Polymorphism of the SRD5A2 gene and the risk of prostate cancer
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Abstract. Androgens are actively involved in the development of the prostate gland and appear to be essential for prostate carcinogenesis. The product of the SRD5A2 gene, membrane-bound steroid 5-α-reductase, type II enzyme, is key in testosterone metabolism. The present study explored the association between the SRD5A2 V89L gene polymorphism and the risk of developing prostate cancer. The study cohort consisted of 456 male Slovak patients, including 260 cases with histologically confirmed prostate cancer and 196 age-matched controls without any clinically suspected infections of the prostate. Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis was used to detect the SRD5A2 polymorphism on codon 89. Odds ratios (ORs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for different allele variants were calculated in order to determine the association between the SRD5A2 V89L gene polymorphism and prostate cancer. The distribution of V89L variants in the control group was consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($\chi^2$ test, $P=0.266$) with a significant deviation in the case group ($\chi^2$ test, $P=0.04$). However, no association between the SRD5A2 polymorphism and an increased risk of developing prostate cancer was identified. When the wild type VV variant was used as a reference, the ORs for different allele variants ranged from 1.11 (95% CI 0.66-1.87, $P=0.70$) for the LL genotype to 0.99 (95% CI 0.68-1.46, $P=0.99$) for the LL + VL genotypes. No particular allele variant was shown to be a key gene involved in prostate cancer development. Published data indicate that a combination of multiple genetic changes are required for prostate cancer development, rather than a single gene change. Therefore, it was hypothesized that high-throughput genotyping may be more effective than single nucleotide polymorphism detection.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most common type of cancer in males and second most common cause of cancer-related mortality with an increasing incidence worldwide (1). Despite the fact that Slovakia has a higher incidence of prostate cancer than other countries in the Central and Eastern Europe, it is classified as a country with an intermediate incidence of prostate cancer. In the year 2003, 1,130 cases of prostate cancer were diagnosed in Slovakia, which represents a raw incidence of 43.3/100,000 males and an age-standardized rate (ASR) of 33.9/100,000 (2,3). The incidence of prostate cancer in Slovakia increased with an ASR of 14.6, 14.5 and 33.9/100,000 in the years 1968, 1980 and 2003, respectively.

The most well-recognized risk factors for prostate cancer development are age, geographic origin/ethnicity and family history of the disease (1). The known modulating susceptibility factors of prostate cancer are diet and lifestyle (4,5). Other predisposing risk factors for prostate cancer may be considered, including heredity (genetics), hormonal influences and environmental factors (6-8).

Androgens, testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (DHT) are actively involved in the growth and development of the prostate, and appear to be essential for carcinogenesis in the prostate gland (9). In total, 80-90% of prostate cancers are dependent on androgens at initial diagnosis, and endocrine therapy of prostate cancer is directed towards the reduction of serum androgens and inhibition of the androgen receptor (10,11). It has been hypothesized that variation in the genes involved in androgen biosynthesis and metabolism may be risk factors for prostate cancer (12). One of these genes is steroid-5-α-reductase, which irreversibly catalyzes the conversion of testosterone to its more active and most potent androgen DHT (13,14).

A total of two steroid-5-α-reductases expressed in the human prostate have been identified and steroid-5-α-reductase, type II is the predominant isoenzyme that is encoded by the SRD5A2 gene. Subsequent to catalysis of this enzyme, the binding affinity of DHT to an androgen receptor is five times higher compared with that of testosterone (13). It
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has been demonstrated that young Japanese males have a lower steroid-5-o-reductase activity compared with young Caucasian-American and African-American males (15), and the DHT:testosterone ratio was highest in African-Americans, intermediate in Caucasians and lowest in Asian-Americans, corresponding to the respective risk of developing prostate cancer in these groups (16,17). Montgomery et al (18) demonstrated the downregulation of SRD5A2 mRNA in castration-resistant metastatic prostate cancer tissue. This finding is consistent with the hypothesis that testosterone may be equally as important as DHT in promoting the aggressive behavior of advanced prostate cancer cells.

