PTBP1 knockdown in renal cell carcinoma inhibits cell migration, invasion and angiogenesis in vitro and metastasis in vivo via the hypoxia inducible factor-1α pathway
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Abstract. Polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1 (PTBP1), a heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein, is a multi-functional RNA-binding protein. PTBP1 participates in a number of biological processes, including maintaining cell structure and motility, immunity, protein metabolism and the cell cycle. The present study aimed to investigate the association between PTBP1 expression and the prognosis and clinicopathological characteristics of patients with renal cell cancer (RCC). The potential mechanism of action of PTBP1 in the metastasis of RCC was also investigated. The results demonstrated that PTBP1 was overexpressed in RCC tissues compared with normal renal tissues. Furthermore, PTBP1 expression was negatively associated with patient prognosis and positively associated with tumor size, pathological tumor (pT) and pathological metastasis (pM) status and tumor lymph node metastasis (TNM) stage. PTBP1 knockdown in vitro inhibited RCC cell migration, invasion, proliferation and angiogenesis, and it was demonstrated that PTBP1 affected RCC cells primarily via the hypoxia inducible factor-1α pathway. Furthermore, PTBP1 knockdown decreased RCC lung metastasis in vivo. The present study demonstrated that PTBP1 knockdown suppresses tumor progression and metastasis, indicating that PTBP1 is an important prognostic factor in RCC and that it may be developed as a novel method of treating patients with RCC.

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is the most common cancer of the human urinary system and accounts for 2-3% of all malignancies in adults (1). In the United States alone, there were ~62,700 new cases of RCC and 14,240 mortalities in 2016 (2). Due to the introduction of novel therapeutic strategies, including prevention, early detection and targeted treatments, such as sunitinib, which obtained an 11-month progression-free survival as compared to 5 months for the INF-α group (3). There has been a steady decline in the mortality rates of cancer over the past 20 years (4). Current study is focused on developing effective methods of treating metastatic RCC (mRCC), which is one of the most treatment-resistant malignancies. The prognosis of patients with mRCC is poor; the 5-year survival rate is <10% (5,6) and the median overall survival time is <1 year (7). Therefore, it is imperative to explore the underlying mechanisms of RCC metastasis to identify biomarkers that predict metastasis and patient prognosis, and enable the development of effective treatment strategies to treat RCC.

Polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1 (PTBP1), a heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP), is a multi-functional RNA-binding protein (8). Previous studies have demonstrated that it is involved in mRNA transport and stabilization, as well as the initiation of translation at the internal ribosome entry site (9). PTBP1 also participates in a number of biological processes, including cell structure and motility maintenance, immunity, protein metabolism and the cell cycle (10,11). The overexpression of PTBP1 has been detected in several different types of cancer, including brain (12,13), colorectal (14), ovarian (15), gastric (16) and breast cancer (17). In glioma, high PTBP1 expression is
associated with increased malignancy and poor patient prognosis (18). Furthermore, the expression of PTBP1 is increased in advanced ovarian tumors compared with benign tumors and a correlation between PTBP1 expression and the degree of malignancy has been identified (15). Studies have demonstrated that PTBP1 serves an important role in regulating the pyruvate kinase muscle (PKM1)/PKM2 ratio and the generation of PKM2, which is universally overexpressed in cancer to promote aerobic glycolysis and the development of drug resistance (19-21). Furthermore, PTBP1 regulates the stability of hypoxia inducible factor (HIF)-α mRNA (22) and the B-cell lymphoma extra large alternative 5'-splice site (23). However, the expression of PTBP1 in RCC and its potential mechanism of action remain unclear.

