Novel focal adhesion kinase 1 inhibitor sensitizes lung cancer cells to radiation in a p53-independent manner
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Abstract. Focal adhesion kinase 1 (FAK1) is known to promote tumor progression and metastasis by controlling cell movement, invasion, survival and the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in the tumor microenvironment. As recent reports imply that FAK1 is highly associated with tumor cell development and malignancy, the inhibition of FAK1 activity could be an effective therapeutic approach for inhibiting the growth and metastasis of tumor cells. In this study, we aimed to determine the effect of a novel synthetic FAK1 inhibitor 2-[2-(2-methoxy-4-morpholin-4-yl-phenylamino)-5-trifluoromethyl-pyrimidin-4-ylamino]-N-methyl-benzamide, (MPAP) on lung cancer cells. MPAP suppressed cancer cell proliferation and the phosphorylation of FAK1. Combined treatment with MPAP and irradiation (IR) showed enhanced suppression of cancer cell proliferation in wild-type p53 cells and more intense suppression in p53-null cells. In addition, the combination treatment effectively induced G1 cell cycle arrest in a p53-independent manner. In an in vivo tumor xenograft mouse model, treatment with both MPAP and IR reduced tumor growth more than the treatment with IR or MPAP alone. Overall, these data demonstrate that the radiosensitizing effect of MPAP is mediated by the regulation of retinoblastoma protein (RB) phosphorylation in a p53-independent manner.

Introduction

Focal adhesion kinase 1 (FAK1) is a non-receptor tyrosine kinase encoded by the protein tyrosine kinase 2 (PTK2) gene and a multifunctional regulator of cell signaling for diverse cellular processes, including cell migration, growth factor signaling, cell cycle progression and cell survival (1,2). FAK1 and proline-rich tyrosine kinase 2 (PYK2, a.k.a. FAK2) are of the FAK family, and intensive studies of FAK1 have demonstrated its role in tumor malignancy. FAK1 was initially identified as a protein tyrosine kinase that is phosphorylated in response to local cell attachment, and it was recently found to be functionally phosphorylated at Tyr residues 397, 576 and 577 via interactions with integrins or other growth factor receptors (1-3). In particular, the phosphorylation of Tyr397 is known to lead to the binding and activation of SH2 domain proteins, such as Src family proteins, phosphoinositide 3-kinase, phospholipase C-γ, Grb7 and SH3 domain proteins, to transduce the signals for cell adhesion/migration, actin polymerization, and cell survival (4,5).

In the tumor microenvironment, FAK1 is known to promote the tumor progression and metastasis by controlling the cell movement, invasion, survival and gene expression for the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (6). In fact, data from the Cancer Genome Atlas database show that the expression of PTK2 mRNA is elevated in approximately 37% of serious ovarian tumors and 26% of invasive breast cancers, and these increased levels are correlated with poor overall survival (7-9). In addition, FAK1 is reported to be overexpressed in many malignant tumors, including non-small cell lung cancers, exerting an oncogenic effect (10,11). These reports imply that FAK1 is highly associated with tumor cell development and malignancy and that the inhibition of FAK1 activity may be an effective therapeutic approach for inhibiting the growth and metastasis of tumor cells.

Currently, small molecule FAK1 inhibitors such as TAE226, PF-562, 271 and GSK-2256098 are being developed as promising chemotherapeutic agents to prevent tumor growth, metastasis, vascular permeability and angiogenesis in mouse models (12-21). Among these inhibitors, TAE226
appears to inhibit FAK1 (IC_{50}, 5.5 nM) as well as other protein tyrosine kinases such as PYK2, insulin receptor, insulin-like growth factor-I receptor and c-Met (21). TAE226 suppresses cell proliferation and invasion and promotes cell death in glioma and ovarian tumor models (17,22,23). In combination with docetaxel, a microtubule stabilizer, TAE226 significantly decreases angiogenesis and invasion in ovarian cancer (17,22,23). In our previous study, we synthesized a new small molecule inhibitor of FAK1, 2-[2-(2-methoxy-4-morpholin-4-yl-phenylamino)-5-trifluoromethyl-pyrimidin-4-ylaminol]-N-methyl-benzamide (hereafter abbreviated as MPAP), which blocks the phosphorylation of Tyr397 by modifying a functional group on the bis-anilino pyrimidine moiety of TAE226, increasing hydrophobic interactions with the side-chain of the gatekeeper residue Met499. This inhibitor exhibits potent kinase inhibition of FAK1, with an IC_{50} value of 4.8 nM, which is lower than that of TAE226 (24). Therefore, in this study, we further investigated the antitumor activity of the novel FAK1 inhibitor, MPAP, on lung cancers as well as determined its effect when combined with radiation, which is the standard treatment for patients with lung cancer.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell lines and cell culture.** Human lung carcinoma cell lines (A549 and H1299) and human normal lung fibroblast cell lines (IMR90 and WI38) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA). All cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies) and maintained at 37˚C in a humidified 5% CO_{2} atmosphere.

