Simvastatin inhibits the expression of stemness-related genes and the metastatic invasion of human cancer cells via destruction of the cytoskeleton
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Abstract. Statins are a class of drugs that inhibit the rate-limiting steps in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway. They act by inhibiting 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA (HMG-CoA) reductase, which catalyzes the conversion of HMG-CoA to mevalonate. Blocking of mevalonate synthesis leads to inhibition of the farnesylation and geranylgeranylation of several functional proteins, such as RhoA and other small guanosine triphosphate-binding proteins, that are important in maintaining the undifferentiated status of the cells. In the present study, we hypothesized that simvastatin, likely through the inhibition of farnesylation and geranylgeranylation of Rac1, Cd42 and RhoA, induces a destruction/restructuration of the cytoskeleton that decreases mechanical strain transfer to the nuclei, inducing the loss of transmission of regulatory signals from the cytoskeleton to the nucleoskeleton. Although this remains at present a hypothesis and is not easy to define if the de-structuration of the cytoskeleton is a secondary effect of simvastatin treatment or the inhibition of post-translational protein modification have a precise role in the structuration of actin cytoskeleton, we speculate that these signal variations could inhibit the expression of certain stemness genes, which could therefore be considered nucleoskeleton-associated and mechanically regulated genes. On the other hand, the restructuration of the cytoskeleton inhibits the formation of lamellipodia and filopodia, which likely decreases the capability of cancer cells to invade the extracellular matrix, thereby modulating the equilibrium between proliferation, differentiation and metastatic invasion in human cancer cells. On the basis of our results we think that simvastatin, alone or in combination with conventional drugs, may have a possible role in cancer therapy.

Introduction

Statins, also known as 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors, are a class of drugs that inhibit the rate-limiting steps in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway (1,2). Any compound leading to the depletion of cholesterol affects various cellular events, and also impairs homeostasis and cholesterol-independent pleiotropic mechanisms, which are likely a consequence of blocking intracellular signaling (3).

Statins, as described in the schematic diagram of the biochemical pathway in Fig. 1, act by inhibiting HMG-CoA reductase and therefore the conversion of HMG-CoA to mevalonate (4). Blocking mevalonate synthesis leads to the inhibition of farnesylation and geranylgeranylation of several functional proteins, such as RhoA, a small guanosine triphosphate (GTP)-binding protein. In particular, simvastatin selectively blocks RhoA geranylgeranylation and its translocation to the cell membrane, where it interacts with downstream effectors to control cell cycle progression (5). Rho activation by geranylgeranylation leads to a reduction in the inhibitory phosphorylation of YAP/TAZ, and thus sustains YAP/TAZ nuclear accumulation (6), thereby serving a fundamental role in the control of tissue proliferation and organ growth, as well as tumor onset and progression.

Considering the role of Rho, any inhibition of its synthesis or modification may induce a reduction in proliferation and thereby suppress tumor onset. Furthermore, tumors contain a subset of cancer stem cells that drive metastatic spread and Rho function may be associated with cancer stem cells. In these cells, genes that confer the characteristics of multipotency to the cells, associated with hyperproliferation and blocking of...
differentiation, are overexpressed or re-expressed. Although several genes are considered to contribute to maintaining hyperproliferative status, we have focused on three representative stemness-related genes, Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog (7-9), with the aim of investigating whether simvastatin is able to modify, directly or indirectly, the expression equilibrium of these genes or influence the translation process of the respective proteins.

Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog are transcription factors that regulate the pluripotency of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) and regulate mesendoderm and ectoderm differentiation (10-15). It has been clearly demonstrated that, in embryonic stem cells (ESCs), Sox2 interacts with Oct4 to form a regulatory dimer complex (16-21), indicating that Sox2 is positively auto-regulated by the Sox2-Oct4 complex. In human and mouse ESCs, Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog form transcriptional regulatory circuitry to activate the expression of pluripotency-related genes and repress the expression of differentiation-related genes, and are implicated in tumorigenesis in various organs (22-39). Recently, it has been shown that the inhibition of Sox2 can induce the repression of YAP, TAZ and TEAD functions, exerting an oncosuppressive effect (40-45).

