Multivisceral resections for locally advanced colorectal cancer after preoperative treatment
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Abstract. Multivisceral resection for colorectal cancer invading into the adjacent organs may often be difficult and may involve serious complications. Preoperative therapy may facilitate resection with safe margins. Between August 2007 and July 2016, 23 patients with colorectal cancer invading into the adjacent organs treated with preoperative treatment (chemoradiotherpay, chemotherapy, radiotherapy) were retrospectively investigated. All 23 patients received surgery with curative intent. Four patients had distant metastases at the time of diagnosis. Two patients had distant metastasis after preoperative treatment. The mean operative time was 535.3±185.5 min and the median amount of blood loss was 1,050 ml. Histopathological examination revealed malignant infiltration of the adjacent organs in 14 patients (60.9%). R0 resection rate was 73.9%. Postoperative complications were identified in nine patients (39.1%) and a high incidence of infectious complications was observed. Patients with curative resection showed a significantly better survival than patients with R1 or R2 resection (P<0.01). Multivisceral resection for locally advanced colorectal cancer invading into the adjacent organ after preoperative treatment may be performed with acceptable morbidity and minimal mortality. R0 resection improves the prognosis of patients with locally advanced colorectal cancer invading into the adjacent organ after preoperative treatment.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common malignancies worldwide, and in recent years, the incidence rates in Japanese males have exceeded the peak of incidence observed in the US, Canada and New Zealand (1). Of all cancer deaths in Japan, the age-adjusted mortality rate of colorectal cancer is ranked third in men and second in women (1). Colorectal cancer invading into the adjacent organs/structures is detected in 5 to 20% of all surgical interventions performed for the management of colorectal cancer (2). In those cases, surgeons are faced intraoperatively with the problem of having to decide whether adhesions between the tumor and the surrounding organs/structures are due to malignant infiltration or to benign peritumoral inflammation. However, the intraoperative assessments of the etiology of such adhesions are often inaccurate. So, generally, the standard operative management of primary colorectal cancer adherent to adjacent organs is en bloc multivisceral resection to obtain clear resection margin (R0). But under these locally advanced conditions, extended en bloc multivisceral resection might be often difficult and might involve serious complications, leading to an increase in morbidity and mortality. Given preoperatively treatment [chemoradiotherpay (CRT), chemotherapy (CTx), radiotherapy (RT)] may reduce tumor size and facilitate resection with safe distal and radial margins.

The aim of this study is to clarify the short- and long-term outcomes of preoperative treatment (CRT, CTx, RT) for locally advanced colorectal cancer invading into the adjacent organ(s).

Patients and methods

Between August 2007 and July 2016, a total of 23 patients with histologically confirmed primary adenocarcinoma of the colon/rectum with clinical suspicious of invasion into the adjacent organ (clinical T4b), who were treated with preoperative treatment (CRT, CTx or RT), were studied retrospectively at the University of Tokyo Hospital. All the patients, except for those with obstruction, received a total colonoscopy. And all patients preoperatively studied with thoracic and abdominal computed tomography (CT) and positron emission tomography (PET) if needed. Clinical T category was determined by CT for patients with colon cancer, and pelvic magnetic resonance imaging for those with rectal cancer.
imaging (MRI) for patients with rectal cancer. Patients with recurrent colorectal cancer and patients who received palliative surgery from the beginning were excluded from this study. The study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the University of Tokyo [no. 3252-(5)].

