Antitumour effects and mechanisms of action of the panHER inhibitor, dacomitinib, alone and in combination with the STAT3 inhibitor, S3I-201, in human sarcoma cell lines
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Abstract. The 5-year survival rate for metastatic sarcoma is 16%. Although the phosphorylated human epidermal growth factor receptor (pEGFR/HER1) has been shown to be an independent predictor of overall survival in patients with sarcoma, we have previously demonstrated that sarcoma cell lines exhibit resistance, despite gefitinib blocking p-EGFR and signal transducers in EGFR downstream pathways. Gefitinib failed to decrease the ratio of phosphorylated (p-)signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT3)/p-STAT1, suggesting that relative STAT3 abundance and activation may be involved in drug resistance. In this study, we used the panHER inhibitor, dacomitinib, to further block HER2-dependent activation, applying multiple methods, such as proliferation assay, clonogenic survival assay, anti-anoikis assay and western blot analysis. Although dacomitinib inhibited EGFR, HER2, AKT and Erk activation more effectively than gefitinib, it still only exerted minimal anti-proliferative effects on sarcoma cell lines due to the STAT3 escape pathway. However, the addition of the STAT3 inhibitor, S3I-201, to dacomitinib achieved a significant enhancement in growth inhibition, by perturbing p-STAT3/p-STAT1. Using a panel of sarcoma cell lines with different histological types, we identified that the addition of the STAT3 inhibitor enhanced the growth inhibitory effects of the panHER inhibitor, dacomitinib, on sarcoma cells. Our findings may have clinical implications on overcoming the resistance caused by the STAT3 escape pathway and optimising EGFR/panHER-targeted therapy in sarcoma.

Introduction

Sarcomas, including soft tissue sarcomas (STS) and osteosarcomas, are heterogeneous tumours that arise from transformed cells of mesenchymal origin, including malignant tumours made of bone, cartilage, fat, muscle, vascular or hematopoietic tissues. Patients with a localized sarcoma have a 83% chance for a 5-year survival, whereas those sarcomas with lymph node involvement have a reduced prognosis of 54% and the worst prognosis is 16% for sarcomas that have spread to distant parts of the body (1). This reflects the ineffectiveness of current therapy and the importance for the development of better treatment options to improve outcomes.

The human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR/HER) family of receptor tyrosine kinases, including EGFR/HER1/ErbB1, HER2/ErbB2, HER3/ErbB3 and HER4/ErbB4, regulates proliferation, survival, adhesion, and the migration and invasion of malignant cells (2,3). Clinical studies have indicated that the hyperactivation of the HER family is associated with more aggressive diseases and poor clinical outcomes, so the HER family has been intensively pursued as therapeutic targets (5 and refs. therein).

We have previously reported that, in a cohort of 46 consecutive patients with STS, 78% demonstrated a positive expression of EGFR (6). This finding is consistent with other series in STS with a mean of 68% (range 60-77%) (7-11). In a large Japanese study, EGFR expression was found to be significantly associated with the histological grade, but was not an independent prognostic factor for survival (7). Our recent studies demonstrated that EGFR and downstream signal transducers were highly expressed and activated in STS cell lines (12), and showed that phosphorylated (p-)EGFR p-EGFR and p-Erk are independent prognostic factors for overall and/or cancer-specific survival in 87 STS samples (13). However, the specific EGFR inhibitor, gefitinib, was ineffective...
in terms of preclinical anti-proliferation, despite the inhibition of pEGFR and signalling transducers in the EGFR downstream PI3K/AKT and ras/raf/Erk MAPK pathways (12). Consistently, a phase II clinical trial demonstrated that single agent gefitinib was unsatisfactory with low response rates of 21 and 6% at 4 and 6 months, respectively and short disease control in advanced synovial sarcomas (14). All the above data suggest that novel approaches targeting this pathway are required in sarcoma.

