Characterization of multidrug-resistant osteosarcoma sublines and the molecular mechanisms of resistance
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Abstract. Multidrug resistance (MDR) is a challenge for the treatment of cancer and the underlying molecular mechanisms remain elusive. The current study exposed MG63 osteosarcoma cells to increasing concentrations of vincristine (VCR) to establish four VCR-resistant MG63/VCR cell sublines (MG63/VCR1, 2, 3 and 4). The drug resistance indices (RI) of these sublines were detected with the CCK-8 assay and determined to be 163, 476, 1,247, and 2,707-fold higher than that of parental cells, respectively. These sublines also exhibited cross-resistance to doxorubicin, paclitaxel and pirarubicin. With increased RI, the proliferative capacity of these sublines was gradually reduced and cell morphology was also altered, characterized by increased formation of pseudopodia and long cytoplasmic processes at opposite poles. However, the migration capacity and expression of certain drug resistance-associated genes were not in accordance with the increased RI; multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1) expression was significantly increased in these sublines compared with parental cells. However, in the highly resistant MG63/VCR3 and MG63/VCR4 cells, MDR-associated protein 1, topoisomerase II and LIM domain kinase 1 levels were significantly reduced compared with the moderately resistant MG63/VCR2 cells. Expression of glutathione S-transferase-π mRNA was determined using reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction and determined that it was not changed between MG63 and MG63/VCR cells. The data of the present study demonstrated that the molecular alterations of drug resistance may change with the degree of drug resistance. Taking cell morphology into consideration, the intratumor clonal and phenotypic heterogeneity may be responsible for drug resistance. These MG63/VCR sublines may be a valuable tool to assess drug resistance and the underlying mechanisms, and to identify novel drug resistance-associated genes or strategies to overcome MDR in human osteosarcoma.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma is a highly malignant bone tumor with widespread histological heterogeneity. Clinically, osteosarcoma lacks biomarkers for early detection and differential diagnosis and has high local aggressiveness with rapid metastatic potential (1). Osteosarcoma is the most common primary bone malignancy and the third most common cancer in adolescence and young adults (2). To date, the cause and risk factors of osteosarcoma remain unclear (2). Depending on the stage at diagnosis, chemotherapy is routinely used to treat patients with advanced osteosarcoma and chemotherapeutic drugs, including methotrexate (MTX), doxorubicin (DOX), vincristine (VCR) and cisplatin are commonly used in the clinic (3-5). Despite advances in preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy, osteosarcoma prognosis remains poor due to the development of multidrug resistance (MDR) (4, 6, 7). Tumor cells may become cross-resistant to a broad spectrum of chemotherapeutic agents following single drug-induced resistance (8). Currently, VCR remains an effective chemotherapeutic agent to control osteosarcoma (5); however, resistance to VCR chemotherapy frequently occurs, as tumor cells often acquire resistance to drugs and develop MDR, resulting in treatment failure. However, the mechanism of drug resistance in osteosarcoma remains elusive. Thus, the current study generated VCR-resistant osteosarcoma sublines and subsequently assessed their characteristics, MDR and the underlying molecular events. Indeed, drug-resistant cell sublines generated by various research groups have reported different and even contradictory results with regards to cell proliferation or migration ability, and cell cycle distribution (9-12). Thus, it is speculated that these contradictions may be caused by the different resistance index and tumor heterogeneity, as the tumor cell phenotypes have different cell subpopulations (13, 14). Different mechanisms are responsible for the development of drug resistances at the cellular level, which has long been reported in morphological, transcriptional, genetic
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and epigenetic studies of cancer (15), and recently in genomic studies (16,17). The current study may provide a useful tool for the future study of drug-resistant mechanisms and strategies for identification of novel targets for the treatment of patients with osteosarcoma.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture. The MG63 human osteosarcoma cell line was obtained from the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and cultured in high glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle's medium (H-DMEM; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA) at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2.