The SRD5A2 gene is located on the short (p) arm of chromosome 2 at position 23. It spans over 40 Kbp of genomic DNA and contains 5 exons and 4 introns (19). Several polymorphisms within the SRD5A2 gene have been identified (20). One of the most highly polymorphic, contested and investigated is a single nucleotide polymorphism that replaces the more frequently encountered valine (V) with a leucine (L) residue at amino acid position 89 (V89L, rs523349) (21,22). Experiments with cloned and expressed human SRD5A2 isoforms reported significant differences in their K\textsubscript{m} and V\textsubscript{max} values (23). The LL genotype tends to have decreased enzyme activity (21). In certain studies, this genotype was associated with lower androgen levels (22,24); however, in others this was not observed (25). Controversies remain regarding the association of this polymorphism with the risk of prostate cancer. Studies have reported a decreased risk of prostate cancer associated with the LL genotype (26,27), an increased risk with the LL genotype (28,29) or no association with risk and this genotype (17,30).

Given the importance of the SRD5A2 gene in androgen production and the conflicting epidemiological data regarding the SRD5A2 polymorphism as a risk factor for prostate cancer, a case-control study of the association between the SRD5A2 V89L polymorphism and the risk of prostate cancer was conducted. The hypothesis of the association between this polymorphism and tumor grade and disease onset within the study population was also investigated.

Patients and methods

Study population. A case-control study was conducted that included 260 patients with histologically verified prostate cancer and 196 age-matched control patients who visited the Department of Urology in the University Hospital Martin (Martin, Slovakia) between May 2005 and June 2010. The mean age of the patients was 63.6 years for the prostate cancer cases and 62.3 years for the controls. All the cases and controls were Caucasians. In the prostate cancer group (n=260), 83 patients (31.9%) had a Gleason score <7 (histological grading system referring to the cancer aggressiveness in clinical setting) (31) and 159 patients (61.2%) had a Gleason score ≥7. In the remaining 18 (6.9%) cases the Gleason score was not recorded and these patients were excluded from the prostate cancer subgroup analysis. Subjects were recruited to participate in the study if they met the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Patients with histologically proven prostate cancer (in a biopsy specimen or radical prostatectomy specimen) regard-

- less of the pathological stage were enrolled in the study. Patients with the presence of any other type of cancer or major urological pathology, or patients who had a first-degree relative (brother or father) with a confirmed diagnosis of prostate cancer were excluded.

In the control group, age-matched healthy men without any clinically suspected infection of the prostate, benign prostate hyperplasia, benign prostate enlargement or prostatitis were included. The presence of prostate cancer was clinically excluded by a negative digital rectal examination finding and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) serum level within the normal age specific range (32). Controls that had a first-degree relative (brother or father) with a confirmed diagnosis of prostate cancer were excluded.

The basic characteristics of the study population are described in Table I. The present study was approved by the Ethical Board of Jessenius Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University (Martin, Slovakia) and informed written consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study.

DNA extraction. In order to collect 5 ml of blood from all the study participants a standard venipuncture was used (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid was added as an anticoagulant). Briefly, selected polymorphisms were investigated in isolated DNA from 5 ml peripheral blood which was collected into heparinized test tubes using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and were stored at -20°C until analysis. Genomic DNA from peripheral blood leukocytes was isolated after digestion with proteinase K by extraction with organic solvents (phenol, chloroform isoamylalcohol) and precipitation using ethanol. Blood samples were lysed using lysing solution (0.77 M NH\textsubscript{4}Cl, 0.046 M NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 0.01 M EDTA) and a sediment was placed into SE solution (0.075 M NaCl, 0.025 M EDTA) and incubated at 55°C for three hours (or incubation at 37°C overnight). Isolation continued with a standard phenol/chloroform methodology (33) was used to extract genomic DNA, which was stored at -20°C until further genotyping analysis.

Genotyping. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis polymerase chain reaction (RFLP-PCR) was used to detect the SRD5A2 polymorphism on codon 89 according to the study by Cicek et al (34). The PCR reaction mixture (25 µl) included 100 ng genomic DNA, 200 µmol/l deoxynucleoside triphosphates, 1 unit of Taq polymerase in 10X PCR buffer [composed of 16.6 mmol/l (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and 20.0 mmol/l MgCl\textsubscript{2} pH 8.8] and 25 pmol of each of the SRD5A2 primers (SRD5A2-F, 5'-TGG CCT CCT TGT ACG TCG CGA AG-3' and SRD5A2-R, 5'-AGC AGG GCA GTG CGC TGC ACT-3'). For amplification, PCR was used with the following steps: Initial denaturation for 5 min at 94°C, 35 cycles at 94°C for 45 sec, 62°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min. The final elongation step was performed at 72°C for 10 min. Each PCR product (261 bp) was digested at 37°C for 16 h by the restriction enzyme Rsal (5 units, Fermentas, Denmark) in order to determine the presence of the V89L variant (9), separated by the Microchip Electrophoresis system for DNA/RNA Fragment Analysis MCE\textsuperscript{®}-202 MultiNA (Shimadzu, Japan). The common SRD5A2-89V allele retained the Rsal restriction site at the polymorphic region and generated 21-, 62- and 169-bp frag-
ments. The allelic variant SRD5A2-89L generated two bands of 83 and 169 bp since the Rsal site was lost. The PCR-RFLP of the SRD5A2 polymorphism was analyzed by MCEA®-202 MultiNA (Shimadzu, Japan) and the PCR-RFLP product samples are shown in Fig. 1.

