Synergistic interactions of the anti-casein kinase 2 CIGB-300 peptide and chemotherapeutic agents in lung and cervical preclinical cancer models
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Abstract. CIGB-300 is a novel clinical-stage synthetic peptide that impairs the casein kinase 2 (CK2)-mediated phosphorylation of B23/nucleophosmin in different experimental settings and cancer models. As a single agent, CIGB-300 induces apoptosis in vitro and in vivo and modulates an array of proteins that are mainly involved in drug resistance, cell proliferation and apoptosis, as determined by proteomic analysis. However, the clinical oncology practice and cumulative knowledge on tumor biology suggest that drug combinations are more likely to cope with tumor complexity compared to single agents. In this study, we investigated the antiproliferative effect of CIGB-300 when combined with different anticancer drugs, such as cisplatin (alkylating), paclitaxel (antimitotic), doxorubicin (antitopoisomerase II) or 5-fluorouracil (DNA/RNA antimetabolite) in cell lines derived from lung and cervical cancer. Of note, using a Latin square design and subsequent analysis by CalcuSyn software, we observed that paclitaxel and cisplatin exhibited the best synergistic/additive profile when combined with CIGB-300, according to the combination and dose reduction indices. Such therapeutically favorable profiles may be explained by a direct cytotoxic effect and also by the observed cell cycle impairment following incubation of tumor cells with selected drug combinations. Importantly, on in vivo dose-finding schedules in human cervical tumors xenografted in nude mice, we observed that concomitant administration of CIGB-300 and cisplatin increased mice survival compared to single-agent treatment. Collectively, these findings provide a rationale for combining the anti-CK2 CIGB-300 peptide with currently available anticancer agents in the clinical setting and indicate platins and taxanes as compounds with major perspectives.

Introduction

The implication of constitutive and often exacerbated enzymatic activity of casein kinase 2 (CK2) in the maintenance of the malignant phenotype has been firmly established by in vitro and in vivo preclinical experimentation and epidemiological findings in several types of tumors (1,2). Based on such knowledge, different groups are currently engaged in the quest for highly potent and specific CK2 inhibitors, which may overcome the limitations of first-generation anti-CK2 compounds, such as 5,6-dichloro-1-((β-D-ribofuranosyl)-1H-benzimidazole (DRB), 4,5,6,7-tetabromo-1H-benzimidazole, 4,5,6,7-tetabromo-1H-benzotriazole (TBB) and 2-dimethylamino-4,5,6,7-tetabromo-1H-benzimidazole (3-8). Such new-generation anti-CK2 compounds are ultimately represented by CX-4945, a small-molecule adenosine triphosphate (ATP) competitor currently in clinical cancer trials (9,10). Focusing on the same tumor-specific CK2 signaling but aiming to abrogate such events by binding to the phosphoacceptor domain on its substrates, the CIGB-300 peptide was discovered by screening a phage display library against a model CK2 substrate (11).

In different experimental settings and preclinical cancer models, CIGB-300 was shown to inhibit the CK2-mediated phosphorylation of the validated CK2 substrate B23/nucleophosmin, leading to a fast cell death by apoptosis (12,13). However, considering the high degree of conservation for the CK2 phosphoacceptor domain, a multitarget effect may be anticipated (14). Such a multitarget effect may better explain the diverse arrays of proteins and processes that appear to be modulated by CIGB-300 and its already established antiangiogenic effect (15,16). Of note, CIGB-300 exerts a broad antiproliferative effect on cell lines derived from breast, cervical, lung, colon and prostate cancer, while a robust antitumor effect was also observed in vivo in mouse models of cervical and lung cancer (13,17,18). In the clinical setting, CIGB-300 was
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investigated in a phase I clinical trial on high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSILs), establishing its safety and tolerability by local injection (19). More recently, another phase I clinical study on patients with stage IB2/II cervical cancer allowed us to estimate the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and the pharmacokinetics/biodistribution profiles for CIGB-300 following local administration (20). Moreover, a phase I study on lung and haematological cancers further demonstrated that CIGB-300 may also be administered by intravenous injection without significant toxicity (unpublished data). Of note, the first evidence regarding the antitumor effect of CIGB-300 in humans was recently collected from case studies (21).