**Irradiation (IR).** Cells were uniformly irradiated at room temperature with various doses of a ^{137}Cs γ-source (Gammacell 3000 ELan; MDS Nordion, Ottawa, ON, Canada) at a dose rate of 3.25 Gy/min. Cells of the control group were simultaneously exposed to sham IR. Tumor xenografts were irradiated with a ^{60}Co γ-source using the Theratron 780 (MDS Nordion) radiotherapy unit when the tumor volume reached ~100-200 mm^3. During IR, mice were covered with a 0.5-cm carbon fiber sheet and exposed to a single dose of 5 Gy at a dose rate of 3.25 Gy/min.

**MTT assay.** Cells (1x10^6) were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated for 24 h after various treatments. MPAP was purchased from 4Chem Laboratory Co., Ltd. (Suwon, Korea). Then, 5 mg/ml of MTT was added to the cell culture medium, and cells were further incubated for 2-4 h. After the supernatant was removed, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to the cells and mixed by shaking for 15 min. Cell viability was determined by measuring the absorbance at 570 nm using a microplate reader.

**Colony formation assay.** Cells (500/well) were seeded in a 6-well plate and cultured under various conditions for 12 days. Cells were fixed and stained with 1% methylene blue in absolute methanol solution for 10 min. Colony density was quantified by densitometry.

**Cell cycle analysis.** Cells were harvested and then fixed in 75% ethanol for 1 h. Cells were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in PBS buffer containing 100 mg/ml RNase A (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) and 50 mg/ml propidium iodide (PI; Life Technologies), followed by incubation at 37˚C for 30 min. The percentage of cells in each cell cycle stage (G1/G0, S or G2/M) was analyzed with a FACSCalibur cell analyzer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and FlowJo software version 10.1r5.

**Western blot analysis.** Cells were harvested and washed with ice-cold PBS. Whole cell lysates were prepared using 2X SDS/Sample buffer. Samples were then separated by 8-12% SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and probed with the indicated antibodies, followed by ECL detection. The antibodies used in the present study were FAK1 (D2R2E; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), pFAK1 (T397) (D20B1; Cell Signaling Technology), pFAK1 (T576/577) (Cell Signaling Technology), pFAK1 (T925) (Cell Signaling Technology), pSrc (T416) (Cell Signaling Technology), RB (4H1; Cell Signaling Technology), phospho-ERK (S807/811) (D20B12; Cell Signaling Technology), p33 (DO-1, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), p21 (12D1; Cell Signaling Technology), cyclin D1 (92G2; Cell Signaling Technology), CDK4 (K9G3E; Cell Signaling Technology) and β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich).

**Xenograft tumor model.** Mice were maintained in an animal facility, and all animal experiments were performed according to the Korean National Guidelines of Laboratory Animal Experiments; protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Korea Institute of Radiological and Medical Sciences. BALB/c nude mice (CAnN.Cg-Foxn1nu/CrljOri, 6 weeks old, female) were purchased from Orient Bio Inc. (Gyunggi-do, Korea). A549 cells (1x10^6) were injected subcutaneously into BALB/c nude mice. When the tumor volume reached an average of 100-200 mm^3, MPAP was intraperitoneally introduced once at 10 mg/kg with or without IR at a dose of 5 Gy. Tumor size was measured periodically 2-3 times per week. Tumor volume was calculated according to following formula: Tumor volume (mm^3) = (width)^2 x length/2.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical significance was determined by the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Non-parametric analyses using the Tukey’s multiple comparison test were used when appropriate. A P<0.05 was considered significant. Data are presented as means ± SD (standard deviation) of three independent experiments.

