Identification of a missense HOXD13 mutation in a Chinese family with syndactyly type I-c using exome sequencing
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Abstract. Syndactyly is one of the most common hereditary limb malformations, and is characterized by the fusion of specific fingers and/or toes. Syndactyly type I-c is associated with bilateral cutaneous or bony webbing of the third and fourth fingers and occasionally of the third to fifth fingers, with normal feet. The aim of the present study was to identify the genetic basis of syndactyly type I-c in four generations of a Chinese Han family by exome sequencing. Exome sequencing was conducted in the proband of the family, followed by direct sequencing of other family members of the same ancestry, as well as 100 ethnically-matched, unrelated normal controls. A missense mutation, c.917G>A (p.R306Q), was identified in the homeobox D13 gene (HOXD13). Sanger sequencing verified the presence of this mutation in all of the affected family members. By contrast, this mutation was absent in the unaffected family members and the 100 ethnically-matched normal controls. The results suggest that the c.917G>A (p.R306Q) mutation in the HOXD13 gene, may be responsible for syndactyly type I-c in this family. Exome sequencing may therefore be a powerful tool for identifying mutations associated with syndactyly, which is a disorder with high genetic and clinical heterogeneity. The results provide novel insights into the etiology and diagnosis of syndactyly, and may influence genetic counseling and the clinical management of the disease.

Introduction

Syndactyly is one of the most common congenital limb malformations and is associated with webbing of adjacent fingers/toes (1). It is a heterogeneous hereditary disease, with a prevalence of between 3 and 10 in every 10,000 births; however, a higher prevalence of between 10 and 40 in every 10,000 births has been reported (1,2). In addition, it is twice as common in males, indicating that this disease may demonstrate incomplete penetrance (3). Syndactyly exists either as an isolated non-syndromic anomaly or as part of >300 syndromic abnormalities. Non-syndromic syndactyly may be cutaneous or bony and unilateral or bilateral (4). To date, at least nine types (I-IX) of phenotypically diverse non-syndromic syndactyly have been identified. Five primary types (type I-V) are inherited as an autosomal dominant trait with variable disease phenotypes and incomplete penetrance (4); while the remaining types and subtypes may demonstrate autosomal recessive or X-linked hereditary patterns of inheritance (3). The phenotype of a specific patient appears to be dependent on a specific gene, as well as their genetic background and the subsequent signaling pathways involved in limb formation (3). Non-syndromic syndactyly is genetic in origin (5). At present, at least 11 disease loci and eight disease-associated genes have been identified, including the homeobox D13 gene (HOXD13), the fibulin 1 gene, the gap junction protein alpha 1 gene, the limb development membrane protein 1 gene, the LDL receptor related protein 4 gene, the gremlin 1 gene, the formin 1 gene and the fibroblast growth factor 16 gene (1,6). Mutations in the HOXD13 gene are responsible for the development of syndactyly type II-a and syndactyly type V (7,8). The typical clinical features of syndactyly type I-c include bilateral cutaneous or bony fusion of the third and fourth fingers and occasionally of the third to fifth fingers, with normal feet (2).

The aim of the present study was to identify the genetic basis of syndactyly type I-c in four generations of a single Chinese family.

