Combination of quercetin and hyperoside inhibits prostate cancer cell growth and metastasis via regulation of microRNA-21
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Abstract. Previous studies have reported that hyperoside and quercetin in combination (QH; 1:1) inhibited the growth of human leukemia cells. The aim of the present study was to investigate the anti-cancer effect of QH on prostate cancer cells. The results demonstrated that QH decreased the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and increased antioxidant capacity in PC3 cells at various concentrations (2.5-60 µg/ml) with peak inhibition and augmentation changes of 3.22- and 3.00-fold, respectively. Following treatment with QH for 48 and 72 h, the IC50-values on PC3 cells were 19.7 and 12.4 µg/ml, respectively. Western blot analysis revealed that QH induced apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells via activation of caspase-3 and cleavage of poly(adenosine diphosphate ribose) polymerase. In addition, QH significantly inhibited the invasion and migration of PC3 cells as well as reduced the expression of numerous prostate tumor-associated microRNAs (miRs), including miR-21, compared to that of untreated human prostate cancer cells. QH was also found to enhance the expression of tumor suppressor programmed cell death protein 4, which was negatively regulated by miR-21. Furthermore, induced overexpression of miR-21 using pre-miR-21 oligonucleotides attenuated the beneficial effect of QH on prostate cancer cells. In conclusion, the results of the present study indicated that QH exerted an anti-cancer effect on human prostate cancer cells, the mechanism of which proceeded, at least in part, via the inhibition of the miR-21 signaling pathway.

Introduction

Prostate cancer, as the second most prevalent cause of cancer-associated mortality in males in the USA, accounts for ~30% of malignant tumors in males, affecting one in six and causing mortality in 1 in 35 males (1). Prostate cancer, if diagnosed in the early stages of the disease, may be successfully treated using surgical resection and radiation therapy; however, the majority of patients are diagnosed with locally advanced or metastatic prostate cancer, which requires hormone ablation therapy (2). Following initiation of hormone ablation therapy, ~80-90% of patients develop metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) within 12-33 months (3). CRPC is currently treated using chemotherapeutic drugs; however, these drugs are unspecific and have numerous adverse effects (4). Therefore, novel therapeutic strategies as well as prophylactic measures are required.

A diet rich in fruits and vegetables has been reported to have protective effects against chronic degenerative diseases, including numerous types of cancer and cardiovascular diseases; the mechanisms underlying these beneficial effects were attributed to plant-derived compounds, such as polyphenols (5). Quercetin is a dietary flavonoid found in tea, onions, grapes, wines and apples, and the anti-cancer activities of this compound have been previously explored in breast and colon cancer cells (6,7). Tang et al (8) reported that quercetin and epigallocatechin gallate synergistically inhibited invasion, migration and epithelial mesenchymal transition in prostate cancer stem cells; another study demonstrated that quercetin and sulforaphane synergistically inhibited self-renewal in pancreatic cancer stem cells (9). Hyperoside (quercetin-3-O-galactoside) is a flavonoid compound, which is primarily extracted from Hypericum perforatum L. (10). Hyperoside was reported to exhibit anti-cancer effects via inhibition of cell proliferation, induction of apoptosis, decreased angiogenesis and induction of cell cycle arrest in numerous cancer cell lines (11). Another previous study demonstrated that the combination of hyperoside and quercetin exhibited synergistic inhibitory effects on the growth of human leukemia cells (12). In a study using mouse skin tumors, a combination of hyperoside and tea polyphenols also demonstrated synergistic anti-cancer effects (13).

Micro (mi)RNAs are small non-coding single-stranded RNAs composed of 22 nucleotides, which regulate coding RNAs at the post-transcriptional level. A single miRNA controls hundreds of target messenger (m)RNAs and miRNAs are therefore powerful transcription factors which may regulate whole cell proteomes (14). miRNAs have become the focus of an increasing number of studies due to the reported roles of
miRNAs in influencing cancer biology, including proliferation, apoptosis and invasive capacity. miR-21 was reported to regulate the growth of breast cancer MCF7 cells in vitro and in xenograft mouse models in vivo (15). Subsequent studies demonstrated that miR-21 regulated breast cancer metastasis via the downregulation of tumor suppressor genes, including maspin and programmed cell death protein 4 (PDCD4) (16). In addition, miR-21 was reported to regulate glioblastoma invasasation and metastasis through the targeted downregulation of PDCD4 (17). Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the combined treatment of prostate carcinoma cells with hyperoside and quercetin in order to investigate its effect on PDCD4 expression and the potential involvement of miR-21 in the downregulation of PDCD4 transcription factors.

