Docosahexaenoic acid inhibits the growth of hormone-dependent prostate cancer cells by promoting the degradation of the androgen receptor
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Abstract. Epidemiological and preclinical data have demonstrated the preventative effects of ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, including docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), on prostate cancer. However, there are inconsistencies in these previous studies and the underlying mechanisms remain to be elucidated. In the present study, the androgen receptor (AR), which is a transcription factor involved in cell proliferation and prostate carcinogenesis, was identified as a target of DHA. It was revealed that DHA inhibited hormone-dependent growth of LNCaP prostate cancer cells. Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis revealed that treatment with DHA caused no alteration in the transcribed mRNA expression levels of the AR gene. However, immunoblotting revealed that this treatment reduces the protein expression level of the AR. The androgen-induced genes were subsequently repressed by treatment with DHA. It was demonstrated that DHA exhibits no effect on the translation process of the AR, however, it promotes the proteasome-mediated degradation of the AR. Therefore, the present study provided a novel mechanism by which DHA exhibits an inhibitory effect on growth of prostate cancer cells.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most common type of cancer among males in Western countries (1). Although the initial cause of the onset of prostate cancer remains to be elucidated, previous studies have demonstrated potential links to dietary habits and fat intake. For example, a controlled case study provides evidence of a positive correlation between dietary fat and mortality from prostate cancer (2-5). The dietary intake of essential fatty acids, including ω-3 and ω-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), is crucial for several cellular processes, including cell proliferation and differentiation (6). A number of previous studies have demonstrated that PUFAs are important in promoting or inhibiting several types of tumor, including hormone-responsive prostate tumors (7-9).

The contribution of ω-3 and ω-6 PUFAs to prostate carcinogenesis has gained considerable importance in previous years. It has been reported by previous in vitro and in vivo studies that ω-3 PUFAs, including docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), can repress the development and progression of prostate cancer, whereas ω-6 PUFAs promote the growth of prostate cancer (9-12). In addition, epidemiological studies demonstrated that males who consumed large quantities of fish have a lower risk of prostate cancer and those who eat low quantities of seafood were associated with an increased prostate cancer risk, suggesting that there is an inverse correlation between diets rich in ω-3 PUFAs and the incidence of prostate cancer (13-15). Therefore, the ω-3 PUFAs contained in fish oil and other dietary factors may be beneficial for prostate cancer chemoprevention. However, the association between ω-3 PUFAs and the progression from hormone dependency to hormone independency, and the mechanisms by which they may be involved in mediating their effects on androgen dependence remain to be elucidated.

The tumor-suppressive effects of ω-3 PUFAs are hypothesized to be partly due to the modulation of signal transduction pathways (16-18). Androgens are important in the development...
and progression of prostate cancer (19). Androgens function via binding to the androgen receptor (AR), which is a ligand-dependent transcription factor of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily. Therefore, AR is critical in the development of prostate cancer (19). Several previous studies have reported that the overexpression of AR is characteristic of prostate cancer that progresses to hormone independency (20-23). For instance, LNCaP clones, which progressed to hormone independency demonstrated increased protein expression levels of the AR, compared with their hormone-dependent syngenic clones. Exposure to o-3 PUFAs caused a significant effect on suppressing the androgen deprivation-induced expression of the AR (24).

The LNCaP cell line is an androgen-responsive prostate cancer cell line expressing the AR and a number of androgen-inducible genes, including prostate-specific antigen (PSA). The present study aimed to investigate whether treatment with DHA impedes the growth of hormone-responsive LNCaP cells, and whether the effect of DHA is associated with changes in the androgen receptor and androgen-regulated genes.

Materials and methods

Cell lines. All cells types used in the present study were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA) and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies), at 37°C and 5% CO₂ until reaching 70% confluence. The cells were subsequently treated with DHA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) dissolved in ethanol at the designated concentrations and for the indicated duration. For the AR stability experiment, the cells were treated with either 50 µg/ml of the protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide (CHX; Sigma-Aldrich) for 24 h prior to harvesting.