Statistical analysis. Basic descriptive statistics and cross tabulations for frequencies with \( \chi^2 \) tests were performed. The measure of choice was the odds ratio (OR) with its corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) that was used to determine the association between the SRD5A2 gene polymorphism and prostate cancer. All the data were analyzed with SPSS software (version 19.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference and all the P-values presented are two-sided. The distribution of the genotypes in the control group was tested for the deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) at the P<0.05 level using an online tool for case-control studies (http://ihg.gsf.de/cgi-bin/hw/hwa1.pl).

Results

Characteristics of the study population. Selected characteristics of the study population, which consisted of 260 patients with prostate cancer and 196 controls, are presented in Table I. The cases and controls were age-matched with a mean age of 63.6 years and 62.3 years, respectively. PSA level was measured in control group at the time of patient first visit. The mean serum PSA levels, measured at the time of diagnosis averaged to 27.39 ng/ml in the prostate cancer group and 1.05 ng/ml in the controls (P<0.001).

Genotype variants. The frequencies of the V and L allele in the controls were consistent with HWE (Pearson coefficient, P=0.266); however, there was significant deviation of genotype distribution from HWE in the prostate cancer group (Pearson coefficient, P=0.037) (data not shown).

![Figure 1. PCR-RFLP of the SRD5A2 polymorphism by MCEA®-202 MultiNA (Shimadzu, Japan). PCR-RFLP product samples: Lane L, 25 bp ladder; lane 1, LL allele; lane 2, VL allele; lanes 3-5, VV allele. PCR-RFLP, restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis polymerase chain reaction.](image)

### Table I. Basic characteristics of the study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cases, n=260</th>
<th>Controls, n=196</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>63.63</td>
<td>62.30</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>62.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min-max</td>
<td>48-84</td>
<td>52-76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA, ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>27.39</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>69.59</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min-max</td>
<td>1.87-810.5</td>
<td>0.17-3.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason score, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>83 (31.9)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7</td>
<td>159 (61.2)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>18 (6.9)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by the Mann-Whitney test. SD, standard deviation; NS, not significant; NA, not applicable; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

### Table II. Distribution of the SRD5A2 genotype variants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype/allele</th>
<th>Cases, n (%)</th>
<th>Controls, n (%)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>97 (37.3)</td>
<td>73 (37.2)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V + L</td>
<td>110 (42.3)</td>
<td>87 (44.4)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.63-1.44)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>53 (20.4)</td>
<td>36 (18.4)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.66-1.86)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>163 (62.7)</td>
<td>123 (62.8)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.68-1.46)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele V</td>
<td>304 (58.5)</td>
<td>233 (59.4)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele L</td>
<td>216 (41.5)</td>
<td>159 (40.6)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.80-1.36)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SRD5A2 genotype variants resulting from a polymorphism at codon 89 in the study cohort and corresponding ORs for developing prostate cancer: NS, not significant. OR, odds ratio.