Materials and methods

Botanical extract. Polyphenols were extracted from a standardized hyperoside and quercetin dihydrate supplement (ratio, 1:1) in capsule form, which was obtained from Jiangsu Suzhong Pharmaceutical Group Co., Ltd (Jiangsu, China). Polyphenols were extracted using 50 mg/ml methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), followed by centrifugation at room temperature for 10 min at 1,100 x g in order to remove inactive and insoluble components. Methanol was evaporated in a rotavapor (BÜCHI Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland) at 40°C. Residual moisture was evaporated using a speedvac concentrator (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 43°C. The final mixture of hyperoside and quercetin in combination (QH) was stored at -80°C and dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich) prior to use.

High-performance liquid chromatography photo-diode array (HPLC-PDA) analysis. The polyphenolic mixture was analyzed and quantified by retention time and PDA spectra using HPLC. Chromatographic separation was performed in an Alliance 2695 Separations Module (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) using a Discovery® C18 column (Supelco; 250x4.6 mm, 5 μm; Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature. The chromatographic conditions used were as follows: Mobile phase A, water/acetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) 98:2; mobile phase B, acetonitrile/water/acetic acid 68:30:2. A gradient program with a flow rate of 1 ml/min was used as follows: 0 min, 100% A; 20 min, 60% A; 30 min, 30% A; 32 min, 0% A; and 35 min, 100% A. Wavelengths were detected at 306 and 360 nm for hyperoside and quercetin, respectively. Standard compounds for the identification and quantitative analysis of hyperoside and quercetin were obtained from Acros Organics (Morris Plains, NJ, USA).

Oxygen radical absorbance capacity. The antioxidant capacity was determined using an oxygen radical absorbance capacity assay (ORAC) with fluorescein as the fluorescent probe using a FLUOstar fluorescent microplate reader (485 nm excitation and 538 nm emission; BMG Labtech Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Results are expressed in μmol of Trolox equivalents/ml.

Cell culture. Hormone-independent PC3 prostate cancer epithelial cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO2 in RPMI-1640 (Gibco-BRL, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco-BRL), penicillin (100 IU/ml; Gibco-BRL) and streptomycin (100 μg/ml; Gibco-BRL).

Generation of ROS. ROS production was determined using the 2′,7-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA; Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA) assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, cells were seeded in a clear-bottom, 96-well plate (1x10⁴ cells/well), incubated for 24 h and then treated with different concentrations of QH (0-60 μg/ml). Following incubation, cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with 200 μM hydrogen peroxide for 2 h at 37°C. Cells were then washed with PBS in order to remove hydrogen peroxide and 10 μM DCFH-DA diluted in PBS was added to cells, followed by incubation for 15 min at 37°C. DCFH-DA was removed and fluorescence intensity was measured using a FLUOstar fluorescent microplate reader as described above.

Cell viability assay. Prostate cancer cells were seeded in a 96-well plate (3x10⁴ cells/well) and incubated for 24 h. The growth medium was then replaced with the experimental medium containing various concentrations of QH extract (0-60 μg/ml). Cell viability was assessed at 48 and 72 h using a Cell Titer 96® AQUEous One Solution Cell Proliferation assay kit (Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions, and a FLUOstar microplate reader at 490 nm. The IC₅₀ value was calculated using sigmoidal nonlinear regression analyses of the percentage of cell inhibition as a ratio of the control using GraphPad Prism 5.01 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

Cell proliferation. Prostate cancer cells were cultured in a 24-well plate (2x10⁴ cells/well) for 24 h. The growth medium was then replaced with the experimental medium containing numerous concentrations of QH extract (0-60 μg/ml). Cell proliferation was determined following 48 and 72 h incubation using a cell counter (Beckman Coulter LH500, Brea, CA, USA). Cell counts were expressed as a percentage of the control cells.