Our previous study on gefitinib in sarcoma cell lines identified the STAT3 escape pathway as a potential resistance mechanism, due to the increased/unchanged ratio of pSTAT3/pSTAT1 from the JAK/STAT pathway (12). HER2 overexpression as an upstream regulator has been demonstrated to activate STAT3 and induce breast cancer growth (15,16). In addition, HER2 also acts as a transcriptional co-activator of STAT3 and leads to cyclin D1 promoter activation to enhance tumour proliferation (17). Furthermore, blocking HER2 can induce apoptosis (18). These studies provide a rationale that HER2-induced STAT3 activation may be an escape pathway to EGFR-specific inhibition and suggest that a panHER inhibitor blocking both EGFR and HER2 may enhance the therapeutic effect.

Dacomitinib, a panHER inhibitor, has been shown to exert an irreversible inhibitory effect on the tyrosine kinase activation of human EGFR/HER1, HER2 and HER4, and is active in both EGFR-sensitive and EGFR-resistant preclinical models (19-23). Following initial phase I studies (20,24,25), in subsequent phase II trials, it was shown to be well-tolerated and showed encouraging activity (25-27). In two phase II studies as a first-line therapy, dacomitinib demonstrated encouraging clinical activity in patients with recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell cancer of the head and neck (SCCHN) and with clinically or molecularly selected advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (28-30). Although a randomised phase II trial demonstrated a significant improvement of progression-free survival (PFS) (31), in two double-blind randomised phase III trials in patients pretreated with NSCLC, dacomitinib did not improve PFS/overall survival (OS) compared with the placebo or erlotinib in an unselected patient population (32,33). However, a pooled subset analyses demonstrated that dacomitinib exhibited favourable trends in PFS for the EGFR activation mutation patient subgroup (34). Accordingly, dacomitinib is currently in a phase III study of first-line dacomitinib versus gefitinib in patients with advanced NSCLC harbouiring activating EGFR mutations. Of note, in a recent interim analysis of the 452 patients in this study, dacomitinib treatment extended PFS and the duration of response versus gefitinib (35).

Considering the potential advantages of irreversible panHER inhibitors over their reversible counterparts, as well as our previous findings (12) that sarcoma cells exhibit resistance to gefitinib treatment through the STAT3 escape pathway, in this study, the panHER inhibitor, dacomitinib, was examined in sarcoma cell lines. We hypothesized that the use of dacomitinib would have the potential to increase the effectiveness of EGFR/HER1-targeted therapy in sarcomas by i) downregulating STAT3 via the inhibition of HER2 favouring an increased drive to apoptosis; ii) blocking EGFR/HER1 downstream ras/raf/MAPK and PI3K/AKT survival signals to further induce apoptosis; and iii) blocking other HER family member signalling to overcome resistance to single EGFR/HER1 inhibition. To date, no panHER inhibitor has been tested in sarcomas. The principal aim of this study was to investigate the in vitro antitumour effect and mechanisms of action of dacomitinib mono- and combination therapy in a panel of 13 human STS and osteosarcoma cell lines.

Materials and methods

**Drugs and cell lines.** The panHER inhibitor, dacomitinib (PF299804), was kindly provided by Pfizer USA (New York, NY, USA). The STAT3 inhibitor, S3I-201 (NSC74859), and the EGFR inhibitor, gefitinib, were purchased from Selleckchem (Scrubsy, VIC, Australia) and Euroasian chemicals (Mumbai, India), respectively. The human sarcoma cell lines [SW872 (liposarcoma), HT1080 (fibrosarcoma), SW684 (fibrosarcoma), GCT (undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma), SW982 (synovial sarcoma), A431 (epidermoid carcinoma), SJSA (osteosarcoma), U2-OS (osteosarcoma), MG63 (osteosarcoma) and Saos-2 (osteosarcoma)] were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). PC9 (lung adenocarcinoma) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). The other cell lines [778 (fibrosarcoma), 449B (liposarcoma; also known as 93T449; STR profile available on ATCC, cat. no. ATCC CRL-3043), HOS (osteosarcoma) and 143B (osteosarcoma)] were kindly provided by Professor David Thomas (Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia) and Dr Florence Pedeatour (Nice University Hospital, Nice, France). The cell lines were all tested as mycoplasma-free, and were subjected to identification tests using short tandem repeat (STR) profiling by CellBank Australia (Westmead, NSW, Australia) and shown to be consistent with their stated cell lineage.

