Abstract. Following long-term exposure to endotoxins, macrophages enter an immunosuppressive state that renders them unable respond to subsequent exposures to endotoxin, a phenomenon that is termed 'endotoxin tolerance'. Endotoxin tolerance increases the risks of secondary infection and mortality in patients with sepsis. In endotoxin-tolerant macrophages, the mixed variation of gene transcription is referred to as macrophage reprogramming. The mechanisms underlying macrophage reprogramming remain unclear at present. Interferon-induced double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) is a widely expressed serine/threonine protein kinase. In addition to antiviral effects, PKR regulates the transcription of inflammatory cytokines by affecting transcription factors. However, the role of PKR in macrophage reprogramming remains to be elucidated. In the present study, the expression of inflammatory cytokines differed in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-tolerant RAW264.7 macrophages compared with LPS-activated macrophages. Specifically, reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction results demonstrated that the mRNA levels of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-1β (IL-1β), C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 11, C-C motif chemokine ligand (CCL17), CCL22 and suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 were decreased, and mRNAs levels of arginase-1 (Arg1) and nitric oxide synthase 2 (iNOS) were increased, in LPS-tolerant macrophages compared with LPS-activated macrophages. Furthermore, western blot analysis demonstrated that the protein levels of phosphorylated (p)-PKR were significantly decreased in the LPS-tolerant cells. PKR activation with rotenone (10 μM) abrogated endotoxin tolerance by increasing the levels of the IL-1β, CCL17 and CCL22 mRNAs and decreasing the levels of the Arg1 and iNOS mRNAs. Furthermore, western blotting demonstrated that AKT was markedly inactivated in endotoxin-tolerant cells, as indicated by reduced p-AKT levels. However, levels of p-AKT were markedly increased following rotenone-induced PKR activation in endotoxin-tolerant cells. Ly294002 (10 μM), a phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT signaling inhibitor, partially reversed the rotenone-induced alleviation of endotoxin tolerance. These results demonstrated that PKR inhibition mediated endotoxin tolerance in macrophages, and these effects were partially mediated by PI3K/AKT signaling. PKR may be a potential target for the treatment of endotoxin tolerance in patients with sepsis.

Introduction

Innate immune cells, such as monocytes/macrophages, function in the defense against pathogens and the initiation and maintenance of the inflammatory response (1,2). A robust inflammatory response is triggered when innate cells detect pathogens or their associated endotoxins, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), through pattern recognition receptors, including toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), expressed on the cell surface (3,4). However, macrophages are not able to respond to a subsequent challenge with LPS following long-term or repeated exposure to LPS. This phenomenon is termed 'endotoxin tolerance’ (5,6). The characterization of gene transcription following endotoxin tolerance revealed downregulation of certain genes upon LPS restimulation, including tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) (7), interleukin (IL)-1β (8), C-C motif chemokine ligand (CCL17), CCL22 (9) and nitric oxide synthase 2 (iNOS) (10), while the expression of other genes, including chitinase-like 3 (Chil3) and arginase-1 (Arg1), was upregulated (11). The mixed transcriptional phenotype observed in tolerant cells indicates a gene reprogramming mechanism rather than a simple downregulation of LPS-induced gene expression (5,12,13). The phenomenon of endotoxin tolerance has been observed in vitro and in vivo (14-16). In patients with sepsis, endotoxin...
tolerance has been reported to occur following inflammatory hypercytokinemia (17). Therefore, researchers previously hypothesized that endotoxin tolerance may be a mechanism used to protect the host against excessive inflammatory damage, as an uncontrolled inflammatory response leads to extensive tissue damage and septic shock (2). However, more recently, a different hypothesis has been formulated, which suggests that the endotoxin tolerant state is associated with secondary infection and may render the host more susceptible to septic progression and death (18). Therefore, strategies for the prevention of endotoxin tolerance may represent an effective treatment for sepsis (19).