The present study used tissue microarrays (TMA) to measure the expression of PTBP1 in tissues from 307 patients with renal cancer and 34 normal renal tissues (NRT). It was subsequently assessed whether there was an association between PTBP1 expression and the clinical features of RCC, including 5-year overall survival and disease-specific survival rates. It was also determined whether PTBP1 regulates RCC proliferation, migration, invasion and angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Patients and specimens. RCC cohorts from the Department of Pathology of Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University (Xuzhou, China) recruited between February, 2005 and December, 2008 containing 34 normal renal tissues (from subjects aged between 20 to 75 years; female/male ratio, 13/21) and 307 RCC tissues (from patients aged between 11 to 80 years; female/male ratio, 102/205) were assessed in the present study. The RCC tissues were obtained from patients with RCC and the healthy tissues were obtained from healthy participants. Patients were enrolled in the current study from February, 2005 to December, 2013. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and institutional approval for the current study was obtained from the Review Board of the Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University. Data from the TCGA database (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/) were also cited. The samples were graded according to the AJCC staging system: I-II, T1–2N0,M0; III-IV, T3,N0–2,M0, T1–2N1–2M0, T1–4N2M0 and T1–2N0,M1 (24).

TMA immunohistochemistry (IHC). A standard streptavidin-peroxidase kit (Biotin-Streptavidin HRP Detection System, Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology, Beijing, China) was utilized for IHC, following the manufacturer's protocol. TMA slides were dewaxed at 55°C for 2 h, followed by two 20-min washes with xylene and were then treated using a graded series of ethanol and distilled water for antigen retrieval. Endogenous peroxidases were inhibited by 3% H2O2 for 30 min at room temperature. This was followed by blocking with 5% normal goat serum for 30 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the sections were incubated with goat polyclonal PTBP1 antibody (1:100; sc-16547; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX USA) overnight at 4°C and then with a biotin-labeled secondary antibody (1:500; ZB-2050; Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology, Beijing, China) for 1 h at room temperature followed by horseradish peroxidase reagent. Sections were then stained using diaminobenzidine (Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology) for 30 sec at room temperature and positive expression of PTBP1 was identified by brown staining using a microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE 80i; Nikon Corp., Tokyo, Japan) at x100 and x400 magnification. NIS-Elements F 4.00.00 software was used to acquire images and for analysis. Slides that were incubated without primary antibody were used as a negative control.

Immunostaining evaluation. Positive PTBP1 immunostaining was defined as staining that primarily occurred in the nucleus with little staining occurring in the cytoplasm. Two pathologists blinded to the clinical data evaluated immunostaining intensity and determined the proportion of immune-reactive cells. Staining intensity was scored using the following scoring system: 0, negative; 1, weak; 2, moderate; or 3, strong. The proportion of protein-positive stained cells was graded as: 1, 0-25%; 2, 26-50%; 3, 51-75%; or 4, 76-100%. The final semiquantitative immunoreactivity score (IRS) was calculated by multiplying the staining intensity score by the percentage of positive cells (25). The average score from two tissue cores was taken as the final score. According to the IRS, PTBP1 staining patterns were defined as negative, IRS 0; weak, IRS 1-2; moderate, IRS 3-6; or strong, IRS 8-12.

Animals. A total of 12 8-week-old female BALB/c nude mice weighing 16-17 g used in the current study were purchased from the Charles River Laboratories (Beijing, China) and all experiments involving animals were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Xuzhou Medical University. The animals were maintained in a controlled environment with controlled temperature (~25°C), humidity (50-70%) and (light, 07:00; dark, 22:00). The water and mouse feed were sterilized by uperization and were freely available.

Cell lines. The human RCC cell lines 786-O and ACHN and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were all obtained from the Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The RCC cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (both from Invitrogen, Shanghai, China) at 37°C in a humidified incubator at 5% CO2. HUVECs were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (both from Invitrogen) at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2.

Transfection with short hairpin (sh)RNA. HIF-α plasmid and vector were obtained from Dr Rui Chen (Southeast University, Nanjing, China). Transfection of the HIF-1α plasmid and vector into the 786-O and ACHN cells (transfected with shPTBP1) was carried out using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. Human PTBP1 shRNA was cloned into the pE N-hH1c vector and then transferred into the pDSL-hPGIP (Invitrogen) destination vector using LR-clonase (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Lentiviruses were produced by co-transfecting 293T cells [American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA,
Tube formation assay. A 48-well plate was coated with 100 µl Matrigel and maintained at 37°C for 2 h. A total of 1x10^6 786-O and ACHN cells or corresponding controls were seeded in a 96-well plate, washed with PBS and cultured at 37°C in a humidified incubator for 24, 48, 72 and 96 h. A total of 10 µl CCK-8 solution mixed with 100 µl serum-free medium was added to each well, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Following incubation at 37°C for 12 h for the migration assay and 24 h for the invasion assay, cells in the upper chamber were carefully removed using a cotton swab. Cells that had traversed the membrane were fixed with 90% methanol at room temperature for 30 min, and then stained with crystal violet and counted under a microscope (Olympus, DP80; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at x200 magnification.