**Cell culture and cell proliferation assay.** All cells were maintained in Rosewell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium, supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine and antibiotics (50 U/ml penicillin and 50 µg/ml streptomycin) at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ and 95% atmosphere. Cell culture reagents were purchased from Gibco (Melbourne, VIC, Australia). Cells were grown as monolayer cultures in 75-cm² flasks. Once 80-90% confluent, the cells were detached with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA/PBS (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and then cultured in a new flask for use in subsequent experiments. Cell proliferation assay was carried by crystal violet colorimetric assay, as previously described (36). Briefly, 24 h after the cells were seeded, they were treated with the vehicle [dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), purchased from Amresco (Solon, OH, USA)] or drugs [including monotherapy with gefitinib, S3I-201, and dacomitinib, as well as combination therapy (refer to the Results section for the details of specific mono- or combination treatments, doses and treatment durations)]. At least duplicate experiments with each treatment group containing triplicate wells were carried out. After the required time period, the cells were washed with Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS), stained with 0.5% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated with elution solution...
Clonogenic survival assay for adherent sarcoma cells. After 24 h of seeding, the cells were treated with the vehicle (DMSO) or drugs (200 nM of dacomitinib, 10 µM of S3I-201 or 200 nM of dacomitinib plus 10 µM of S3I-201) and incubated at 37°C. The drugs were present in the medium throughout the whole incubation period. Once colony-formation (1 colony ≥50 cells, 6-8 days) was observed in the control wells, all related wells were washed and stained with crystal violet.

Anchorage-independent growth assay (anti-anoikis assay) by soft agar colony formation assay. The bottom gel was created by mixing 1% agarose with equivalent volume of 2X RPMI. Logarithmically growing cells were harvested and suspended in medium containing 0.35% soft agar. The cells were treated with the vehicle or the drugs in quadruplicate. Plates were maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator for 1-2 weeks until colonies were formed. Subsequently, 10% AlamarBlue (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was added to each well and incubated for 4-20 h. The results were evaluated using a fluorescence microplate reader SpectrMax M3 (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA) with an excitation wavelength of 540-570 nm and an emission wavelength of 580-610 nm.

Western blot analysis. The vehicle-treated and drug-treated cells were harvested, and total proteins were extracted and measured using western blot with β-actin as an internal protein loading control, with our standard procedures (37). Briefly, cells were starved overnight in RPMI-1640 medium containing 1% FBS and then treated with the vehicle (DMSO) or drugs (200 nM of dacomitinib and/or 20 µM of S3I-201) for 24 h. The cells were then harvested following 15 min of incubation with or without 100 ng/ml EGF stimulation, and total proteins were extracted using RIPA buffer (both from Sigma-Aldrich) with 1% Protease and Phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Merek, Bayswater, VIC, Australia). Protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay (Thermofisher, Scoresby, VIC, Australia), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, 50 µg proteins per lane were separated by 4-20% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, Gladesville, NSW, Australia), which were blocked with 5% skim milk powder in TBS with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) for 1 h at room temperature, and then probed with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. The detection of β-actin was used to ensure equal loading and proper transfer of the protein. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were detected by chemiluminescence agent Supersignal Western Dura Extended Duration (Thermo Fisher). Membranes were imaged by ImageQuant LAS4000 (GE Healthcare; Silverwater, NSW, Australia). Densitometric analysis was performed by ImageQuant TL Software (GE Healthcare) and presented as ratios of protein expression normalized to relevant β-actin loading control. All antibodies (STAT antibody kit #9939, p-STAT antibody kit #9914, HER family antibody kit #8339, PhosphoPlus AKT activation kit #9280, MAPK family antibody kit #9926, p-MAPK family antibody kit #9910 and β-actin #4970) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Arundel, QLD, Australia) and diluted as per the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analysis. Growth inhibition data were calculated using GraphPad Prism software, and IC50 curves were fitted using a non-linear regression model with a sigmoidal dose-response. Mean percentage growths in different treatment groups from at least duplicate experiments with triplicate samples against controls were analysed using ANOVA first. Significant differences obtained from ANOVA were further analysed by a post-hoc Bonferroni test. Two-tailed P-values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistically significant differences.