In tumorigenesis, the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is an important developmental process in which immortal epithelial cells acquire mesenchymal features (46-49), along with the ability to spread through the extracellular matrix and surrounding tissues. EMT can be induced by several signal pathways, and principally by Wnt/β-catenin (50-53). Sox2 and β-catenin have direct functions in tumor metastasis, and the overexpression of Sox2 and β-catenin can stimulate EMT. Therefore, inhibition of β-catenin expression may have a role in inhibiting the EMT process and consequently repressing metastatic tumor spread.

Migratory cancer cells remodel their cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesions, which requires remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton (54,55). Reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton is one of the essential mechanisms involved in the regulation of cell migration. Many factors promote actin reorganization and cell motility, including small GTPases, such as RhoA, Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK) and Cdc42. These proteins cycle between active and inactive states by binding to GTP or GDP, respectively. With regard to the possible action mechanism, simvastatin blocks the geranylgeranylation of RhoA, thereby blocking the translocation of RhoA from the cytoplasm to the membrane, which is where it binds a set of effector molecules that are important for maintaining the undifferentiated status of the cell; thus, we can also speculate that the inhibition of RhoA function by simvastatin may induce differentiation-related processes. From another point of view, the inhibition of RhoA geranylation may account for the inhibition of ROCK2 activity, which has an important role in mediating structural changes in the actin cytoskeleton.

Another interesting aspect is the strain transfer from the cytoskeleton to the nucleus. Evidence indicates that force can be transmitted from the cytoskeleton to the nucleus, and that these mechanical stimuli, which act on specific mechanosensory complexes, are able to influence certain gene expression pathways. It has been shown that the YAP/TAZ and ERK1/2 pathways can be influenced by dynamic tensile loading, with consequent transcriptional regulation of specific genes involved in the proliferation/differentiation equilibrium. The inhibition of ROCK, which directly regulates actin polymerization and de-polymerization, decreases the nuclear strain transfer and leads to loss of the cytoskeletal-to-nucleoskeletal connectivity required for the transmission of regulatory signals. On the basis of these studies, inhibition of ROCK may induce the downregulation of stemness-related genes, which may be considered cytoskeleton- and nucleoskeleton-associated genes.

It has also been shown that RhoA controls the formation of stress fibers, while Cdc42 and Rac proteins influence the production of filopodia and lamellipodia (56). Various mechanisms or factors that influence the function of Rho appear to have fundamental roles in the regulation of cell motility and spread into the surrounding tissues; in this manner, RhoA has a pivotal role in the modulation of metastatic spread.

Simvastatin-mediated blocking of the prenylation and geranylgeranylation of Rac1, Cdc42 and RhoA inhibits the three principal pathways of actin polymerization and filopodia, lamellipodia and invadopodia formation; in this manner, simvastatin may decrease the capability of cancer cells to invade the extracellular matrix, and thus may have a potential role in the control of tumor progression.

In the present study, we demonstrated that simvastatin can inhibit normal actin polymerization (which is fundamental for cytoskeletal formation and maintenance of normal cytoarchitecture), thereby inducing the loss of actin cytoskeleton integrity and the relative inhibition of invadopodia formation, which can decrease cell motility and spreading in the extracellular matrix or other surrounding tissues; by these mechanisms, simvastatin may contribute to the reduction of metastatic spread. Furthermore, in NTERA-2 teratocarcinoma cells, HepG2 hepatoblastoma cells and MCF7 breast cancer cells, we demonstrated that simvastatin induces a strong downregulation of the three principal stemness genes, Oct4, Sox2,
and Nanog, and inhibits the expression of β-catenin, which has a pivotal role in EMT.