Cell proliferation assay and PSA quantification. Cell growth was assessed by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide (Sigma-Aldrich) dye conversion, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the cells were seeded (5x10³/well) into a 96-well flat bottom plate and were treated with 0.4% trypsin blue staining (Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were grown in different treatment conditions and cell growth was subsequently assessed following the indicated duration of continuous treatment. The number of viable cells was counted using a hemocytometer (XBK25; Qiujing Instrument, Dalian, China) on a LightCycler® 96 Real-Time PCR System (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The cycling conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 30 sec. β-actin was used as the reference gene and the relative quantification comparative CT method was used. The primer sequences used are as follows: Forward 5'-GATGCTGTGAAGGTCATGGA-3' and reverse 5'-TGGAAGGTTCCACACACTGAAG-3' for PSA; forward 5'-TTGACTGCCACCTTCTCG-3' and reverse 5'-CATCCTCCTCGCAGCATG-3' for ODC1; forward 5'-CTGGTGGCTGATAAGGGAT-3' and reverse 5'-GTCTGCCCTCAATTGTGCAGAT-3' for TMRPSS2; forward 5'-TCCCTCAGAATGCACACTCTCT-3' and reverse 5'-GCCACATCTCTGCACTTAA-3' for FKBP51; and forward 5'-GCAAAGAACCTCAAGCTC-3' and reverse 5'-AGAAGGGCTCCTTCTCAGG-3' for NXK3-1.

Statistical analysis. All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Data that followed a normal distribution were analyzed using Student's t-test or the one-way analysis of variance test for comparisons between two groups. Dunn's method was used for multiple comparisons. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All statistical values were calculated using SPSS software, version 19.0 (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

DHA inhibits the growth of LNCaP cells. DHA has been demonstrated to suppress the growth of AR-positive, hormone-dependent LNCaP cells. The present study examined the efficacy of DHA on LNCaP cells, under conditions of hormone presence (in the presence of FBS), similar to the conditions in patients undergoing androgen-dependent carcinogenesis of prostate cancer. Firstly, increasing concentrations up to 100 µM DHA were selected to treat the LNCaP cells for 6 h, to assess whether DHA has a toxic effect. Trypan blue staining revealed no difference in the cells treated with DHA compared with the control cells (Fig. 1A), indicating that the concentrations of DHA used in the present study caused no toxic effect on LNCaP cells. As shown in Fig. 1B, when LNCaP cells growing in complete FBS were treated with DHA, there was decreased cell growth in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, treatment with 50 µM DHA for varying durations on the LNCaP cells demonstrated a time-dependent suppression of cell growth (Fig. 1C). However, DHA-treated AR-negative PC3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells exhibited no response (Fig. 1D and E). This data suggested that AR potentiates the inhibitory effect of DHA on the growth of LNCaP cells when compared with those without the AR.
DHA reduces the protein expression level of AR. To ascertain that DHA indeed affects the AR in LNCaP cells, the present study examined the effect of DHA on the expression levels of the AR. RT-qPCR analysis was performed to confirm whether the transcribed mRNA expression levels of the AR were affected by treatment with DHA. As shown in Fig. 2A, the mRNA expression levels of the AR in the DHA-treated LNCaP cells were unaltered compared with those from the
control cells. The protein expression level of the AR was further assessed and the result of the immunoblotting revealed that treatment with 50 μM DHA for 12 or 24 h downregulated the protein expression levels of the AR by 50 and 65%, respectively (Fig. 2B). These data demonstrated that DHA exhibits no effect on the transcription of the AR gene, however, significantly reduces the protein expression level of the AR in LNCaP cells.

**DHA represses androgen-regulated gene expression.** Since androgen functions via the androgen receptor, which has been demonstrated to be reduced by DHA in LNCaP cells, the present study further investigated whether the androgen action was affected by DHA. RT-qPCR was performed to assess whether the mRNA expression level of androgen-responsive genes, including PSA, ODC, TMPRSS2, NKX3-1 and FKBP51, were affected by treatment with DHA. As shown in Fig. 3A, the mRNA expression levels of the selected genes were upregulated by androgen and treatment with 100 μM DHA for 24 h significantly repressed the induced response. In addition, the quantity of secreted PSA was measured. The LNCaP cells cultured in serum-free media or exposed to R1881 were treated with different concentrations of DHA prior to the collection of the culture medium for measurement of the total protein expression levels of secreted PSA. As shown in Fig. 3B, androgen stimulated the expression of PSA and treatment with DHA decreased the androgen-induced expression of PSA in a dose-dependent manner. These data indicated that the actions of androgens can be inhibited in LNCaP cells by DHA.