Establishment of VCR-resistant cell sublines. To generate VCR-resistant MG63 sublines, we exposed MG63 cells to increasing concentrations of VCR according to a previous study (18). Briefly, parental MG63 cells were initially cultured in H-DMEM containing VCR (New Hualian Pharmaceutical Co., Shanghai, China) at a concentration of 10 ng/ml for 72 h. Surviving cells were collected and cultured in VCR-free medium for 1-2 weeks and then further cultured with VCR-containing H-DMEM. This procedure was repeated for five or more times until the majority of cells survived in the drug-containing medium. Subsequently, cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of VCR (10, 20, 30, 50, 75, 100, 200, 300, 500, 800, 1,000 and 2,500 ng/ml). At the high VCR concentrations, 4-6 weeks were required to establish adequate growth. Clones selected at 100, 500, 1,000 and 2,500 ng/ml VCR were re-purified for the subsequent experiments and termed MG63/VCR1, MG63/VCR2, MG63/VCR3 and MG63/VCR4 respectively, collectively termed MG63/VCR cells. Prior to each experiment, MG63 and MG63/VCR cells were maintained in a drug-free medium and subcultured at least three times.

Colony formation assay. Cells in an exponential growth phase were seeded in triplicate in a 6-well plate at a density of 500 cells per well in H-DMEM containing 10% FBS for 2 weeks. Colonies were counted following fixation with methanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 15 min. The experiments were repeated at least once.

Cell viability assay. The Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan) was applied to assess the effect of VCR, MTX, pirarubicin (THP), DOX (all from New Hualian Pharmaceutical Co.), paclitaxel (PTX), and gemcitabine (GEM) (both from Laboratories Pierre Fabre, Paris, France). Specifically, mono-dispersed cells in the exponential growth phase were plated into 96-well plates at a density of 8x10^3 cells per well and cultured in 100 µl H-DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS for 24 h. Subsequently, the culture medium was replaced with medium containing serial dilutions of various drug formulations as follows: 125, 25, 5, 1, 0.2, 0.04, 0.008, 0.0016 µg/ml and drug-free medium used as a control. After 48 h, the medium was replaced with 10% CCK-8 and cells were incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Subsequently, the plates were measured at the wavelength of 450 nm using a plate reader (UV8100D; LabTech, Inc., Hopkinton, MA, USA). The inhibition ratio was calculated using the following formula: Inhibition ratio=(ODcontrol-ODexperimental)/ODcontrol×100%. Based on this the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) was calculated by the GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, USA) and the resistance indices (RI) was calculated as follows: RI=IC50_MG63/VCR/IC50_MG63.

Flow cytometry cell cycle assay. MG63 and MG63/VCR cells following different treatments were collected following trypsin digestion, washed with PBS and fixed in 70% ethanol at 4°C overnight. The fixed cells were then washed again with cold PBS and stained with 50 µg/ml DNA-binding dye propidium iodide (PI; Sigma-Aldrich) and 1.0 µg/ml RNase A (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. Cells were then analyzed for cell cycle distribution using a flow cytometer (BD LSRII; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) with excitation at 485 nm and emission at 620 nm. Each experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times. FACSDiva 6.0 (BD Biosciences) was used to analyze the cell cycle distribution.

Cell migration assay. MG63 and MG63/VCR1-4 cells were seeded in 24-well culture plates at a density of 8x10^4 cells per well. When the cell reached ~90% confluency a 20 µl pipette tip was used to make a scratch through the cell monolayer and cells were washed three times with PBS and subsequently cultured in H-DMEM without serum for up to 24 h. At the end of each experiment, images were captured using a fluorescence IX70 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed. The migration abilities were quantified by measuring the area of the scratched regions using ImageJ software (imagej.nih.gov).

