Digitoxin synergizes with sorafenib to inhibit hepatocellular carcinoma cell growth without inhibiting cell migration
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Abstract. Sorafenib is a chemotherapeutic agent approved for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in China. Digitoxin is a cardiotonic drug, which has been demonstrated to exhibit anticancer effects in a number of cancers, but not in HCC. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the combinational effect of sorafenib and digitoxin on the treatment of HCC and to investigate the relevant molecular mechanisms of action that underlie these effects. The proliferation, cell death and migration of HCC cell lines, HepG2 and BEL-7402, were examined using MTT, acridine orange/ethidium bromide staining and scratch wound healing assays, respectively. In addition, alterations in the expression of phosphorylated-extra-cellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), hypoxia-inducible factor 1-α (HIF-1α), hypoxia-inducible factor 2-α (HIF-2α) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) were measured prior to and following drug application using western blot analysis. Digitoxin and sorafenib synergistically inhibited cell viability, but did not inhibit migration, which was potentially mediated by suppression of ERK and hypoxia signaling. In downstream signaling pathways, the activity of ERK was synergistically suppressed by combinatorial treatment of HepG2 and BEL-7402 cells with sorafenib and digitoxin. In addition, the expression of HIF-1α, HIF-2α and VEGF was synergistically downregulated by combinational treatment.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common form of liver cancer and the third most lethal cancer worldwide (1). Over 500,000 new cases of HCC are diagnosed each year worldwide, with ~50% of cases diagnosed in China alone (2). Multiple gene mutations, insertion of viral DNA and epigenetic alterations are involved in the genetic mechanisms underlying hepatocarcinogenesis (3). Surgery is currently the primary approach for the treatment of HCC; however, a consistent effective chemotherapeutic option for this disease is required (1).

Sorafenib is a small molecule inhibitor that targets multiple kinases, including Raf kinases, the vascular endothelial factor receptor and the platelet-derived growth factor receptor, and is approved for the treatment of advanced HCC in Chinese clinics (4). The efficacy of sorafenib for the improvement of median survival rates was first presented in 2008 (5). Following this, sorafenib was identified as useful for the treatment of HCC in several additional studies, either alone or in combinational treatment (6,7). However, unsatisfactory response rates and adverse side effects, such as diarrhea and jaundice, have been reported (8). The search for an efficient adjuvant agent to sorafenib has recently invited significant attention in the field.

Digitoxin is a cardiac glycoside that has been widely studied and used for its cardiotonic effects (9). Since the 1990s, the anticancer effect of digitoxin has been demonstrated in multiple cancer types including prostate, breast and pancreatic cancers (10,11). However, the precise anticancer mechanisms of digitoxin remain elusive. It has been proposed that, at nanomolar concentrations, digitoxin activates the Na’/K+ adenosine triphosphatase signalosome to regulate cellular events, including apoptosis, cell movement, cell proliferation and tight junction regulation (10). Signaling pathways, including the p53, mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase pathways, have been demonstrated to be affected by digitoxin (10). As the target downstream signaling pathways of digitoxin overlap with those targeted by sorafenib, and the activity of digitoxin on HCC has not yet
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been reported, the combination of these drugs may provide a novel treatment strategy for HCC. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of the combinational treatment of digitoxin and sorafenib on HCC cells, and to examine the relevant underlying molecular mechanisms.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. Human HCC cell lines BEL-7402 and HepG2 were purchased from the Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China). These cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Equitech-Bio, Kerrville, Texas, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin G and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).Digitoxin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; Merck-Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany). Sorafenib was purchased from Bayer AG (Leverkusen, Germany). Cells were treated with different concentrations of digitoxin (0, 10, 20, 40 and 160 nmol/l) and with various concentrations of sorafenib (0, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 µmol/l) prior to the MTT assay. For wound healing assays, western blot analyses and the acridine orange/ethidium bromide (AO/EB) assay, the cells of control group cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), whereas cells in the experimental groups were treated with 10 nmol/l digitoxin and 8 µmol/l sorafenib.

MTT assay. A total of 5,000 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate in 200 µl medium and incubated for 24, 48 and 72 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂ incubator. Cell viability was determined using an MTT assay kit (cat. no. 0793; Amresco, LLC, Solon, OH, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The absorbance was read at 490 nm on a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). All assays were performed in triplicate. For statistical analysis of cell viability, one-way analysis of variance with the Dunnett's post-hoc test was used.

