Limited expression of cancer-testis antigens in renal cell carcinoma patients
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Abstract. The aim of this study was to evaluate the frequency of expression of the cancer-testis antigens (CTAs) NY-ESO-1, MAGE-A4 and SAGE, in renal cell carcinoma (RCC) patients compared to that in head and neck cancer (HNC) patients, which represent a positive control with a high incidence of CTA expression, to identify novel target antigens for immunotherapy. We prospectively examined frozen tissue samples collected from surgery or biopsy from 35 RCC and 40 HNC patients. Total RNA was extracted, and real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT)-PCR was performed to determine the expression of MAGE-A4, NY-ESO-1 and SAGE. MAGE-A4 was not detected in any of the RCC samples, although a low incidence of NY-ESO-1 (5.7%; 2/35) and SAGE (2.9%; 1/35) expression was observed. No samples demonstrated co-expression of the three CTAs. By contrast, a comparatively high incidence of CTA expression was detected in squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) specimens of HNC patients. The actual incidence was 42.5% (17/40) for MAGE-A4, 20% (8/40) for NY-ESO-1 and 15% (6/40) for SAGE. The incidence of co-expression was 7.5% (3/40) for MAGE-A4 and NY-ESO-1, 7.5% (3/40) for MAGE-A4 and SAGE, 7.5% (3/40) for NY-ESO-1 and SAGE, and 2.5% (1/40) for the CTAs. The number of HNC samples positive for MAGE-A4 was significantly higher compared to that of RCC samples. The remaining two antigens, NY-ESO-1 and SAGE, were expressed at high levels in HNC compared to RCC samples. Limited frequency of CTA (NY-ESO-1, MAGE-A4 and SAGE) expression was demonstrated in RCC compared to HNC samples.

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for 2% of all types of cancer, and the reported incidence is on the increase due to advances in imaging technology (1). Distant metastases have been reported to develop in 24-30% of the patients who undergo radical nephrectomy (2,3). RCC patients with metastases who are not surgical candidates receive immunotherapy, chemotherapy or molecular target-based therapy as palliative treatment. However, the survival of these patients is limited. The median survival times reported are 7-8.5 months following immunotherapy, 6-10 months following systemic chemotherapy (4) and <19.3 months following molecular target-based therapy (5,6). Therefore, additional therapeutic options for RCC patients with unresectable metastases are needed.

Several novel regimens that use targeted immunotherapeutic strategies, such as cancer vaccines against MN/CA9 (7) or HIFPH3 (8), have been evaluated in RCC cases. Further investigation of these strategies is required for the precise evaluation of their therapeutic efficacy. It is crucial to define appropriate target antigens that are frequently and specifically expressed in RCC to establish effective RCC immunotherapy.

Cancer-testis antigens (CTAs) are particularly attractive targets for immunotherapy, due to their unique expression profiles. While these antigens are highly expressed in adult male germ cells or placenta, they are completely absent from other normal adult tissues and demonstrate aberrant expression in a variety of malignant neoplasms (9,10). As adult male germ cells do not express major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I, CD8+ effector cells theoretically ignore these cells (11). MAGE, NY-ESO-1 and SAGE genes exhibit a similar expression pattern, and their immunogenicity as targets for cancer immunotherapy has been well-studied (12-16). In a recent study, we assessed the efficacy of immunotherapy for several types of cancer-targeting CTAs (17,18). As a first step towards the development of effective immunotherapy for RCC patients, it is crucial to evaluate the expression level of CTA in the targeted cancer. However, a limited number of studies has estimated the incidence of CTA expression in RCC cases, with controversial results (19-22). The aim of this study was to precisely evaluate the frequency of CTA expression in RCC with specific and quantitative methodology.
We performed quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for MAGE-A4, NY-ESO-1 and SAGE with specific primers in RCC compared to head and neck cancer (HNC) patients, which represent a positive control with a high incidence of CTA expression, to assess the future potential of CTA-targeted immunotherapy.

Materials and methods

Cases. Decisions regarding sample analysis were made following discussion with patients and obtaining their informed consent. Informed consent was obtained in accordance with the requirements of our Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the Mie University School of Medicine (Tsu, Japan).

Between September, 2009 and June, 2011, 35 RCC samples were obtained by surgery or biopsy. The 35 patients (29 males and 6 females) had a median age of 61.9 years (range, 41-87). The average tumor size was 5.0 cm (range, 1.8-12.0). Thirty-one patients had undergone radical nephrectomy, while one patient had initially undergone partial nephrectomy. In the remaining 3 cases computed tomography (CT)-guided biopsy was performed to obtain a tumor specimen prior to radiofrequency ablation.

Histological examination demonstrated that the 35 cases were clear cell RCC. Of these, 29 cases were grade ≤2, 4 were grade ≥3 and in 2 cases the grade classification was unknown (Table I).