Materials and methods

Participators and clinical data. The present study enrolled a single Chinese Han family (15 members), and clinical data from physical examinations and peripheral blood samples were collected from 11 members (generation:family member: II:1, male, 64 years old; II:3, male, 54 years old; II:4, female, 51 years old; III:1, female, 41 years old; III:2, female, 39 years old; III:3, female, 37 years old; III:4, female, 34 years old; III:5, female, 32 years old). The third generation of the family was born to the second generation and the fourth generation was born to the third generation. The disease was transmitted from generation to generation within the family, with a prevalence of between 3 and 10 in every 10,000 births; however, a higher prevalence of between 10 and 40 in every 10,000 births has been reported (1,2). In addition, it is twice as common in males, indicating that this disease may demonstrate incomplete penetrance (3). Syndactyly exists either as an isolated non-syndromic anomaly or as part of >300 syndromic abnormalities. Non-syndromic syndactyly may be cutaneous or bony and unilateral or bilateral (4). To date, at least nine types (I-IX) of phenotypically diverse non-syndromic syndactyly have been identified. The phenotype of a specific patient appears to be dependent on a specific gene, as well as their genetic background and the subsequent signaling pathways involved in limb formation (3). Non-syndromic syndactyly is genetic in origin (5). At present, at least 11 disease loci and eight disease-associated genes have been identified, including the homeobox D13 gene (HOXD13), the fibulin 1 gene, the gap junction protein alpha 1 gene, the limb development membrane protein 1 gene, the LDL receptor related protein 4 gene, the gremlin 1 gene, the formin 1 gene and the fibroblast growth factor 16 gene (1,6). Mutations in the HOXD13 gene are responsible for the development of syndactyly type II-a and syndactyly type V (7,8). The typical clinical features of syndactyly type I-c include bilateral cutaneous or bony fusion of the third and fourth fingers and occasionally of the third to fifth fingers, with normal feet (2).

The aim of the present study was to identify the genetic basis of syndactyly type I-c in four generations of a single Chinese family.
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old; III:3, male, 39 years old; III:4, female, 38 years old; III:6, female, 35 years old; IV:1, female, 16 years old; IV:2, female, 8 years old; IV:3, male, 15 years old) of the four-generation family enrolled at the Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University in April 2013 (Changsha, China; Fig. 1A); 2 family members were deceased when the present study was performed. Physical examinations and radiological examinations were conducted in the 4 affected patients (Table I), and all 4 patients presented with cutaneous syndactyly of the third and fourth fingers (Fig. 1B), without foot involvement. Blood samples were collected from 100 ethnically-matched unrelated normal controls (gender, 50 males, 50 females; age, 39.24±8.31 years) during June and October in 2013. They were recruited from the health examination center of the Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (Changsha, China) and examined by two professional surgeons according to the classification system described previously (9). All participants included in the present study provided written informed consent. The present study was performed in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University.

Exome capture. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from peripheral blood samples and used to exome library using the phenol-chloroform extraction method (phenol, Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China; chloroform, Tianjin Fuyu Fine Chemical Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China) as described previously (10). Genomic DNA from the proband (Fig. 1A: Generation IV, member 1) was randomly sheared using the Illumina Paired-End Sample Prep kit (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and hybridized using SureSelect biotinylated RNA library and SureSelect hybridization buffers (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), as well as Optimized gDNA prep and library prep kits (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) to a concentration of 147 ng/μg at 45°C for enrichment; all experimental operations were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions for each kit. The enriched exome-targeting library was sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform (Illumina, Inc.) to generate 90-bp paired-end reads, as described previously (11). A mean exome coverage of 69.64x was obtained, thus allowing for the examination of selected regions at a sufficient depth to accurately match 99.42% of the targeted exome (12).