Cell apoptosis. The rate of apoptotic cell death was determined using a fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-Annexin V apoptosis detection kit (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction and quantified using flow cytometry. In brief, following treatment of prostate cancer cells with QH for 24 h, cells were washed once with PBS and harvested in a 0.5% trypsin/EDTA solution at 37°C, centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 min and then immediately re-suspended in 1X physiological buffer (provided in the kit). Cells (1x10⁴/500 μl) were then maintained in the dark for 15 min at room temperature with 5 μl each of propidium iodide and FITC conjugated Annexin V solution (Promega Corp.). The samples were then analyzed using a FACScalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Results were quantified using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).
Cleaved caspase-3 activation. Cells (6x10^5/well) were cultured for 24 h and then incubated with numerous concentrations of QH (0-40 µg/ml) for 24 h. Cleaved caspase-3 activation was determined using an ELISA kit (Cell Signaling Technology Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and quantified using a FLUOstar microplate reader at 450 nm.

Cell cycle analysis. Prostate cancer cells were seeded in a 12-well plate (5x10^4 cells/well) with medium containing 2.5% FBS for 24 h. Cells were then treated with QH (0-40 µg/ml) for 24 h. Cells were fixed with 90% ethanol and stored at -20°C. DNA was stained using propidium iodide (PI; Promega Corp.) containing a 0.2 mg/ml RNAse solution and analysis was performed at 488 nm excitation and 620 nm emission using a FACS Calibur flow cytometer. The percentage of cells in each cell cycle phase was analyzed using ModFit LT version 3.2 for Macintosh (Verity Software House Inc., Topsham, ME, USA).

Wound-healing assay. Equal numbers of cells were seeded into each well of a 12-well culture plate. Cells were incubated until they reached 70-80% confluence and a wound was created by scratching a line down the middle of the well using a sterile white pipette tip. Cells were then treated with different concentrations of QH and incubated for 48 h. Differential interference contrast images of the wounded area were captured of three random fields per well using a differential interference contrast microscope (40x) at 0 and 48 h post-wounding. Wound-healing was quantified by measuring the area of the closing wound, which was normalized to that of the vehicle-treated controls.

Invasion assay. Prostate cancer cell migration through Matrigel-coated membranes was measured using a 24-well BD Biocoat Matrigel® invasion chamber (BD Biosciences). Prostate cancer cells (5x10^5) were suspended in culture media without serum and then seeded onto the top compartment of the invasion chamber, followed by respective QH treatments. Complete media was added to the bottom chamber. Following 48 h, the cell filters were obtained and cells were removed from the top surface of the membrane using a cotton swab. The invasive cells adhering to the bottom surface of the membrane were stained using 100% methanol (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% toluidine blue (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively. Images were captured under a light microscope using a 20x objective. The total number of invaded cells was manually counted in four randomly selected fields per treatment per insert.

Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis (RT-qPCR) of miRNA and mRNA. Prostate cancer cells were cultured in a six-well plate (2x10^5 cells/well) for 24 h prior to incubation with different concentrations of QH (0-30 µg/ml). Total RNA, containing miRNA and mRNA, was isolated using the mirVana™ miRNA Isolation kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted nucleic acid was evaluated qualitatively and quantitatively using the NanoDrop® ND-1.000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA) at 260 and 280 nm. SuperScript™ III First-Strand (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to reverse-transcribe mRNA. GAPDH was used as a qPCR endogenous control. qPCR for mRNA was performed using the SYBR Green ER qPCR SuperMix (Invitrogen Life Technologies) on a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Primers used for RT-qPCR were as follows: PDCD4 sense, 5'-CCAAAGAACCTTGGTGCA-3' and antisense, 5'-TGAGGTTCTTCCAGTTCC-3'; GAPDH sense, 5'-GGCATTGCTTCAATGACAA-3' and antisense, 5'-ATGTAAGGCGATGAGTCCAC-3'.

The TaqMan® MicroRNA Assay for miR-21 and the control RN16B (Applied Biosystems) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions, to reverse-transcribe mature miRNA in a MasterCycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). RT-qPCR for miRNA was performed using the TaqMan® assay, which contained the forward and reverse primers as well as the TaqMan® probe and TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix No AmpErase® uracil N-glycosylase (Applied Biosystems). Quantification of mRNA and miRNA gene expression was then evaluated using the comparative critical threshold method. Mimic transfections with 50 and 100 nM miR-21 (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA) were performed using Lipofectamine 2000® (Invitrogen Life Technologies) for 6 h. Following transfection, cells were incubated with 20 µg/ml QH for 24 h.