Results

Positive expression of HER1 and HER2 in sarcoma cell lines. We first examined HER1 expression in 13 sarcoma cell lines, using the PC9 cells as a positive control [which have been reported to express abnormally high levels of HER1 (38)]. The sarcoma cell lines expressed total HER1 (t-HER1) at a similar or higher level to that in the PC9 cells (Fig. 1) in the absence of ligand EGF stimulation. Phosphorylated HER1 (p-HER1) was undetectable in the Saos-2 and HOS cells, and was weakly expressed in the other sarcoma cell lines in the absence of EGF, while the PC9 cells exhibited a strong p-HER1 expression. We also found that EGF stimulation (closely mimicking the in vivo setting) induced p-HER1 expression in all 13 sarcoma cell lines, with the cells expressing lower (U2-OS, Saos-2 and HOS) or similar levels of p-HER1 compared with the PC9 cells. Similarly, with ligand EGF stimulation, 10/13 cell lines exhibited HER2 phosphorylation. In total, 6/7 STS (86%) and 5/6 osteosarcoma (83%) cell lines expressed t-HER2 in the absence/presence of EGF.

Inhibition of the phosphorylation of HER family receptors and signalling factors in PI3K/AKT and ras/raf/MAPK pathways by dacomitinib monotherapy. Five sarcoma cell lines (3 STS and 2 osteosarcoma) were treated for 24 h with dacomitinib (PF299804) at its clinically achievable total plasma concentration (200 nM) (20). As shown in Fig. 2, dacomitinib markedly blocked EGF-induced p-HER1 expression in all 5 sarcoma cell lines, as well as that of p-HER2 in 4/5. In addition, dacomitinib decreased the activation of AKT and SAPK/JNK to baseline levels in all 5 sarcoma cell lines, and downregulated the the levels of p-Erk and p-p38 MAPK in 4/5 sarcoma cell lines, apart from p-Erk in the 143B cells and p-p38 in the 44B cells (Fig. 2).

Growth inhibitory effects of dacomitinib monotherapy on sarcoma cell lines. Following 72 h of treatment with 0.25-15 µM dacomitinib, the anti-proliferative ability was evaluated. The IC50 values are summarized in Table I. At the early time point (72 h of treatment), all the cell lines exhibited a greater sensitivity to dacomitinib [IC50 values: 1-5 µM for dacomitinib versus 14-30 µM for gefitinib in our previous study on gefitinib (12)]. However, the IC50 values of dacomitinib in the sarcoma cell lines were still 1,000-fold higher than those in the sensitive control cell line, PC9 (1 nM). Extending the treatment window for longer time period of up to 7 days, we found that all the cell lines exhibited further growth inhibitory
The IC₅₀ values for the 778, 449B, Saos-2 and SW684 cells were 3- to 5-fold lower than those on day 3. In particular, the IC₅₀ values for 6 sarcoma cell lines were scaled down to <1 µM.