Although endotoxin tolerance has been observed for >50 years (20), the mechanisms underlying macrophage reprogramming remain unclear. Overexpression of certain regulators in the TLR4 pathway, including IL-1 receptor-associated kinase-M (IRAK-M), SH2-containing inositol-5'-phosphatase and IRAK-M inducer hypoxia-inducible factor-1α, was previously reported to be implicated in the pathological process of endotoxin tolerance (14,21,22). Among these regulators, interferon-induced double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) was investigated in the present study. PKR is a widely expressed serine/threonine protein kinase (23). It is activated by multiple stimuli, including the inflammatory cytokines interferon and TNF-α (24), bacterial infection and viral double-stranded RNA (25-27). In addition to its antiviral properties, phosphorylated (p)-PKR also affects multiple transcription factors by activating numerous signaling pathways. These transcription factors, including interferon regulatory factor 3 (28) and nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB) (29,30), are required for the expression of genes encoding inflammatory cytokines (25). However, the role of PKR in macrophage reprogramming remains to be elucidated. In the present study, the role of PKR in endotoxin tolerance was determined. In addition, the associated signaling pathways through which PKR may mediate macrophage reprogramming were also investigated.

Materials and methods

Cells and reagents. LPS (cat. no. L2654) and LY294002 (cat. no. L9908) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Rotenone (cat. no. 557368) was purchased from Millipore (Merck KGaA). RAW264.7 cells were purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Cells were maintained in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator at 37°C.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) was obtained from Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc. (Kumamoto, Japan). Primary antibodies against AKT (cat. no. 4691S; rabbit), p-AKT (Thr308; cat. no. 13038S; rabbit) and β-actin (cat. no. 4970S; rabbit), and the anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked Antibody (cat. no. 7074S), were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA, USA). The PKR antibody (cat. no. sc-708; rabbit) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA). The p-PKR antibody (T446; cat. no. ab32036; rabbit) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The primers for IL-1β, CCL17, CCL22, Arg1, iNOS, TNF-α, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (Socs3), C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 11 (CXCL11) and β-actin were supplied by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The Eastep Super Total RNA Extraction kit, GoScript Reverse Transcription System and GoFaq qPCR Master Mix were purchased from Promega Corporation (Madison, WI, USA).

Cell viability assays. Cell viability was measured using the CCK-8 assay according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, RAW264.7 cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates at a density of 5,000 cells/well in DMEM and incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C overnight. Cells were exposed to different concentrations of LPS (0, 1, 10, 500, and 1,000 ng/ml) for 24 h. After a 24 h incubation with LPS, 10 µl CCK-8 reagent was added to each well and incubated for 1 h. Subsequently, the optical density (OD) was measured at a wavelength of 450 nm. The percentage of viable cells was determined using the following formula: Ratio (%)=[OD (treated)-OD (blank)]/OD (control)-OD (blank)] x 100. Cell viability data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean of three independent experiments, each containing three replicates.

Endotoxin tolerant model in RAW264.7 cells. The endotoxin tolerance model was established as follows. RAW264.7 cells were seeded in 6-well culture plates at a density of 5x104 cells/well in DMEM and incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C overnight. Subsequently, cells were initially stimulated with medium alone or medium containing LPS (100 ng/ml) for 20 h, washed with PBS twice and restimulated with medium or LPS (100 ng/ml) for 4 h prior to Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) or 2 h prior to western blot analysis. Different durations of the second LPS stimulation were because expression of inflammatory cytokines depended on the activation of regulators and signaling (9,31). Rotenone (10 µM) was added 1 h before the second LPS stimulation and remained until the cells were lysed. LY294002 was used 2 h before the second LPS stimulation at a concentration of 10 µM when necessary and lasted until the end of the second LPS stimulation. Macrophages that were continually cultured in DMEM were designated medium/medium (M/M), cells that were stimulated with LPS following the incubation with DMEM were designated medium/LPS (M/L) and cells that were restimulated with LPS following stimulation with the same dose of LPS were designated LPS/LPS (L/L). The cells were incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C during the whole experimental process.

ELISA. TNF-α levels in the supernatants were analyzed using the TNF-α ELISA kit (F11630; Westang BioTechnology Corporation Ltd., Shanghai, China), according to the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, medium in the 6-well plate was pipetted into the 96-wells plate directly. During the first incubation, TNF-α bound the capture antibody. Following washing, a detection antibody was added to the wells, which bound to the TNF-α immobilized during the first incubation. Subsequently, a horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugate was added to bind to the detection antibody. Finally, a substrate...
solution was added and converted by the enzyme to a detectable form. The intensity of the colored product reflected the concentration of TNF-α.

Preparation of whole-cell protein lysates. Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and suspended in RIPA lysis buffer (P0013B; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China) containing 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride and 1 mM phosphatase inhibitors, and were centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min to remove nuclei and cell debris. Supernatants were rapidly frozen at -80°C or immediately used in western blot assays.

