Challenges in the management of neuroendocrine cervical cancer during pregnancy: A case report
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Abstract. Large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC) is an uncommon histological subtype of cervical cancer that is associated with poor survival and its occurrence during pregnancy is particularly rare. We herein present the case of a female patient who was diagnosed with cervical LCNEC during pregnancy. The patient declined pregnancy termination and was treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and etoposide, without associated toxicity and with good fetal development. At 31.4 weeks of gestation, the fetus was delivered by caesarean section, and the patient underwent radical nerve-sparing hysterectomy with bilateral adnexectomy, along with pelvic and inframesenteric para-aortic lymphadenectomy. The patient received adjuvant chemoradiotherapy and there was no evidence of recurrence or metastasis at 38 months postoperatively. The baby has also been followed up, without any signs of neurodevelopmental disorders. To the best of our knowledge, the present report describes the first case of LCNEC with pregnancy-preserving management in the literature to date.

Introduction

The incidence of cancer diagnosis during pregnancy is estimated to be 1 in every 1,000 pregnant women. Breast cancer, melanoma and cervical cancer are the most commonly diagnosed types of cancer during pregnancy (1). Large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC) is an uncommon histological subtype (0.5-3%) of cervical cancer associated with poor survival, whereas the occurrence of LCNEC during pregnancy is particularly rare. Although recent data support the use of perioperative chemotherapy, in particular platinum, with or without etoposide, to improve the survival of patients with LCNEC (2), treatment during pregnancy remains completely experimental. To the best of our knowledge, the present report describes the first case of LCNEC in the literature to date that was successfully managed with a pregnancy-preserving approach.

Case report

A 34-year-old woman, gravida 3, para 3, was referred to Hospital Clinic de Barcelona (Barcelona, Spain) in March, 2015 with a biopsy result of NEC of the cervix at 21 weeks of gestation. The patient had no history of medical conditions or previous surgery. Gynecological examination revealed a 3-cm exophytic cervical tumor, without parametrial invasion, but with involvement of the anterior vaginal fornix. Legal interruption of the pregnancy was offered, but the patient declined due to her religious beliefs. Ultrasonographic examination revealed a cervical tumor sized 40x19x35 mm, with involvement of the anterior fornix of the vagina, without evidence of parametrial invasion, and a normally growing fetus with normal hemodynamic parameters. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed no evidence of lymph node involvement or metastatic disease (Fig. 1). The tumor was classified as clinical stage IIA1 according to the guidelines of the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

On histological examination of biopsy material, the mass was diagnosed as high-grade cervical LCNEC, and immunohistochemistry revealed positive staining for chromogranin, synaptophysin, CD56, cytokeratin (CK) 7 and K-i67 (>80%), and negative for p63. The levels of carcinoembryonic antigen...
and squamous cell carcinoma antigen were within the normal range. Human papillomavirus (HPV) 18 was identified by polymerase chain reaction analysis.

The patient received neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) with 3 cycles of cisplatin (CDDP; 50 mg/m²) and etoposide (VP-16; 100 mg/m²) every 3 weeks, without associated toxicity and with good fetal development. The patient was clinically followed every 2 weeks with ultrasonographic and gynecological examinations. Fetal control was regularly performed with ultrasound and Doppler examination. The tumor remained stable during that period.

At 31.4 weeks, the patient underwent radical hysterectomy with nerve sparing (type C1), along with bilateral adnexectomy and pelvic and inframasseteric para-aortic lymphadenectomy (Fig. 2). The fetus was delivered with caesarean section, without complications or morphological malformations. There were no intraoperative or postoperative complications. Within the first 2 days the patient had post-void residual urine volume <100 ml. The hospital stay was 6 days.

The surgical specimen displayed a high-grade cervical LCNEC sized 40x16 mm, with positive lymphovascular space invasion, but without parametrial, vaginal, placental or lymph node involvement (pelvic nodes 0/22, para-aortic nodes 0/18). The surgical margins were negative (Fig. 3).

The patient completed treatment with chemoradiation (intensity-modulated radiotherapy at 45 Gy/15 fr and 5 cycles of concomitant weekly CDDP at 40 mg/m²); she was unable to receive the sixth cycle due to the development of G3 asthenia after the fifth cycle.

During follow-up, physical examination, cytology, measurement of tumor marker levels and computed tomographic examination revealed no evidence of recurrence or metastasis at 38 months postoperatively. The baby has also been followed up, without any signs of neurodevelopmental disorders in July 2018.

Discussion

Over the past decades, there has been a rising trend of pregnancy at more advanced ages; therefore, cancer during gestation is an increasing problem in clinical practice. Cervical cancer is the second most commonly diagnosed cancer during pregnancy, following breast cancer. NEC of the cervix appears to occur in women who are younger compared with those with the more common HPV-associated cervical cancers; therefore, pregnancy may be a more serious concern for such patients (3).

A growing body of evidence indicates that gestation does not adversely affect the prognosis of cervical cancer. In 2009, Stensheim et al (4), using data from the Cancer Registry and the Medical Birth Registry of Norway, investigated whether cervical cancer diagnosed during pregnancy or lactation was associated with increased risk of cause-specific mortality. No increase in the risk of cause-specific mortality (hazard ratio=0.89; 95% confidence interval: 0.52-1.53) in patients diagnosed during pregnancy was observed in the multivariate analyses adjusted for age at diagnosis, extent of disease and diagnostic period, with a median follow-up of 10.8 years (4). Pettersson et al (5) in 2010, using the records at the Radiumhemmet (Solna, Sweden) between 1914 and 2004, compared the survival of 41 patients diagnosed with cervical cancer while pregnant or within 6 months postpartum with 82 non-pregnant women matched for for age, stage and histopathology. No significant difference in 10-year survival rates was observed between the two groups.