Scratch wound healing assay. HepG2 and BEL-7402 cells were seeded in a six-well plate at a density of 2x10⁵ cells/well and incubated overnight until they reached confluency. The monolayer of cells were scratched with a sterile pipette tip to create a wound. Cells were washed twice with serum-free media to remove floating cells, and cultured in complete media containing serum. Three groups of cells were treated with digitoxin and/or sorafenib, while the control group was left untreated. The cells migrating from the leading edge were imaged at 0 and 48 h. A total of three fields of view for each well were analyzed and experiments were performed in triplicate. For statistical analysis of wound healing assay, the data was achieved using the GraphPad Prism software (version, 4.3.5) software programs (Syngene, version, 7.12) and GeneTools (version, 4.3.5) software programs (Syngene) were used for quantification.

AO/EB assay. Cells were seeded in six-well plates to a final concentration of 2x10⁴ cells/well. Following 24 h, cells were exposed to digitoxin (10 nmol/l) and/or sorafenib (8 µmol/l) for 48 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂, before they were stained with AO/EB dye mixture containing AO (200 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck Millipore) and EB (200 µg/ml; Sino-American Biotechnology Company, Luoyang, China). A total of six fields of view for each group were observed and counted under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis and visualization of the data was achieved using the GraphPad Prism software program (version, 6.04; GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and the Bliss additive model (13). The Bliss additive model was used to classify the effects of combining digitoxin and sorafenib as additive, synergistic or antagonistic. Combined inhibition was calculated using the following equation: Ebliss = EA + EB - EA x EB, where EA and EB represent the fractional inhibitions obtained by drug A (digitoxin) alone and drug B (sorafenib) alone at specific concentrations. Data were analyzed using the Student’s t-test and one-way analysis of variance with the Dunnett’s post-hoc test. Samples were analyzed in triplicate, and experiments were repeated three times. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Sorafenib and digitoxin synergized to suppress the viability, but not migration of HCC cells. The effect of a range of concentrations of sorafenib (0, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 µM) and digitoxin (0, 10, 20, 40, 80 and 160 nM) on the viability of HCC cells was first examined using an MTT assay. For
the BEL-7402 and HepG2 cells, the half maximal inhibitory concentration values for sorafenib were ~8 µM and for digitoxin were ~80 nM (Fig. 1A and B). Considering the high cardiotoxicity of digitoxin in clinical practice (14) and the recent model demonstrating that digitoxin is sufficient to activate intracellular downstream signaling cascades at 10 nM (10), the effect of sorafenib in combination with a low concentration of digitoxin (10 nM) on HCC cells was examined in the present study. Sorafenib (8 µM) and digitoxin (10 nM) significantly inhibited the viability of BEL-7402 and HepG2 cells (P<0.001 and P<0.01, respectively; Fig. 1C and D) when compared with the established additive combinatorial inhibition that was based on single agent data and the Bliss additive model. This suggests a synergy between the two reagents at these concentrations.

AO/EB staining was then used to examine cell death (Fig. 2A and B). Consistent with the MTT assay results, the death rate of BEL-7402 and HepG2 cells exposed to 8 µM sorafenib plus 10 nM digitoxin was significantly higher when compared with the additive cell death rate (P=0.041 and P=0.0057, respectively; Fig. 2C). In addition to cell death, cell migration of VSMC and NSCLC has been demonstrated to be affected by digitoxin treatment, while the effect of digitoxin on migration of hepatocellular carcinoma cell is still unknown (15,16). Sorafenib has been reported to inhibit migration of hepatocellular carcinoma cells (17). Therefore, the present study investigated the effect of sorafenib and digitoxin on cell migration using a scratch wound healing assay (Fig. 3A and B). Although the cell migration inhibition, generated by 8 µM sorafenib was enhanced in BEL-7402 and HepG2 cells, when cells were exposed to sorafenib plus 10 nM digitoxin, a significant increase in cell migration was observed when compared with the additive inhibition. This suggests that sorafenib and digitoxin antagonized each other in cell migration suppression (Fig. 3C).