**Results**

A new FAK1 inhibitor, MPAP, decreases the viability of lung cancer cells. MPAP was synthesized by modifying the functional group of TAE226 on the bis-anilino pyrimidine moiety with CF3, as described in a previous report (24) (Fig. 1A). First, we investigated whether MPAP reduces the activation state of the FAK1 protein and suppresses the growth of lung cancer cells. Treatment with MPAP sharply decreased the viability of A549 and H1299 lung cancer cells, with above 10-
to 1000-fold higher sensitive than the viability of normal lung fibroblast cells (Fig. 1B). The IC\textsubscript{50} value of MPAP on A549 and H1299 cancer cell viability is 2.626 and 3.871 µM, respectively. We then investigated the treatment time and dose of MPAP required to inhibit FAK efficiently for further experiments. As shown in Fig. 1C, 1 µM MPAP markedly inhibited the phosphorylation of FAK1 at tyrosine residues 397, 576/577 and 925, and this lasted for 48 h; in contrast, expression levels of FAK1 were not altered. In addition, FAK1 phosphorylation was also clearly inhibited by MPAP even at low concentrations (0.2 and 0.5 µM) that did not inhibit cell viability (Fig. 1D). Therefore, we applied the dose 0.2 µM in the subsequent experiment to examine the combinatorial effect of MPAP with IR.

**MPAP enhances radiation-induced clonogenic inhibition in lung cancer cells.** To determine the radiosensitizing potential of MPAP in lung cancer cells, a colony formation assay was performed with 0.2 µM MPAP in the presence or absence of a 4-Gy dose of IR. In this experiment, we used two different human lung cancer cell lines, A549 (wild-type p53) and H1299 (p53-null). In A549 cells, MPAP or IR alone markedly decreased colony formation, while complete inhibition was observed with combined MPAP and IR treatment, indicating that MPAP has a radiosensitizing effect (Fig. 2A and B, left panel). Similarly, MPAP or IR alone significantly decreased colony formation in H1299 cells, but the extent of inhibition was lower than that in A549 cells. Notably, MPAP combined with IR also completely inhibited colony formation in H1299 cells (Fig. 2A and B, right panel), suggesting that the combined effect of IR and MPAP was higher than that noted in the case of MPAP alone. These data suggest that MPAP has a radiosensitizing effect that is exhibited in a p53-independent manner.

**Combined MPAP and IR treatment induces G1 arrest.** To further investigate how MPAP and IR suppress tumor cell growth, we performed cell cycle analysis to assess cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Apoptosis was not observed in any of the three treatments (MPAP, IR or combination) in either A549 or H1299 cells; this is because we used low doses of MPAP and IR to determine the combinatorial effect, avoiding direct toxicity. In A549 cells, MPAP treatment slightly increased the percentages of cells in the S and G2 phases, but IR alone did not affect the cell cycle distribution (Fig. 3A). The combination treatment of MPAP and IR significantly decreased the percentage of S cells and arrested cells in the G1 and G2/M phases (Fig. 3A). Unlike in A549 cells, MPAP treatment clearly increased the G1 cell population in H1299 cells, while the combination treatment of MPAP and IR resulted in an even
stronger increase in the G1 cell population (Fig. 3B). These data suggest that combined treatment with MPAP and IR significantly induces G1 cell cycle arrest via a p53-independent pathway.

Decreased cyclin D1/CDK4/pRB induces p53-independent G1 arrest by MPAP and IR. To determine which cell cycle regulators are involved in MPAP/IR-mediated cell cycle perturbation, we performed western blot analysis using antibodies for G1 cell cycle-related proteins. Consistent with the results of Fig. 1C and D, MPAP successfully inhibited the phosphorylation of FAK1 and decreased the levels of CDK4 and cyclin D1, key regulators of the G1-to-S phase progression of the cell cycle. The expression levels of cyclin D1 and CDK4 were markedly increased by IR treatment of A549 cells with wild-type p53 but were diminished by combined treatment with MPAP and IR. In addition, the expression of a major G1 checkpoint protein, RB, was also decreased by IR and decreased to an even greater extent by combined treatment with MPAP and IR in both cell lines. Levels of phosphorylation of RB at serine residues 807 and 811 exhibited the same pattern as RB expression (Fig. 4).