Collectively, these data demonstrate that simvastatin, through inhibition of the mevalonate pathway and the associated inhibition of the farnesylatation and geranylgeranylation processes, induces a destructuration of the cytoskeleton, and that it is the destabilized cytoskeleton that results in the inhibition of stemness gene expression and lamellipodia and filopodia formation. In this manner, simvastatin can modulate the equilibrium between proliferation, differentiation and metastatic spreading of cancer cells. On the basis of our experimental results, we believe that simvastatin, used at an appropriate dosage and in combination with conventional chemotherapy, may have a potential utility in the therapy or prevention of various cancer types.

Materials and methods

**Cell culture.** The NTERA-2 pluripotent human embryonic carcinoma cells, HepG2 human hepatoblastoma cells and MCF7 human breast cancer cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 10 μg/ml streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), in a 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C.

**Simvastatin and cytochalasin treatment.** Simvastatin (carboxylate form; Calbiochem-Merck Co., Darmstadt, Germany) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to produce a 20-mM stock solution and stored at -20˚C. In all experiments, plated cells were treated with 20 μM simvastatin for 24 h in normal cell culture conditions. Cytochalasin D (C2618; Sigma-Aldrich, Hamburg, Germany) was prepared as 10-mM stock solution in DMSO and used at concentration of 5 μM in cell cultures for 6 h. The control cells were treated with DMSO only.

**RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis.** Total RNA was extracted from cells untreated or treated with simvastatin by scraping in TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA was purified according to the manufacturer’s protocol and the purified RNA integrity was verified by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel in 1X TBE. cDNA synthesis was carried out with an EasyScript™ cDNA Synthesis kit (Applied Biological Materials Inc., Richmond, BC, Canada) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, starting from 1 μg of total RNA. cDNA synthesis was verified by amplification of a housekeeping gene, such as β-actin or GAPDH (primers listed below), using the SapphireAmp® Fast PCR Master Mix, in an Applied Biosystems GeneAmp PCR System 9700 thermocycler.

**Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR).** The expression levels of stemness genes were quantified by qPCR. For each sample, a mixture consisting of 10 μl of SYBR-Green (Thermo Scientific Maxima SYBR-Green/Fluorescein qPCR Master Mix 2X), 1 μl reverse and forward primers (10 μM), and 5 μl cDNA was prepared in a 20 μl of total volume, according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, and analyzed in a Bio-Rad Thermal Cycler. The Ct values of the genes of interest were normalized with respect to the β-actin or GAPDH housekeeping gene. The PCR conditions was: 10 min 95°C for Taq activation, followed by 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95°C for denaturation and 60 sec at 60°C for annealing/extension.

The sequences of primers used were as follows: h-β-actin forward, GTGGCCGAGGACTTTGATGTG and h-β-actin reverse, GGACTGGGCCATTCTCTTAA; GAPDH forward, AGTCAGCGC CATCTTCTTTT and GAPDH reverse, GTGAGCGCCAGTGGA CTC TGCA GAGA AACT and h-OCT4 reverse, GGAGGTGGGA GGAAGGGA TA; h-NANOG forward, CAGCCAAATTCTCCTGCCG and h-NANOG reverse, CAGCGTCTTCAGGGTGATGT; h-β-catenin forward, AGCT TCCAGACAGCCGTAC and h-β-catenin reverse, CCAGTAAGCCCTCAGGATGA. All primers were purchased from Metabion International (Steinkirchen, Germany).

**Immunofluorescence analysis.** Untreated or simvastatin-treated cells were grown on coverslips in 24-well cell culture plates to ~80% confluence. In preparation for immunofluorescence, cells were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature. The following primary antibodies were used: OCT-3/4 (C-10; #sc-5279; 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA); Sox2 (L1D6A2, cat. no. 4900; 1:500; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA); Nanog (1E6C4, cat. no. 4893; 1:500; Cell Signaling Technology); and β-catenin (H-102; #sc-7199; 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). After washing three times in PBS, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies (1:200; Alexa- Fluor; Molecular Probes/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). For actin detection, cells were stained with Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin (#A12379); for the detection of cell membranes, cells were stained with the membrane stain wheat germ agglutinin-Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cell nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (Invitrogen). The coverslips were mounted with a drop of Mowiol® mounting medium and observed with an AR1 confocal laser scanning microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) using the NIS elements software.