**Cell proliferation assay.** A WST-8 cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Shanghai, China) was used to assess cell proliferation. A total of 100 µl complete medium, containing 4x10^4 stable PTBP1-knockdown cells seeded in serum-free medium in the upper chamber. The lower chamber was supplemented DMEM with 20% fetal calf serum. Following incubation at 37°C for 12 h for the migration assay and 24 h for the invasion assay, cells in the upper chamber were carefully removed using a cotton swab. Cells that had traversed the membrane were fixed with 90% methanol at room temperature for 30 min, and then stained with crystal violet and counted under a microscope (Olympus, DP80; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at x200 magnification in 5 random fields.

**Western blotting.** Western blotting was carried out as previously described (27). The following primary antibodies were used for western blotting: Goat polyclonal hnRNP1 (PTBP1) antibody (1:1,000, cat. no. sc-16547; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit monoclonal HIF-1α (1:1,000, cat. no. ab1068; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), rabbit polyclonal vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)A (1:1,000, cat. no. I9003-1-AP; Proteintech Group, Inc., Rosemont, IL, USA) and mouse anti-GAPDH (1:5,000; sc-365062; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). Protein bands were detected using the Tanon 5200 automatic chemiluminescence imaging analysis system using ECL reagent (Tanon, Shanghai, China).

**Tail vein metastasis model in vivo.** To generate the in vivo model of metastasis, BALB/c nude mice were randomly divided into two groups consisting of 6 mice each. A total of 3x10^6 luciferase lentivirus-infected stable PTBP1-knockdown and control 786-O cells (27) were suspended in 200 µl PBS and injected intravenously via the tail vein, respectively. After 6 weeks, 150 µl 15 mg/ml fluorescein and pentobarbital sodium were intraperitoneally injected into each mouse at a dose of 100 mg/kg to induce anesthesia. A standard bioluminescence imaging protocol was used following the manufacturer’s protocol (Night Owl II LB983; Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). The weights of the mice were 20-21 g at sacrifice at 6 weeks.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 software (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA). For TMA, two-sided Fisher's exact tests were used to determine the association between PTBP1 expression and clinicopathological parameters. The Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank tests were used to determine the association between PTBP1 expression and patient survival. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression models were generated to estimate the crude hazard ratios (HRs), adjusted HRs and 95% confidence interval (CI) of the HRs. Student’s t-test (for pairwise comparison) and one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s and Dunnett’s post-hoc tests of ANOVA (for multiple comparisons) were used to assess differences between groups in the proliferation, migration, invasion and angiogenesis assays. P<0.05 was determined to indicate a significant difference.

**Results.**

**PTBP1 expression is increased in RCC tissues.** To detect PTBP1 expression in RCC, IHC was performed using a TMA consisting of 307 RCC and 34 NRTs. The results demonstrated that PTBP1 was primarily expressed in the nucleus and that its expression was markedly higher in RCC tissues than in NRTs (Fig. 1A). Positive PTBP1 expression was identified in 5 of 34 (14.7%) NRTs and 88 of 307 (25.4%) RCC tissues, indicating that the expression of PTBP1 is significantly higher in RCC compared with NRTs (P=0.021) (Fig. 1B).

**PTBP1 expression is associated with the clinicopathological characteristics of RCC and the survival rates of patients.**
Fisher's exact test was used to determine the association between PTBP1 expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients with RCC. As presented in Table I, there was a significant association between PTBP1 expression and tumor size (P<0.001), pT status (P=0.002), pM status (P<0.001) and TNM stage (P<0.001). There were no significant differences between PTBP1 expression and patient age, sex or pN status. The fact that patients with higher TNM stages exhibited higher expression of PTBP1 suggests that there is a positive association between PTBP1 expression and advanced tumor stage and metastasis in RCC.