Sorafenib plus digitoxin treatment inhibited the ERK and hypoxia responsive pathways. ERK is an important downstream effector of Raf kinases, and is affected by digitoxin (18). Therefore, the present study examined the expression of p-ERK in HCC cells exposed to 8 µM sorafenib and/or 10 nM digitoxin. p-ERK expression inhibition was more efficient in BEL-7402 and HepG2 cells treated with sorafenib plus digitoxin, when compared with either agent alone (Fig. 4). Previous studies have demonstrated that HIF-1α, HIF-2α and VEGF are therapeutic targets of either digitoxin or sorafenib in several cancer types (19,20). As a result, the expression of these proteins in cells exposed to
sorafenib and/or digitoxin was examined in the present study. Similar to p-ERK expression, greater suppression of HIF-1α, HIF-2α and VEGF expression was observed following treatment of cells with sorafenib plus digitoxin, when compared to application with either agent alone (Fig. 5).

Discussion

The development of novel anticancer agents is a long and expensive process, which is often associated with a high failure rate (21,22). Drugs that have already been approved for the treatment of other diseases in the clinic, may be tested for their anticancer properties more rapidly and with less expense. This process of drug ‘repurposing’ has the potential of accelerating anticancer drug development. For instance, the anticancer properties of the rheumatoid arthritis drug auranofin (23) or the oral hypoglycemic agent metformin (24) have recently been discovered, and clinical trials investigating the application of these drugs in cancer treatment are currently ongoing. As it has been studied for a number of years, the clinical profile of digitoxin is already well established (25), which may facilitate the rapid initiation of clinical trials investigating its effectiveness in combination with sorafenib. Therefore, digitoxin represents an attractive adjuvant agent. The anticancer effects of digitoxin have been understood for decades (26); however, its narrow therapeutic window caused by high cardiotoxicity, hinders its application in cancer as a single agent. The present study demonstrated that, even at a very low concentration (10 nM), digitoxin is sufficiently potent to synergize with sorafenib to initiate cell death, which suggests that it may be a promising
candidate for combinational treatment with sorafenib for HCC. However, sorafenib was not observed to synergize with digitoxin in the cell migration assay indicating that the synergy primarily relied on the signaling pathways associated with cell death. Future studies will aim to explore these effects in vivo using animal models to further validate these results.

In response to the sorafenib and/or digitoxin treatment, the expression alterations of four signaling proteins, p-ERK, HIF-1α, HIF-2α and VEGF, were examined. In single agent treatment, sorafenib suppressed HIF-1α and HIF-2α expression more than digitoxin in the cell lines examined. Considering the difference in cell death induction between 10 nM digitoxin and 8 µM sorafenib, it is possible that the hypoxia pathway may be more critical for cell viability among the four proteins tested in HCC. Conversely, the expression changes of ERK and VEGF coincides better with cell migration alterations, which suggests they may be more involved in regulating cell migration in HCC.

The results of the present study demonstrated that sorafenib in combination with digitoxin suppresses HCC cell viability, which may be mediated through inhibition of p-ERK, HIF-1α, HIF-2α and VEGF expression. This is consistent with the findings of a previous study demonstrating that digitoxin exhibits greater toxicity in lung cancer cells when compared with primary or non-tumorigenic epithelial cells (27). However whether this selectivity remains in patients with HCC, is unknown. Toxicity in normal liver epithelial cells will need to be taken into account in future in vivo or in vitro experiments, when evaluating the effectiveness of combined sorafenib and digitoxin treatment.
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Figure 4. Sorafenib and digitoxin synergized to inhibit ERK protein levels in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. (A) BEL-7402 and HepG2 cells were exposed to sorafenib (8 µM) and/or digitoxin (10 nM) for 24 or 48 h prior to western blot analysis. Experiments were performed in duplicate and GeneTools software (Syngene) was used for quantification. Quantification of the protein expression levels of p-ERK, ERK and β-actin in (B) BEL-7402 and (C) HepG2 cells. **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. control. p-ERK, phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase.
Figure 5. Sorafenib and digitoxin synergized to suppress the hypoxia signaling pathway in hepatocarcinoma cell lines. (A) BEL-7402 and HepG2 cells were exposed to sorafenib (8 µM) and/or digitoxin (10 nM) for 24 or 48 h prior to western blot analysis. These experiments were performed in duplicate and the GeneTools software program (Syngene) was used for quantification. The expression of HIF-1α, HIF-2α, VEGF and β-actin were identified in (B) BEL-7402 and (C) HepG2 cells. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. control. HIF, hypoxia-inducible factor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
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