Abstract. Angiotensin II (Ang II) has been reported as key in inducing endothelial cell injury, and endothelial cells may produce nitric oxide (NO) to protect themselves. However, the underlying mechanism remains elusive. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were divided into five treatment groups as follows: Normal control, Ang II, Ang II + sodium hydrosulfide [NaHS; hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) donor], Ang II + Akt inhibitors + NaHS, and Ang II + endothelial nitric oxide synthases (eNOS) inhibitors + NaHS. Subsequently, cell viability, apoptosis, migration, proliferation and adhesion ability were determined. In addition, tubular structure formation was observed, and the NO and phosphorylation levels of Akt and eNOS were evaluated. Compared with the normal control group, Ang II treatment reduced the viability of HUVECs and increased the level of cell apoptosis (P<0.05). Furthermore, Ang II treatment inhibited the phosphorylation level of eNOS and Akt, as well as the generation of NO (P<0.05). H$_2$S reversed the above-mentioned effects significantly and increased cell proliferation, adhesion ability and promoted tubular structure formation (P<0.05); however, H$_2$S did not reverse the impact of eNOS and Akt phosphorylation levels after being processed with Akt and eNOS inhibitors, which indicates that H$_2$S is capable of protecting HUVECs via the eNOS/Akt signaling pathway (P<0.05). Thus, H$_2$S stimulates the production of NO and protects HUVECs via inducing the Akt/eNOS signaling pathway.

Introduction

Endothelial cell injury has been demonstrated to be linked with angiocardiopathy-associated diseases, including atherosclerosis, hypertension and diabetic vasculopathy (1). Angiotensin (Ang) II induces injuries of endothelial cells and exerts substantial effects on the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, which is closely associated with regulation of the above-mentioned cardiovascular diseases (2,3). Under the pressure of Ang II, production of certain defensive substances [such as nitric oxide (NO)] would be stimulated in endothelial cells (4). Up to now, however, the method by which endothelial cells initiate defense mechanisms against the injuries induced by Ang II remains unknown.

Numerous physiological functions, including those relevant to immune and cardiovascular systems, are mediated by the known gaseous messenger, hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S), which is endogenously generated by cystathionine $\beta$-synthase, which is highly expressed in the brain, and cystathionine $\gamma$-lyase (CSE), which is concentrated in the vasculature (5). Furthermore, as H$_2$S may induce various vascular effects that are similar to NO, including angiogenesis and smooth vascular muscle relaxation, it is hypothesized that certain internal connections may be present between H$_2$S and NO (6). Furthermore, inhibition of H$_2$S exhibits negative impacts on angiogenesis in the case of ischemic myocardium, therefore, identifying the pro-angiogenic mechanism of H$_2$S may serve as a promising strategy for treating myocardial disorders (7).

Additionally, sodium hydrosulfide (NaHS) treatment exerted a positive effect on Akt phosphorylation and such a positive effect depends on treatment dose and duration, indicating that Akt phosphorylation was induced by H$_2$S (5). Another study demonstrates that H$_2$S exhibits a stimulatory role in endothelial nitric oxide synthases (eNOS) phosphorylation via an Akt-dependent and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) mechanism, indicating that increased generation of NO eventually triggers the corresponding stimulatory effects of H$_2$S on angiogenesis (6). Thus, it is hypothesized that the Akt/eNOS signaling pathway may be investigated to elucidate whether the underlying Ang II-induced endothelial cell injury may be restored under certain circumstances.
Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate whether H2S stimulates the release of NO for protecting human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) against Ang II-induced injury and whether the underlying mechanism involves the Akt/eNOS signaling pathway.

Materials and methods

Culture and treatment of HUVECs. HUVECs (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) CRL-1730; ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) were seeded into six-well plates at a density of 10^4/ml. The cells were cultivated with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), at 37°C for 24 h under an atmosphere of 5% CO2 (4). The HUVECs (1x10^3) were divided into five treatment groups as follows: Normal control, Ang II, Ang II + NaHS (H2S donor), Ang II + Akt inhibitors + NaHS, and Ang II + eNOS inhibitors + NaHS. HUVECs were treated with Sigma-Aldrich Ang II (1 µM; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) for 48 h to induce injury, while the treatment with 100 µM NaHS (H2S donor) was for 30 min. Incubation of HUVECs with the Akt inhibitor, LY 294002 (10 µM) and the eNOS inhibitor, L-NAME (200 µM; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), were for 1 h, as previously described (6).

Cell viability detection by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT). Cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 h with fresh medium containing 1 g/l MTT. The unbound MTT was washed with PBS and the formazan product in the form of blue-violet crystalline was dissolved with dimethyl sulfoxide. Finally, cell viability was indirectly measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay at a wave length of 570 nm, after removing the background absorbance at a wave length of 690 nm, as previously described (8).