**Wound-healing assay.** In order to understand the effect of simvastatin on the progression and invasion of the cancer cells utilized in our experiments, we performed a wound-healing assay. In brief, cells were seeded into 60-mm dishes at 5x10⁵ cells/plate. When the confluence reached ~90%, a single scratch wound was created on the cell layer with a 200 μl pipette tip. After 24 h, in untreated cells and in 20 μM simvastatin-treated cells, the number of cells that had grown into the scratch area was observed by inverted microscopy (DMH6000 Leica microscope; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The cells were quantified by counting.

**Statistical analysis.** Each experiment was repeated three times. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM, and the effects were compared with untreated control cells. Paired t-tests were used to analyze the effects of simvastatin and cytochalasin. P<0.05
was considered to indicate statistical significance (P<0.05; P<0.01; P<0.001).

Results

**Immunofluorescence analysis of the actin cytoskeleton.**

Treatment of NTERA-2, HepG2 and MCF7 human cancer cells with 20 µM simvastatin for 24 h induced strong modifications in actin structure and cytoskeletal conformation (Fig. 2A). These modifications were different in the various cell lines, indicating a different sensitivity of each cell type to the drug. Moreover, simvastatin induced actin destructuration, which differed markedly from that observed following cytochalasin treatment (Fig. 2A).

In untreated NTERA-2 cells, the cytoskeleton structural modification was obvious; in the untreated control cells, a well-structured frame of fibers occupied the entire cytoplasm and there were projections from the membrane cytoskeleton to the nucleus, whereas this organization had completely disappeared in the simvastatin-treated cells. The same result was obtained with the cytochalasin treatment. In both treatment groups, the actin molecules accumulated in a disorganized manner in parts of the cytoplasm.

In untreated HepG2 cells, the actin fibers were localized mainly at the periphery, inside the membrane, with well-defined projections to the nuclei. After the simvastatin treatment (Fig. 2A), this organization disappeared completely, and the actin remained localized at the extremities of the cell, and appeared compressed, assuming a polarized form. In presence of the cytochalasin, none of this structure was maintained, and the fibers were not visible, but the actin appeared to accumulate in a disorganized manner in the cytoplasm.

In untreated MCF7 breast cancer cells, the structure of the actin fibers was evident, with clear projections from the membrane to the nuclei. With simvastatin, this structure was gradually lost and the fiber bundles were less evident. The addition of cytochalasin induced a complete loss of the fiber conformation, and the actin appeared fragmented in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2A).

These results suggest that simvastatin induces cytoskeletal conformational changes, presumably related to the loss of post-translational modification of proteins involved in actin cytoskeleton formation, such as RhoA, Cd42 or ROCK GTPases. These proteins, to be functionally active, must be prenylated or geranylated, and simvastatin specifically inhibits these modifications.

**Simvastatin induces changes in the localization of stemness-related proteins.**

The expression of the selected stemness-related proteins was studied by immunofluorescence analysis following simvastatin treatment.

As shown in Fig. 2B, stronger expression of the stemness-related proteins was observed in the NTERA-2 cells and, specifically in this cell population, the changes in the stemness protein localization in the presence of simvastatin were more clearly observable. In untreated NTERA-2 cells, the expression of Oct4 was very strong, and the protein was localized only in the nuclei. Simvastatin treatments significantly reduced the Oct4 protein expression in the nuclei (Fig. 2B). Similarly, the expression of Sox2 was with strong expression of the protein was very strong, with the protein concentrated principally in the nuclei, and the simvastatin treatment induced an evident decrease in the expression of Sox2, with only a minority of nuclei showing expression of the protein. Similarly, the expression of Nanog was evident in the nuclei in untreated cells; by contrast, in cells

