization and then washed twice with PBS, and fixed in cold
80% ethanol, and finally stored at 4°C overnight. The cells
were washed with PBS twice and RNase A (10 mg/ml) was
administered for analysis. Propidium iodide was then added
to tubes at a concentration of 0.05 mg/ml and then incubated
for 20 min at 4°C in the dark. FITC-labeled Annexin V/PI
staining was applied according to the manufacturer's instruc-
tions (Keygen, Nanjing, China). In brief, 1x10⁵ cells in each
well were suspended with buffer containing FITC-conjugated
Annexin V/PI. Samples were then analyzed via flow cytometry.

Western blot analysis. For western blot analysis, sample
tissues and cells were homogenized into 10% (wt/vol)
hypotonic buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA,
5 µg/ml leupeptin, 1 mM Pefabloc SC, 50 µg/ml aprotonin,
5 µg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor, 4 mM benzamidine) to
yield a homogenate. Additionally, the final supernatants
were obtained by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 20 min.
Protein concentration was determined by BCA protein assay
kit (Thermo, USA) with bovine serum albumin as a standard.
Table I. Primary antibodies for western blot analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibodies</th>
<th>Dilution ratio</th>
<th>Corporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-P27</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-c-Myc</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-cyclin-D1</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-MMP7</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-CDK1</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-β-catenin</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-caspase-9</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-caspase-3</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Cyto-c</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotecnoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-AP-2β</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-hTERT</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-COX2</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-IKKα</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-p-IKKα</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-IκBα</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-p-IκBα</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-NF-κB</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-p-NF-κB</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-p50</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-p-Akt</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Raf</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-ERK1/2</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-p-ERK1/2</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotecnoy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total protein extract will be used for western blot analysis. Equal amounts of total protein of tissues were subjected to 10 or 12% SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting using the primary polyclonal antibodies (Table I). Immunoreactive bands were visualized by ECL Immunoblot Detection system (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc., Rockford, IL, USA) with 5 ng cDNA and 10 pM related primer. The cycling condition was conducted at 94°C for 60 sec; followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 30 sec, 58°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec; followed by 95°C for 10 sec, 65°C for 45 sec, and 40°C for 60 sec. The data were normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH and U6 small nuclear RNA expression and calculated as 2^−∆∆Ct expression. The primers used are shown in Table II.

**Immunofluorescence analysis.** The cells grown on chamber slides were washed in PBS and fixed for 15 min at room temperature with 4% paraformaldehyde, followed by 20 and 30% sucrose dehydration for 24 h each. The samples were incubated with primary antibodies (Cyto-c, p50 and NF-κB, Cell Signaling Technology, USA) at 4°C overnight after deparaffinized and rehydrated. Fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies were treated for 1 h at 25°C thermostat. The Alexa Fluor 488 labeled anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, CA, USA) and DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) were used in this part. Samples were then subjected to immunofluorescence staining via epifluorescence microscopy (Sunny Co.). Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope (Leica, Richmond Hill, ON, Canada) was used to obtain images and carried on blinded with respect to treatment groups. ImageJ ‘measure’ tool analyzed fluorescence intensity through examining mean intensity of each selected areas (a minimum of 10 rectangles).

**Cell transfection.** The transfection of targeted siRNAs or expression vectors were conducted by Lipofectamine 2000 reagent based on the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen).

**DNA-protein binding by streptavidin-agarose pull-down assay.** Streptavidin-agarose pull-down assay was used to determine the binding of AP-2β, p50 to hTERT or COX-2 core promoter probes. A biotin-labeled double-stranded probe corresponding to hTERT and COX-2 promoter sequence was synthesized. The binding assay was applied by mixing 4 µg biotinylated DNA probe, 400 µg nuclear extract proteins and 40 µl 4% streptavidin-conjugated agarose beads at room temperature for an hour in a rotating shaker. Beads were then pelleted via centrifugation in order to pull down the DNA-protein complex. After washing, proteins in complex were standardized to housekeeping genes (GAPDH) and expressed as a fold of control.