Samples from 40 HNC cases were collected by surgery or biopsy for the positive control with a high incidence of CTA expression between December, 2008 and August, 2011. The 40 patients (28 males and 12 females) had a median age of 63.9 years (range, 34-87). The average tumor size was 5.9 cm (range, 1.3-29.0). Regarding cancer location, there were 23 cases of oral cancer (14 cases of tongue, 5 of buccal mucosal and 4 of gingival cancers), and 10 cases of pharyngeal cancer. There were also 5 cases of laryngeal and 2 of maxillary cancer (Table II). In this group, 31 patients had undergone radical resection, while punch core biopsy was performed in 9 patients to obtain a tumor specimen.

RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from frozen RCC and HNC tissue samples. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was prepared from 1 µg of total RNA using the QuantiTect® Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The quantitative PCR analysis for the expression of MAGE-A4, NY-ESO-1 and SAGE was performed in buffer composed of 1X QuantiTect Multiplex PCR NoROX Master mix (Qiagen), 1X Pre-Developed TaqMan® Assay Reagents Control kits (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and 0.4 mM of cognate primers and 0.2 mM of cognate probe. Following enzyme activation for 15 min at 95°C, 50 two-step cycles were performed (1 min at 94°C and 1 min at 60°C), using the Mx3000P system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Sequences of the primers and probes used in the present study were: MAGE-A4 F: 5’-GCAGTAATCCTGCGCGCTAT-3’ and R: 5’-CATTGACCCCTGACCACATGCT-3’; probe: 5’-FAM-CTCTGGGAAACCA-MGB-3’. NY-ESO-1 F: 5’-GGTGGCATACAATGTCGTGTCAT-3’; probe: 5’-FAM-TGTGTCCGGCAACATACTGACTATCCGA-TAMRA-3’. SAGE F: 5’-TGTCATTCACGATATCCAGGAGG-3’ and R: 5’-GGTGGCATACAATGTCGTGTCAT-3’; probe: 5’-FAM-TGTGTCCGGCAACATACTGACTATCCGA-TAMRA-3’.

Statistical analysis. Differences between groups were assessed with the Chi-square test or direct Fisher’s exact test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS software version 20 (SPSS Japan, Tokyo, Japan).

Results

CTA expression levels were evaluated using real-time RT-PCR in a total of 35 RCC and 40 HNC cases (a positive control
with a high incidence of CTA expression). MAGE-A4 was not detected in any of the RCC cases, although a low incidence of NY-ESO-1 (5.7%; 2/35) and SAGE (2.9%; 1/35) expression was observed. No case demonstrated co-expression of the three CTAs investigated.

By contrast, a comparatively high incidence of expression was detected in squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) specimens of HNC patients. The actual incidence was 42.5% (17/40) for MAGE-A4, 20% (8/40) for NY-ESO-1 and 15% (6/40) for SAGE. The incidence of co-expression was 7.5% (3/40) for MAGE-A4 and NY-ESO-1, 7.5% (3/40) for MAGE-A4 and SAGE, 7.5% (3/40) for NY-ESO-1 and SAGE, as well as 2.5% (1/40) for the CTAs.

The number of HNC samples that were positive for MAGE-A4 was significantly higher compared to that of RCC samples (P<0.001). The remaining two antigens, NY-ESO-1 and SAGE, demonstrated higher expression levels in NSC compared to RCC samples (Table III).

The antigens MAGA-A4, NY-ESO-1 and SAGE demonstrated a low incidence of expression in RCC, but a comparably high incidence of expression in HNC, when assessed with the real-time RT-PCR method.

In previous studies on CTA expression in RCC cases, MAGE-A4 (previously denoted as MAGE4), a member of the MAGEA gene family, was expressed in 30% (15/50) of the RCC cases (19), while MAGE1 or 2 demonstrated a comparably low incidence of expression based on RT-PCR assay (19,20). However, additional studies showed no expression of MAGE-A4 in 8 RCC cells using RT-PCR (22). MAGE -A4 expression was detected in these cells when they were treated with 5-Aza-CdR. Immunohistochemistry using the monoclonal antibody 57B that reacts with MAGE -A4 and other members of the MAGE family, including MAGE-A1, 3, 6 and 12, was reported with 0% (0/9) staining with RCC tissues (21). In this study, none of the 35 RCC cases was found to express MAGE-A4 following utilization of specific and quantitative assessment with real-time RT-PCR.

In previous studies, NY-ESO-1 mRNA expression was not detected by RT-PCR in 37 (20), 6 (24) and 10 (12) RCC cases. Our results also suggested a significantly low incidence of NY-ESO-1 expression (5.7%, 2/35).

To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has evaluated the expression of SAGE in RCC. Our results showed a low incidence of SAGE expression in RCC samples.

CTA expression is detected using several methods, including immunohistochemistry, RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR. Among these methods, real-time RT-PCR is able to quantify the RNA expression of the targeted protein, contributing to a highly sensitive outcome.

In the present study, the antigens MAGA-A4, NY-ESO-1 and SAGE demonstrated a low incidence of expression in RCC, but a comparably high incidence of expression in HNC, when assessed with the real-time RT-PCR method.