Cell apoptosis detected by flow cytometry. HUVECs were digested with trypsin, separated by centrifugation (1,000 rpm for 5 min) and washed gently with PBS 3 times. Apoptosis was detected in accordance with the procedures of an Annexin V-allophycocyanin/propidium iodide (PI) apoptosis detection kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China). The cells (5x10^4) were re-suspended in Annexin V binding solution (195 µl) and incubated with Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (5 µl) and PI (10 µl) for 20 min in the dark at room temperature. Finally, the detection of apoptosis was performed using flow cytometry (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.- Hercules, CA, USA), as previously described (4).

Cell migration detected by wound healing method. Hydroxyurea (5 mmol/l) was added to the cell culture medium to inhibit the proliferation of HUVECs. Scratches were made using a yellow pipette tip, and the cells were then washed twice using growth medium. Images were obtained before and 24 h after formation of the scratches, and the cell migration rate was calculated by measuring the loss area, as previously described (5).

Cell proliferation detected using the 5-bromo-2’-deoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation method. Cells were counted using a Nikon Eclipse TS100 optical microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and seeded in 96-well plates (1x10^6 cells/well) for 24 h. The serum-free medium was replaced and the cells were incubated overnight at 37°C. Cell proliferation was then detected by BrdU immunostaining (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) (6).

Cell adhesion measured by hematoxylin staining. The serum-starved endothelial cells (density, 5x10^4 cells/well) were seeded into 12-well plates that were coated with type I collagen (2 mg/ml) at 37°C for 30 min. The medium was aspirated and washed gently twice with PBS. Subsequently, 4% paraformaldehyde was used to fix the adherent cells. The cells were stained with hematoxylin and observed using a Nikon Eclipse TS100 optical microscope (Nikon Corporation), as previously described (5).

Observation of tubular structures with an artificial basement membrane. The 24-well plates were coated with matrigel (300 µl) at 37°C for 30 min. Then the treated cells (density, 5x10^4 cells/well) were seeded in 24-well plates at 37°C for 16 h. Microscopic images were obtained using a Nikon Eclipse TS100 optical microscope (Nikon Corporation), and the length of the capillary was measured using Image J software version 1.46 (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) to evaluate the formation of tubular structures (5).

NO production detected using the Griess method. Cells were incubated with 4-aminofluorescein-5-difluorofluorescein (5 µM) at 37°C for 30 min. Subsequently, the unbound dye was washed in DMEM medium and replaced with fresh medium. The results were observed by fluorescence microscopy (6).

Western blot analysis. HUVECs were washed with pre-cooled PBS buffer 3 times and then lysed with lysis solution [0.5 M EDTA and 1 M Tris-Cl (pH 7.4)], sucrose (0.3 M) and protease inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). The mixture underwent ultrasound processing on ice 3 times, for 5-10 sec each time. Subsequently, the lysate was centrifuged at 13,400 x g at 4°C for 5 min, after which the supernatant was collected.
Electrophoresis with 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed, using 50 μg protein, at 4˚C for 1-2 h. After completion of electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes at 80 V for 1 h and incubated with primary antibodies at 4˚C over-night. The antibodies were as follows: Phosphorylated eNOS (Ser1177; catalog no. 9570; 1:1,000), eNOS (catalog no. 5880; 1:1,000), phosphorylated Akt (S473; catalog no. 11962; 1:1,000) and Akt (catalog no. 2920; 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), anti-CSE (catalog no. 22219-1-AP; 1:5,000; ProteinTech Group, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and anti-β-actin (catalog no. A5441; 1:10,000; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). Finally, the proteins were incubated with a secondary antibody conjugated to horse radish peroxidase (catalog no. A7289; 1:2,000; Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA), and were then exposed to enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) liquid. Data analysis was conducted following X-ray tableting, development and fixing (6).

Statistical analysis. The data were processed using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) for statistical analysis and were presented as the mean ± standard deviation. When data conformed to normal distribution, between-group comparisons of measurement data were performed using a two-tailed t-test; otherwise, the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was applied. Analysis of variance and the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test were performed for comparisons between the 5 groups. Regarding enumeration data, the $\chi^2$ test was implemented to compare the groups. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Effects of Ang II and H$_2$S on cell viability of HUVECs. Compared with the control group, cell viability decreased following treatment with Ang II for 48 h (P<0.05). Additionally, the cell survival rate was significantly improved by treatment with NaHS (P<0.05). However, cell survival did not significantly increase when HUVECs were exposed to LY 294002 (Akt inhibitor) or L-NAME (eNOS inhibitor) prior to NaHS treatment, indicating that cell viability was significantly reduced (P<0.05) when compared with NaHS treatment (Fig. 1).