**DHA promotes the proteasome-mediated degradation of AR.** To further elucidate the discrepant effects of DHA on the mRNA and protein expression levels of the AR, the present study examined the effects of DHA on the protein expression of the AR at a range of durations. Treatment of the LNCaP cells with DHA revealed a time-dependent decrease in the protein expression level of the AR over the interval of 6-18 h (Fig. 4A). To ascertain whether this decline in AR protein level reflects a reduced protein synthesis or increased degradation by DHA, the protein translation inhibitor, CHX, was used. Under conditions of CHX treatment and therefore, no protein translation, it was observed that the AR protein declined in a time-dependent manner, demonstrating a half-life of 12 h (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, the reduction in the AR protein was more pronounced with DHA in the presence of CHX (Fig. 4C). In addition, at the 18 h time point, there was a significant additive effect between DHA and CHX when LNCaP cells were treated with each drug (Fig. 4D). The additive reduction in the protein expression levels of the AR by addition of DHA beyond what already elicited by CHX indicated that the DHA-induced decrease in AR protein levels was not mediated by an inhibition of protein translation.

Since the evidence suggested that DHA has no effect on protein translation, however, reduces the protein level of AR, the present study next examined whether DHA acts as a regulator of AR stability. The LNCaP cells were treated with MG132, a proteasome inhibitor, to avoid proteasome-mediated degradation. As shown in Fig. 4E, treatment with MG132 increased the protein expression levels of the AR compared with the control, suggesting that AR is degraded by the proteasome. Notably, the combined treatment of MG132 and DHA significantly increased the protein expression level of AR compared with treatment with DHA alone. The above data indicated that DHA promoted proteasome-mediated degradation of the AR.

**Discussion**

The incidence and mortality rate of prostate cancer differs among countries and regions. For instance, American males have a higher incidence and mortality of prostate cancer compared with Asian males (1). Several previous studies have indicated that dietary factors may be important in the incidence, progression and clinical outcome of prostate cancer (26-28). In addition, dietary fat has been demonstrated to promote or inhibit the growth of prostate cancer (29). Epidemiological and laboratory investigations have suggested that ω-3 fatty acids inhibit the growth of prostate cancer cells and ω-6 fatty acids promote the disease (11,14,30,31). Based on this evidence, it has been speculated that the ω-3 fatty acids may reduce the risk of prostate cancer and also inhibit the growth of developing prostate tumors.

It has been revealed that ω-3 PUFAs repress the growth of prostate cancer cells in vitro and reduce the protein expression levels of the AR in LNCaP cells (24). However, the mechanism underlying the reduced protein expression level of the AR remains to be elucidated. The present study demonstrated for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, that DHA, a ω-3 PUFA, promoted the degradation of the AR in LNCaP cells.
Furthermore, androgenic induction of several androgen-regulated genes were significantly inhibited by DHA at steady-state mRNA expression levels. The above data indicated that DHA treatment repressed androgen action, including the cell growth response.

The present study also used EPA, another ω-3 PUFA, to treat LNCaP cells, however, EPA has been demonstrated to have no significant repressive effect on LNCaP cell growth and revealed no reduction in the protein expression levels of the AR at concentrations <100 µM. Although DHA and EPA are each long chain ω-3 PUFAs, EPA contains less unsaturated bonds, which may result in a reduced inhibitory effect compared with DHA. It is also possible that the concentrations of EPA used were lower than required to exhibit its effect, since high concentrations of EPA have an inhibitory effect on the growth of LNCaP cells.

The present study demonstrated that DHA exhibits an inhibitory effect on the androgenic induction of gene expression. DHA inhibited the expression of the prostate-specific gene, PSA, and the ODC gene, which is ubiquitously expressed, which are well-known direct target genes of the AR. In addition, TMPRSS2, NKX3-1 and FKBP51, which are all upregulated by androgens, were also repressed by treatment with DHA. These results indicated that DHA can impair the transactivation ability of the AR. ODC is a rate-limiting enzyme in the polyamine biosynthesis pathway, which is known to be involved in the proliferation and differentiation of normal and neoplastic cells (32). Overexpression of ODC may
be involved in the oncogenic process. Therefore, the repressed expression of ODC by DHA may partially explain the decrease in cell growth. The function of nuclear receptors, including the AR, can be affected by expression level. Androgens can stabilize the AR and therefore, increase the expression level of the AR. Immunoblot analysis of the AR demonstrated that DHA affected the androgen-mediated stabilizing effect by reducing the level of the AR.

It has been elucidated that one of the mechanisms by which prostate cancer cells become hormone-independent is by increasing the levels of the AR, thereby sensitizing the receptor to low levels of circulating androgens (33). Previous studies revealed that the hormone-independent LNCaP clones demonstrated a significant increase in the expression levels of the AR, as compared with their hormone dependent clones (24). Therefore, it may be helpful to reduce the levels of the AR during the progression to hormone-independency, to prevent the growth of LNCaP cells. The results from the present study demonstrated that treatment with DHA inhibited the upregulation of the AR, indicating that DHA may possibly be involved in modulating and regulating the AR pathway.
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