Figure 2. Confocal immunofluorescence analysis. The results of the immunofluorescence analysis of simvastatin- and cytochalasin-induced cytoskeletal modifications and stemness-related gene downregulation. (A) Merged images of phalloidin (red)/DAPI (blue) staining showing actin and cytoskeleton structural modifications induced by simvastatin and cytochalasin treatments in teratocarcinoma cells (NTERA-2), hepatoblastoma cells (HepG2) and breast cancer cells (MCF7). (B) Immunofluorescence analysis of Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog (green)/DAPI (blue) (merged images), showing differences in expression induced by simvastatin in NTERA-2 and HepG2 cancer cells. More evident differences in the expression and localization of all three of the studied stemness-related genes were observed in NTERA-2 cells. (C) Simvastatin treatment for 24 h in HepG2 cells induced a very strong change in cell morphology, as shown by immunofluorescence analysis with cell membrane marker WGA555/DAPI (merged images). (CTR, control; S24, simvastatin 24 h; cytoc, cytochalasin 6 h; scale bar, 10 µm).
treated with simvastatin, the protein expression was strongly reduced and almost disappeared from the nuclei (Fig. 2B).

Less evident were the localization differences obtained in untreated HepG2 cells. In these cells, the morphological changes induced by the simvastatin were very strong, and the cytoplasm was compacted at the two cell poles; for this reason, the Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog expression levels were normalized based on control untreated cells (100%); Oct4 levels were reduced by 40-60%, while Sox2 levels were reduced by >60%. The reduction of Nanog expression was much stronger, at ~90% reduction. At the same time, the expression of the EMT marker β-catenin was reduced at a level comparable with that of Oct4 and Sox2 in NTERA-2 and MCF7 cells, whereas minimal reduction of β-catenin was observed in HepG2 cells. The results are presented as the mean ± SD of three experiments, each performed in triplicate. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.

As shown in Fig. 2C, in NTERA-2 and HepG2 cells, simvastatin induced morphological modifications; immunofluorescence analysis using the membrane marker WGA-488 showed the effects of simvastatin on cell morphology, as previously shown in Fig. 2A, wherein cells were marked with phalloidin to reveal actin structure.

Stemness-related gene expression in simvastatin- and cytochalasin-treated cells. As shown in Fig. 3, RT-qPCR analysis revealed that 20 mM simvastatin treatment for 24 h strongly inhibited the expression of the stemness-related genes Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog in the three human cancer cell lines investigated (NTERA-2, HepG2 and MCF7). In all three cell types analyzed, the effects of simvastatin treatment were more evident with Nanog expression, which in NTERA-2 and MCF7 reached an inhibition rate of 90%. More limited was the expression reduction observed for Sox2, which was ~60%, and for Nanog the greatest inhibition rate was observed in NTERA-2 cells. The reduction of β-catenin expression induced by simvastatin was evident only in NTERA-2 and MCF7 cells, while no substantial inhibition was observed in HepG2 cells (Fig. 3).
To evaluate whether the inhibition of stemness-related gene expression was correlated with the destructuration of the cytoskeleton, we cultured cells in the presence of 5 µM cytochalasin, a well-known inhibitor of actin polymerization. Notably, after 6 h of culture, the cytochalasin induced a significant reduction in the expression of all three stemness-related genes analyzed, which, as shown in Fig. 4, was comparable to the inhibition levels induced by simvastatin. The most evident reduction was observed in NTERA-2 and MCF7 cells, and the gene most sensitive to cytochalasin treatment was Nanog.

To verify whether the cytochalasin treatment affected the expression of actin, which is used in RT-qPCR expression analyses as an internal standard, we controlled its expression relative to another house-keeping gene, GAPDH; as shown in Fig. 5, even when actin polymerization and cell cytoskeletal structure were evidently inhibited, cytochalasin did not inhibit the actin expression, demonstrating that it could be used as an internal control in RT-qPCR expression analyses even in the presence of cytochalasin.

We hypothesized that alteration of the actin cytoskeleton structure may induce modification of the projections of actin fibers to the nucleoskeleton, and that modification of this strain transfer may have a regulatory action on certain stemness-related genes. RT-qPCR demonstrated that simvastatin and cytochalasin could downregulate the transcription of the analyzed stemness genes, and simvastatin treatment was found to induce a change in the expression and localization of the respective proteins.