Effects of Ang II and H$_2$S on cell apoptosis of HUVECs. Compared with the control group, cell apoptosis was significantly increased following Ang II treatment for 48 h (P<0.001). NaHS treatment significantly reduced the percentage of apoptotic cells induced by Ang II (P<0.001). However, NaHS treatment was ineffective following simultaneous treatment with LY 294002 (Akt inhibitor) or L-NAME (eNOS inhibitor; Fig. 2).

Effects of Ang II and H$_2$S on cell migration rate of HUVECs. The cell migration rate dropped significantly following treatment with Ang II when compared with the control group (P<0.05). NaHS treatment significantly improved the cell migration rate (P<0.05), although it did not enhance the cell migration rate when HUVECs were concurrently treated with LY 294002 (Akt inhibitor) or L-NAME (eNOS inhibitor). Thus, the cell migration rates were significantly declined compared with NaHS treatment alone (P<0.05; Fig. 3).

Effects of Ang II and H$_2$S on cell proliferation of HUVECs. Cell proliferation decreased significantly subsequent to Ang II treatment when compared with the control group (P<0.05). NaHS treatment significantly increased cell proliferation (P<0.001), however, NaHS did not significantly increase cell proliferation following pretreatment with LY 294002 (Akt inhibitor) or L-NAME (eNOS inhibitor; Fig. 4).

Cell adhesion ability of HUVECs. The hematoxylin staining results demonstrated that cell adhesion ability decreased significantly following Ang II treatment (P<0.05), and NaHS exposure significantly improved cell adhesion ability (P<0.05).
NaHS was, however, unable to markedly increase cell adhesion ability following pretreatment with LY 294002 (Akt inhibitor) or L-NAME (eNOS inhibitor; Fig. 5).

**Cell tube formation assay of HUVECs.** Cell tube formation ability decreased significantly subsequent to treatment with Ang II, compared with the control group (P<0.05). NaHS treatment significantly increased the length of capillaries, implying that the tube formation of HUVECs was stimulated by H₂S (P<0.05). Conversely, NaHS failed to significantly promote HUVECs to form tubular structures when exposed to LY 294002 (Akt inhibitor) or L-NAME (eNOS inhibitor). Consequently, their cell tube formation ability decreased significantly when compared with treatment with NaHS alone (P<0.05; Fig. 6).

**Effects of H₂S on Akt and eNOS phosphorylation in HUVECs, and NO production.** Compared with the control group, intracellular phosphorylation of Akt was significantly reduced following Ang II treatment (P<0.05; Fig. 7). NaHS treatment may significantly reverse this effect (P<0.05); however, treatment with NaHS + LY 294002 did not promote Akt phosphorylation. Furthermore, Akt phosphorylation was significantly reduced when compared with NaHS treatment (P<0.05), although it was not inhibited following treatment with L-NAME + NaHS (P<0.05). With respect to NaHS treatment, Akt phosphorylation in HUVECs was not statistically significant (Fig. 7A and C). In addition, the intracellular eNOS phosphorylation level was significantly lower than the control group following Ang II treatment (P<0.05) and NaHS treatment significantly reversed this effect (P<0.05). NaHS cannot, however, stimulate eNOS phosphorylation following LY294002 or L-NAME pretreatment. Furthermore, eNOS phosphorylation decreased significantly (P<0.05) compared with the NaHS alone treatment group (Fig. 7B and D). Compared with the control group, the intracellular NO release was significantly reduced following Ang II treatment (P<0.05) and NaHS treatment significantly reversed this effect (P<0.05). NaHS treatment did not promote NO production when LY29400 or L-NAME was added and NO generation was significantly reduced compared with the NaHS alone treatment group (P<0.05; Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

The endothelium that lines the inner surface of the entire vascular tree is responsible for cardiovascular homeostasis (9,10). Endothelial dysfunction may be detected in various cardiovascular diseases, and reflects pathophysiological changes in the phenotype and functions of ECs (9). Four major findings have been described in the current study, following treatment of a culture of HUVECs. Firstly, Ang II (1 µM) significantly decreased cell viability, migration, proliferation, adhesion and tube-like structure formation in HUVECs, whilst it significantly increased cell apoptosis. Secondly, Ang II treatment significantly
Figure 5. Adhesion ability of HUVECs detected by hematoxylin staining assay. The groups were as follows: (A) Control; (B) Ang II; (C) Ang II + NaHS; (D) Ang II + LY 294002 + NaHS; (E) Ang II + L-NAME + NaHS. (A) Hematoxylin staining images (magnification, x40). (B) Bar graphs. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05 vs. group A; †P<0.05 vs. group B; ‡P>0.05 vs. group B; #<P<0.05 vs. group C. Ang II, angiotensin; NaHS, sodium hydrosulfide.

