Effect of hesperetin derivatives on the development of selenite-induced cataracts in rats
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Abstract. Cataracts are a major cause of blindness worldwide. As anti-cataract pharmaceutical therapies require long-term treatment, identifying anti-cataract compounds that are ubiquitous in the human diet, have no adverse effects and are affordable, is of paramount importance. The present study focused on hesperetin and its derived compounds, hesperetin stearic acid ester (Hes-S) and hesperetin oleic acid ester (Hes-O), in order to investigate their therapeutic potential to treat cataracts in a selenite animal model. Thirteen-day-old Sprague Dawley rats were divided into 12 groups. Animals in groups 1 and 7 were subcutaneously injected with vehicle, those in groups 2 and 8 were administered hesperetin, those in groups 3 and 9 received stearic acid, those in groups 4 and 10 were injected with oleic acid, those in groups 5 and 11 were administered Hes-S, and those in groups 6 and 12 received Hes-O (10 nmol/kg body weight on days 0, 1 and 2). Animals in groups 7 to 12 were treated with sodium selenite (20 µmol/kg body weight on days 0) to induce cataract. On day 6, rats had less severe central opacities and lower stage cataracts than rats in the selenite treatment-only control groups. The levels of glutathione (GSH) and ascorbic acid (AsA) in lenses with selenite-induced cataracts declined to one-third of that of controls, and the reduction in GSH and AsA levels was rescued following hesperetin, Hes-S or Hes-O treatment had significantly greater chaperone activity than hesperetin-treated rats. Collectively, these results suggested that hesperetin and hesperetin-derived compounds may be novel drug compounds that have the potential to prevent or delay the onset of cataracts.

Introduction

Cataracts refer to a clouding of the lens of the eye, which affect vision and remain a major cause of blindness in the world (1,2). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), cataracts account for approximately 51% of global blindness. Therefore, cataracts are a critical public health and social problem worldwide. At present, it is impossible to entirely prevent cataract formation, and cataract surgery remains the most common method of treatment. In order to decrease the burden of surgery in older adults, it is of great interest to establish alternative therapies to delay or prevent the development of cataracts.

Lenses that are chronically exposed to ultraviolet (UV) radiations generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative modifications (3) and have high levels of reduced glutathione (GSH) and ascorbic acid (AsA) to maintain a constant redox state, protecting against oxidative stress and preserving lens transparency. Consequently, the levels of these compounds in the lens are frequently used as markers of cataract formation or development in both human and animal models (4-7). The lens also uses chaperone activity to maintain its transparency. α-Crystallin, which constitutes up to 30% of total water-soluble proteins in the lens, acts as a molecular chaperone. Molecular chaperone activity plays an important role in in vivo due to the longevity and negligible turnover of lens proteins. Furthermore, it is well-known that lens proteins in cataracts have weaker chaperone activity than those in the non-disease state. Therefore, we measured the effect of hesperetin and hesperetin derivatives on the changes in chaperone activity in lenses with cataracts.

We have previously reported that hesperetin, one of the natural flavonoids in orange rinds, could delay cataract onset as assessed by observing cataract grade and measuring lens GSH and AsA levels. We also showed that treatment with hesperetin prevented down-regulation of chaperone activity in the lens (8,9). In the current study, we assessed the therapeutic
ability of hesperetin derivatives to produce strong-acting anti-cataract activity using an Se-induced cataract model, a well-established rodent model used for screening potential anti-cataract molecules.

In this study, we determined whether anti-cataract properties of these derivatives could be altered by linking fatty acids. Either hesperetin or hesperetin derivatives were administered to rats with Se-induced cataracts in order to assess the anti-cataract effect of these compounds.

Materials and methods

Materials. Sodium selenite (Na₂SeO₃; Se), hesperetin, isoflurane, GSH, dithionitrobenzene (DTNB), AsA, metaphosphoric acid, and 2-vinylpyridine were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). Stearic acid and oleic acid were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Trichloroacetic acid was purchased from Nacalai Tesque Inc. (Kyoto, Japan). 2,6-Dichlorophenol-indophenol (DCPIP) was purchased from Merck KGaA, (Darmstadt, Germany). Sprague Dawley (SD) rats were obtained from Sankyo Labo Service Corporation (Tokyo, Japan).