Exome sequencing and variant analysis. Human reference genomic sequences were obtained from the University of California Santa Cruz genome browser database (version hg19; build 37.1; http://genome.ucsc.edu/). Sequences obtained from the proband were aligned using the short oligonucleotide analysis package (SOAP) aligner software (soap2.21), and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified using SOAPspn software (version 1.03) (13). Small insertions or deletions in the coding sequence and splicing sites were detected as previously described (11,12), and candidate SNPs were isolated. Variants were compared against several public databases including the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism database (dbSNP; build, 137; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/), the 1000 Genomes Project (http://www.1000genomes.org/), the International HapMap Project (http://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and the YanHuang project (http://yh.genomics.org.cn/). Online tools including Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant (SIFT) prediction (http://sift.jcvi.org/), HumVar-trained Polymorphism Phenotyping version 2, (PolyPhen-2; http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/), and MutationTaster prediction (http://www.mutationtaster.org/) algorithms were used to estimate whether a variant may affect protein function (14,15). The SIFT prediction scoring system ranges from 0 to 1. Amino acid substitution is predicted as damaging (may have an important effect on the function of the protein) if the score is ≤0.05, and tolerated (may have no effect on the function of the protein) if the score is >0.05. For PolyPhen-2, the predicted results include benign, possibly damaging and probably damaging. The PolyPhen-2 prediction scoring system ranges from 0 to 1. The closer score is to 1, the more influence the variant has on the function of the protein, and vice-versa. The predicted results of MutationTaster include disease causing (have an important effect on the function of the protein), disease causing automatic (have an important effect on the function of the protein in several public
databases), polymorphism (may be a tolerant SNP) and polymorphism automatic (may be a tolerant SNP in several public databases). The probability of the prediction was measured by a scoring system ranging from 0 to 1; the closer the score was to 1, the higher the probability of the prediction, and vice-versa (14,15). Known mutations and genes responsible for syndactyly, nonsense mutations, read-through mutations and splicing mutations, or mutations predicted to be damaging were prioritized in identifying the pathogenic mutation. The National Center for Biotechnology Information-Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (NCBI-BLAST; http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was used to examine sequence conservation among different species.

**Mutation validation.** Polymerase chain reaction primers were designed using Primer 3 online primer design software (version 0.4.0; http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3). Sanger sequencing was performed to validate the potential disease-associated variants using the ABI 3500 sequencer (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) according to the standard procedures described previously (16,17). The sequences of primers used to determine the causative variation in the HOXD13 gene were as follows: forward, 5'-GGGAGGAGAAGAGAGTGCC-3' and reverse, 5'-TCTAAGCTGTCTGTGGCCAA-3'.

**Results**

Exome sequencing of the proband (Fig. 1A: Generation IV, member 1) in the syndactyly type I-c-affected family was first performed. A total of 5.92 billion bases of 90-bp paired-end read sequences for the patient were generated, and 106,958 genetic variants, including 14,830 non-synonymous alterations, were identified in the coding regions or the splicing sites. A prioritization scheme was applied to identify the pathogenic mutation, using similar methods described in a previous study (18). Given that the frequency of syndactyly type I is 0.03% (2), known variants identified in the dbSNP (build, 137), the 1000 Genomes Project, the International HapMap project and the YanHuang project with a minor allele frequency value of >0.50% in each database, were excluded. Using the aforementioned criteria, the number of candidate genes were reduced by >92.99%. Variants not annotated in public databases were prioritized for further analysis.

Following validation by Sanger sequencing, a heterozygous mutation in the HOXD13 gene (c.917G>A, p.R306Q) was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Generation, member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>II, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>Heterozygote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected fingers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral affected</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous fusion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bony fusion</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toes affected</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polydactyly</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachydactyly</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Characteristic                | III, 2             |
| Sex                           | Female             |
| Age (years)                   | 39                 |
| Genotype                      | Heterozygote       |
| Affected fingers              | Yes                |
| Bilateral affected            | Yes                |
| Cutaneous fusion              | Yes                |
| Bony fusion                   | No                 |
| Toes affected                 | No                 |
| Polydactyly                   | No                 |
| Brachydactyly                 | No                 |

| Characteristic                | III, 4             |
| Sex                           | Female             |
| Age (years)                   | 38                 |
| Genotype                      | Heterozygote       |
| Affected fingers              | Yes                |
| Bilateral affected            | Yes                |
| Cutaneous fusion              | Yes                |
| Bony fusion                   | No                 |
| Toes affected                 | No                 |
| Polydactyly                   | No                 |
| Brachydactyly                 | No                 |

| Characteristic                | IV, 1              |
| Sex                           | Female             |
| Age (years)                   | 16                 |
| Genotype                      | Heterozygote       |
| Affected fingers              | Yes                |
| Bilateral affected            | Yes                |
| Cutaneous fusion              | Yes                |
| Bony fusion                   | No                 |
| Toes affected                 | No                 |
| Polydactyly                   | No                 |
| Brachydactyly                 | No                 |