Despite these promising preclinical and clinical findings using the CIGB-300 peptide, the clinical oncology setting and cumulative knowledge on cancer biology suggest that drug combinations are more likely to cope with tumor complexity compared to single agents (22). Of note, previous data from proteomics studies demonstrated that CIGB-300 modulates a group of proteins directly involved in anticancer drug resistance (15). Therefore, in this study, we evaluated the antiproliferative effect of CIGB-300 when combined with four different chemotherapeutics drugs in two model cell lines derived from lung and cervical cancer. With the aim to select the optimal combination in these two clinically relevant tumor types, the peptide was combined in a pairwise manner with anticancer drugs, such as antimitotic (paclitaxel), alkylating (cisplatin), antitopoisomerase II (doxorubicin) or DNA/RNA antimetabolite (5-fluorouracil) agents. Based on the estimation of the combination index (CI) (23), all the interactions were classified as synergistic, additive or antagonistic and the direct effect on cell viability and proliferation was analyzed. Finally, the potential benefit of one selected drug combination over each monotherapy was corroborated in vivo in a mouse model of cervical cancer.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and chemotherapeutic agents. The NCI-H125 non-small-lung cancer cell line and the SiHa cervix-derived squamous carcinoma cell line were originally acquired from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in RPMI or Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, unless otherwise stated. The clinical grade chemotherapeutic drugs paclitaxel, cisplatin, doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil (Drug Research and Development Center, Havana, Cuba) were kindly provided by the Oncology Service of the National Institute of Oncology and Radiobiology (Havana, Cuba) or from the Hermanos Ameijeiras Hospital (Havana, Cuba).

CIGB-300. The CIGB-300 peptide was synthesized on solid phase, purified by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography to >98% purity on acetonitrile/H2O-trifluoroacetic acid gradient and confirmed by ion-spray mass spectrometry (Micromass, Manchester, UK).

Drug combination assays. The 48-h sulforhodamine B (SRB)-based assay was adopted from the National Cancer Institute to measure the cytotoxic effect of each drug combination (24). Sulforhodamine B sodium salt was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). NCI-H125 or SiHa cell suspensions (6x10^4 cells/ml) were seeded on 96-well plates (Corning Costar; Sigma-Aldrich,) and on the next day, a curve of serial dilutions for each compound (1:2 or 1:10 on RPMI) were added and incubated for 48 h. Following plate reading, the data were analyzed by the CalcuSyn software, which is based on the median effect equation (23,25). The type of interaction was scored as synergistic, additive or antagonistic, according to the obtained CI. The interaction maps were represented by color-coded surfaces rendering a 3D visual effect within a 2D plot. The maps were built by superimposing filled contours (CI) and isolone plots (Fa, fraction affected), partially scripted with Matlab® R2012a software (7.14.0.739; http://www.mathworks.com/).

Cytotoxicity by propidium iodide (PI) exclusion. NCI-H125 or SiHa cells were seeded at 6x10^4 cells/ml on 24-well culture plates (Corning Costar) and incubated for 24 h. On the next day, selected concentrations of CIGB-300, paclitaxel, cisplatin, or their combinations, were added to the plates and incubated for 0.5, 2, 5, 8, 24 and 36 h. The cells were subsequently washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stained for 10 min with 5 µg/ml PI solution. Finally, the stained cells were analyzed on the FL2 channel using the Partec PAS III particle analyzing system flow cytometer and their proprietary FloMax v2.4f software (Partec GmbH, Münster, Germany).

Cell cycle analysis. The cell cultures were incubated with selected concentrations of CIGB-300, paclitaxel, cisplatin, or their combinations for 24 h. Subsequently, the cells were collected by trypsinization, washed and fixed with ice-cold methanol/acetone (4:1) at 4°C for 1 h. The cells were then stained by incubation for 20 min at 37°C with a solution of 100 mg/ml PI and 10 mg/ml of DNase-free RNase. Finally, the stained cells were analyzed on the abovementioned PAS III flow cytometer using the cell cycle analyzer from the FloMax software. Prior to fitting the DNA distribution to a diploid DNA content for cell cycle profiling, cellular debris and doublets were properly excluded by gating out in FL3-A vs. FL3-W two-parameter dot plots.