Considering that the p53/p21 pathway is a strong regulator of G1 cell cycle arrest and an upstream regulator in the cyclin D1/CDK4/RB signaling axis, levels of p53 and p21 proteins were determined. The expression levels of p53 and p21 were increased by IR in A549 cells. Notably, p21 expression was markedly increased by the combination of MPAP and IR in H1299 p53-null cells. These data suggest that MPAP/IR-mediated G1 cell cycle arrest may be attributed to downregulation of the cyclin D1/CDK4/pRB pathway rather than the p53/p21 pathway.

Figure 2. MPAP enhances radiation-induced growth inhibition of A549 and H1299 cells. A549 and H1299 cells were treated with 0.2 µM of MPAP for 3 h and exposed to 4 Gy of γ-radiation, followed by incubation for 10 days. (A) A colony formation assay was then performed. (B) The density in each well (in A) was quantified, and the rate of colony formation under control conditions (untreated/0 Gy) was set at 100%.

Figure 3. MPAP and IR induce G1 cell cycle arrest in a p53-dependent manner. (A) A549 or (B) H1299 cells were treated with 0.2 µM of MPAP for 3 h and exposed to 4 Gy of γ-radiation, followed by incubation for 24 h and cell cycle analysis. Bottom panel shows percentages of cells in each cell cycle. Data are presented as means ± SD; n=3. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 indicate statistically significant differences between experimental groups.
MPAP confers radiosensitizing effect on xenograft tumor growth. To assess the antitumor effect of MPAP with or without radiation in vivo, we established xenografts of A549 cells in nude mice. As shown in Fig. 5, treatment with MPAP slightly reduced the tumor size, but this was not statistically significant.

However, 5 Gy of IR resulted in significant tumor reduction. Combined treatment suppressed tumor growth better than the IR alone. These data suggest that MPAP efficiently contributes to radiation-mediated tumor growth reduction.

Discussion

Generally, radiation therapy is regarded as the most effective therapeutic approach for cancer treatment and it exhibits some benefits when compared with chemotherapy (25). However, radioresistance and recurrence are major limitations in the long-term survival of patients undergoing radiation therapy (26). In addition, radiotherapy can cause various side-effects, such as fatigue, nausea, vomiting, appetite loss, emotional changes, hair loss, and in particular, tissue fibrosis. Thus, a novel therapeutic approach that reduces these side-effects would improve radiotherapy. As a possible approach, we propose a combination treatment of a reduced dose of ionizing radiation along with a radiosensitizer, such as MPAP. As we mentioned earlier, several compounds that inhibit FAK activation have been developed by pharmaceutical companies. The mother compound of MPAP, TAE226 has been previously reported to possess cytotoxic activity against cancer cells. However, TAE226 exhibited radiosensitizing effects on only two out of seven glioblastoma cell lines at 10 µM, and exhibited no such effects on lung, pancreatic, or colorectal cancer cells (27,28). Therefore, the advantages of MPAP are its lower IC₅₀ value against FAK than that of TAE226, as well as its radiosensitizing effect on lung cancer cells, which is not exhibited by TAE226.
In this study, we showed that MPAP could inhibit cancer cell proliferation and the phosphorylation of FAK1 at Tyr397, Tyr576/577 and Tyr925 (Fig. 1). Moreover, the combination treatment of MPAP and IR suppressed cancer cell proliferation in wild-type p53 cells, and this effect was even higher in a p53-null background (Fig. 2). Several studies have demonstrated that FAK1 inhibits the transcriptional activity of p53 through protein-protein interactions, and that p53 also binds to the FAK1 promoter, inhibiting its activity. This feedback mechanism is well-correlated with the overexpression or upregulation of FAK1 in p53-mutated cancers (29). Furthermore, mutation or deletion of the p53 gene is the most frequent genetic change in human cancer. Therefore, combination therapy with both a FAK1 inhibitor and radiation could represent a significant advance in therapeutic strategy.

Radiation can induce apoptotic cell death or cell cycle arrest to suppress tumor cell survival. Our data showed that 4 Gy of radiation decreased long-term clonogenic survival but did not alter cell cycle progression according to short-term analysis.