In addition, clonogenic survival assay was also performed to determine the long-term effects of dacomitinib on the sarcoma cell lines. Dacomitinib at the concentration of <1.4 µM suppressed colony formation by 50% in the sarcoma cell lines, with IC₅₀ values of 0.163 and 0.184 µM for the SW982 and 143B cells, respectively, which were higher than those of the sensitive control cell line, A431 (IC₅₀, 0.044 µM), treated with dacomitinib (Table I).

The STAT3 escape pathway and the resistance of dacomitinib monotherapy in sarcoma. Although dacomitinib markedly inactivated HER family members and downstream ras/raf/MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways, it failed to suppress sarcoma cell growth and colony formation at reasonable IC₅₀ values, compared with the sensitive control. The increased or unaltered ratio of p-STAT3/p-STAT1 was found to be associated with the STAT3 escape pathway in our previous study on the EGFR inhibitor, gefitinib, in sarcoma cell lines (12). In the present study, we found that dacomitinib inhibited p-STAT1 expression in 4/5 sarcoma cell lines by 97, 62, 41 and 33 in the 778, HOS, 449B and 143B cells, respectively, compared with the corresponding vehicle control in the presence of EGF stimulation (Fig. 3A). However, the effects of dacomitinib on p-STAT3 expression were highly variable: We observed a decreased p-STAT3 expression in the 143B cells (27%) and 778 cells (47%); however, p-STAT3 expression was unaltered in the HOS cells and it increased in the 449B cells (1.8-fold) and SW872 (2.1-fold) (Fig. 3B). The ratio of p-STAT3/p-STAT1 was increased in 3/5 cell lines (HOS, 449B and 778 cells) and unaltered in the 143B and SW872 cells (Fig. 3C), suggesting that the STAT3 relative abundance and activation likely plays an important role in sarcoma growth, maintenance and resistance mechanisms.

Enhancement of the sensitivity of sarcoma cells to dacomitinib by the STAT3 inhibitor, S3I-201. A panel of 7 STS and 2 osteosarcoma (HOS and 143B) cell lines were treated with the vehicle, dacomitinib, or S3I-201, or a combination of dacomitinib and S3I-201 at 3 concentrations (lower than the clinically achievable total plasma concentration 200 nM) in the constant ratio (dose ratio of dacomitinib to S3I-201 = 1:100). At 7 days post-administration, in 7/9 cell lines, combination therapy achieved significantly enhanced anti-proliferative effects (Fig. 4A). The HOS cells, which were the most sensitive (almost 100%) to S3I-201 monotherapy, did not exhibit any further growth inhibition. We also examined combination therapy in the S3I-201-resistant SW872 cells (36) and found that these cells did not undergo any further enhancement of the growth inhibitory effects. Dacomitinib is ~98% bound to plasma proteins in human plasma, as measured by equilibrium dialysis (kindly suggested by Dr Scott Weinrich, Director of Early Clinical Development, Pfizer USA). This indicates that only 2% constitutes the ‘free’ drug concentration at the site of action that exerts the biological activity (39), we aimed to emulate clinical exposure in vitro by the addition of up to 4 nM dacomitinib to the most sensitive cell line, 778. This achieved a significantly enhanced anti-proliferative effect (combination versus dacomitinib: P=0.003; combination versus S3I-201: P=0.0002) (Fig. 4B).