Western blot analysis. Cells were cultured (2x10^6 cells/plate) for 24 h and then incubated with different concentrations of QH for 48 h. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer containing protease inhibitor. Protein concentration was determined using a Bio-Rad protein assay system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Equal amounts of proteins were separated using SDS-PAGE on a 15% gel and then transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad). Following blocking in Tris-buffered saline containing 5% non-fat milk, the membranes were incubated with specific primary antibodies (mouse anti-PDCD4 polyclonal antibody, dilution 1:1,000, Abnova Corporation, Walnut, CA, USA; and mouse anti-PARP polyclonal antibody, dilution 1:1,000, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) at 4°C for 12 h and then with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody for 2 h at room temperature. Enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagent (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) was used to evaluate the results.

Statistical analysis. Data from in vitro experiments were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by a Tukey-Cramer HSD multiple comparison test using SPSS version 18.0 (International Business Machines, Armonk, NY, USA). A Student t-test was used to determine differences between miR-21 mimic transfections. Nonlinear modeling of sigmoidal curves for cell viability was performed using GraphPad Prism 5.01. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference between values.

Results

Chemical composition. As shown in Fig. 1A and C, the chromatographic stilbene and flavonal profiles of QH, respectively, demonstrated the presence of two major polyphenols, hyperoside (peak 1) and quercetin (peak 2) in this botanical supplement. The chemical structures of hyperoside and quere-
Quercetin and hyperoside are shown in Fig. 1B and D, respectively. In addition, quercetin and hyperoside were reported to be among the most abundant flavonoids in a standard human diet (5,18).

Production of intracellular ROS and ORAC. Intracellular production of ROS was investigated in PC3 cells following treatment with hydrogen peroxide. The results revealed that at low concentrations of QH (0-10 µg/ml), there was a slight increase in ROS production; however, at higher concentrations of QH (20-60 µg/ml), ROS production was significantly decreased by up to 69% compared to that of the control cells (Fig. 1E). An ORAC assay was then used to determine the antioxidant capacity of cells following QH treatment (Fig. 1F). The results demonstrated that all tested concentrations of QH significantly increased the antioxidant capacity of PC3 cells in a dose-dependent manner.

QH inhibits PC3 cell viability. A Cell Titer 96® cell proliferation assay was used to determine cell viability following incubation with different concentrations of QH for 48 and 72 h. The results demonstrated that QH significantly decreased PC3 cell viability in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Fig. 2A), with IC_{50}-values of 19.7 and 12.4 µg/ml, for 48 and 72 h, respectively. In addition, determination of the cell count of PC3 cells showed that QH significantly inhibited the proliferation of PC3 cells following 48 and 72 h of treatment with QH in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Fig. 2B). The total cell count was significantly decreased at all tested concentrations (2.5-60 µg/ml) and at 60 µg/ml, QH cell proliferation was reduced by 76 and 85%, following 48 h and 72 h of incubation, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 2C, the effects of QH on cell-cycle progression were determined by fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis. No significant changes were observed in the percentage of cells in different phases of the cell cycle; however, there was a significant G0/G1 to S phase block compared to control cells following treatment with 10, 20 and 40 µg/ml QH (Fig. 2C).

Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide staining and flow cytometric analysis determined that QH increased apoptosis in PC3 cells at all tested concentrations (2.5-40 µg/ml) compared
to that in the control, with a maximum increase at 40 µg/ml QH by 63% (Fig. 2D).

Furthermore, activated/cleaved caspase-3 levels were found to be elevated at low concentration of QH (5 and 10 µg/ml) by ~1.5-fold and at higher concentrations (20 and 40 µg/ml) by ~2.7-fold (Fig. 2E). Poly(adenosine diphosphate ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1) is a substrate for caspase-3 cleavage, which produces cleaved PARP-1 (19). In the present study, western blot analysis revealed an increase in PARP cleavage in PC3 cells following QH treatment (Fig. 2F). These results therefore indicated that cleaved caspase-3 was activated and had a role in the induction of apoptosis following QH treatment.
**QH inhibits the invasive activity of PC3 cells.** The present study aimed to investigate the effect of QH on prostate cancer cell migration and invasion. The results of the wound healing assay demonstrated that QH-treated PC3 cells had significantly decreased migratory ability compared to that of the control (P<0.05) (Fig. 3A). A Matrigel™ invasion assay determined that the average cell counts crossing a Matrigel™-coated membrane in the control group was significantly increased compared to that of the QH treatment group, indicating that QH significantly suppressed the invasive capacity of prostate cancer cells (P<0.05) (Fig. 3B).