Patients, who had cancer in the middle or lower part of the rectum with tumor invading further than the muscularis propria (T3 or T4) without distant metastases, received preoperative CRT. A total of 18 patients received a total dose of 50.4 Gy in 28 fractions (1.8 Gy/day). Preoperative chemotherapy was started at the same time as radiotherapy. Patients received the 5-fluouracil-based chemotherapy in the following regimens: 14 tegafur-uracil and leucovorin, one S-1. Three patients did not receive concurrent chemotherapy during radiation because of liver dysfunction in two cases and elder age in the other. Surgery, including total mesorectal excision or tumor-specific mesorectal excision techniques, was performed 6 to 8 weeks after the completion of CRT. Lateral pelvic lymph node dissection was selectively performed in cases with suspicion of lateral pelvic lymph node metastasis in the preoperative MRI before CRT regardless of its response to CRT. In this study, lymph nodes with diameter of 8mm or larger in the MRI were considered as suspicious of metastasis. Rest five patients received preoperative chemotherapy because of colon cancer or distant metastasis at the time of diagnosis. Surgery was performed when curative resection was possible. During the initial stage of the study, the operations were performed via a laparotomy. In our institute, until 2011, the laparoscopic surgery was limited to patients with colon cancer preoperatively diagnosed as early stage, but the application of the laparoscopic surgery gradually increased after 2012, and presently, laparoscopic surgery is indicated in almost all feasible patients. Furthermore, from 2012 the robotic surgery was introduced for patients with rectal cancer who opt for this procedure. Robotic surgery was considered as a laparoscopic technique and was included in the laparoscopic surgery. Conversion of laparoscopic surgery to open surgery was defined as an abdominal incision different from that planned at the start of the operation.

The results of clinical and pathological examination were classified according to the TNM classification of The International Union Against Cancer (UICC) (3). In addition, response to preoperative treatment was determined by the histologic examination of the primary tumor according to a semi-quantitative classification of the Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon and rectum (4) as follows: i) Grade 1a, less than one third of cancer had degraded, necrotized, or dissapered; ii) Grade 1b, from one thierd to two thirds of cancer had degraded; iii) Grade 2, more than two thirds of cancer had degraded; and iv) Grade 3, complete response (CR). Short-term morbidity and mortality was defined as 30-day or in-hospital morbidity and mortality according to the Clavien-Dindo classification.

Statistical analysis. For categorical variables, data were presented as frequencies and percentage. Continuous variables were shown as median or mean ± standard deviation (SD) and were estimated using Mann-Whitney U test or a t test. Survival was calculated in months from the time of surgery to the last follow-up or recurrence/death. Survival analyses were made using the Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Differences in survival between groups were assessed using the log-rank test. Associations were considered significant when P<0.05.

Results

Of 23 patients, the median age was 60 years, and there were 10 men and 13 women. All 23 patients had no severe complication associated with preoperative treatment. Characteristics of patients are shown in Table I. Four patients with clinical suspicious of invasion into the adjacent organ had distant metastases at the time of diagnosis (lung metastasis in two, liver metastasis in one and liver and peritoneal metastases in one). Two patients had distant metastasis after preoperative CRT: inguinal lymph node metastasis in one and liver and lung metastases in one. Median follow up period was 19.9 months (6.2-111.0 years).

Operative data are shown in Table II. All 23 patients received surgery with curative intent. 16 patients received open surgery and seven patients, laparoscopic/robotic surgery. Conversion to open surgery was not required in any of the cases in this study. Two patients received bilateral lateral lymph node dissection, because bilateral swollen lateral lymph nodes were detected by the preoperative MRI taken prior to the CRT. Mean operative time was 535.3±185.5 min (162-1,044 min) and the median amount of blood loss was 1,050 ml (90-25,320 ml). No intraoperative complications occurred during the study period. Seven of nine recent cases received laparoscopic/robotic surgery, and the operative times were similar between the laparoscopic/robotic surgery group and the open surgery group (471.9±94.5 vs. 563.0±210.2 min, P=0.2885, respectively). The amount of blood loss was significantly smaller in patients treated with laparoscopic/robotic surgery than in those receiving open surgery (430 vs. 1,485 ml, P<0.01, respectively).