RNA isolation and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Total cellular RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and RT was performed to obtain cDNA using the EX Tag kit (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's protocols. Subsequently, PCR was performed using SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara) with an ABI7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Relative expression of each gene was calculated using the 2−ΔΔCq method (19). The conditions used for qPCR were as follows: Denaturation at 98°C for 10 sec; annealing at 54-62°C for 30 sec; and elongation at 72°C for 1 min. PCR products were visualized by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel stained with GelRed™ (Biotium, Inc., Hayward, CA, USA). Images were obtained using the Bio-Rad Gel Doc XR+ (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) and analyzed using the Image Lab™ software (version 4.0; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). PCR primers used are as follows: Multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1), forward 5’-ATATCGCAGCCACATCAT-3’, reverse 5’-GAA GCACCTGGATGTCCGGT-3’; MDR-associated protein 1 (MRP1), forward 5’-GTACATTAACATGTCTGTC-3’, reverse 5’-CGTTCATCACTGGTACCAG-3’; glutathione S-transferase-π (GST-π), forward 5’-ATGCTGCTGGCAGAT
CAG-3', reverse 5'-GTAGATGAGGGAGATGTATTTGCA-3'; and β-actin, forward 5'-CTGGGACGACATGGAGAAAA-3', reverse 5'-AAGGAAGGCTGGAAGAGTG-3'.

**Intracellular DOX accumulation.** Cells in an exponential growth phase were plated into 60-mm Petri dishes and treated with or without 1.5 µM DOX with or without 5 µM verapamil for 3 h at 37˚C. Subsequently, cells were washed three times with ice-cold PBS and imaged under an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX70; Olympus Corporation) with a suitable filter at x200 magnification. Additionally, the cells were analyzed using flow cytometry to measure DOX auto-fluorescence. Cells were centrifuged and suspended in ice-cold PBS and the mono-dispersed cells were analyzed by a flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) according to the method a previous study (20). Cell fluorescence was measured in duplicate at each time point, and all experiments were repeated three times.

**Statistical analysis.** All data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Statistically significant difference was assessed using the unpaired t-test to compare means between two groups, or one-way analysis of variance to compare the mean values among three or more groups using GraphPad Prism software (version 5.04 for Windows; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Characterization of VCR-resistant osteosarcoma cell sublines.** The VCR-resistant sublines exhibited specific morphological characteristics when observed using inverted microscope. For example, although MG63 and MG63/VCR cell lines can proliferate and attach to the bottom of cell culture dishes, MG63/VCR cells exhibited irregular shapes with an increased triangular appearance and size, with some giant and small cells. MG63/VCR cells were often spindle shaped with increased formation of pseudopodia compared with the MG63 cells. The ratio of the long and short axis gradually increased with increased drug RI (Fig. 1A). By contrast, parental MG63 cells were relatively uniform in size,
oval in shape with a medium volume, and exhibited a distinct nucleolus with multiple nucleoli (Fig. 1A).

**VCR-resistant osteosarcoma cell sublines exhibit resistance to different anticancer drugs.** MG63/VCR cells exhibited less sensitivity to VCR-induced cell cytotoxicity compared with the parental cells. Specifically, the IC$_{50}$ values of MG63 and MG63/VCR1, 2, 3 and 4 cell sublines to VCR were 0.952 and 155, 453, 1,182 and 2,578 ng/ml, respectively (Fig. 1B and C). The RIs of the derivative-resistant sublines 1, 2, 3 and 4 were 163, 476, 1,242, and 2,708-fold higher than the parent MG63 cells, respectively. Notably, MG63/VCR cells also demonstrated a cross-resistance to DOX, PTX and THP, and a weak resistance to MTX, however the cells were sensitive to GEM (Fig. 1D).

**VCR-resistant osteosarcoma cell sublines exhibit differential migration capacity.** A cell wound-healing assay was performed to compare the migration ability of MG63/VCR and MG63 cells. Remarkably, we found slower migration ability of MG63/VCR2, MG63/VCR3, and MG63/VCR 4 cells compared to parental MG63 cells (Fig. 3A and B). Notably, MG63/VCR1 showed a markedly increased migration ability when compared to MG63 cells or other sublines.