To determine whether PTBP1 expression was associated with the prognosis of patients with RCC, Kaplan-Meier survival curves were constructed and a log-rank test was performed to investigate the association between PTBP1 expression and 5-year overall survival. The results demonstrated that high PTBP1 expression was associated with lower overall and disease-specific survival in RCC; high PTBP1 expression predicted poor overall (P<0.001; Fig. 1C) and disease-specific survival (P<0.001; Fig. 1D). Furthermore, data from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), which contained 506 RCC patients, and the data were examined by the Kaplan-Meier method and the univariate Cox regression analysis (Table II) demonstrated that high PTBP1 expression is an important prognostic marker for RCC patient disease-specific survival (HR=6.491; 95% CI, 4.595-9.170; P<0.001) and overall survival (HR=6.590; 95% CI, 4.750-9.143; P<0.001). The results of the multivariate Cox regression analysis (Table III) confirmed that PTBP1 expression is an important prognostic marker for RCC patient disease-specific survival (HR=3.872; 95% CI, 2.399-6.251; P<0.001) and overall survival (HR=3.304; 95% CI, 2.065-5.286; P<0.001). Thus, it was determined that PTBP1 may be a molecular prognostic marker for RCC.

PTBP1 knockdown inhibits RCC cell migration, invasion, proliferation and angiogenesis in vitro. To determine the role of PTBP1 in RCC, 786-O and ACHN cells were stably transfected with lentivirus vector-mediated control shRNA or PTBP1 shRNA to perform PTBP1 knockdown (Fig. 2A). To determine the role of PTBP1 in cell proliferation, a CCK8 assay was performed, which demonstrated that PTBP1 knockdown significantly decreased the proliferation of the two RCC cell lines (P<0.05; Fig. 2B). Subsequently, Transwell assays were used to detect the effect of PTBP1 on the metastasis of RCC cells. The results revealed that PTBP1 silencing significantly decreased 786-O and ACHN cell migration compared with the respective controls (P<0.05; Fig. 2C). The cell invasion assay indicated that the invasive abilities of 786-O and ACHN cells were significantly decreased following PTBP1 silencing (P<0.01; Fig. 2D).
As PTBP1 knockdown suppressed the proliferation, migration and invasion of the RCC cell lines, it was speculated that PTBP1 knockdown would decrease the rate of angiogenesis in RCC cells. To determine whether this was the case, a tube formation assay was performed. The results indicated that the average number of complete tubular structures formed by HUVECs in conditioned medium from PTBP1-silenced 786-O and ACHN cells was significantly decreased compared with corresponding controls (P<0.01; Fig. 2E), however the proliferation of HUVECs following culture in conditioned medium was unaffected (Fig. 2F).

**PTBP1 knockdown inhibits RCC cell migration and invasion via the HIF-1α pathway.** To explore the potential mechanism of PTBP1 in promoting RCC cell proliferation, migration, invasion and angiogenesis, western blotting was used to measure the expression of associated proteins. The data revealed that PTBP1 silencing significantly decreased the expression of HIF-1α and VEGFA (P<0.001; Fig. 3A and B). To further identify the role of HIF-1α, rescue assays were performed, in which HIF-1α or vector plasmids were transfected into 786-O and ACHN cells that had been stably transfected with shPTBP1. The results demonstrated that VEGFA and HIF-1α expression significantly recovered following transfection of HIF-1α plasmid (P<0.05; Fig. 3C and D).

Furthermore, the decrease in the migration and invasion of shPTBP1 786-O and ACHN cells that occurred following PTBP1 knockdown was significantly reversed following transfection of the HIF-1α plasmid (P<0.001; Fig. 4A-D). HIF-1α overexpression also reversed the inhibition in HUVEC tube formation that occurred (P<0.01; Fig. 4E and F). These data suggest that PTBP1 regulates RCC cell migration, invasion and angiogenesis in RCC via the HIF-1α-VEGF pathway.