From hesperetin (Hes, Fig. 1A), we synthesized hesperetin stearic acid ester (Hes-S, Fig. 1B) and oleic acid ester (Hes-O, Fig. 1C) according to a previously reported procedure (10), and purified materials were used for the anti-cataract experiments.

Animals. SD rats were housed in temperature-controlled (25°C ± 5°C) and light-controlled rooms (12 h cycle of light and dark). Animals were fed balanced rat chow (CE-2; Clea Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and provided water ad libitum. Keio University Animal Research Committee (Tokyo, Japan) approved all of the animal procedures that were performed in the present study [11014–(4)]. Rats were euthanized with isoflurane (5%, inhalation). Blood samples were immediately collected form the vena cava; as much blood as possible was obtained as previously described ([11014–(4)]. Briefly, cataract stage 1 was defined as <5% opacity in the lens, stage 2 was defined as 5‑20% opacity, stage 3 was defined as 20‑40% opacity, stage 4 was defined as 40‑60% opacity, stage 5 was defined as 60‑80% opacity, and stage 6 was defined as >80% opacity. Following lens observation, rats were euthanized by isoflurane inhalation and lenses were recovered for further analyses.

Se-induced cataracts and hesperetin treatment. A total of 168 female rats that were 13 days old were randomized into 12 groups: Group 1, Control group (G1); Group 2, Treatment with hesperetin (G2); Group 3, Treatment with stearic acid (G3); Group 4, Treatment with oleic acid (G4); Group 5, Treatment with hesperetin stearic acid (G5); Group 6, Treatment with hesperetin oleic acid (G6); Group 7, Treatment with hesperetin and Se (G7); Group 8, Treatment with hesperetin and Se (G8); Group 9, Treatment with oleic acid and Se (G9); Group 10, Treatment with oleic acid and Se (G10); Group 11, Treatment with hesperetin and Se (G11); and Group 12, Treatment with hesperetin and Se (G12). (Table 1; n=6 or 8 per group in each experiment).

Either test compound or vehicle was administered to each group of rats as described in Table 1. Rats in groups G1–G6 were subcutaneously injected with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and those in G7–G12 were subcutaneously injected with Se at 20 μmol/kg body weight. PBS or Se was injected into 13-day-old rats (day 0) 4 h after administration of test compound. Hes, stearic acid, oleic acid, Hes-S, or Hes-O was dissolved in 7% ethanol and 93% olive oil solution and administered on Days 0, 1 and 2 subcutaneously at 10 nmol/kg body weight per day. The doses of hesperetin and its derivatives were decided according to our previous reports (8,9). On day 6, when the rats were 19 days old, following euthanization, enucleated eyes were analyzed for levels of GSH and AsA, and lens chaperone activities were determined. Plasma samples were separated by centrifugation of whole blood with heparin; plasma was stored at -80°C before analysis.

Cataract classification. Cataract classifications were defined as previously described (11). Briefly, cataract stage 1 was defined as ≤5% opacity in the lens, stage 2 was defined as 5-20% opacity, stage 3 was defined as 20-40% opacity, stage 4 was defined as 40-60% opacity, stage 5 was defined as 60-80% opacity, and stage 6 was defined as >80% opacity. Following lens observation, rats were euthanized by isoflurane inhalation and lenses were recovered for further analyses.