In vivo experiments. Scheme A. A total of 28, 8-week-old male nude mice (Harlan Laboratories GmbH, Eysstrup, Germany/National Atomic Energy Commission, Buenos Aires, Argentina) were injected subcutaneously in the right flank with 4x10^6 SiHa cells in 300 µl PBS. When the tumors reached 30 mm³, the mice were randomly assigned into 7 groups (n=4 per group) and were administered placebo, CIGB-300 (days 1-5, 50 or 200 µg), cisplatin (days 1, 3 and 5, 1 or 4 mg/kg), or their combinations, by intraperitoneal (cisplatin) or intratumoral (CIGB-300) routes.

Scheme B. A total of 35 8-week-old female nude mice (Harlan Laboratories GmbH/National Atomic Energy Commission) were injected with 3x10^6 SiHa cells as described for scheme A. When the tumors reached 30 mm³, the mice were randomly assigned into 7 groups (n=5 per group) and injected with placebo, CIGB-300 (days 1-5, 100 or 200 µg), cisplatin (days 1, 3 and 5, 3 or 6 mg/kg), or their combinations,
The tumors were measured every other day with a caliper and their volumes were calculated as follows: volume = length x width^2/2. Survival was daily registered during the experimentation, unless the tumor volumes reached 2,000 mm^3, in which case the animals were sacrificed due to ethical considerations. The mice were maintained under pathogen-free conditions and all the procedures were performed in accordance with the recommendations for the proper use and care of laboratory animals at the Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (Havana, Cuba).

Statistical analysis. The tumor volumes among the different groups were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post hoc test. The log-rank test was applied for the survival analysis of the Kaplan-Meier curves. All the statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 4.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego CA, USA).

Results

Antiproliferative effect of CIGB-300 and chemotherapeutic drugs as single agents. In order to select a suitable range of drug concentrations for combination experiments, we first evaluated the antiproliferative effect of CIGB-300 and four different chemotherapeutics drugs used in the treatment of lung (paclitaxel and cisplatin) and cervical cancer (paclitaxel, cisplatin, doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil) with the SRB-based assay (Fig. 1).

The fitting of each curve to the median effect equation allowed us to estimate the half maximal inhibitory drug concentration (IC_{50}), also referred to as drug potency, and the steepness or shape of the curve for each individual compound.

Table I. Drug potency (IC_{50}) and steepness (m) of the dose-response curves obtained after fitting the SRB-based assay data to the median effect equation using the CalcuSyn software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>IC_{50} (µM)</th>
<th>Drug range (µM)</th>
<th>IC_{50} (µM)</th>
<th>Drug range (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIGB-300</td>
<td>CK2-targeted therapy</td>
<td>69.80</td>
<td>6.25-200</td>
<td>91.10</td>
<td>12.5-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel</td>
<td>Antimitotic</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00002-2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00002-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>Alkylating</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>0.31-10</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>1.56-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>Antitopoisomerase II</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00025-25</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00025-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Fluorouracil</td>
<td>RNA/DNA antimetabolite</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>0.02-2000</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.02-200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The classification of each anticancer compound and the selected range of concentrations for further combinations experiments are also shown. SRB, sulforhodamine B; CK2, casein kinase 2; IC_{50}, half maximal inhibitory concentration.
As expected, due to the diverse nature and mechanism of action of the tested compounds, the results demonstrated that these drugs differ in both parameters. While CIGB-300 exerts a major effect at >50 μM in both cell lines, the majority of the chemotherapeutic drugs exert a significant effect on cell proliferation at <5 μM. The flat sigmoidal shape (m<1) of certain drugs, such as paclitaxel, doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil, prompted us to use serial 10-fold dilutions in the combination experiments to cover an extensive range of cytotoxic effects.

