Efficacy of totally laparoscopic distal gastrectomy for gastric cancer in elderly patients
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Abstract. Elderly patients are often considered as high-risk for major abdominal surgery due to reduced functional reserve and increased comorbidities. We herein evaluated the efficacy of totally laparoscopic distal gastrectomy (TLDG) in elderly patients with gastric cancer by measuring the postoperative systemic responses and postoperative analgesic consumption. A total of 102 patients with gastric cancer [57 who underwent TLDG and 45 who underwent laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy (LADG)] were enrolled in this study. The patients were classified as elderly (aged ≥75 years) and non-elderly (aged <75 years) groups. The surgical outcome and postoperative analgesic consumption were evaluated. The elderly group exhibited a higher incidence of comorbidities and a longer postoperative hospital stay compared with those of younger patients, although there was no difference in the incidence of postoperative complications. In addition, the total consumption of additional analgesics until postoperative day 5 in patients who underwent TLDG was significantly lower compared with that in patients who underwent LADG in the elderly group; there was no such difference in the non-elderly group. The results suggested that TLDG was better for the management of postoperative pain in elderly patients with gastric cancer, who exhibit the highest mortality rates in the adult surgical population.

Introduction

The aging trend in Japan and Korea has been significant, with >30% of gastric cancer patients in these countries being aged ≥70 years (1,2). Elderly patients are often considered as high-risk for major abdominal surgery due to reduced functional reserve and increased comorbidities (3).

Since the first published report of laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy (LADG) for early gastric cancer in 1994 (4), the number of patients who have undergone LADG in Japan has significantly increased; according to a Japanese nationwide survey of endoscopic surgery, >9,000 cases of LADG were performed in 2013 (5). It has been reported that LADG for early gastric cancer has long-term oncological outcomes equivalent to those of conventional open distal gastrectomy (CODG) (6). In addition, several meta-analyses have demonstrated short-term advantages for LADG over CODG in terms of intraoperative blood loss, postoperative analgesic consumption and length of hospital stay, as well as a better cosmetic outcome (7-9). Recent advances in laparoscopic techniques have facilitated the development of several intracorporeal anastomosis methods, which enabled totally laparoscopic gastrectomy to be performed without additional incisions for anastomosis (10-14).

In this study, we evaluated the efficacy of totally laparoscopic distal gastrectomy (TLDG) in elderly patients with gastric cancer through measuring postoperative systemic responses and postoperative analgesic consumption.

Patients and methods

Patients. A total of 102 consecutive patients with gastric cancer underwent laparoscopic distal gastrectomy at the Department of Surgery, National Defense Medical College Hospital (Tokorozawa, Japan) between 2010 and 2014. Prior to August, 2012, LADG was performed in 45 patients; after that time, TLDG was performed in 57 patients among all eligible cases. The patients were classified into elderly (aged ≥75 years) and non-elderly (aged <75 years) groups. The clinicopathological characteristics of the patients were evaluated on the basis of the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma (3rd English edition) published by the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association (15). There was no change in the delivery of postoperative care during this period. The medical and nursing charts of the patients were retrospectively evaluated for preoperative status, type of surgical procedure, analgesic consumption and postoperative systemic response, including white blood cell (WBC) count, body temperature, heart rate, levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and all laboratory data up to postoperative day (POD) 7.
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This was a non-randomized study and informed consent was obtained from all the participants prior to study initiation.

Operative procedures. Following induction of general anesthesia with an epidural catheter, each patient was placed in the supine position. The surgeon was positioned on the left side of the patient, the first assistant was on the right, and the laparoscopist was located between the abducted legs of the patient. A camera port was inserted into a median umbilical incision. Following this, a pneumoperitoneum of 12 mmHg was created and 4 additional ports (2 ports of 12 mm in diameter and 2 ports of 5 mm in diameter) were inserted under laparoscopic imaging into the left upper, right lower, left lower, and right upper quadrants. An ultrasonically-activated sealing device (Harmonic Scalpel Ace; Ethicon, Tokyo, Japan) and a vessel-sealing device (LigaSure V; Tyco Healthcare, Tokyo, Japan) were used in the dissecting procedures.