**HOXD13, homeobox D13 gene.**
Syndactyly displays marked genetic heterogeneity and high clinical variability, and is characterized by limb malformation (1). Syndactyly type 1-c is the rarest type among the four subtypes of syndactyly type I (I-a, I-b, I-c and I-d), which is the most common form of non-syndromic syndactyly (2). Two mutations (p.R306Q and p.R306 G) in the HOXD13 homeodomain have been identified in two independent Chinese families diagnosed with syndactyly type 1-c in a previous study (20). However, inconsistencies between the clinical phenotypes and diagnostic criteria in selected patients from the two families were observed, including a mild synpolydactyly phenotype, involvement of the feet, clinodactyly and fusion of the second and third fingers, which questions the accuracy of the clinical diagnosis (20). The present study included a Chinese Han family consisting of members diagnosed with typical syndactyly type 1-c that presented with bilateral cutaneous webbing of the third and fourth fingers, normal toes, and an absence of additional abnormalities. The results, together with those obtained from the different prediction programs, identified the HOXD13 c.917G>A (p.R306Q) variant as the potential pathogenic mutation for this family. Given that G>A or C>T transitions within CpG dinucleotides are reportedly responsible for one-third of single base-pair mutations in gene coding regions in human genetic diseases (21), the c.917G>A mutation may be caused by cytosine methylation followed by deamination to thymine (C>T) on the complementary strand.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to present a family diagnosed with syndactyly type 1-c, with affected members harboring the HOXD13 p.R306Q mutation with complete penetrance. The two independent Chinese families with syndactyly reported previously (20), may have been diagnosed with unknown complex types of non-syndromic syndactyly or syndactyly type I-c variant types with incomplete penetrance and atypical phenotypes. As two different amino acid substitutions from different families have been identified at position 306, this position may be a mutation hotspot. In addition, in a previous study, common mutation screening was unable to exclude the genes involved in finger/toe development, which was excluded by exome sequencing used in the present study (20).

The HOXD13 gene is a member of the HOX gene family, and encodes a transcription factor that serves a crucial role in limb development (22). HOXD13 is composed of 2 exons and encodes a 343-amino acid polypeptide sequence (23). At least 36 different HOXD13 mutations, including 17 missense/nonsense mutations, 8 repeats, 6 small deletions, 2 splicing mutations, a small insertion, a gross deletion, as well as a gross insertion/duplication mutation, have been described in the Human Gene Mutation Database (http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/index.php). The majority of these mutations are observed in patients with limb malformations, including syndactyly, synpolydactyly and brachydactyly (24). Mouse models with different genetic deficiencies in the HOXD13 gene have been described previously in the literature. The majority of these mouse models mimic some aspects of human synpolydactyly phenotypes, further supporting the importance of the HOXD13 gene in limb development (25-27).

In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that the c.917G>A (p.R306Q) mutation in the HOXD13 gene may be the genetic basis of syndactyly type I-c in a single Chinese family, which increases the phenotypic spectrum of the HOXD13 gene. Whole exome sequencing provides a cost-effective and expedited approach for identifying disease-associated genes, and excludes the effects of background genes in disorders displaying high clinical heterogeneity. The results of the present study may provide novel insights into the genetic diagnosis of syndactyly, and may have implications for genetic counseling and the clinical management of this condition.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the participating members and investigators for their cooperation and efforts in
collecting clinical and genetic information and DNA specimens. The present study was supported by grants from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant no. 2016YFC1306604), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81670216), the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (grant nos. 2015JJ4088 and 2016JJ2166), the Grant for the Foster Key Subject of the Third Xiangya Hospital Clinical Laboratory Diagnostics (H.D.), Zhishan Lead Project of the Third Xiangya Hospital (grant no. 201505301) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Central South University (grant no. 2015zzts318).

References