Pathological characteristics are shown in Table III. Histopathologic examination showed malignant infiltration of the adherent organs in 14 patients (60.9%). The most common procedures were partial vaginal resection performed in 10 patients. Details of the adjacent organ resections are
shown in Table III. No pathological complete response (pCR) was observed. Curative surgery was performed in 18 patients (78.3%). Two patient had a R1 resection because histopathologic examination revealed malignant infiltration of the resected margin in the vagina in one and in the live in one. Two patients with lung metastasis at the time of diagnosis received concomitant Miles' operation but resulted in palliative resection because new lung metastases were diagnosed prior to the next lung surgery. And one patient with liver and lung metastases received concomitant Miles' operation and liver resection, but resulted in palliative resection because the inguinal lymph node metastasis and a new lung metastasis were diagnosed prior to the next lung surgery. The median tumor size was 40 mm and eight patients had lymph node metastasis, and the number of harvested lymph nodes was 19.3±14.1 and was similar between the laparoscopic/robotic surgery group and the open surgery group (19.1±17.4 vs. 19.4±13.0 min, P=0.9719, respectively).

Postoperative events are shown in Table IV. Postoperative complications were found in nine patients (39.1%) and showed high incidence of infectious complications such as wound infection, intraabdominal abscess and urinary tract infection. Postoperative ileus was observed in two cases. One patient
Disease-free survival according to R classification is shown in Fig. 1A. Patients with curative surgery showed a significantly better disease-free survival than the patient with R1 surgery (P<0.05). One-, 3- and 5-year disease-free survival rates of patients who received curative resection were 77.4, 63.8 and 63.8%, respectively. Six of 17 patients with curative surgery had recurrence. One patient had local recurrence, one local and liver metastases, and four distant metastasis (two dissemination and two lymph node). On the other hand, all two patients with R1 surgery had recurrence within two years. One patient with R1 surgery had lymph node metastasis and the other patient who received postoperative chemotherapy had skin and brain metastasis 1 year after the surgery. Overall survival according to R classification is shown in Fig. 1B. One-, 3- and 5-year cancer-specific survival rates of patients who received curative resection were 100, 85.7 and 75.0%, respectively. None of the patients who received R1 and R2 resection survived more than 2 years. Patients with curative surgery showed a significantly better survival than the patient with R1 surgery or those with R2 surgery (P<0.01).

Discussion

It is difficult to differentiate malignant infiltration from inflammatory adhesions during surgery. The surgeon may interpret inflammatory adhesions as macroscopic invasion, but only the histopathological analysis can provide the precise differentiation. Historically, the standard operative management of primary colorectal cancer with a potentially malignant adhesion to the adjacent organs is en bloc multivisceral resection to obtain clear resection margin (R0). In our study, malignant invasion was histologically confirmed in 60.9% of patients presenting with the primary tumor adherent to the adjacent organs. This finding is similar to previous reports (5, 6). On the other hand, some previous studies demonstrated that adhesions between tumor and other organs harbor malignant cells in 25-40% of cases, which are lower rates compared with our study (2,7,8). It is reported that the local recurrence rate was higher when adherent organs were dissected from the tumor than in cases in whom en bloc resection was performed (69 vs. 18%, respectively) (9). And it is also reported that the five-year survival rate was 17% after inadvertent dissection or rupture of the tumor, compared with 49% after en bloc resection (10). It is difficult to diagnose precisely whether or not an actual tumoral invasion exist during surgery, and therefore, en bloc multivisceral resection is needed to obtain clear resection margin (R0) according to these results.