Measurement of GSH. The level of lens GSH was determined according to a method previously described by Sedlak & Lindsay, with minor modifications (12). Briefly, lenses were homogenized in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) and centrifuged. The water-soluble fraction was deproteinized using trichloroacetic acid and centrifuged to remove the proteins. The supernatant was diluted with sodium phosphate buffer according to the wet lens weight (1 mg lens weight/ml). The sample was divided into two tubes: one tube contained 10 mM 2-vinylpyridine to sequester GSH for measuring oxidative GSH, and the other tube contained the same volume of sodium phosphate buffer to measure the total GSH content. Both tubes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a fume hood. After incubation, the excess 2-vinylpyridine was neutralized with triethanolamine. DTNB was then added to both tubes, and the mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Absorbance at 412 nm was then measured in an Infinite M200PRO microplate reader (Tecan Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland).
Switzerland). The levels of lens GSH were calculated by subtracting total GSH concentration from two times the concentration of oxidative GSH.

**Measurement of AsA.** Levels of AsA were determined using DCPIP as described previously (6). Lenses were homogenized in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4) and de-proteinized by using metaphosphoric acid. The lens homogenate was centrifuged to remove the proteins. The supernatant was titrated with DCPIP. Absorbance at 540 nm was measured in a microplate reader, Infinite M1000 (Tecan Ltd.).

**Chaperone activity measurement.** Chaperone activity was measured according to methods described previously, with minor modifications (13). Briefly, water-soluble lens proteins were mixed with aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 100 mM NaCl (pH 7.0). ALDH aggregation was induced with 1,10-phenanthroline at 42°C. Protein aggregation was monitored by measurement of light scattering at 360 nm using an Infinite M200PRO microplate reader (Tecan Ltd.).

**Statistical analysis.** All data are reported as means ± standard error. Statistical analysis of data was performed using one-way analysis of variance with a post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test. SPSS version 24 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for analysis. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Cataract classification.** Thirteen-day-old SD rats were randomized into two groups and injected with either PBS (control groups: G1-G6) or Se (cataract groups: G7-G12), and each group was divided into five subgroups to further examine the effects of Hes, Hes-S, and Hes-O (Table I). Hes-S and Hes-O were synthesized as previously reported (10), and administered 4 h prior to injecting the rats with either PBS or Se, and then once daily for two days (total of three days). Six days after the PBS or Se injection, cataract classifications were determined as previously described (11). Fig. 2A-F show nuclear cataracts in rats from groups 7 to 12, respectively (Fig. 2A-F). More than 80% of lenses from G7 (Se-treatment only) had mature grade 6 nuclear cataracts, with grade 5 cataracts present in the lenses of the remaining rats in that group (Fig. 2G). All lenses from control groups were transparent, and all had grade 1 cataracts (data not shown). The lenses of rats in G8, G11, and G12 (Se-Hes, Se-Hes-S, or Se-Hes-O co-treatment, respectively) lacked central opacity and/or had lower-grade cataracts compared to those of rats in groups G7, G9, or G10 (Se treatment only, Se-stearic acid, or Se-oleic acid co-treatment, respectively). In G8, 8, 8, 33, 17%, and 8 lenses in G11 had cataract grades 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1, respectively. In contrast, 8, 8, 42, 25, and 17% of rats lenses from G11 had cataract grades 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1, and 17, 58, 17%, and 8 lenses in G12 had cataract grades 4, 3, 2, and 1, respectively (Fig. 2G). These data suggest that treatment of the lens with hesperetin or hesperetin-derived compounds can delay Se-induced onset of cataracts.

GSH and AsA levels in the lens and plasma of Se-treated rats. The GSH and AsA levels in the lens and plasma were determined to evaluate the effects of hesperetin derivatives on the levels of antioxidant compounds in the lens. In the control groups (G1-G6), GSH levels in the lens showed no change either with or without treatment with hesperetin-derived compounds. In the G7 lenses, GSH levels were significantly decreased compared to the levels observed in control G1 lenses (1.40 µmol/wet weight vs. 0.41 µmol/wet weight) (Fig. 3A). Hesperetin treatment of rats with Se-induced cataracts rats prevented a reduction in GSH levels. The concentration of GSH in the lenses of rats in G8 lens was 0.98 µmol/wet weight. Interestingly, the lens GSH levels in G11 or G12 rats were higher than those in G8. GSH concentrations in the lenses of rats in G11 were 1.04 µmol/wet weight and in G12 were 1.2 µmol/wet weight (Fig. 3A). Next, we measured the AsA concentrations in the lenses of rats with selenite-induced cataracts. In the control groups, AsA levels did not change regardless of treatment (G1-6). In the lenses of rats with Se-induced cataracts (G7), AsA levels were significantly lower than those in the lenses of rats in the control group. The concentrations were 13.70 µg/lens wet weight in G7, and 32.53 µg/wet weight in G1 (Fig. 3B). Co-treatment of lenses with Hes and Se (G8) prevented the reduction in AsA levels (24.12 µg/wet weight). AsA concentrations were higher in G11 and G12, those observed in in G7 rat lenses. AsA levels in G11 were 27.20 µg/wet weight and 27.55 µg/wet weight in G12.