While the induction of cell cycle arrest by IR was not specifically observed in this study, this could have simply been undetected, owing to the fact that we did not perform a time-kinetic analysis of cell cycle perturbations or investigate the synchronization of cells. The function of MPAP persisted on cell cycle distributions differed in the two cell lines, leading to S and G2/M arrest in A549 cells and G1 arrest in H1299 cells. Although FAK inhibition has been reported to reduce the amount of cyclin D1 and arrest cells in the G1/S phase (30), cell cycle accumulation in the G1, S or G2 phases is dependent on cell type, radiation dose, harvest time after IR, and the method of FAK inhibition, such as gene deletion, ATP-binding site blocker or multi-kinase inhibitor. This is supported by the finding that hepatocellular carcinoma cells transduced with FAK shRNA exhibit G2/M arrest, whereas an inhibitor of Tyr397 phosphorylation of FAK (PND-1186) induces G1 arrest under the same conditions, suggesting that the signaling pathways triggered by FAK kinase activity could be distinct from the scaffolding function of FAK (31). In addition, TAE226 induces G2 arrest in glioma cells and increases apoptosis (23). However, MPAP significantly enhanced G1 cell cycle arrest when used in combination with IR in A549 cells, and did so more significantly in H1299 cells (Fig. 3). G1/S cell cycle progression is controlled by the phosphorylation of RB, and the cyclin D1/CDK4 complex is responsible for this regulation (32). Several studies have demonstrated that integrin-mediated cell adhesion controls cell cycle progression by regulating the expression levels and activities of cyclins, CDKs and CDK inhibitors (33,34). The activation of FAK1 by integrins accelerates the G1 to S-phase cell cycle progression primarily by increasing cyclin D1 expression directly at the transcriptional level in a p21-independent manner (30). Consistent with previous reports, the most distinctive changes were observed in RB and pRB expression by MPAP/IR treatment in both cell lines. In addition, the fact that the decrease in cyclin D1 and CDK4 expression mediated by MPAP/IR was clearly shown in p53-null-type H1299 cells, compared with the mild inhibition in p53 wild-type A549 cells (Fig. 4). These results suggest that MPAP/IR-mediated G1 arrest is independent of p53 status and the alteration of the cyclin D1/CDK4/pRB axis could be involved in MPAP-mediated radiosensitization. Under genotoxic conditions such as IR, diverse DNA damage lesions trigger the activation of multiple intracellular signaling pathways, resulting in cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, or apoptosis. Numerous studies have demonstrated that p53/p21 are involved in G1 as well as G2/M arrest after irradiation, thereby sensitizing tumor cells to radiation (35-37). As expected, IR markedly increased levels of the p53 and p21 proteins in p53 wild-type cells, but the induction of cyclin D1/cdk4 and reduction of pRB were concurrently observed. These data suggest that diverse signaling pathways are involved in the regulation of cell cycle progression. In p53-null H1299 cells, p21 expression was markedly increased by the combination treatment of MPAP and IR, and these increased levels of p21 might participate in the G1 cell cycle arrest. The function of p21 can confer sensitivity to IR, as permanent, replicative death can result from prolonged G1 arrest (38). Even though p53 is not the only transcription factor to regulate p21 expression, it remains unknown how p21 protein levels are elevated under p53-null conditions, and this is worth investigating in the future.

Finally, the radiosensitizing effect of MPAP was determined in tumor-transplanted mice. Owing to the powerful antitumor effect of MPAP itself at doses above 0.5 mg/kg, similar to that of IR, we did not observe a synergistic effect of the combination treatment of MPAP and IR (data not shown). When a low dose of MPAP (10 μg/kg) was administered as a single dose with IR, the tumor burden was more efficiently suppressed than by either MPAP or IR alone (Fig. 5). Overall, these results demonstrate that the combination treatment of MPAP and IR can efficiently suppress lung tumor growth by the induction of G1 arrest via the regulation of RB phosphorylation in a p53-independent manner (Fig. 6). Considering that the detailed mechanism of the radiosensitizing effects of MPAP remains to be clarified, our results provide insights for the development of an effective multi-targeted approach to the treatment of malignant tumors that exhibit a p53-null background.
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