**PTBP1 knockdown decreases RCC cell lung metastasis in vivo.** The current study demonstrated that PTBP1 affected migration, invasion and angiogenesis in vitro; thus, it was assessed whether PTBP1 regulates RCC metastasis in vivo. A tail vein metastasis model was constructed in nude mice and stably infected shPTBP1 and control 786-O cells transduced with luciferase lentivirus were administered to BALB/c nude mice. After 6 weeks, bioluminescence imaging was used to measure the metastatic lesions. The results indicated that the

### Table I. PTBP1 staining and clinicopathological characteristics of 307 renal cancer patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>PTBP1 staining</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low (%)</td>
<td>High (%)</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>P-values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤56</td>
<td>101 (68.2)</td>
<td>47 (31.8)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;56</td>
<td>118 (74.2)</td>
<td>41 (25.8)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>149 (72.7)</td>
<td>56 (27.3)</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>71 (69.6)</td>
<td>32 (31.4)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size, cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤7</td>
<td>136 (78.6)</td>
<td>37 (21.4)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7</td>
<td>83 (61.9)</td>
<td>51 (38.1)</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;,pT&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>174 (81.3)</td>
<td>40 (18.7)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;,pT&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>45 (48.4)</td>
<td>48 (51.6)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>162 (74.0)</td>
<td>57 (56.0)</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;-N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>57 (64.8)</td>
<td>31 (55.2)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pM status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>192 (79.7)</td>
<td>49 (20.3)</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>27 (40.9)</td>
<td>39 (59.1)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>165 (82.1)</td>
<td>36 (17.9)</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>54 (50.9)</td>
<td>52 (49.1)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values were determined by performing two sided Fisher's exact tests. *P<0.05. PTBP1, polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1; pT, pathological tumor; pN, pathological lymph node; pM, pathological metastasis; TNM, tumor lymph node metastasis.

### Table II. Univariate Cox regression analysis assessing the 5-year overall and disease-specific survival of patients with RCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
<th>Disease-specific survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBP1 (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>6.590</td>
<td>4.750-9.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (M&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; vs. M&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>3.778</td>
<td>20282-6.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (N&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;-N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; vs. N&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>3.421</td>
<td>1.842-6.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>0.810-1.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>1.676</td>
<td>1.194-2.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>1.574</td>
<td>1.178-1.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>6.147</td>
<td>4.516-8.367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTBP1 was coded as 1 (low) or 2 (high). Age was coded as 1 (≤56 years) or 2 (>56 years). Tumor size was coded as 1 (≤7 cm) or 2 (>7 cm). TNM stage was coded as 1 (I-II), and 2 (III-IV). *P<0.05. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; PTBP1, polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1; M, metastasis; N, lymph node; T, tumor; TNM, tumor lymph node metastasis.
Firefly luciferase activity of lung metastases in the PTBP1-silenced group was significantly lower than that in the control group (P<0.05; Fig. 5).

Discussion

RCC is the most common cancer of the urinary system and readily metastasizes (28). Due to the resistance of RCC to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, targeted therapy has become an effective and accepted means of treatment (29). Metastasis is the primary cause of cancer treatment failure. Therefore, the genes involved in metastasis may serve as promising biomarkers for prognosis and therapy in patients with RCC (30). It has been demonstrated that PTBP1, an hnRNP member, promotes cancer progression and is associated with the prognosis of different types of cancer (13-16). However, few studies have investigated the association between PTBP1 expression and RCC. The results of the present study demonstrated that PTBP1 expression was increased in RCC tissues, which was in agreement with the results of previous studies assessing other solid tumors (15,18). Additionally, higher PTBP1 expression was associated with more advanced stages of RCC and poorer survival rates of patients with RCC and this was consistent with the data from patients in the TCGA gene bank data. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analyses indicated that PTBP1 overexpression is an important prognostic indicator for RCC. These results indicate that PTBP1 is a prognostic indicator of RCC and may promote its progression.
Metastasis and unrestricted growth are the primary causes of cancer treatment failure. A series of interlinked events promote tumor development and metastasis, including proliferation, angiogenesis, migration, invasion, adhesion and extravasation into target organs (31,32). Therefore, any factors that affect specific processes may be involved in tumor metastasis. In the present study, assessment of the TMA indicated that PTBP1 promotes RCC progression; therefore, it was hypothesized that PTBP1 may serve a role during tumor development and metastasis. From the clinicopathological characteristics of the 307 patients with RCC, it was demonstrated that patients with high PTBP1 expression had a higher T or TNM status; thus it was indicated that PTBP1 may serve a role in the proliferation and metastasis of RCC.