The frequencies of the V89L genotype variants and the correspondent ORs (95% CI) for the risk of prostate cancer development that are valid for particular allele variants are listed in Table II. The difference in distribution of three genotype variants resulting from the polymorphism at codon 89 between the case and control groups was not statistically significant (\( \chi^2 \) test, P=0.842). There was no significant allelic or genotypic association between the SDR5A2 V89L polymorphism and the risk of developing prostate cancer. Males with the VL genotype had a 0.95-fold reduction in the risk of developing prostate cancer (95% CI 0.63-1.44), the LL genotype was associated with a 1.11-fold increased risk of developing prostate cancer (95% CI 0.66-1.86) and the VL + LL genotype with a 0.99-fold reduced risk of developing prostate cancer (95% CI 0.68-1.46) when compared with the VV genotype.
Table III. Gleason score in patients with prostate cancer with SRD5A2 genotypes (n=242).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype/allele</th>
<th>Gleason &lt;7</th>
<th>Gleason ≥7</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VV</td>
<td>31 (37.3)</td>
<td>59 (37.1)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>37 (44.6)</td>
<td>73 (45.9)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.58-1.86)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL</td>
<td>15 (18.1)</td>
<td>27 (17.0)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.44-2.04)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL + LL</td>
<td>52 (62.7)</td>
<td>100 (62.9)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.58-1.76)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele V</td>
<td>99 (59.6)</td>
<td>191 (60.1)</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele L</td>
<td>67 (40.4)</td>
<td>127 (39.9)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.67-1.44)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, not significant. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Analysis according to the Gleason score. An analysis according to the Gleason score and the SRD5A2 V89L polymorphism was performed in 242 patients with prostate cancer (Table III). No significant difference was identified in the frequencies of genotype variants with respect to tumor aggressiveness according to the Gleason score ($\chi^2$ test, P=0.971). Therefore, no significant increase in OR for developing highly aggressive prostate cancer for any particular genotype variant was identified when compared with the wild type VV variant (ORs ranged from 0.95-1.04, P>0.05).

Additionally, no significant difference was identified in the genotype distribution leading to an increased or decreased risk of developing prostate cancer regardless of the age of disease onset when comparing LL and VV variants (under and over 65 years of age) with OR=1.09 (95% CI 0.57-2.09, P>0.05) and OR=1.08 (95% CI 0.43-2.72, P>0.05), respectively (data not shown). Considering 65 years of age as early onset of disease, no difference in genotype distribution leading to early onset of prostate cancer with comparable OR for LL and VV variants was observed (OR=1.09, 95% CI 0.57-2.09, P=0.05 and OR=1.08, 95% CI 0.43-2.72, P>0.05, respectively) (data not shown).

Discussion

Androgens are critical in prostate gland growth and prostate cancer development. It has been hypothesized that variation in the genes involved in androgen biosynthesis and metabolism may be a risk factor for prostate cancer. Genetic research has aimed to identify genetically determined differences in androgen metabolism, which may explain a number of the differences in the risk of prostate cancer (35,36). Results of such studies, including clinical, epidemiological and biochemical research are presented with conflicting outcomes. SRD5A2 has long been considered as a strong candidate gene for prostate cancer development due to its key role in the androgen metabolism. Polymorphisms in the SRD5A2 gene have been investigated extensively. The V89L substitution mutation results in an almost 30% reduction in enzyme activity in vitro and in vivo when compared with the wild type gene (37). Thus, this polymorphism may alter the intraprostatic level and activity of DHT and consequently, the risk of prostate cancer.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the association between prostate cancer risk and the functional polymorphism of the SRD5A2 gene involved in the sex hormone pathways. The results do not indicate a significant effect of the SRD5A2 V89L polymorphism on the risk of developing prostate cancer. Allele frequencies of the SRD5A2 gene follow diverse ethnicity and/or geography-specific patterns. According to data from the SNP database (38), the frequency of the leucine allele ranges from 19-23% in North American and European Caucasian populations and 40-50% in Asians. Correspondingly, the LL phenotype frequency is low in Caucasians (3-6%) and higher in Asians (27-30%) (20). The prevalence rate of VL heterozygosity and LL homozygosity was 44.4 and 18.4%, respectively, in the Caucasian control subjects. However, the distribution of genotypes in the control group was consistent with HWE.

The results of the present study are generally in agreement with the results of several published case-control studies and meta-analyses (12,30,28). Li et al (28) conducted a meta-analysis of 31 studies with SRD5A2 genotyping in 14,726 patients with prostate cancer and 15,802 controls, revealed no association between prostate cancer risk and the L allele compared with the V allele with an OR of 1.02 (95% CI 0.98-1.06, P=0.38). Their results were consistent across regardless of race. This study also observed no association between the L allele and the risk of prostate cancer regardless of the grade of cancer. The results of the present study showed no changes in the risk of prostate cancer development for different allele variants (LL, VL, LL + VL) compared with the wild type VV variant (ORs ranged from 0.95-1.11).