Despite multivisceral resection for locally advanced colorectal cancer, the rate of R0 resections remains unsatisfactory, varying between 40 and 90% (11). Eveno et al. reported there were 89.5% R0 resections in patients with clinical T4 colorectal cancer, but also reported R1 resections were due to invasion of the resection margin of an adjacent organ in 5.2% patients and due to invasion of the circumferential resection margin in 9.9% patients and one R2 resection due to a large rectal cancer (5). Derici et al., in a retrospective study, reported there were 75.4% R0 resections in rectal cancer patients with macroscopically direct invasion to adjacent organs or structures and 82.8% R0 resection in patients who received neoadjuvant CRT (12). In our study, curative surgery...
was performed in 18 patients (78.3%) after preoperative treatment. The rate of R0 resection in our study was slightly lower than that in previous reports, because we included patients of Stage 4 colorectal cancer in this study. Two patients with lung metastasis and one patient who had lung and liver metastases after CRT resulted in R2 resection due to the lung metastasis. And one patient had a R1 resection because histopathologic examination revealed malignant infiltration of the resected margin in the liver. R0 resection is known to be one of the most important prognostic factors in the management of locally advanced colorectal cancer (13). The oncologic outcomes of the multivisceral resections are reported as overall survival rates of 30-53% (2,8,12). In our study, we could not clearly demonstrate the benefit on the survival due to the small number of the investigated patients. But the R0 resection showed a better survival than R1/R2 resection as shown in previous report (12). While five-year overall survival rate for patients who received curative resection was 75.0%, and no patient with R1 and R2 resection survived 2 years. As for recurrence, six of 17 patients with curative surgery had recurrence. All recurrences occurred within two years of the surgical treatment, especially earlier in patients with R1 resection. So, careful follow-up to diagnose early recurrence, especially within two years, would be required.

As Nakafusa et al previously reported that only multivisceral resection was an independent factor for overall postoperative complications (14), extended en bloc multivisceral resection might involve serious complications, leading to an increase in morbidity and mortality. In previous reports, postoperative morbidity and mortality rates after multivisceral resection tend to be higher ranging from 28.0 to 43.7% (2,8,12,15-17) and ≥13% (5,7,12,18), respectively. We observed a postoperative mortality of 4.3% and a morbidity of 39.1% in our study. Nakafusa et al. reported that the rate of ileus in the multivisceral resection group was significantly higher than that in the single organ resection group in addition to infectious complications (14). In our study, as two cases of ileus and seven cases of infectious complications were observed as postoperative complication, we need to take into account the occurrence of ileus and infectious complications probably due to the large dead space after multivisceral resection.

Shukla et al., in a retrospective study, reported on the feasibility of laparoscopic resection in the majority of T4 colon cancers with comparable short- and long-term clinical and oncologic outcomes, but also documented that surgeon bias and local extent of the tumor on preoperative imaging most likely played important roles in the selection of a laparoscopic or an open approach (17). In our study, a laparoscopic/robotic multivisceral resection was achieved in seven patients without conversion to open surgery. And no intraoperative complications occurred during the study period. Operation time was slightly shorter in the laparoscopic/robotic surgery group than those in the open surgery group, but there was no statistical difference between the two groups. The amount of blood loss was significantly smaller in patients treated with laparoscopic/robotic surgery than those receiving open surgery. The number of harvested lymph node was similar between two groups. All patients without distant metastasis achieved R0 resection in the laparoscopic/robotic surgery group, except one who had lung metastasis at the time of diagnosis. So, laparoscopic/robotic surgery may also be considered as an alternative approach for T4b colorectal cancer after preoperative treatment, but the appropriate selection of patients with T4b colorectal cancer is necessary to perform laparoscopic surgery safely, and when needed, the conversion to open surgery must be considered. And further study is needed to confirm the long-term outcomes of laparoscopic/robotic surgery.

The present study had some limitations. First, this study was not a large-scale multicenter study, but the retrospective small study conducted at a single institute. Second, selection bias remains a concern. However, besides these limitations, current data still support en bloc multivisceral resections after preoperative treatment (CRT, CTx or RT) can be performed with acceptable postoperative morbidity and minimal mortality rates in patients with clinical T4 colorectal cancers and may offer a reasonable survival rate.

Multivisceral resection for locally advanced colorectal cancer invading into the adjacent organ after preoperative treatment (CRT, CTx or RT) can be performed with acceptable morbidity and minimal mortality. R0 resection improved overall and disease-free survival.
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