Drug combination experiments. To determine the type of interaction (i.e., synergistic, additive or antagonistic) between the CIGB-300 peptide and conventional chemotherapeutic drugs in the two tumor types, we used the CalcuSyn software. A Latin square design was selected to investigate such interactions across a range of different drug concentrations, which included clinically achievable drug levels. Representative examples of the graphs generated from the analysis of two particular drug combinations, i.e., CIGB-300 plus paclitaxel in NCI-H125 cells (non-constant ratio combinations) and CIGB-300 plus cisplatin in SiHa cells (constant-ratio combinations), are shown in Fig. 2.

To visualize the results obtained from all 8 combination experiments (i.e., CIGB-300 pairwise combined with 4 drugs in 2 cell lines), an interaction surface was built by representing in a color code the estimated CI for each particular pair of drug combinations (i.e., 6x6 concentration matrix) (Figs. 3 and 4). Moreover, in order to assess the actual relevance of the observed interaction pattern, we also represented the Fa by the combination over this 2D graphical display of interaction data.

The results of the combination experiments in the SiHa cervical cancer cell line demonstrated that CIGB-300 interacts synergistically with paclitaxel in >50% of the interaction surface, with effect levels or Fa>60% of the cell population, while only small areas of antagonism were registered at extreme concentrations (i.e., low for both drugs or very high for paclitaxel) (Fig. 3A). A similar but less promising interaction pattern was observed for the combination of CIGB-300 plus doxorubicin, where regions of additive or slight antagonism between the two drugs were found to be more predominant at higher effect levels (>50%) when the concentration of doxorubicin increased (Fig. 3C). An intermediary scenario was observed for the combination of CIGB-300 plus cisplatin, with >70% of the interaction surface exhibiting an additive pattern even at high effect levels (40‑85%) (Fig. 3B). The worse combination scenario was clearly observed for the CIGB-300 plus 5‑fluorouracil combination, where a strong antagonism was observed in approximately half of the interaction surface, with synergistic interaction areas only seen at very high concentrations of both drugs (Fig. 3D).

In the NCI-H125 lung cancer cell line, the combination of CIGB-300 plus paclitaxel exhibited the best synergistic profile, with >75% of the interaction surface displaying a CI<0.7 and effect levels >50% (Fig. 4A). Of note, a similar interaction pattern in lung and cervical cancer cells was observed for the combination of CIGB-300 plus doxorubicin, with significant areas of the interaction surface exhibiting an additive pattern at effect levels >60% (Fig. 4B). Finally, a moderate (CI=1.20) to strong antagonism (CI>3.3) was observed practically across the entire interaction surface when the combinations of CIGB-300 plus doxorubicin and CIGB-300 plus 5‑fluorouracil were evaluated in NCI-H125 cells (Fig. 4C and D).

Another important output derived from the analysis of drug interaction data using CalcuSyn is the dose reduction
Figure 3. Interaction surface built using the estimated combination index (CI) for each combination experiment comprising the CIGB-300 peptide and the chemotherapeutic drugs (A) paclitaxel, (B) cisplatin, (C) doxorubicin, or (D) 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) in the SiHa cervical cancer cell line. The CI was calculated with the CalcuSyn software for each particular drug combination and represented in 2D color code graphics or interaction surface. The color code spans from strong synergism (dark blue, CI<0.30) to strong antagonism (red, CI>3.3). The graphics also include the fraction affected (Fa; expressed in %) by each combination across such an interaction surface (dotted line on top). The concentrations of each particular drug in the combinations are denoted on each axis.

Figure 4. Interaction surface built using the estimated combination index (CI) for each combination experiment comprising the CIGB-300 peptide and the chemotherapeutic drugs (A) paclitaxel, (B) cisplatin, (C) doxorubicin, or (D) 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) in the NCI-H125 lung cancer cell line. The graphics show the fraction affected (Fa) by each combination across such an interaction surface and the concentrations of each particular drug in the combinations.
index (DRI). This parameter is inversely associated with CI and represents the number of times each single drug may be reduced in a combination setting without compromising the final therapeutic effect (23). The estimated DRI from the combination of CIGB-300 plus paclitaxel indicated that, in a synergistic scenario like those exemplified in Table II, 5-fold less peptide (DRI=5.3) is required to achieve an antiproliferative effect level of 94% in NCI-H125 cells (Fa=0.94). Furthermore, in the same combination setting, the concentration of paclitaxel may be reduced 3,250 times without compromising the final antiproliferative effect in lung cancer cells (Table II). A significant DRI was also achieved in the combination of CIGB-300 plus cisplatin for the peptide (DRI=8.5) but not for cisplatin, where only a small DRI was observed (DRI=1.2) (Table II). As expected, in the majority of the combination experiments where a synergistic pattern was observed, important DRIs were registered for all the drugs (data not shown).