Wound healing assay. To explore whether simvastatin treatment could affect cell migration, we performed a scratch-wound assay in NTERA-2, HepG2, and MCF7 cells. As shown in Fig. 6, in the untreated cells, the scratched area was nearly completely repopulated after 24 h; by contrast, in the cells treated with 20 µM simvastatin, the cell growth was inhibited and the scratched area remained unpopulated after 24 h, demonstrating that simvastatin may inhibit cancer cell growth and migration. This experiment was replicated three times, confirming the results obtained.

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated that simvastatin, by blocking the mevalonate pathway and the associated processes of farnesylation and geranylgeranylation, induces inhibition of the normal actin polymerization that is fundamental for cytoskeletal formation and maintenance of normal cytoarchitecture; the resultant destabilization of the cytoskeleton seems to inhibit the expression of stemness-related genes, which likely modulates the equilibrium between proliferation and differentiation.

Moreover, loss of actin cytoskeleton integrity inhibits lamellipodia and invadopodia formation, decreasing cell motility and invasion of the cancer cells into the extracellular matrix or surrounding tissues, thereby reducing metastatic spread. Inhibition of cell spreading by simvastatin was also demonstrated by wound healing experiments, in which simvastatin appeared to reduce cell migration.

From a more general perspective, we could hypothesize that any condition or drug that affects the structure of the cytoskeleton may influence the formation of actin fiber projections from the cytoskeleton to the nucleoskeleton. If actin projections to the nucleoskeleton exert a strain transfer and, as observed, this strain has regulatory action on certain genes, we can hypothesize the presence of mechanosensitive molecules at or within cell membranes confers to the cytoskeleton a more important function beyond simply its structural functions. In this scenario, active communication between the membrane cytoskeleton and the nucleoskeleton may occur, with regulatory message transfer, and thus, we may hypothesize the existence of a functional mechanotransduction pathway that depends specifically on nuclear strain transfer and nuclear deformation.

These findings may lead to a more dynamic perspective on cell-cell interactions within a tissue or an organ.
individual cell, through partial perturbation of the membrane cytoskeleton, could be affected by the presence of neighboring cells, and changes in the conformational state, induced by changes in cell morphology, could lead to cryptic binding sites being exposed, thereby recruiting or activating molecules involved in downstream signaling (36).

In this complex interaction system, any perturbation at the membrane level could be transferred through the cytoskeleton to the nucleoskeleton of the neighboring cells. This strain transfer could exert regulatory pressure on target genes.

Collectively, these aspects could produce a directional flow of information by which, in different moments, different genes could be overexpressed or underexpressed in the three spatial directions. If the cytoskeleton exercises a function so important, it is easy to imagine that any destruction of the actin framework may induce a silencing or a strong downregulation of all the genes controlled by the transfer of the actomyosin fiber strain.

In our experiments, we demonstrated that simvastatin has the capability to strongly influence cytoskeletal conformation. It has been well-documented that simvastatin blocks the isoprenylation and geranylgeranylation of RhoA, which in turn blocks the translocation of RhoA from the cytoplasm to the membrane, where it can bind to a set of effector molecules that are important for maintaining the undifferentiated status of the cell. On this basis, we may also speculate that the simvastatin-induced inhibition of RhoA functioning may indirectly induce differentiation-related processes. Moreover, the inhibition of RhoA geranylation may account for the inhibition of ROCK activity, which has an important role in mediating changes in the structure of the actin cytoskeleton. Thus, simvastatin, by directly regulating actin polymerization and de-polymerization, can decrease strain transfer to the nucleus and induce loss of the cytoskeletal-to-nucleoskeletal connectivity; this connectivity is required for the transmission of regulatory signals that activate kinase cascades or transcriptional factors controlling the activation of proliferative genes or inactivation of differentiation genes. The loss of these signals may induce the downregulation of certain stemness-related genes which, on the basis of these considerations, could be considered nucleoskeleton-associated and mechanically regulated genes. However, we think that is not easy to define if the de-structuration of the cytoskeleton is a secondary effect of simvastatin treatment or the inhibition of post-translational protein modification, have a precise role in the structuration of actin cytoskeleton, according to our hypothesis more than alteration of cytoskeleton is the alteration of the strain transfer to have a direct role in the transmission of regulatory signal on genes expression. We want, however, to underline that ours is not a conclusion, but this function of simvastatin is at the moment only a hypothesis and more experiments are necessary to confirm this possible regulatory mechanism.