In addition, clonogenic assay was performed on the 778, 143B and SW872 cells, representing a sensitive STS cell line, a sensitive osteosarcoma cell line and a resistant cell line,
Figure 2. Dacomitinib (PF299804) decreased the phosphorylation (activity) of the HER family receptors (HER1 and HER2), as well as signalling factors in the PI3K/AKT and ras/raf/MAPK downstream pathways (AKT, Erk, p38 MAPK and SAPK/JNK). A panel of 5 sarcoma cell lines (HOS, 143B, 449B, SW872 and 778) were treated with 200 nM of dacomitinib for 24 h, followed by incubation with or without EGF for 15 min, and the the extracted proteins were then immunoblotted with the indicated phosphor-specific antibodies. Membranes used for analysis of phosphorylated proteins were stripped and rebotted with the respective total antibodies. The expression of β-actin was analysed from the same cell lines as a loading control. V, vehicle control; V+E, vehicle control plus EGF stimulation; D, 200 nM dacomitinib treatment; D+E, 200 nM dacomitinib treatment plus EGF stimulation. (A) Representative western blot images. (B) Expression levels of the indicated proteins were quantified by densitometry and normalized to β-actin loading control. P-values (D+E vs. V+E) <0.05 were considered statistically significant. *P<0.05.
respectively, to further examine the cell responses to the combination therapy. Consistently, the 778 and 143B cells exhibited an enhanced inhibition of their colony-forming ability (Fig. 5), whereas the SW872 cells were still resistant to both monotherapies and combination therapy.

Enhancement of anoikis by dacomitinib and S3I-201 in sarcoma cell lines. Anchorage-independent growth (the ability to evade anoikis) using the 3D soft agar colony formation assay was then applied to assess cancer metastatic (anoikis-resistance) ability in sarcoma cell lines following treatment with dacomitinib and S3I-201 monotherapy or their combination. The results shown in Fig. 6 confirmed that combination therapy markedly enhanced anoikis (apoptosis occurred when the cells detached to the extracellular matrix) in the 778, 449B and 143B cells. However, this enhancement was not observed in the HOS cells, in which the combination therapy did not further enhance anoikis compared to treatment with S3I-201 alone treatment, nor in the SW872 cells, in which all treatments (both drug mono- and combination-therapies) did not restore anoikis.

Contribution of the downregulation of STAT3 phosphorylation to the enhanced effects observed with combination therapy. Using different methods (crystal violet colorimetric, clonogenic and anoikis assays), we demonstrated that combination treatment with S3I-201 enhanced the sensitivity to dacomitinib in the sarcoma cell lines. As a preliminary investigation of the potential mechanisms of action behind the enhancement, western blot analysis was performed on 3 sarcoma cell lines, representing a strong enhancement (778 cells), moderate
enhancement (449B cells) and resistance (SW872 cells). In
the 778 cells, treatment with S3I-201 alone downregulated
constitutive STAT3 phosphorylation; however, the blockage
of p-STAT3 was partially recovered by EGF stimulation.
As expected, the combination therapy induced almost
complete (94%) inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation, even
with EGF stimulation (Fig. 7). In the 449B cells, the addition
of 200 nM dacomitinib also led to the further blockage of
STAT3 phosphorylation compared to treatment with S3I-201
alone (from 77 to 90%). However, in the SW872 cells, which
exhibited resistance to both monotherapies and combination
treatment in our anti-proliferation assay, combination therapy
did not effectively inhibit STAT3 phosphorylation, as shown
by the results of western blot analysis (Fig. 7).

Comparison of panHER inhibition with HER1 in combination
with STAT3 inhibition. Previously, we reported that the addition
of the STAT3 inhibitor, S3I-201, to the EGFR inhibitor, gefi-
tinib, achieved synergistic anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic
effects in sarcoma cell lines (12). In this study, to compare the
potential effects of concurrent inhibition using dacomitinib-
S3I-201 with previous gefitinib-S3I-201, we examined the
growth-inhibiting ability of the combination therapies at the
clinically achievable concentrations for each drug (10 µM for