Additionally, an earlier meta-analysis by Wang et al (30) indicated that the SRD5A2 V89L polymorphism only exhibited a low-penetrant role in prostate cancer risk among Europeans and individuals younger than 65 years. In Europeans the small increase in prostate cancer risk was observed in the dominant model (LL + VL vs. VV) with an OR of 1.11 (95% CI, 1.03-1.19; P<0.01). A significant association with an increased prostate cancer risk in men aged 65 years was observed in codominant alleles, i.e. LL vs. VV (OR, 1.70; 95% CI 1.09-2.66, P=0.02) and recessive, i.e. LL vs. VV + VL (OR, 1.75; 95% CI, 1.14-2.68; P=0.01) models. However, no significant associations were found in Asians and Africans or in the overall analysis.
The exact role of androgens in the pathogenesis of prostate cancer has been a contentious issue; thus, serum hormone levels may not provide definitive proof for the presence or absence of a genetic predisposition to prostate cancer (17). Certain studies report associations between the SRD5A2 V89L polymorphism and plasma androgen levels, including testosterone and 5α-androstane-3α,17α-diol glucuronide (3α-diolG), which is a direct metabolite of DHT and may be an indicator of 5α-reductase, type II activity (22,39). It has been reported that males with the VL and LL genotypes in comparison with those with the VV genotype exhibited a lower serum level of 3α-diolG (22). Conversely, Hayes et al (40) found no evidence of association between the SRD5A2 V89L polymorphism and testosterone, androstenedione, sex hormone-binding globulin or circulating levels of 3α-diolG and the risk of prostate cancer. The results of the study by Hayes et al (40) are concurrent with the results of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study performed in 2001 (41), which revealed that SRD5A2 V89L was associated with changes in the serum androgen levels, while another SRD5A2 A497T polymorphism caused a 24% reduction of circulating levels of 3α-diolG in carriers of the GA genotype compared with the GG genotype, leading to a 60% higher risk of developing prostate cancer (24,40).

The most significant clinical features of prostate cancer that influence the choice of treatment include the age of a patient at the time of diagnosis (early vs. late onset of disease) and prostate tumor aggressiveness. The best result of genotyping studies in an individual patient would be the ability to predict early onset of highly aggressive prostate cancer with establishment of an appropriate therapy. Scariano et al (20) genotyped 33 males with early onset prostate cancer and found that the expression of at least one SRD5A L allele in young males with prostate cancer was associated with a more significant disease at the time of presentation as was defined by the pretreatment PSA level, clinical staging and Gleason score when compared with affected subjects harboring the more common SRD5A2 V variant. Similar results were published by other studies (34,42). Cick et al (34) confirmed the association between the SRD5A2 polymorphism and increased risk of prostate cancer in males diagnosed at an earlier age or with more aggressive disease (OR, 2.35; 95% CI, 1.41-3.92; P=0.001 and OR, 1.63; 95% CI, 0.98-2.72; P=0.06, respectively). By contrast, in our cohort no significant difference was identified in the genotype distribution leading to increased risk of prostate cancer regardless of disease onset when comparing LL and VV variants (data not shown). The hypothesis that any allele variant can drive the development of high risk prostate cancer was not able to be proven. The cut-off value (equal to 7) for the Gleason score was selected with respect to the indubitable significance of such a value for clinical decision making in a treatment scenario. Results similar to ours, regarding the non-significant association between SRD5A2 V89L polymorphism and Gleason score are also presented in a meta-analysis published by Li et al (28).

The present study and results of previous studies do not clearly confirm the exact role of the SRD5A2 V89L polymorphism in prostate cancer susceptibility. This requires a complex approach and we hypothesized that the activity of 5α-reductase, type II can be affected not only by this and/or other polymorphisms, but also by: i) Changes in the expression of the SRD5A2 gene; ii) differences in the local concentrations of growth factors and other androgens that are also involved in the regulation of this enzyme activity in vivo; and iii) environmental factors, such as diet that may also influence the androgen levels and may be partly mediated through the different activity of 5α-reductase, type II. In addition, circulating androgen levels are likely to be only weakly correlated with androgen levels within the prostate gland and can only provide a limited view of the complexity of physiological events that regulate 5α-reductase, type II activity (41).

Furthermore, the etiology of such a multigenetic disease cannot be explained by allelic variability at a single locus and it is supposed that high throughput genotyping may aid in the identification of novel genes, which could be suitable targets for analysis in association studies.
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