**Table II. Primer sequences used for real-time PCR (5’→3’).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP-2β</td>
<td>GGAAGCGATCTGAGAAGTGCA</td>
<td>CACTGGGGAAAGCTGTAATCAGGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hTERT</td>
<td>CCAATCCGCGCATGATCC</td>
<td>GAGAACGATCGCCATCACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>GACTCATGACAGTCCATGACCC</td>
<td>AGCGGAATGAGGTTCGTTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Real-time quantitative PCR.** Total RNA from the cultured cancer cells was obtained by the miRNA Isolation kit (Sigma, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then the cDNA was synthesized from RNA. Real-time PCR was conducted with the Applied Biosystems 7500 Sequence Detection system by the use of iQ™ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) with 5 ng cDNA and 10 pM related primer. The cycling condition was conducted at 94°C for 60 sec; followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 30 sec, 58°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec; followed by 95°C for 10 sec, 65°C for 45 sec, and 40°C for 60 sec. The data were normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH and U6 small nuclear RNA expression and calculated as 2^−∆∆Ct expression. The primers used are shown in Table II.
DNA-bound AP-2β and p50 protein was dissociated and analyzed by western blotting. Non-immune rabbit IgG (1 µg/ml) was used as negative controls.

Establishment of xenograft tumor models. The mouse experiments were conducted in the Animal Laboratory Center. MHCC97H cells (1x10⁷ cells) treated with or without quercetin nanoparticles were suspended in 100-µl serum-free medium and injected subcutaneously into the left flank of 4- to 6-week old male BALB/c nu/nu nude mice. After two weeks, when the tumor diameters reached 3x4 mm, the tumor cell-inoculated mice were divided into four treatment groups randomly, the control (Con) treated with PBS; the quercetin nanoparticle groups treated with 30, 40 and 50 mg/kg, respectively, by intraperitoneal injection every day. Tumor size was measured with digital caliper and calculated as V = LS/2 (L is the longest diameter and S is the shortest diameter). Tumor volume and animal weight were measured twice every seven days, and at ~5 weeks after treatment, mice were sacrificed. Body weights were also recorded. Tumors were excised, weighted, fixed in 10% neutral formalin, and embedded in paraffin for histological analysis.

Immunohistochemical assays. The xenograft tumors were performed for hematoxylin and eosin staining. In brief, fresh tissues were fixed in paraffin, and for immunohistochemistry,
the fresh tumor tissues were fixed in formalin for 48 h. Then the tissue block was put in paraffin and next cut into the desired thickness with a microtome, and was then fixed into a slide. After washing, the sections were prepared for blocking and incubating with antibodies, including AP-2, TUNEL and COX2, which were diluted 1:100 in 5% horse serum with PBS at 4˚C overnight. Sections were then incubated with diluted streptavidin-peroxidase HRP conjugates at room temperature by a staining kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sections were then stained with hematoxylin for 3 min and mounted and analyzed under a phase-contrast microscope.

Statistical analysis. Data were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad PRISM (version 6.0; Graph Pad Software) by ANOVA with Dunnet’s least significant difference post hoc tests. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Quercetin nanoparticle is toxic to liver cancer cells. The quercetin nanoparticle surface morphology was explored by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. 1A). The images displayed spherically-shaped quercetin nanoparticles with a smooth surface and without pinholes or cracks. The dynamic light scattering (DLS) data indicated that the mean quercetin nanoparticle diameter was 106.7 nm (Fig. 1B). Additionally, the zeta potential was -19.1 mV (Fig. 1C). In this experiment, four liver cancer cell lines, including MHCC97H, Hep3B, HCCLM3 and Bel7402, were chosen to explore whether quercetin nanoparticle was effective on liver cancer inhibition. Hence, a 24-h dose-dependent (0-60 µg/ml) study with MHCC97H, Hep3B, HCCLM3 and Bel7402 cells was conducted. As shown in Fig. 1D, the liver cancer cell viability decreased. Additionally, quercetin nanoparticle was much more toxic to MHCC97H cells than the other cells. Therefore, the following experiments were performed with MHCC97H cells.