**QH regulates miR-21 expression in PC3 cells.** A TaqMan® assay was performed in order to evaluate the expression of miR-21 in PC3 cells following QH treatment. The results showed a dose-dependent decrease in miR-21 expression, with inhibition rates of 42, 56 and 77% observed at 5, 10 and 20 µg/ml QH, respectively (Fig. 3C). In addition, a dose-dependent increase in PDCD4 expression, a target molecule for miR-21, was detected in PC3 cells following treatment with QH (Fig. 3D and E). This therefore indicated that the mechanisms underlying the anti-cancer effect of QH in PC3 cells may proceed via the suppression of the miR-21 gene.

PC3 cells were then transfected with pre-miR-21 in order to induce the overexpression of miR-21. Pre-miR-21 is processed by the RNAse III enzyme Dicer, which results in a 22 base pair double-stranded RNA with two nucleotide 39 overhangs; one of these strands forms the mature miRNA, which is incorporated into an RNA silencing complex (RISC), allowing it to functionally suppress the expression and translation of its targeted RNAs (20). In the present study, increased miR-21 levels compared to those in the control-transfected cells confirmed the effectiveness of miR-21 transfection (Fig. 4A). As hypothesized, PDCD4 levels were significantly decreased compared to those of the control, indicating that miR-21 bound to the 3’ untranslated region on PDCD4 mRNA and enhanced its degradation (15). These results suggested that pre-miR-21 was effectively processed in PC3 cells, resulting in the functional overexpression of miR-21. Furthermore, the overexpression of pre-miR-21 resulted in partial resistance of transfected PC3 cells to QH-induced PDCD4 stimulation (Fig. 4B and E). However, QH retained its ability to augment PDCD4 levels in cells via the suppression of the high basal miR-21 expression. In addition, cells overexpressing miR-21 exhibited an increased resistance to QH-induced suppression of PC3 cells wound healing and invasive capacity (Fig. 4C and D). In conclusion, the results of these experiments indicated that miR-21 was the target gene for QH treatment, which mediated the growth and invasiveness of PC3 cells in vitro.

**Discussion**

The production of ROS is known to be associated with the oxidative cellular damage involved in the development of numerous pathological conditions (21). ROS have a complex role in carcinogenesis; the majority of cancer cells have increased constitutive levels of ROS compared to those of normal cells, due to mutations in nuclear and mitochondrial genes responsible for the electron transport chain as well as increased metabolic and mitochondrial activity (22). It was reported that elevated ROS levels may enhance cell proliferation among other events associated with cancer progression (23). In addition, ROS were...
reported to induce DNA damage and oxidation of fatty acids in cellular membrane structures, which may facilitate mutagenesis and cancer development. However, numerous anti-cancer drugs are toxic to mitochondria and induce ROS production, which may in turn lead to cancer cell death (24). Polyphenols, including hyperoside and quercetin, have the ability to scavenge free radicals and induce the activation of antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes, therefore protecting cells against oxidative damage caused by carcinogenic compounds (25). In the present study, QH induced a dose-dependent increase in the intracellular antioxidant capacity; by contrast, low concentrations of QH increased ROS production in PC3 cells, whereas high concentrations significantly reduced ROS levels. One possible explanation for this biphasic effect may be the toxic effects of polyphenols in mitochondria, which may have induced ROS production, whereas at higher concentrations of QH, their antioxidant properties became dominant (25). Previous studies have demonstrated the protective effect of polyphenols against oxidative damage under certain conditions (26). This may indicate that in the present study, the lower concentrations of QH were insufficient to have protective effects and therefore resulted in the additional formation of radicals, whereas at higher concentrations, QH inhibited ROS production, potentially through scavenging ROS.