In the present study, PTBP1 knockdown inhibited RCC cell proliferation, migration, invasion and angiogenesis in vitro. These results are in accordance with the results of the TMA assessment and indicated that PTBP1 overexpression...
is associated with advanced RCC stage and poor survival. Therefore, it was determined that PTBP1 serves an important role in promoting the progression and metastasis of RCC. Subsequently, the mechanism by which PTBP1 regulates the progression of RCC was investigated.

HIF-1α is a transcription factor involved in angiogenesis, glucose metabolism, cell proliferation/survival and apoptosis (33,34). Previous studies have demonstrated that PTBP1 and HIF-1α promote metastasis in various types of cancer (14-16,33). However, to the best of our knowledge, an association between PTBP1 and HIF-1α in human RCC has not yet been established. PTBP1 is a prominent RNA binding protein and a well-established regulator of mRNA splicing, stability and translation (9). The results of the current study indicated that PTBP1 regulates the expression of HIF-1α and VEGFA, an HIF-1α target gene. The results of the rescue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
<th>Disease-specific survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBP1 (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>3.304</td>
<td>2.065-5.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.646-1.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.627-1.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>2.992</td>
<td>1.911-4.684</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTBP1 was coded as 1 (low) or 2 (high). Age was coded as 1 (≤56 years) or 2 (>56 years). Tumor size was coded as 1 (≤7 cm) or 2 (>7 cm). TNM stage was coded as 1 (I-II) or 2 (III-IV). aP<0.05. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; PTBP1, polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1. TNM, tumor lymph node metastasis.

Figure 4. PTBP1 knockdown inhibited the migration, invasion and angiogenesis of renal cell cancer via the HIF-1α pathway. (A and B) Migration (x200 magnification) and (C and D) invasion assays (x200 magnification) were performed in shPTBP1 786-O and ACHN cells transfected with HIF-1α plasmid or vector. (E and F) The number of tubes formed per field in shPTBP1 786-O and ACHN cells transfected with HIF-1α plasmid or vector were counted in 5 random fields (x200 magnification). All experiments were performed in triplicate. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001. NS, not significant; sh, short hairpin; Ctrl, control; HIF-1α, hypoxia inducible factor 1α; PTBP1, polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1.
experiments also demonstrated that HIF-1α overexpression in PTBP1-knockdown cells reversed the decrease in cell migration, invasion and angiogenesis that occurred following PTBP1 knockdown. Further studies are required to explore the mechanism of PTBP1 in regulating the expression of HIF-1α.

Lung metastasis is the most common type of metastasis that occurs in RCC and the most common cause of mortality in patients with RCC (35). The results of the in vivo experiment demonstrated that the rate of metastasis was decreased following PTBP1 knockdown. Therapies targeting VEGF, including sorafenib and sunitinib, have been clinically effective at treating patients with RCC. In a previous study, sorafenib prolonged the survival of RCC patients, and the median overall survival for the sorafenib group was 19.3 months, compared with 15.9 months for the placebo (36). Sunitinib extended the RCC patients progression-free survival (3). The present study shows PTBP1 as a regulator of HIF-1α and VEGF. Thus, PTBP1 may be a novel therapeutic target.

In conclusion, the current study demonstrated that PTBP1 serves an important role in RCC metastasis and that PTBP1 expression is positively associated with poor patient survival and advanced pathology. The results of clinical analyses suggest that PTBP1 may be a novel biomarker for the prognosis of patients with RCC. It was also demonstrated that PTBP1 regulates the expression of HIF-1α, an important regulator of tumor metastasis. Therefore, it may be determined that PTBP1 serves an important role in the progression of RCC and further studies are required to explore the mechanisms by which PTBP1 induces these effects.
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