Figure 6. Formational ability of tubular structures as detected by matrigel plug assay. The groups were as follows: (A) Control; (B) Ang II; (C) Ang II + NaHS; (D) Ang II + LY 294002 + NaHS; (E) Ang II + L-NAME + NaHS. (A) Microscopic images of the length of the peritubular capillaries in the endothelial cells (magnification, x40). (B) Length of the tubular structures. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05 vs. group A; †P<0.05 vs. group B; ‡P>0.05 vs. group B; #P<0.05 vs. group C. Ang II, angiotensin; NaHS, sodium hydrosulfide.

Figure 7. Phosphorylation of Akt and eNOS in human umbilical vein endothelial cells detected by western blot analysis. The groups were as follows: (A) Control; (B) Ang II; (C) Ang II + NaHS; (D) Ang II + LY 294002 + NaHS; (E) Ang II + L-NAME + NaHS. Western blots demonstrating (A) Akt phosphorylation and (B) eNOS phosphorylation. Quantification of western blotting of (C) Akt phosphorylation and (D) eNOS phosphorylation. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05 vs. group A; †P<0.05 vs. group B; ‡P>0.05 vs. group B; #P>0.05 vs. group C; #P<0.05 vs. group C. eNOS, endothelial nitric oxide synthases; Ang II, angiotensin; NaHS, sodium hydrosulfide; p, phosphorylated.
reduced the phosphorylation levels of Akt and eNOS, and NO metabolite concentrations in the culture media. Furthermore, all of the Ang II-induced responses were prevented by NaHS, an external H$_2$S donor. Finally, all protective effects caused by NaHS were sensitive to MLY 294002 and L-NAME, selective antagonists of Akt and eNOS, respectively.

The primary function of Ang II lies in the adaptive changes in blood pressure and vascular homeostasis via regulation of vascular tone, sympathetic nervous excitability and electrolyte/water balance (11). Ang II, originating in either blood circulation or locally secreted vascular walls, activates multiple intracellular signaling pathways via Ang II type 1 (AT1) and AT2 receptors, of which are widely expressed in ECs. Specifically, Ang II activates NAD (P)H oxidase with a consequent increment in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (12). Hence, excessive Ang II triggers the imbalance of ROS metabolism, and thereby causes DNA damage, mitochondrial dysfunction, as well as endothelium injury within HUVECs (13). The viability of HUVECs will be reduced and the corresponding apoptosis ratio will rise once the above-mentioned cellular damage occurs (13). As the migratory capability of ECs is pivotal in the maintenance of vessel wall integrity, and the precondition of angiogenesis is a normal endothelial function (14), it is hypothesized that Ang II treatment may significantly reduce cell proliferation, adhesion and tube-like structure formation in HUVECs.

H$_2$S, a gasotransmitter produced in ECs, may deter the progression of various cardiovascular diseases through molecular inhibition of vascular inflammation and reduction of ROS levels within ECs (10,15,16). When synthesis of H$_2$S in HUVECs is suppressed, cell apoptosis is caused and thereby a series of endocellular reactions are depressed, including cell migration, proliferation and tube-like structure formation (16,17). Thus, certain Ang II-induced types of damage are, to a certain extent, prevented by NaHS, and the present experimental results confirm the findings.

NO, a vital endogenous vasodilator, is produced in ECs by eNOS, but its availability is impaired in various cardiovascular diseases (9). In addition, increasing evidence demonstrates that H$_2$S may exert protective effects on the cardiovascular systems by adjusting NO synthesis and/or its functions (6,9,18,19). The production of NO in ECs is based on activation of a cascade of phosphorylation events, for example, actions relevant to p38 MAPK, Akt and eNOS (6,20). Previous studies have demonstrated that Ang II significantly inhibited NO synthesis in HUVECs by increasing the generation of ROS and sequentially inducing injury of ECs (12,21).

There were certain limitations of the present study. The experimental results were obtained in vitro, therefore in vivo experiments are required for further consolidation. Furthermore, whether there are time- or dosage-dependent effects of Ang II and NaHS treatment remains unknown, as only a single dose and intervention time was used. Ang II induces dysfunctions of HUVECs via reducing NO generation and H$_2$S weakens this malfunction via promoting the Akt/eNOS signaling pathway. Initially, Ang II and H$_2$S were linked via their association with NO in the present study, and the results may provide a novel research approach for treating endothelial dysfunctions.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that H$_2$S promotes NO synthesis, which may counteract the damage caused by Ang II. Furthermore, via a systematic experimental design, the present study indicates that H$_2$S weakens Ang II-induced EC dysfunction via regulation of the Akt/eNOS signaling pathway.
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