Quercetin nanoparticles promote the liver cancer cell growth inhibition and colony formation suppression. (A) The determination of MHCC97H-induced colony formation. (B) The changes in MHCC97H cell morphology and spreading after treatment of different concentrations of quercetin nanoparticles for 24 h were studied, and MHCC97H cells were photographed via a microscope equipped with digital camera. (C) A scratch assay was conducted to analyze the cell migration. (D) Protein levels of P-27, c-Myc, cyclin-D1, CDK1, MMP7 and β-catenin were measured after quercetin nanoparticle treatment via western blotting. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM (n=6-10). *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001 versus the control (Con) group.
cell morphology and spreading. Further, wound-healing assay was used to determine the role of quercetin nanoparticle in MHCC97H cell migration. Consistently, after making a scratch the gap and wounding space between MHCC97H cell layers was occupied partially due to the migrating cells after 48 h in the control group. However, the empty space was not occupied by the MHCC97H cells after quercetin nanoparticle treatment, suggesting that the liver cancer cell migration was inhibited markedly after quercetin nanoparticle administration, which was dose-dependent (Fig. 2C).

Quercetin nanoparticles accelerated liver cancer cell apoptosis through enhancing the activity of Cyto-c/caspase signaling. The effect of quercetin nanoparticles on apoptosis in MHCC97H cells was studied. Treatment with quercetin nanoparticle at different doses of 30, 40, and 50 µg/ml was performed on the liver cancer cells (Fig. 3A). Compared to the control group, treatment with quercetin nanoparticle significantly upregulated the number of apoptotic cells (Fig. 2D).
of caspase-dependent apoptosis pathway. Thus, we measured the expression of pro-apoptotic proteins, including caspase-9, caspase-3, and Cyto-c in MHCC97H cells via western blot analysis. Treatment with quercetin nanoparticle promoted the upregulation of the cleaved caspase-9, caspase-3 and cytoplasm Cyto-c effectively compared with the control group (Fig. 3B), indicating the effect of quercetin nanoparticle on apoptosis induction in liver cancer cells. Immunofluorescence imaging (IFI) was also performed to observe the changes of subcellular localization of Cyto-c in MHCC97H cells to explore whether quercetin nanoparticle could stimulate Cyto-c release. Treatment with quercetin nanoparticle at different concentrations induced Cyto-c release from the inter-mitochondrial space into the cytoplasm (Fig. 3C). The results above demonstrated that quercetin nanoparticle might enhance the caspase activation via Cyto-c-dependent apoptosis in liver cancer cells. Q

Quercetin nanoparticles induce liver cancer inhibition via suppression of AP-2β/hTERT signaling pathway. hTERT is a mark of tumorigenesis, which is highly modulated by transcriptional factor AP-2β (19). In order to determine if quercetin nanoparticle influenced the AP-2β/hTERT signaling pathway in liver cancer cells, MHCC97H cells were administrated with quercetin nanoparticles, and the protein and mRNA expression of AP-2β and hTERT were examined via western blot and RT-PCR assays. Treatment with quercetin nanoparticles reduced the hTERT and AP-2β protein and mRNA levels compared to the control group (Fig. 4A and B). hTERT expression is closely related to the AP-2β binding activity on hTERT promoter. Next, streptavidin-agarose pull-down assay was performed to test the effect of quercetin nanoparticle on AP-2β binding activity in MHCC97H cells. Treatment with quercetin nanoparticle had a potential role in suppressing AP-2β protein levels (Fig. 4A), thus suppressing the binding of AP-2β to hTERT promoter (Fig. 4C). Further, we also explored the role of quercetin nanoparticle in regulating hTERT promoter activity. The results suggested that treatment with quercetin nanoparticle effectively inhibited hTERT promoter activity (Fig. 4D). Finally, in order to further clarify that the AP-2β signaling is associated with the
promotion of cell growth inhibition, MHCC97H cells were transfected with 100 nM AP-2β siRNA or AP-2β-expressing vector and next cotreated with quercetin nanoparticle (50 µg/ml). As shown in Fig. 4E, compared with the non-specific AP-2β siRNA control (si-NC), AP-2β knockdown (si-AP-2β) slightly reduced the cell growth regulated by quercetin nanoparticles. Of note, AP-2β overexpression through AP-2β transfection significantly upregulated the liver cancer cell growth compared to transfection with control vector (CV) (Fig. 4E). The data above illustrated that the promotion of growth suppression by quercetin nanoparticle was regulated, at least partly, through AP-2β/ hTERT signaling pathway inhibition in MHCC97H cells.