Figure 4. Growth inhibition curves following mono- or combination therapy using dacomitinib and S3I-201 in 7 soft tissue sarcoma and 2 osteosarcoma cell
lines. The cells were seeded into 96-well plates, followed by a 7-day treatment with the vehicle, dacomitinib alone, S3I-201 alone or combination of both
drugs. The concentrations of both drugs were (A) 5, 10 or 20 µM for S3I-201 and 0.05, 0.1 or 0.2 µM for dacomitinib; and (B) 10, 20 or 40 µM for S3I-201
and 1, 2 or 4 nM for dacomitinib. Cell viability was determined by the crystal violet colorimetric assay and the vehicle (DMSO)-treated cells were considered
as 100%. Data in the diagram represent the means ± SEM (standard error of the mean) from at least duplicate independent experiments with triplicate samples
within each experiment. There are two x-axes: top for S3I-201 (S) and bottom for dacomitinib (D). P-values (combination therapy versus dacomitinib/S3I-201
monotherapy) <0.05 were considered statistically significant. *P<0.01; **P<0.001.
After 24 h of seeding, the HT1080 cells were treated with the vehicle (DMSO), S3I-201, gefitinib, dacomitinib, gefitinib plus S3I-201 or dacomitinib plus S3I-201 for 3 or 7 days. In terms of combination versus monotherapy with dacomitinib or gefitinib, treatment with dacomitinib led to a similar P-value (0.024) as...
gefitinib (0.023) after 3 days of treatment, and to an even lower P-value (0.004) than gefitinib (0.079) after 7 days of treatment. The combination treatment with dacomitinib and S3I-201 (56 and 65% growth inhibition on days 3 and 7, respectively) significantly enhanced the anti-proliferative effects compared to dacomitinib monotherapy; however, it exerted less potent inhibitory effects than gefitinib-S3I-201 (98 and 99% inhibition) on sarcoma cell growth.

Discussion

In this study, we discovered that apart from EGFR/HER1, another HER family receptor, HER2, was also overexpressed in human sarcoma cell lines. The panHER inhibitor, dacomitinib, successfully inhibited the activation of HER family members (p-EGFR and p-HER2), as well as HER downstream pathway signalling transducers (p-AKT, p-Erk, p-p38 MAPK and p-SAPK/JNK). Despite the suppression of these pathways, the results of cell proliferation and colony formation assay revealed that all 13 sarcoma cell lines were insensitive to dacomitinib. We demonstrated that dacomitinib increased or did not significantly alter the ratio of oncogene p-STAT3 versus tumour suppressor p-STAT1, indicating that STAT3 may represent an escape pathway that correlates with the resistance of sarcoma cell lines to dacomitinib. To conclusively determine causation will require additional studies with siRNA and/or transgenic

Figure 7. The expression of phosphorylated and total STAT3 in sarcoma cell lines (449B, 778 and SW872) treated with V (vehicle control), P (panHER inhibitor dacomitinib), S (S3I-201) and P+S (combination therapy) with or without E (EGF) stimulation. The starved cells were initially treated with the drug(s) or vehicle for 24 h, followed by 15 min of EGF stimulation or not. The cell lysate was subject to western blot analysis. (A1, B1 and C1) Representative western blot images. (A2, B2 and C2) Expression levels of the indicated proteins were quantified by densitometry and normalized to β-actin loading control. P-values (compared to the vehicle) <0.05 were considered statistically significant. *P<0.05.

Figure 8. Anti-proliferative effects of the combination therapy using S3I-201 and gefitinib/dacomitinib. The HT1080 cells were seeded into 96-well plates, followed by a 3-day (A) or 7-day (B) treatment with vehicle, S (S3I-201, 20 µM), G (gefitinib, 10 µM), D (dacomitinib, 200 nM), G+S (gefitinib-S3I-201) or D+S (dacomitinib-S3I-201). Data in the diagram represent the means ± SEM (standard error of the mean) from at least duplicate independent experiments with triplicate samples within each experiment.
mouse models in the future. This mechanism (the STAT3 escape pathway), if further validated, may prove to be a targe-
table mechanism of resistance to EGFR blockade in sarcoma.