**VCR-resistant osteosarcoma cell sublines exhibit differential expression of drug resistance-associated genes.** RT-qPCR analysis of different drug resistance-associated genes was performed and indicated that MDR1, MRP1, topoisomerase II (TOPO-II), and LIM domain kinase 1 (LIMK1) mRNA in MG63/VCR cells were expressed at higher levels compared with MG63 cells (P<0.01). However, in the highly resistant MG63/VCR3 and MG63/VCR4 cells, the levels of MRP1, TOPO-II, and LIMK1 were significantly reduced compared with the moderately resistant MG63/VCR2 cells. Additionally, differential expression of GST-$\pi$ mRNA was not detected between MG63/VCR and MG63 cells (Fig. 4B).

**MDR1 is involved in the resistance of the osteosarcoma cell sublines.** MG63/VCR4 and MG63/VCR3 cells were highly resistant to VCR treatment compared with MG63 cells (Fig. 5A). MG63/VCR3 cells were selected for use in the verapamil rescue experiments.
assay. Subsequent to the addition of verapamil (a calcium channel blocker, which blocks the function of MDR1), highly resistant MG63/VCR3 cells became sensitive to VCR and this change was dependent on the verapamil concentrations (Fig. 5B). DOX is auto-fluorescent with the same wave-length as PI (20). Thus, the efflux DOX was measured in the VCR-resistant sublines. The data indicated that DOX accumulation was higher in MG63 cells compared with MG63/VCR1-4 sublines as demonstrated by decreased DOX fluorescence intensity in MG63/VCR cells (Fig. 5C and D). However, following treatment with verapamil, DOX fluorescence intensity increased in the MG63/VCR cells compared with DOX-only treatment.

Discussion

Chemotherapy combined with surgery is the principal method of treatment for human cancer. However, tumor resistance to chemotherapy and molecular targeted therapies limit the effectiveness of current drug efficacy (1,21). Cancer cells can become cross-resistant to a broad spectrum of chemotherapeutic agents with different biological characteristics and structure following a duration of single drug treatment, termed MDR (8). At the cellular level, various MDR mechanisms are involved in chemoresistance, including increase in drug efflux, mutations of the drug targeting genes, DNA repair capacity, activation of alternative signaling pathways or evasion of cell death (21). It is increasingly recognized that tumor lesions contain a high degree of molecular heterogeneity (13) and that drug resistant tumor cells may enhance a therapy-induced selection of a resistant minor subpopulation of cells for expansion (21,22). However, the precise mechanisms of MDR remain undefined and require further investigation (21). The current study established a series of VCR-resistant osteosarcoma cell lines (MG63/VCR1, MG63/VCR2, MG63/VCR3, and
MG63/VCR4) with typical MDR phenotypes. Compared with parental MG63 cells, MG63/VCR cells exhibited different RI to VCR and cross-resistance to other structurally and mechanistically different drugs (Fig. 1), including an antimicrotubule agent (PTX), antimitabolite agent (MTX) and TOP2-II inhibitors (DOX and THP). All these drugs are frequently used in the clinic as chemotherapeutics for osteosarcoma (1,3). The MDR characteristics of MG63/VCR cells may indicate the failure of the chemotherapy combination of VCR with DOX, PTX or THP in clinical practice (3,23). However, the data of the present study also demonstrated that these cell sublines were sensitive to GEM, which is a nucleoside analog, and functions to arrest tumor growth and induce tumor cell apoptosis. Sensitivity of these sublines to GEM may be attributed to collateral sensitivity (24).