Quercetin nanoparticles ameliorate liver cancer progression via p65/COX-2 signaling inhibition. COX-2 signaling is related to cancer cell growth, invasion, migration and proliferation (20, 21). In this regard, we determined the role of quercetin nanoparticle in regulating COX-2 protein levels in MHCC97H cells via western blotting. Treatment with COX2-selective inhibitor (COX2-Inh) (20 µM) for 24 h, and then treated with quercetin nanoparticles (50 µg/ml). After 48 h, the liver cancer cell viability was conducted through MTT analysis. (E) MHCC97H cells grown on chamber slides were treated with quercetin nanoparticles. After 48 h, the subcellular translocalization of p50 and NF-κB was determined via a confocal microscope. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM (n=6-10). *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001 versus the control (Con) group.
did not affect cell viability suppression significantly regulated by COX-2 inhibitor, suggesting that COX-2 signaling pathway was linked with quercetin nanoparticle-regulated liver cancer inhibition. In addition, COX-2 expression was related to the p50 binding activity on the COX-2 promoter structure. Subsequently, we attempted to explore whether quercetin nanoparticle suppressed the binding of p50 to COX-2 promoter in MHCC97H cells. Streptavidin-agarose pull-down assay results indicated that treatment with quercetin nanoparticle significantly suppressed p50 binding on COX-2 promoter in comparison with the control group (Fig. 5C). The p50 protein levels were not significantly affected by quercetin nanoparticle (Fig. 5A and B).

NF-κB translocation in cell nuclei and cytoplasm plays an important role in modulating COX-2 expression (22). NF-κB activation was regulated highly by its upstream signals, including IKKα and IκBα (23). Fig. 5A shows that quercetin nanoparticle treatment could reduce the phosphorylated IKKα and IκBα, leading to the alteration of NF-κB activation. Immunofluorescence assay was performed to explore the role of quercetin nanoparticle in p50 and NF-κB translocation through a confocal microscope. Treatment with different concentrations of quercetin nanoparticles caused translocation of NF-κB and p50 from the cell nuclei into cytoplasm (Fig. 5E). The results illustrated that the enhanced suppression of liver cancer cell growth by quercetin nanoparticle might be also regulated, at least partly, through the p50/NF-κB/COX-2 pathway in liver cancer cells.

Quercetin nanoparticles suppress liver cancer cell growth through Akt/ERK1/2 signaling inactivation. PI3K/Akt and Raf/ ERK1/2 signaling pathways play essential roles in cancer progression and are involved in the cancer-related gene regulation, including hTERT and COX-2 (24). To determine whether PI3K/Akt and Raf/ERK1/2 signaling pathways were related to quercetin nanoparticle-regulated liver cancer cell growth suppression, we then explored the effect of quercetin nanoparticle on Akt and ERK activity in MHCC97H cells through western blotting. Quercetin nanoparticle treatment downregulated the phosphorylated Akt and ERK1/2 (Fig. 6A and B). Additionally, the total Akt and ERK1/2 protein levels were not significantly affected by quercetin nanoparticle.

In order to further confirm that quercetin nanoparticle could regulate Akt and ERK pathways to suppress MHCC97H cell growth, the effect of Akt or ERK1/2-selective inhibitor on quercetin nanoparticle-modulated suppression of cell viability in MHCC97H cells was calculated. Treatment with Akt inhibitor (Akt-Inh) and ERK1/2 inhibitor (ERK1/2-Inh) downregulated cell viability effectively (Fig. 6C and D). The
The data above revealed that Akt/ERK1/2 signaling pathways were important targets for quercetin nanoparticles in suppressing MHCC97H cell growth.

**Quercetin nanoparticles inhibit liver cancer growth and progression in xenograft tumor model in vivo.** To confirm the role of quercetin nanoparticle in liver cancer growth inhibition, we explored the effects of quercetin nanoparticle on tumorigenicity using an MHCC97H xenograft mouse model in vivo. After administration with quercetin nanoparticles for 35 days, the tumor volumes (Fig. 7A and B) and tumor weights (Fig. 7C) were suppressed significantly by treatment with quercetin nanoparticles. The quercetin nanoparticle treatment did not influence the body weight significantly of the mice (Fig. 7D). These results above supported that quercetin nanoparticle could suppress the xenografted human liver cancer cell growth and proliferation without remarkable adverse effects.