Based on these findings, and taking into consideration the patient's wishes and our extensive experience with treating breast cancer diagnosed during pregnancy, we decided to proceed with treatment without pregnancy interruption. A multidisciplinary approach between Obstetrics and Gynecological Oncology groups in such cases is crucial for optimizing maternal treatment and fetal protection (6-8).

The European Society of Medical Oncology and European Society of Gynecological Oncology (ESGO) have published guidelines on gynecological cancer in pregnancy, in which treatment of cervical cancer during gestation is indicated, albeit experimental. It is important to note that patients who wish to preserve their pregnancy should be informed that the treatment will be individualized out of the standard process. In these guidelines, when cervical cancer stage is higher than IB2 and the nodes are negative, NACT is considered as the only method for preserving pregnancy until fetal maturity.

The therapeutic value of pelvic staging lymphadenectomy prior to the initiation of NACT remains unknown. The ESGO guidelines indicate that it may be safely performed between the 13th and 22nd weeks of gestation to assess pelvic nodal status (1,9). However, it was decided against in the present case, as MRI during pregnancy has also demonstrated a good positive predictive value for nodal metastasis (10).

The Society of Gynecological Oncology guidelines published in 2011 recommend multimodal management for all stages of NEC of the cervix (11), and the majority of patients receive some combination of surgery, radiation and chemotherapy. For women with bulky lesions, NACT followed by radical hysterectomy with lymphadenectomy plus adjuvant chemotherapy ± radiotherapy is a viable option (12).

Exposure to chemotherapy during the first trimester of pregnancy increased the risk of fetal defects compared with administration during the second and third trimesters, which has not been associated with significant adverse effects in the fetus in the short or long term; however, not all chemotherapy agents are safe (1).

No standard treatment for LCNEC has yet been established (13), and the regimen with CDDP and VP-16 was selected based on the management of small-cell lung cancer (2,14).

In the NTP Monograph on Cancer Chemotherapy during pregnancy, published by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in May 2013, CDDP is a widely accepted treatment during pregnancy at doses ranging from 20 mg/m² for 5 days up to 75 mg/m² every 3 weeks (15). The rate of malformations following exposure to CDDP in the first trimester was 20%; however, in the second or third trimester of pregnancy, that percentage decreased to 1%. The most frequent minor malformations were blepharophimosis, microcephaly and hydrocephalus. CDDP exposure is associated with decreased intrauterine growth by 13%. Data on the use of VP-16 during pregnancy are limited: A 3% rate of major malformations following administration in the second and third trimesters and 24% fetal growth restriction have been reported. The most frequent major malformation was cerebral atrophy and ventriculomegaly (9,16).
In the present case, based on the advice of the multidisciplinary team, the patient received three cycles of NACT with CDDP 50 mg/m$^2$ and VP-16 100 mg/m$^2$ every 3 weeks.

Due to the aggressive nature of cervical NECs, surveillance with tumor assessment was performed every 2 weeks, including physical examination and vaginal ultrasound, always performed by the same Gynecological Oncology specialist sonographer. No more MRI scans were indicated, as the tumor was stable. Fetal well-being was evaluated by the High Pregnancy Risk Unit, with fetal growth assessment every 2 weeks. During surveillance, NACT appeared to stabilize the tumor, preventing dissemination without harming the fetus.

Although it remains unclear when is the best time to deliver the fetus, the general trend is after 28 weeks of gestation or after 3 cycles of chemotherapy. Regardless, a 3-week period should be allowed between the last chemotherapy dose and the expected date of delivery, ~33.2 weeks on average. We decided to deliver after the third cycle of NACT, at 31 weeks of gestation, and radical surgery was performed concomitantly with caesarean section (1). Nerve-sparing radical hysterectomy type C1 with complete tumor resection was performed, in order to decrease the risk of bladder, rectal and sexual dysfunction. The identification and preservation of hypogastric and pelvic splanchnic nerves and the pelvic plexus after caesarean section was feasible without increasing the volume of blood loss.

In a recent study by Stecklein et al investigating survival in cervical NEC, 80% of the patients progressed and 70% succumbed to the disease during a median follow-up of 21.5 months [5-year progression free survival (PFS) rate of 20%, and 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of 27%] (17). The patient in the present case remained disease-free after 32 months of follow-up. In that same study, patients with cervical LCNEC had significantly better median PFS (median not reached vs. 10.0 months, P=0.02) and exhibited a trend toward better median OS (153 vs. 21 months, P=0.08) compared with patients with other histological types (17).

It may be concluded that NACT appears to be a viable option for preserving pregnancy in advanced cases, while stabilizing the disease and preventing tumor dissemination before reaching fetal maturity (sufficient gestational age and stage of fetal development). The present case demonstrated that NACT followed by radical hysterectomy concomitantly with caesarean section may be considered as a pregnancy-sparing treatment option. In several countries with specialized multidisciplinary teams, this may be a feasible alternative to pregnancy termination.
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