Western blot analysis. Protein concentrations were determined using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 15 μg cellular proteins were electrophoretically blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes following separation with 10% SDS-PAGE. The membranes were blocked for 15 min with QuickBlock Blocking Buffer for Western Blot (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China) at room temperature, followed by an overnight incubation at 4°C with primary antibodies against PKR, p-PKR, AKT, p-AKT and β-actin at a 1:1,000 dilution. Blots were washed three times with TBS/0.2% Tween-20 (TBST) prior to incubation with the HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) for 1 h at room temperature. Blots were washed three times with TBST prior to development by enhanced chemiluminescence using the Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Merck KGaA). Band intensities were quantified using Quantity One software version 4.6.2 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). β-actin was used as a loading control for whole-cell protein lysates.

RT-qPCR assays. Total RNA was extracted using the Eastep Super Total RNA Extraction kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol. A total of 1 μg RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNAs using the GoScript Reverse Transcription System, including elongation at 42°C for 15 min and inactivation of reverse transcriptase at 70°C for 15 min. qPCR was performed using GoTaq qPCR Master Mix. In brief, denaturation was performed at 95°C for 10 min, annealing at 60°C for 1 min, and elongation at 95°C for 15 sec for 40 cycles. PCR was carried out in triplicate and using the Bio-Rad CFX96 instrument (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Data were processed using Bio-Rad CFX manager version 3.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The housekeeping gene β-actin was used as the internal control. The relative expression levels were calculated using the 2^-△△Cq method (32). The primer pairs used for qPCR are presented in Table I.

Statistical analysis. Prism 6 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis. All data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (n=3 independent experiments). Data were analyzed using an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance followed by a Tukey’s multiple comparison test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

LPS promotes cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner. The viability of RAW264.7 cells was determined using the CCK-8 assay. As demonstrated in Fig. 1A, treatments with different concentrations of LPS (1, 10, 100, 500 and 1,000 ng/ml) significantly promoted cell proliferation compared with the control group. At LPS concentrations <500 ng/ml, cells proliferated in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 1A). No obvious cytotoxicity was observed when cells were treated with LPS at concentrations of 1-1,000 ng/ml (Fig. 1A).

TNF-α levels are decreased in L/L macrophages compared with M/L macrophages. Cells were cultured and stimulated with LPS using the methods described above. Supernatants were collected and examined using ELISA. TNF-α levels were demonstrated to be significantly reduced in LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages compared with LPS-activated M/L macrophages (Fig. 1B).

Table I. Primer sequences used for reverse transcription‑quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Sequence</th>
<th>Reverse Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>GACGTGGAACCTGGCAGAGAG</td>
<td>TTGGTGTTTGTGAGTGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>GCAACTGTTTCTGAACCTCAACT</td>
<td>ATCTTTTGGGTCCTGCACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL11</td>
<td>GGCTTTCTTATGGTCAACAGGG</td>
<td>GCCGTTACTCCGGTAAATAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL17</td>
<td>GACGACAGAGGGTGACGGGC</td>
<td>GCATCTGAAGTCCTCAATGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL22</td>
<td>ATTCCTGTGACATCCCCTCAT</td>
<td>TGTATGTGCTCCTGAACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socs3</td>
<td>TGCAAGAGACGCGATTCCTAC</td>
<td>AGCTTGTCGGATAAGAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1</td>
<td>CTTCAAGCAAAAAGCCTTAGAG</td>
<td>AGGAGCTGTCAATTAGAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iNOS</td>
<td>GACGAGACGGAAGTCGACAG</td>
<td>CTTCAAGACCTCCGAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>GTGCTATGTTGCTCTAGACTTCG</td>
<td>ATGCCACAGGATTCCCATAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; IL-1β, interleukin-1β; CXCL11, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 11; CCL, C-C motif chemokine ligand; Socs3, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3; Arg1, arginase-1; iNOS, nitric oxide synthase 2.
Cytokine expression differs between L/L macrophages and M/L macrophages. Cells were stimulated with or without LPS for 20 h, washed twice with PBS and restimulated with LPS for 4 h. Cells were subsequently lysed and RNA was isolated. The gene expression levels in RAW264.7 cells were detected by RT-qPCR. Levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, CXCL11, CCL17, CCL22 and Socs3 mRNAs were markedly decreased in LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages compared with LPS-activated M/L macrophages (Fig. 2A). However, elevated levels of Arg1 and iNOS mRNA were detected in the LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages compared with LPS-activated M/L macrophages.