**Effect of drug combinations on cell cycle and viability.** The characterization of the type of interaction performed thus far was based on a global proliferative readout (i.e., cell mass staining) from the SRB-based assay. To evaluate how selected drug combinations affect cell proliferation and viability, we measured the DNA content and the loss of cytoplasmic membrane integrity as indicators of cell cycle progression and cell cytotoxicity, respectively. Two particular synergistic drug combinations, namely CIGB-300 plus paclitaxel in lung cancer cells and CIGB-300 plus cisplatin in cervical cancer cells, were selected for the analysis (Table II, Figs. 3 and 4).

The effect of the combination of CIGB-300 plus paclitaxel on cell cycle progression in lung cancer cells was determined...
using PI to stain genomic DNA. After gating out cellular debris and excluding cell doublets, the DNA distribution was fitted to a diploid DNA content for cell cycle profiling. The analysis demonstrated that both CIGB-300 and paclitaxel cause a cell cycle arrest in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle (15 and 46%, respectively), while their combination caused cell cycle arrest at the S (27%) and G2/M (15%) phases (Fig. 5A). Of note, in SiHa cells, CIGB-300 at 25 µM did not affect the cell cycle distribution, whereas cisplatin and the combination caused only a mild arrest at the S phase (10%) (data not shown).

As regards the cytotoxicity of the selected drug combinations, the results obtained with the combination of CIGB-300 plus paclitaxel in lung cancer cells corroborated the previously observed synergistic interaction. We observed a stronger cytotoxic effect for the combination compared to the one registered for each drug alone, which was more evident after 24 and 36 h of incubation (Fig. 5B). This kinetic experiment also revealed that, while paclitaxel-induced cytotoxicity increases gradually from 2 to 36 h, the effect of CIGB-300 may be seen as early as 0.5 h, reaching a maximum effect between 5 and 8 h and after 36 h. Finally, the cytotoxic effect of CIGB-300 alone at 25 µM was found to be negligible in the SiHa cervical cancer cell line compared to that of cisplatin alone or its combination with CIGB-300 (Fig. 5C).

In vivo drug combination in a cervical cancer animal model. To corroborate the potential benefit of combining the CIGB-300 with chemotherapy in vivo, we evaluated the effect of simultaneous administration of the peptide and cisplatin on tumor growth and survival following the inoculation of SiHa cells in nude mice. Once the tumor mass reached 30 mm³ (day 0), the mice were randomized into groups and injected with CIGB-300, cisplatin or their combinations at indicated doses, according to schemes A and B described in Materials and methods. (A and B) Tumor growth and Kaplan-Meier survival curves obtained from treated animals in scheme A. (C and D) Tumor growth and survival curves obtained from treated animals in scheme B.

Of note, in this experimental setting, the lower dose combination of CIGB-300 plus cisplatin achieved a more significant tumor growth delay and survival compared to each monotherapy alone (Fig. 6A insert and Fig. 6B). At day 37, the group treated with this combination (CIGB-300 50 µg plus cisplatin 1 mg/kg) resulted in 77% tumor growth inhibition (T/C=0.23), while the corresponding monotherapies achieved a less significant effect (CIGB-300: 63% inhibition, T/C=0.37; and cisplatin: 68% inhibition, T/C=0.32) (Fig. 6A insert). However, no significant differences were observed between such groups (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test). The superiority regarding the tumor growth inhibition of combining the higher doses of each compound (CIGB-300 200 µg plus cisplatin 4 mg/kg) was rather subtle, as a consequence of the strong effect of cisplatin alone (80% vs. 79%, respectively) (Fig. 6A). By contrast, the survival analysis indicated that the combination of the lower doses of CIGB-300 and cisplatin significantly increased the survival (5.5 days) of tumor-bearing mice compared to each monotherapy alone (combination vs. CIGB-300, P<0.05; combination vs. cisplatin, P<0.01), whereas the higher dose combination prolonged survival up to 8 days over each single agent (combination vs. CIGB-300, P<0.01; combination vs. cisplatin, P<0.01) (log-rank test) (Fig. 6B).