If the target genes of these pathways are proliferative genes, as the stemness genes typically are, this regulatory action could lead to different cell proliferation rates in different spatial directions, and this could result in preferential localized cell growth, whereby exercising additional directional pressure could induce further directional growth, with possible feedback regulation.

On another more general point of view, it is easy to consider that differences in cell proliferation in the three spatial directions, in a determined time interval, form the basis of the determination of body shape. When this occurs during normal development, in the absence of a pathological condition, normal morphological differences result. Outside normal development, a directional or localized activation of cell proliferation can result in a pathological state and, if this hyper-proliferation derives from the activation of proliferative genes and the inhibition of differentiation genes, a tumor phenotype may occur.

Thus, it appears likely that perturbation or destruction of the cytoskeleton by simvastatin, resulting in inhibition of strain transfer, may have important effects on the control of pathological cell growth. We may also hypothesize that the cell membrane and cytoskeleton serve a much more general role, with a sort of additional code for the control of the gene activity. In this context, the membrane proteins could control the strain transfer from cytoskeleton to nucleus, which could have a role in the transcriptional control of stemness- and/or differentiation-related genes.

In the present study, we have described, in several cancer cell types, the role of simvastatin in inducing the destruction of the cytoskeleton and the resultant transcriptional inhibition of three well-studied stemness genes, Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog. We obtained the same results, including the significant inhibition of expression of Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog, with the use of cytochalasin D, a drug usually used to inhibit cytokinesis formation.

On the basis of these results, we can speculate that the down-regulation of stemness-related gene expression may be determined by the loss of appropriate strain transfer from cytoskeleton to nucleus, which does not allow the exposure of cryptic binding sites and recruitment of the molecules normally involved in the downstream regulatory cascade.

As mentioned previously, the effect of simvastatin derives from the inhibition of RhoA and ROCK GTPase activity, as consequence of the inhibition of the prenylation and geranylgeranylation of these proteins. These GTPases have an important effect on the regulation of the translation rate and exact localization of the protein within the cell.

We have observed, principally in NTERA-2 cells, in which Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog genes are strongly expressed, a reduction in the nuclear localization of all three stemness-related proteins. The evident loss of localization of these proteins in the nuclei, where they function as important transcription factors, leads us to believe that simvastatin could have a possible role in the inactivation of the function of stemness genes, which may result in the blocking of proliferation and induction of differentiation. In the other analyzed cancer cell lines, the effect of simvastatin was less clear than in NTERA-2 cells, suggesting that the inhibitory effect of simvastatin predominantly occurs in cells in which stemness gene expression is strong.

Moreover, by blocking the prenylation and geranylgeranylation of Rac1 and Cd42, simvastatin inhibits the three principal pathways of actin polymerization that occur during the formation of protrusions during cell movement, as well as the translocation and retraction steps involved in filopodia, lamellipodia and invadopodia formation. In this manner, this drug may decrease the ability of cancer cells to invade the
extracellular matrix. The capacity of cancer cells to move through the extracellular matrix and into neighboring tissues is the basis of the process of metastasis formation. We suggest that simvastatin, through inhibition of appropriate cytoskeleton formation, may suppress the formation of invadopodia complexes necessary for cancer cell invasion. On the basis of these considerations, we hypothesize that simvastatin, in combination with conventional therapy, may serve a possible role in the control of tumor progression.
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