Morphologically, the VCR-resistant cells are distinct from their parental cell line. For example, the resistant cells exhibited spindle-shaped morphology and increased formation of pseudopodia. The long cytoplasmic processes at the opposite poles of the cell were increased with increased RI (Fig. 1), which is consistent with the findings of a previous study in different tumor cells (25). Wen et al (26) reported that ultrastructural changes may facilitate survival of drug-resistant cells during and after chemotherapy. Furthermore, drug-resistant tumor cells may exhibit different abilities in cell proliferation and migration, and additionally other studies demonstrated different and even contradictory results (9-12). In the current study, the proliferation ability of MG63/VCR cells was significantly decreased (Fig. 2) with increased RI. Park et al (27) suggested that reduced proliferation may be caused by an increased number of quiescent cancer cells or non-cycling dormant cells, characterized by resistance to therapy (27). This conjecture has been supported by cell cycle analysis, with the percentages of MG63/VCR cells in the G0/G1 phases significantly increased compared with the percentages in the MG63 cells in the corresponding phases (Fig. 2C and D). MDR and metastasis are two signs of malignant tumor. The migration ability was significantly enhanced in the lower resistance MG63/VCR1 cells compared with the MG63 cells. However, migration was significantly reduced in the highly resistant MG63/VCR3 and MG63/VCR4 cells (Fig. 3A and B). The expression of certain genes is consistent with this reduction in migration, such as LIMK1, which is important for the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton, thus promoting tumor cell migration and invasion. Different sublines with different RI demonstrated divergent drug-resistant mechanisms. Therapeutic intervention provides a potent selective pressure for the survival of tumor cells, and a subpopulation will survive and become resistant to the drugs, which will inherit their biological characteristics and replace the original tumor clones; this is analogous to Darwinian evolution theory (13,28).

Although the precise mechanism of MDR remains unclear, several cell membrane transporter proteins have associated resistance with commonly used chemotherapeutics that promote drug efflux. Notably, the ATP-binding cassette transporter family of transmembrane proteins regulate the efflux of multiple structurally and mechanistically unrelated chemotherapeutic
agents across the plasma membrane (21). Several members of this protein family have been extensively studied, including MDR1 and MRP1. Furthermore, GST enables detoxification of endogenous compounds and the metabolism of xenobiotics (29). TOPO-II and LIMK1 are also understood to be associated with drug resistance and tumor cell motility (30-32). In this context, drug-resistant cells overexpress these genes. However, certain studies have demonstrated downregulation of several MDR-associated genes, including MDR1 and MRP1 in drug-resistant cancer cell lines (33,34), indicating the involvement of a different drug resistance mechanism. The data of the current study demonstrated that with increased RI, MDR1 mRNA expression was raised and plateaued, whereas MRP1, LIMK1 and TOPO-II levels were highly expressed in the moderately resistant MG63/VCR2 cells, whereas their expression was lower in the highly resistant MG63/VCR3 and MG63/VCR4 cells (Fig. 4A and B). These data suggested that the mechanism of drug resistance in cells lines with different drug resistance levels may be different. Additionally, the current study assessed the retention level of DOX in the cells, and then challenged these cells with the MDR1 antagonist, verapamil (2-6 µM of which is sufficient to block MDR1 activity). DOX was used because it exhibits auto-fluorescence at the same wavelength as PI (20). The data demonstrated that the level of DOX was reduced in these sublines with increased RI (Fig. 5). Following verapamil treatment, the level of DOX in the cells was markedly increased, suggesting that MDR1-associated drug resistance was associated with a marked reduction in DOX accumulation and may be an important mechanism by which MG63/VCR sublines are resistant to chemotherapeutics. Further studies are required to assess how MDR1 activity affects MDR cell sublines.

In conclusion, the current study established stable MG63/VCR MDR osteosarcoma cell sublines, which were cross-resistant to other drugs. These sublines may serve as a useful tool for further study of the molecular mechanisms of osteosarcoma drug resistance and novel therapeutic strategies for osteosarcoma.
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