For the LADG cases, following gastrectomy with lymphadenectomy, depending on the tumor stage, a median superior abdominal incision ~4 cm in length was created (Fig. 1A). A Billroth I reconstruction was then usually performed by the hemi-double stapling technique using a 25-mm circular stapler (Covidien, Tokyo, Japan), as previously described (16). The stomach was transected with a linear stapler and the specimen was collected through a mini-laparotomy. When the extracorporeal Billroth I reconstruction was expected to be strained, a Roux-en-Y reconstruction was selected and anastomoses were performed through mini-laparotomy.

For cases with TLDG, following gastrectomy, the umbilical trocar incision was extended to ~2 cm by enlarging the median fascia and skin incisions; tumors and surrounding tissues were pulled out through the umbilical incision (Fig. 1B). Intracorporeal Billroth I anastomosis was performed using the δ-shaped anastomosis, as previously described (13). When a Roux-en-Y reconstruction was employed, all anastomoses were performed using laparoscopic linear staplers and/or a hand-suturing technique.

Postoperative analgesia. Postoperative pain was controlled by epidural anesthesia, and patients were allowed to have additional analgesia when necessary. The surgeon in charge determined the time of epidural catheter removal, while managing the patient's pain. Oral or intravenous non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or intravenous pentazocine hydrochloride were employed as rescue medications.

Statistical analysis. The statistical analyses were performed using the JMP 10.0.0 software package (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The data are expressed as the mean ± standard error. Statistical analyses were performed using the Student's t-test or Chi-square test with the Fisher's exact test, whichever was considered appropriate. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Demographic data and surgical outcomes of the elderly and non-elderly groups. The elderly and non-elderly groups were matched for age, gender, location and size of tumor, histological differentiation, pathological tumor depth, nodal involvement and stage, except for body mass index (BMI) (Table I). In addition, there were no differences in age, gender, location and size of tumor, histological differentiation, nodal involvement, BMI or tumor stage among the patients who underwent TLDG and those who underwent LADG for both groups (data not shown). When compared with the non-elderly group, the elderly group exhibited a higher frequency of comorbidities, such as cardiovascular and respiratory diseases (Table I). There was
no difference in operative outcome between the two groups, such as extent of lymphadenectomy, total number of harvested lymph nodes and type of reconstruction (Table II). Although there was no difference in the occurrence of postoperative complications, it required a significantly longer time for the elderly group to start oral intake and be discharged from the hospital; in addition, the elderly group had more intraoperative bleeding compared with the non-elderly group. There were no cases of conversion to open surgery in either group.

**Postoperative systemic responses of the elderly and non-elderly groups.** There was no difference in the postoperative WBC, CRP, body temperature or heart rate between the two groups (data not shown). In the non-elderly group, the WBC levels on POD3 and the CRP levels on POD1 and 3 in patients who underwent TLDG were significantly higher compared with those in patients who underwent LADG; these differences were not observed in the elderly group (Fig. 2).

**Postoperative analgesic consumption.** No difference was observed in the duration of epidural anesthesia between the two groups (Table III). The elderly group required less frequent additional analgesia postoperatively, albeit not significantly. We next compared the postoperative analgesia consumption according
to surgical procedure between the two groups (Table IV). In both groups, the duration of epidural anesthesia in patients who underwent TLDG was shorter compared with that in patients who underwent LADG. The frequencies of additional analgesia use on POD1 and 2 in patients who underwent TLDG were lower compared with that in patients who underwent LADG in the elderly group, and the total consumption of additional analgesics until POD5 in patients who underwent TLDG was significantly lower compared with that in patients who underwent LADG in the elderly group; the patients who underwent TLDG had a relatively shorter duration of epidural anesthesia. Our present data pose a question regarding the mechanism(s) of differential postoperative pain between elderly and non-elderly patients that may be explained as follows: i) It has been reported that aging-related anatomic changes may affect the spreading pattern of the local anesthetic injected into the epidural space, which could result in a decreased concentration of analgesics at the surgical site and a reduced effect on pain perception.

**Discussion**

As elderly individuals are currently representing a rapidly increasing percentage of the population, the mean age and number of patients with various malignancies have increased (1). The functional capacity of organs decreases with age, resulting in a decreased reserve and lower ability to endure stress (17); therefore, advanced age is a significant risk factor for increased mortality (18). However, advances in surgical and anesthesiology techniques have reportedly reduced surgical complications and have, consequently, improved the short-term surgical outcomes in elderly patients (19,20).