Even though the overexpression of p-EGFR and its down-
stream signal transducers is noted in sarcoma tissues and cell
lines and is negatively associated with sarcoma outcomes,
we have shown a limited inhibitory effect of EGFR pathway
blockade using the specific EGFR inhibitor, gefitinib, due
to the relative activation of STAT3 (12). The observation of
HER2-positive expression in sarcoma cell lines indicated that
the blockade of a single receptor of the HER family may be
compromised by signalling through other members. HER2
overexpression has been demonstrated to activate STAT3 and
to act as a transcriptional co-activator of STAT3 and contribute
to tumour initiation and growth (15-17). The activation of
HER2 signalling has been reported to be associated with
the primary resistance of metastatic colorectal carcinoma to
EGFR-targeted therapy (40). In addition, MET amplification/
overexpression has also been reported to promote resistance to
gefitinib by driving the HER3-dependent activation of PI3K
in a gefitinib-sensitive lung cancer cell line (HCC827) (41,42).
Therefore, we hypothesized that targeting all HER family
members using a panHER inhibitor may enhance the inhibi-
tory effects of targeted therapy in sarcoma. Dacomitinib is
a highly effective panHER inhibitor both in vitro and in vivo
in a broad range of human cancer cell lines (21-23,43-45).

In this study, we demonstrated that dacomitinib markedly
suppressed the activation of both EGFR/HER1 and HER2, as
well as their representative downstream signalling factors in
ras/raf/MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways, including p-AKT,
p-Erk, p-p38 and p-SAPK/JNK. This was consistent with the
findings of other studies on breast cancer and head and neck
squamous cell carcinoma cell lines (44,45). Despite this, the
anti-proliferative effect of dacomitinib in our study indicated
that all 13 sarcoma cell lines were resistant to the drug with
IC₅₀ values of ~1 µM, greater than that of our sensitive control
colonies (2/3 cell lines) and enhanced anoikis (3/5 of
sarcoma cell lines). Our preliminary STAT3 expression and
regulation analysis revealed the additional inactivation of
STAT3 by the combination treatment in sensitive sarcoma
cell lines. By contrast, in the SW872 liposarcoma cell line,
which exhibited resistance to the combination therapy in the
anti-proliferation assay, STAT3 activation was not inhibited
by the combination treatment. Comparing the current dacom-
inib-S3I-201 combination with the one in our previous study
(gefitinib-S3I-201) (13), we found that dacomitinib-S3I-201
did not demonstrate superior anti-proliferative activities.

Consistent with our findings, the activation of JAK/STAT3
signalling pathway mediated by autocrine and paracrine IL-6R
has been found to be associated with the development of drug
resistance to the irreversible panHER inhibitors, dacomitinib,
and afatinib in non-small cell lung cancer (50). The blockade
of the IL-6R/JAK/STAT3 signalling pathway significantly
enhanced the sensitivity to these irreversible inhibitors in both
in vitro and in vivo models. Taken together, these results of
STAT inhibition used to overcome primary resistance to EGFR
blockade encourage the further exploration of this approach.
Further experiments will require optimisation through next-
generation inhibitors, different drug ratios, and the sequence
of drug administration in vitro, followed by assessing the effec-
tiveness and safety of combination therapy using both panHER
and STAT3 inhibitors in vivo in sarcoma animal models.

We thus conclude that neither the first-generation reversible
specific EGFR inhibitor, gefitinib, nor the second-generation
irreversible panHER inhibitor, dacomitinib, as single agents
are likely to have clinical utility in sarcoma. The relative
abundance and activation of STAT3 may be involved in the
resistance mechanism in both EGFR- and panHER-targeted
therapies. To the best of our knowledge, our results are the
first to demonstrate the significantly and highly anti-proli-
ferative effects of the combination of the irreversible panHER
inhibitor, dacomitinib, and the STAT3 inhibitor, S3I-201, in
sarcoma cell lines. These results provide a rationale for further
in vitro and in vivo studies inhibiting both EGFR/panHER and
STAT3 in combination for the treatment of sarcoma.
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