In addition, the IHC staining further illustrated that the tumors in the quercetin nanoparticle-treated groups expressed much lower AP-2β and COX2 levels in comparison to the control group (Fig. 8A and B). In contrast, TUNEL levels were upregulated significantly after quercetin nanoparticle administration, indicating apoptosis was induced by quercetin nanoparticle (Fig. 8A and B). The molecular mechanism by which quercetin nanoparticles inhibited liver cancer progression was explored. As shown in Fig. 8C and D, the Cyto-c/caspase, P50/NF-κB, AP-2β/Htels and Akt/ERK1/2 signaling pathways were inhibited by quercetin nanoparticle administration. As shown in Fig. 8C, the cleaved caspase-9, cleaved caspase-3 and cytoplasm Cyto-c were downregulated significantly after quercetin nanoparticle treatment. Further, the phosphorylated IKKα, IκBα and NF-κB were reduced in the quercetin nanoparticle-treated groups. In addition, P50 was not altered significantly by quercetin nanoparticle treatment, which was consistent with previous results in vitro (Fig. 8D). Also, AP-2β and Htels were decreased after quercetin nanoparticle administration (Fig. 8E). Finally, the reduced p-Akt, Raf and p-ERK1/2 further clarified that quercetin nanoparticle had an inhibitory role in liver cancer progression in vivo (Fig. 8F). Our data above indicated that quercetin nanoparticle could suppress liver cancer development via inhibition of Cyto-c/caspase, P50/NF-κB, AP-2β/Htels and Akt/ERK1/2 signaling pathways.

**Discussion**

Development of effective, novel and safe drugs with lesser side effects and less toxicity is necessary for cancer therapeutic. Phytochemicals are used extensively for their properties in cancer therapeutic (25). Quercetin is a compound investigated for its antiproliferative and anticancerous properties (26,27). The natural flavonoid is considered to be an antioxidant and has inhibitory role in several pathologies. Recently, lipid-based nanocarriers and liposomes have displayed efficacy in
drug and gene therapy (14,28,29). The successful and effective application of liposome nanocarriers has been catalyzed through targeted delivery and subsequent preferential intracellular uptake, enhancing permeability and retention effect with improved selectivity, efficacy, and overall safety (30). Here, quercetin nanoparticles were used to achieve the promoting effects on liver cancer suppression through multiple cell signaling pathways. The role of quercetin nanoparticles in cell viability, cell morphology, apoptosis, colony formation, as well as cell migration was investigated in our study to reveal the underlying molecular mechanisms. This is the first report that quercetin nanoparticles suppressed liver cancer progression and development via modification of different signaling pathways, including cyclin-D/p27, caspase/Cyto-c, NF-κB/COX-2, AP-2β/hTERT, as well as Akt/ERK1/2, providing possible therapeutic strategies for liver cancer suppression.

We found that quercetin nanoparticles indeed had a potential role in liver cancer cell growth suppression and apoptosis induction. All the results here might serve as a basis for providing the possible treatment of natural anticancer compounds in developing new therapy for liver cancer in future. Dysregulation of cell cycle is a key feature of tumor cells and hence targeting the cell cycle is an important approach in cancer therapy (31,32). Cell cycle machinery is controlled by cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK), cyclins and CDK inhibitory proteins. CDK inhibitory protein, p27, plays an essential role in signaling molecule through regulating the cell cycle progression by interacting with CDK/cyclin complexes directly (33). The expression of p21 has been investigated in the development of chemotherapeutic drugs, disrupting tumorigenesis via suppressing cell cycle in cancer cells (34). Also, an increased expression of MMPs has been shown to be associated with an invasive phenotype of cancer cells. It is also of paramount importance to note that expressions of MMP-7 are associated with cancer development and progression (35). The inhibition of MMP-7 expression suppresses the tumor invasion and metastatic potential of cancer (36). These results indicated quercetin nanoparticles upregulated p27 in liver cancer cells. Also we found that in the cancer cells treated with quercetin nanoparticles, c-Myc, cyclin-D1, CDK1, MMP7 and β-catenin were inhibited significantly in a dose-dependent manner, contributing to apoptosis in liver cancer cells. Caspase cascade