In scheme B (CIGB-300:cisplatin, 1.7:1), although both single agents and their combinations exerted a potent antitumor
effect (>70% inhibition, T/C 0.25-0.17, day 31), the differences in tumor growth inhibition for monotherapies compared to combination treatments did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 6C insert). However, the survival analysis indicated that the lower dose combination (CIGB-300 100 µg plus cisplatin 3 mg/kg) prolonged mouse survival at least by 9 days compared to each monotherapy alone (P<0.05) (Fig. 6D).

Discussion

CIGB-300 is a novel clinical stage molecule designed to impair CK2-mediated phosphorylation by binding to the conserved phosphoacceptor domain on its substrates (11). The antineoplastic effect of this peptide has been well documented in the preclinical setting in vitro and in vivo (13,17,18). In 2006, the peptide entered a phase I clinical trial on patients with HSILs, where safety and efficacy signs were registered (19). Moreover, in patients with stage 1B2/II cervical cancer, the MTD, biodistribution and modulation of a response biomarker were investigated following local administration of CIGB-300 (20). Finally, evidence of the antitumor activity of CIGB-300 in humans was recently collected from case studies (21).

Although preclinical and clinical findings support the use of the CIGB-300 peptide as a monotherapy, it is widely accepted that the combination of drugs in the field of oncology are more likely to cope with tumor complexity compared to single-agent treatment (22). Such a view is sustained by decades of clinical practice and by cumulative knowledge on tumor biology (26). Drug combination therapies are commonly used in order to increase therapeutic efficacy, reduce toxicity and decrease the incidence of drug resistance. In this scenario, the combination of targeted therapies plus conventional chemotherapy has emerged as an alternative therapeutic option that requires further investigation (27).

To the best of our knowledge, the antineoplastic effect of the CIGB-300 peptide when combined with different chemotherapeutic drugs currently used in the treatment of lung and cervical cancer was first investigated in this study. The drugs used included antimitotic and DNA alkylating agents, topoisomerase II inhibitors and DNA/RNA antimetabolites, hence targeting different global cellular processes. In order to avoid false claims of synergism commonly found in the literature, we performed our interaction studies using the CalcuSyn software, which is based on the median effect equation described by Chou (23). One of the main advantages of this analysis over the classical isobologram is that the type of interaction can be scored through an entire range of drug combinations and concentrations or ratios are difficult to achieve (32), broad favorable interaction surfaces in vitro (i.e., synergistic or additive) may suggest a higher chance of obtaining an antitumor effect at the organism level, both in mouse models and hopefully the clinical setting. Likewise, such favorable profiles provide us with more confidence to exclude potential antagonism arising from drug combinations at particular ratios or concentrations. Following this rationale and taking into account that cervical cancer is one of the clinical niches where CIGB-300 is currently being evaluated as a monotherapy (20), we attempted to corroborate
the potential therapeutic benefit of combining CIGB-300 with cisplatin in vivo after inoculating human cervical cancer cells in nude mice. Although such experiments were initially designed to be analyzed by CalcuSyn, the reduction of tumor growth with monotherapies and drug combinations were far above the IC_{50} in all cases (T/C<0.5), thus precluding the generation of reliable dose-response curves for CI determination (23). However, our results demonstrated that two different CIGB-300 plus cisplatin combinations (i.e., different doses and drug ratios) significantly increased animal survival when compared to each monotherapy alone. Such in vivo results are in complete accordence with the broad additive interaction surface observed with the concentration range and drug ratios evaluated in vitro in the antiproliferative setting.

Although drug combination experiments may be performed over days or weeks, the elucidation of the cellular and molecular basis underlying the observed interactions typically requires years of research. The analysis at the cellular level for one particular drug combination (i.e., CIGB-300 plus paclitaxel) in lung cancer cells indicated that the observed synergistic interaction arose from their effect on cell cycle progression and viability. However, only cytotoxicity appeared to contribute to the observed synergism regarding the combination of CIGB-300 plus cisplatin in cervical cancer cells, suggesting that particular drug combinations affect cell proliferation at different levels.