PKR inactivation is involved in the altered cytokine gene expression observed in LPS-tolerant macrophages. Macrophages were cultured and stimulated with LPS as described above. Cells were lysed and protein levels were measured by western blotting at 2 h following the LPS rechallenge. RAW264.7 macrophages that were restimulated with LPS for 2 h after the initial 20 h challenge with LPS exhibited significant inactivation of PKR compared with cells challenged with LPS for only 2 h (Fig. 2B and C). However, the level of p-PKR was not statistically significantly different between M/M and M/L macrophages (Fig. 2C). In addition, total PKR levels were not altered among the groups (Fig. 2B).
Rotenone alleviates endotoxin tolerance by activating PKR in RAW264.7 cells. It has been previously demonstrated that rotenone activates PKR (33). The level of p-PKR was markedly increased following treatment with rotenone (10 or 20 µM) in LPS-tolerant L/L RAW264.7 cells compared with untreated LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages (Fig. 3A and B). In addition, the level of p-PKR was not statistically significantly different between the rotenone-treated and untreated LPS-tolerant L/L macrophage groups. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 vs. untreated/control M/L macrophages; †P<0.05 and ††P<0.01 vs. untreated/control L/L macrophages. Data represent the results from three independent experiments. PKR, interferon-induced double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase; p-PKR, phosphorylated-PKR; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; OD, optical density; IL-1β, interleukin-1β; CCL, C-C motif chemokine ligand; Arg1, arginase 1; iNOS, nitric oxide synthase 2; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; CXCL11, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 11; Socs3, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3; M/M, initial incubation with medium followed by further incubation with medium; M/L, initial incubation with medium followed by LPS stimulation; L/L, initial incubation with LPS followed by restimulation with LPS.

PKR mediates macrophage reprogramming in LPS-tolerant RAW264.7 cells by inactivating AKT. RAW264.7 cells were cultured in DMEM and stimulated with LPS as described above. Following a 2-h restimulation with LPS, macrophages were lysed and levels of proteins were measured by western blotting. AKT was activated in LPS-activated M/L macrophages compared with M/M macrophages that received no stimulation with LPS (Fig. 4A and B). However, the levels of p-AKT were markedly decreased in LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages compared with LPS-activated M/L macrophages (Fig. 4A and B). The total AKT levels were not altered among the groups (Fig. 4A). Rotenone induces PKR phosphorylation. In the present study, AKT was activated in rotenone-treated LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages compared with the untreated LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages (Fig. 4C and D). Ly294002, a phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT inhibitor, was added to LPS-tolerant L/L cells prior to the 1 h rotenone treatment. Ly294002 (10 µM) did not affect the activation of PKR in rotenone-treated LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages (Fig. 5). However, AKT activation in rotenone-treated LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages was inhibited by Ly294002 (Fig. 6A and B). Furthermore, Ly294002 partially reversed the rotenone-induced variations in gene expression in LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages (Fig. 6C). Specifically, Ly294002 downregulated IL-1β and CCL22 expression and upregulated Arg1 and iNOS expression in the rotenone-treated LPS-tolerant L/L macrophages (Fig. 6C).

Discussion

Following long-term exposure to LPS, macrophages enter an immunosuppressive state and are unable to respond to further LPS challenges. The immunosuppressive or
hypo-responsive state that develops is termed endotoxin tolerance (5). Endotoxin tolerance has been associated with various diseases, including sepsis, trauma, pancreatitis and acute coronary syndrome (15,34,35). The current hypothesis regarding the host immune response in patients with sepsis indicates that it is characterized by an initial hyperinflammatory phase that is sustained over several days and progresses into a protracted immunosuppressive phase, indicating that macrophages enter a tolerant state (18,36). In patients with sepsis, mortality occurs primarily due to the development of uncontrolled secondary infections as a result of immunosuppression (37-39). Therefore, strategies that prevent endotoxin tolerance have become a topic of interest in therapies for sepsis (39).