Figure 8. Quercetin nanoparticles inhibit liver cancer development via apoptosis induction and proliferation inhibition in vivo. (A) Histopathology of xenograft tumors. The tumor sections were under IHC staining using antibody against AP-2β, TUNEL and COX2. (B) The percentage of AP-2β, TUNEL and COX2 positive cells was calculated. Western blot assays were used to evaluate protein levels of cleaved caspase-9, cleaved caspase-3 and Cyto-c (C), p-IKKα, p-IκBα, p-NF-κB and P50 (D), AP-2β and hTERT (E), and (F) p-Akt, Raf and p-ERK1/2. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM (n=6-10). *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001 versus the control (Con) group.
activation forms the important basis for apoptosis. Cyto-c releasing from inter-membrane space of mitochondria into the cytoplasm is known as the precondition of caspase-dependent apoptosis pathway. In our study, the results suggested that quercetin nanoparticles promoted activity of caspases markedly and enhanced the release of Cyto-c from mitochondrial to cytoplasm.

Human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) is the main subunit of the core enzyme telomerase, which consists of three subunits (19,37). Telomeres are essential for chromosomal stability and integrity, protecting the ends of chromosomes from degradation and preventing chromosomal end fusions and recombination (38). A loss of telomere function is a major mechanism for the generation of chromosomal abnormalities. It is known to express highly in tumors, such as lung cancer. Additionally, hTERT inhibition was found to be effective in proliferation prevention and apoptosis induction (39). hTERT activity is regulated by activating enhancer-binding protein-2β (AP-2β), which could bind hTERT in the corresponding sites, exerting biological effects via a number of cancer-related genes activation and signaling pathway activity, such as PI3K/Akt, and Raf/ERK1/2 (40). However, no research is available on AP-2β/hTERT signaling pathway by quercetin nanoparticles in human liver cancer cells. In this study, we found that quercetin nanoparticles decreased AP-2β and hTERT expression. Consequently, the liver cancer cell proliferation was inhibited, demonstrating that quercetin nanoparticles had a potential role in suppressing liver cancer via AP-2β and hTERT modulation.

Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2) is an important effector molecule of inflammation and was reported to be involved in tumor angiogenesis (20). COX2 expression is closely correlated with the malignant transformation and that COX2 may be used as a molecular marker for the early malignant transformation of cancer progression via inducing cell proliferation, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis (41). This study also demonstrated that quercetin nanoparticles displayed an important role in inhibition of COX-2 expression in liver cancer cells. COX2 expressed levels are transcriptionally regulated by multiple transactivators binding and by coactivators on the corresponding sites, which are located in the promoter. NF-κB binding site is known as an essential site for COX2 promoter activation (42,43). Due to the enhancement of quercetin nanoparticles on COX2 suppression, we then explored the NF-κB alteration in the liver cancer cells. In this study, the promoted suppression of COX2 expression by quercetin nanoparticles is at least partly regulated by P50 stimulation of the translocation from the nuclear to cytoplasm of liver cancer cells. In addition, our data revealed the increased inhibitory role of quercetin nanoparticles in liver cancer cells by impeding the P50 binding to COX2 promoter. Also, Akt/ERK1/2 signaling pathway, performs a crucial role in cell apoptosis, proliferation and autology (44). In our study, we found that quercetin nanoparticles downregulated the phosphorylated Akt and ERK1/2 activity, which was important for liver cancer inhibition.

In conclusion, quercetin nanoparticles enhanced the inhibitory role in liver cancer progression through multiple routes of action, including caspase/Cyto-c activation, AP-2β/hTERT inhibition, NF-κB/COX-2 and Akt/ERK1/2 suppression.

Therefore, our results suggest that quercetin nanoparticle is a promising candidate in liver cancer therapeutics in future. However, the molecular mechanism of the anti-proliferative and apoptotic effects of quercetin nanoparticles remains to be determined.
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