The anti-proliferative effects of quercetin were previously demonstrated in MOLT-4 leukemia cells through the combination of resveratrol and quercetin, which exhibited synergistic anti-cancer effects (12). Based on previous studies, a combination of hyperoside and quercetin (ratio, 1:1) was investigated in the present study, resulting in significant decrease in cell viability and proliferation following QH treatment. However, it remains to be elucidated whether a different ratio may be more effective.

A previous study demonstrated that polyphenols, including resveratrol and quercetin, induced cell cycle arrest in numerous cancer cell lines at different phases (27). Tan et al (28) studied the effect of quercetin on HepG2 cells and reported that following treatment with quercetin for 48 h, cells were arrested in G0/G1 phase. In MOLT-4 leukemia cells, polyphenol-mediated cell cycle arrest was influenced by the duration of treatment and the type of polyphenol. In the present study, QH (20 µg/mL) decreased the percentage of cells in S-phase and increased the percentage of cells in G0/G1-phase, which was consistent with the inhibition of the progression from G0/G1 to S-phase.

Capase-3 is an important enzyme in apoptosis and a commonly used indicator for the induction of apoptosis (28). PARP-1, an abundant chromatin-associated protein, has a significant role in maintaining genome integrity and is cleaved by capase-3 during apoptosis (29). Previous studies have demonstrated the effects of quercetin, as well as other polyphenols, on capase-3 and PARP-1 activity (30,31). In general, the results of the present study are in accordance with those of previous studies, which showed that polyphenols induced apoptosis via the activation of capase-3 accompanied by cleavage of PARP (28). These previous studies also reported that resveratrol caused the induction of capase-3 and PARP cleavage in human articular chondrocytes and myeloid leukemia cells.

The results of the present study indicated that the miR-21 axis was an important target of QH for mediating the survival and invasive capacity of PC3 prostate cancer cells. It was found that the underlying mechanism of QH anti-cancer activity was via the induction of miR-21 targeted genes, such as PDCD4. In addition, the present study demonstrated that overexpression of miR-21 antagonized the anti-tumor effects of QH, which further highlighted the role of the miR-21 pathway in mediating the anti-tumor actions of QH in prostate cancer cells.

miR-21 is an oncomir which has an important role in regulating numerous cellular processes in order to enhance cancer cell growth and invasion. Expression of miR-21 is high in androgen-independent prostate cancer cell lines, including PC3 and DU145, and low in androgen-dependent prostate cancer cells, such as LNCaP cells (32). It was hypothesized that the androgen/androgen receptor complex binds to the promoter region of miR-21 in order to induce its expression (33). Of note, the resultant high expression of miR-21 was suggested to promote androgen resistance via downstream gene regulation; miR-21-regulated genes include myristoylated alanine-rich protein kinase c substrate (MARCKS), PDCD4, maspin and tropomyosin-1 (20,34). miR-21 has been reported to negatively regulate MARCKS, which was suggested to control cell motility through interactions with the actin cytoskeleton (32). It was subsequently reported that cells treated with antisense miR-21 exhibited increased MARCKS expression and reduced invasive capacity (35). Downregulation of MARCKS using siRNAs increased the invasiveness of DU-145 prostate cancer cells (32).

The anti-tumor activity of PDCD4 was suggested to proceed through numerous mechanisms; PDCD4 was reported to suppress protein translation via inhibition of the eukaryotic initiation factor 4A activity (36). In addition, PDCD4 suppressed the transactivation of the activator protein (AP)-1 promoter via c-Jun (37), therefore inhibiting growth promotion. These previous studies provided evidence to support the conclusion that QH inhibited miR-21 expression in PC3 cells and increased the expression of key target proteins, such as PDCD4; furthermore, overexpression of miR-21 decreased the expression of the miR-21 target PDCD4 and reduced the ability of QH to mediate the invasive capacity of PC3 cells.

In conclusion, the results of the present study indicated that a combination of quercetin and hyperoside exhibited anti-tumor activities in prostate cancer cells, resulting in apoptosis, cell cycle arrest and reduced invasive capacity. QH-induced regulation of the miR-21-PDCD4 axis was identified as one possible underlying mechanism of the anti-cancer effects of QH. Further studies are required in order to assess the role and clinical relevance of miRNA-21 in the anti-cancer effects exhibited by botanicals.
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