In this study, there were no differences in postoperative systemic responses (WBC, CRP, body temperature and heart rate) between the TLDG and LADG procedures in the elderly group, and the total consumption of additional analgesics until POD5 in patients who underwent TLDG was significantly lower compared with that in patients who underwent LADG in the elderly group; the patients who underwent TLDG had a relatively shorter duration of epidural anesthesia. Our present data pose a question regarding the mechanism(s) of differential postoperative pain between elderly and non-elderly patients that may be explained as follows: i) It has been reported that aging-related anatomic changes may affect the spreading pattern of the local anesthetic injected into the epidural space, which could result in a decreased concentration of analgesics at the surgical site and a reduced effect on pain perception.
space (21), which may decrease the anesthesia of the upper abdomen; and ii) there may be a different susceptibility to pain and/or analgesic agents between the elderly and non-elderly patients; a negative correlation with age was observed for postoperative pain intensity, as well as analgesic consumption (22-24). Moreover, Sommer et al (25) demonstrated that patients aged ≥65 years experienced less pain compared with patients age 41-64 years following major surgery. Jin et al (26) reported that persistent postoperative pain may cause cardiac, respiratory and cerebrovascular complications, resulting in an increase in the risk of an adverse outcome. Adequate postoperative pain management is not only indispensable for patient comfort, but it has also been reported that there is a strong association between effective postoperative pain management and the prevention of postoperative complications (22,27). In this regard, TLDG for gastric cancer in the

Table III. Postoperative analgesia consumption in the elderly and non-elderly groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Non-elderly group (n=77)</th>
<th>Elderly group (n=25)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration of epidural anesthesia (days)</td>
<td>2.8±0.8</td>
<td>2.8±0.8</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of additional analgesia use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD1</td>
<td>0.51±0.75</td>
<td>0.24±0.60</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD2</td>
<td>1.00±1.01</td>
<td>0.64±0.86</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD3</td>
<td>0.82±0.90</td>
<td>0.64±0.86</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD4</td>
<td>0.53±0.87</td>
<td>0.28±0.61</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD5</td>
<td>0.26±0.55</td>
<td>0.20±0.41</td>
<td>0.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.12±2.85</td>
<td>2.00±2.57</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incidence of using additional analgesia, n (%)

| POD1 | 15 (35.7) | 1 (6.7) | 0.106 |
| POD2 | 25 (59.5) | 5 (33.3) | 0.147 |
| POD3 | 22 (52.4) | 4 (26.7) | 0.072 |
| POD4 | 14 (33.3) | 2 (13.3) | 0.280 |
| POD5 | 9 (21.4)  | 2 (13.3) | 0.280 |

Table IV. Postoperative analgesia consumption according to the surgical procedure in the elderly and non-elderly groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Non-elderly group</th>
<th>Elderly group</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration of epidural anesthesia (days)</td>
<td>2.5±0.8</td>
<td>2.8±0.7</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of additional analgesia use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD1</td>
<td>0.45±0.67</td>
<td>0.57±0.85</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD2</td>
<td>1.04±0.99</td>
<td>0.94±1.06</td>
<td>0.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD3</td>
<td>0.83±0.88</td>
<td>0.80±0.93</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD4</td>
<td>0.50±0.80</td>
<td>0.57±0.95</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD5</td>
<td>0.26±0.54</td>
<td>0.26±0.56</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.10±2.64</td>
<td>3.14±3.13</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incidence of additional analgesia use, n (%)

| POD1 | 15 (35.7) | 14 (40.0) | 0.331 |
| POD2 | 25 (59.5) | 22 (62.9) | 0.494 |
| POD3 | 22 (52.4) | 18 (51.4) | 0.534 |
| POD4 | 14 (33.3) | 12 (34.3) | 0.927 |
| POD5 | 9 (21.4)  | 7 (20)     | 0.614 |

*T<0.05 for the elderly vs. non-elderly patients who underwent TLDG; POD, postoperative day; TLDG, totally laparoscopic distal gastrectomy; LADG, laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy.
elderly may be the optimal surgical approach in terms of postoperative pain management to improve short-term outcome.

In conclusion, TLDG is suitable for treating elderly patients with gastric cancer, who exhibit the highest mortality rate in the adult surgical population, in terms of postoperative pain.
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