In previous studies on CTA expression in RCC cases, MAGE-A4 (previously denoted as MAGE4), a member of the MAGEA gene family, was expressed in 30% (15/50) of the RCC cases (19), while MAGE1 or 2 demonstrated a comparably low incidence of expression based on RT-PCR assay (19,20). However, additional studies showed no expression of MAGE-A4 in 8 RCC cells using RT-PCR (22). MAGE-A4 expression was detected in these cells when they were treated with 5-Aza-CdR. Immunohistochemistry using the monoclonal antibody 57B that reacts with MAGE-A4 and other members of the MAGE family, including MAGE-A1, 3, 6 and 12, was reported with 0% (0/9) staining with RCC tissues (21). In this study, none of the 35 RCC cases was found to express MAGE-A4 following utilization of specific and quantitative assessment with real-time RT-PCR.

In previous studies, NY-ESO-1 mRNA expression was not detected by RT-PCR in 37 (20), 6 (24) and 10 (12) RCC cases. Our results also suggested a significantly low incidence of NY-ESO-1 expression (5.7%, 2/35).

To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has evaluated the expression of SAGE in RCC. Our results showed a low incidence of SAGE expression in RCC samples.

Concerning HNC, the reported incidence of MAGE-A4 expression was 60.2-70% using immunofluorescence (25,26) and 60% using RT-PCR (27). A similar incidence of 42.5% (17/40) was found in this study.

NY-ESO-1 was expressed in 9.5-33% of HNC samples using immunofluorescence (25,26) and 6-6.7% using RT-PCR (26,28). Our results indicated that 20% of the 40 HNC cases expressed NY-ESO-1. SAGE was expressed in 27% of the
cases using RT-PCR (28), and in 15% of the HNC cases using real-time RT-PCR.

A discrepancy related to CTA expression existed, depending on the method of evaluation (23). In general, immunohistochemical analysis suggested relatively high CTA expression levels compared to RT-PCR (27). This discrepancy may have occurred due to uncoupling of transcription with RT-PCR on the different tissue sections (23). By contrast, the possibility of non-specific binding in immunohistochemical studies cannot be excluded.

To select the tumor types that are optimal candidates for a cancer vaccine based on actual CTA expression, real-time RT-PCR in several sections of each specimen and several evaluation methods, including immunohistochemistry, should be used on the same samples. The immunological response to a cancer vaccine should also be evaluated, depending on the results of CTA expression obtained following the use of various methods.

The meaning of the CTA expression in these tumors, aside from its use in the strategy of designing a cancer vaccine, needs to be further investigated. The correlation between CTA expression and survival or staging has been previously evaluated. Recent studies have indicated that solitary CTA expression was associated with a higher risk of poor outcomes in HNC patients (27). Findings of another study demonstrated NY-ESO-1-positive cases to have a good prognosis, as opposed to MAGE-A-positive cases (26). According to these studies, the correlation between CTA expression and prognosis is controversial, since it depends on the specific CTA assessed. The co-expression of CTA is believed to be based on the order of tumorogenesis (23) or the amount of demethylation, related to the cancer type (29). A high incidence of simultaneous expression of CTA was observed in HNC, and simultaneous expression of CTA tended to correlate with advanced tumor stage, but not survival (25). However, it was difficult to determine a correlation between solitary CTA expression or co-expression with stage or survival, due to the low incidence of CTA expression itself in RCC cases. In the future, the correlation of solitary expression or co-expression of CTA associated with prognosis should be evaluated for various CTAs.

CTA expression was also evaluated as a diagnostic tool, focusing on RCC and differential diagnosis between chromophobe RCC and oncocytoma. The incidence of MAGE-A3/4 expression was 88.2% (15/17) for oncocytoma and 38.9% (7/18) for chromophobe RCC. In addition, the incidence of NY-ESO-1 expression was 88.2% (15/17) for oncocytoma and 33.3% (6/18) for chromophobe RCC (30). In this study, although a small number of cases was evaluated, NY-ESO-1 expression was demonstrated in 33.3% (1/3) of the chromophobe cases (data not shown), a fact which was in agreement with previous studies (30). We evaluated CTA expression in other types of RCC to determine its value for predicting poor prognosis or malignancy, including distinguishing between chromophobe RCC and oncocytoma.

Since quantitative real-time RT-PCR provided stable results compared to the methods used previously, our screening method should be useful as the starting point of designing immunotherapy strategies. The results of this study strongly suggest the need to explore additional antigens that are expressed in the same frequency and tumor-specific manner in RCC, for the development of effective immunotherapy of this type of malignancy.

In conclusion, the results of this study have shown that with quantitative real-time PCR, NY-ESO-1, MAGE-A4 and SAGE are expressed at a significantly low frequency in RCC patients. Screening to detect additional CTAs should be continued in the future to develop targeted immunotherapeutic strategies for cancer vaccines in RCC.
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