The first molecular clues that may explain the synergistic interaction with anticancer drugs in lung cancer cells were previously revealed by proteomics analysis of CIGB-300-treated NCI-H125 cells (15). In such studies, the peptide modulated an array of proteins associated with drug resistance and survival, which may favor the cytotoxic effect of several chemotherapeutic drugs. One of the downregulated proteins was found to be the nuclease-sensitive element-binding protein 1 (YB-1), a transcriptional factor that activates the expression of the multidrug resistance 1 protein (MDR1) in response to genotoxic stress (33). MDR1 pumps out a myriad of cytotoxic compounds, including paclitaxel; therefore, a putative decrease in MDR1 expression via YB-1 may explain the increased sensitivity of tumor cells to paclitaxel (34). Furthermore, YB-1 participates in the nucleotide excision repair pathway and plays a role in cisplatin-DNA adduct reparation (35); therefore, its downregulation may also account for the observed additive pattern in the combination of CIGB-300 plus cisplatin. Intriguingly, although YB-1 is a validated substrate for CK2 (36), this protein does not interact with CIGB-300 according to previous pull-down experiments conducted on lung cancer cells (12).

CIGB-300 was also shown to decrease the levels of glutathione S-transferase Pi (GST-Pi), a cytoplasmic detoxifying enzyme, whose increased levels correlate with resistance to a wide range of drugs (37). Although paclitaxel and cisplatin are not direct substrates for this enzyme, both drugs require the activation of the MAP kinase pathway to exert their maximal cytotoxicity, which is prevented by high levels of GST-Pi. Moreover, at least three other proteins associated with drug resistance were clearly downregulated by CIGB-300 in NCI-H125 cells (15). Whether the synergistic/additive interaction patterns observed with the combination of CIGB-300 with cisplatin or paclitaxel may be explained by these molecular events require elucidation by further studies.

Despite the availability of a significant number of studies on CK2, the number of reports on the use of CK2 inhibitors in combination with anticancer compounds remains limited (38). Such pioneering studies mainly rely on the genetic manipulation of the catalytic subunit CK2α or the use of the first-generation semi-selective CK2 inhibitors DRB and TBB (38). Although these former studies provided valuable preclinical data, only with the advent of clinical-grade inhibitors the true potential of combining anti-CK2 approaches with conventional or emerging therapies may be translated into clinical grounds. Apart from the CIGB-300 peptide, only the ATP-competitive inhibitor CX-4945 has been evaluated in humans as an anti-CK2 therapy (9,10). A synergistic pattern for particular drug concentrations or ratios was reported when CX-4945 was combined with different compounds targeting the phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling axis in breast or lung preclinical cancer models (39,40). Considering that CK2 phosphorylates critical substrates in this pathway (e.g., Akt, phosphatase and tensin homolog, p70S6K), the rationale behind such combination experiments was to reinforce the inhibitory effect of compounds such as erlotinib (epithelial growth factor receptor), LY294002 (PI3K) or the dual inhibitor PI-103 (PI3K and mTOR). Moreover, the combination of CX-4945 with cisplatin also resulted in a synergistic drug interaction in two ovarian cancer cell lines according to the Bliss additivity method (41,42). Beyond the fact that the methods of drug interaction analysis differ, those studies only evaluated particular drug concentrations in vitro, without providing an explanation for its selection or investigating a wide range of concentrations for each of the compounds (39,41).

As a novel approach to impair the CK2-mediated signaling, we investigated the potential therapeutic benefit of combining the CIGB-300 peptide with different cytotoxic compounds targeting global cellular processes, which are firmly established in clinical oncology. Although we are currently focused on the elucidation of the molecular and cellular basis of observed synergistic/additive interactions, the preclinical data provided in this study may pave the way for near-future clinical trials investigating the combination of the CIGB-300 peptide with conventional chemotherapeutic agents, such as paclitaxel and cisplatin in two frequent human cancers.
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