In the present study, RAW264.7 macrophage cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml LPS for 20 h, washed twice with PBS and restimulated with 100 ng/ml LPS for 2 or 4 h to establish an LPS-tolerant model, as described previously (9,11). TNF-α levels have been reported to be significantly decreased in tolerant macrophages and are considered a reliable marker of endotoxin tolerance (6,40,41). In the present study, TNF-α secretion from LPS restimulated tolerant macrophages was markedly decreased compared with LPS-activated macrophages, indicating that the endotoxin tolerance model was successfully established.

In LPS tolerant macrophages, the expression of cytokine genes is reprogrammed rather than inhibited (5,12,13). During macrophage reprogramming, the expression of certain genes is downregulated, while other genes are upregulated (42). This phenomenon is similar to macrophage polarization, in which macrophages undergo polarized differentiation into classically activated macrophages (M1) or alternatively activated macrophages (M2) in response to different stimuli (43). M1 macrophages are characterized by increased production of proinflammatory cytokines, nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species that mediate antimicrobial activities and induce cellular immunity (44,45). M2 macrophages are characterized by intracellular expression of Arg1 and secretion of chitinases, including Chil3, and anti-inflammatory cytokines, including interleukin-10 (46). Therefore, M2 macrophages have been associated with helminthic infection and tissue repair (47). Macrophage tolerance and M2 polarization are associated processes. It was previously reported that the expression of M2-associated cytokines (CCL17, CCL22 and Arg1) was upregulated, while the expression of M1-associated cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, CXCL-11, Socs3 and iNOS) was downregulated, in LPS-tolerant macrophages (31). In the present study, the mRNA levels of the M1-associated cytokines TNF-α, IL-1β, CXCL-11 and Socs3 were decreased and the levels of the M2-associated mediator Arg1 was increased, similar to M2 polarization. However, the levels of the M2-associated mediators CCL-17 and CCL-22 were decreased and the level of the M1-associated mediator iNOS was increased in
LPS-tolerant macrophages, which differed from M2 polarization. Variation in the expression of iNOS has been reported in LPS-tolerant cells as certain studies have demonstrated that it was elevated (48,49), while others detected decreased iNOS levels, in LPS-tolerant cells (10,13). These variations may depend on the cell type, duration of LPS stimulation and the concentration of the LPS used in the different studies.

In addition to its antiviral properties, PKR also participates in the regulation of inflammatory cytokine and chemokine expression, including IL-1β, IL-18 and high-mobility group box 1, by affecting transcription factors (25-27,33). Total PKR levels in tolerant macrophages were reported to be decreased through differential K63/K48 ubiquitination (50). However, the role of PKR in macrophage reprogramming remains to be elucidated. In the present study, p-PKR levels were markedly decreased in LPS-tolerant macrophages, whereas total PKR levels remained unaltered. Rotenone is a plant extract that activates PKR (33). Administration of rotenone in the present...
study regulated the mRNA expression of IL-1β, CCL17, CCL22, Arg1 and iNOS in LPS-tolerant macrophages. Based on the above data, it may be hypothesized that PKR activation partially reverses macrophage reprogramming in endotoxin tolerance. However, the expression of the TNF-α, CXCL11 and Socs3 mRNAs was not significantly different between roteneone-treated and untreated LPS-tolerant cells. The expression of these cytokines may not be regulated by PKR. However, the expression of these cytokines has been previously demonstrated to be regulated by other proteins, including p21 and p50 (11).

It has been demonstrated that several signaling pathways, including NF-xb (51,52) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (29,53) pathways, are regulated by PKR to promote cytokine and chemokine production. PKR has also been reported to participate in physiological activities, including coordinating skeletal muscle differentiation and choroidal neovascularization, via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway (54,55). However, to the best of our knowledge, it has not been previously determined whether PKR mediates macrophage reprogramming via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. In the present study, AKT was inactivated in LPS-tolerant macrophages. Roteneone-induced PKR activation was demonstrated to increase the level of p-AKT in LPS-tolerant cells, reversing endotoxin tolerance-induced inactivation of AKT. Furthermore, inhibition of PI3K-AKT signaling with Ly294002, a PI3K/AKT inhibitor, partially reversed the roteneone-induced alleviation of endotoxin tolerance, which was supported by the alterations in the expression of several endotoxin tolerance-associated genes, including IL-1β, CCL22, Arg1 and iNOS.

In conclusion, the results of the current study demonstrated that PKR inhibition induced endotoxin tolerance in macrophages and these effects were partially mediated by the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. Therefore, PKR may be a potential target for the treatment of endotoxin tolerance.
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