Subsequently, we quantified the levels of plasma antioxidant compounds in the lenses. We did not observe any changes in the levels of GSH or AsA concentrations in the plasma following treatment with Hes-S or Hes-O, regardless of whether the lenses had cataracts or not (were transparent).
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Figure 2. Anti-cataract effects of hesperetin and hesperetin derivatives. (A-F) Lenses from rats with selenite-induced cataracts: Lenses from a rat from (A) the Se-treated group (group 7: Cataract grade 6), (B) Se-Hes treatment group (group 8: Cataract grade 2), (C) Se-oleic acid treatment group (group 9: Cataract grade 5), (D) Se-stearic acid treatment group (group 10: Cataract grade 6), (E) Se-Hes-S treatment group (group 11: Cataract grade 2) or (F) Se-Hes-O treatment group (group 12: Cataract grade 2). (G) Cataract grade was determined for lenses from rats in the groups of rats that were treated with either hesperetin or hesperetin derivatives (n=6 or 8 per group). G1, control group; G7-12, groups 7-12; Se, selenite; Hes, hesperetin; Hes-S, hesperetin stearic acid ester; Hes-O, hesperetin oleic acid ester.
Figure 3. Effect of Hesperetin and hesperetin derivatives on the levels of lens antioxidant compounds in Se-induced cataracts. (A) GSH levels in lenses with Se-induced cataracts. (B) AsA levels in lenses with Se-induced cataracts. All results are expressed as the mean ± standard error (n=6 or 8 per group). *P<0.05, as indicated. G, group; Se, selenite; GSH, glutathione; AsA, ascorbic acid.

Figure 4. Effect of Hesperetin and hesperetin derivatives on the levels of plasma antioxidant compounds in Se-induced cataracts. (A) Plasma GSH levels with Se-induced cataracts. (B) Plasma AsA levels in rats with Se-induced cataracts. All results are expressed as the mean ± standard error (n=6 or 8 per group). G, group; Se, selenite; GSH, glutathione; AsA, ascorbic acid.
Effectively ameliorate or prevent the development of cataracts, it is vital to study the long-term safety of pharmacological therapies for cataracts. Furthermore, identifying and developing effective anti-cataract agents in the human diet that can be consumed daily would provide significant health economic benefits.

In this study, we used an Selenite-induced cataract model that is well-characterized for screening potential anti-cataract agents. The Selenite-induced cataract animal model can be efficiently induced by the administration of sodium Selenite to rat pups younger than 16 days old. Cataracts appear within 3-5 days following sodium Selenite administration. We previously reported that a 3-day course of administration of antioxidant compounds can ameliorate Selenite-induced cataracts (11,13). Manikandan et al (14) previously reported that curcumin injected 24 h before the administration of Selenite could prevent the onset of cataract. Furthermore, Aydemir et al (15) reported that the administration of ebselen for 4 days inhibited oxidative stress in the lens and prevented Selenite-induced cataract development in rats.

Hesperetin, a natural flavonoid isolated from orange rinds, has a flavanone backbone structure and is known to have strong antioxidant activity (16). As humans are unable to synthesize hesperetin, it is usually acquired from oranges and other orange-colored fruits. Hesperetin exhibits antioxidant activity by regulating the expression of antioxidant enzymes such as catalase, GSH peroxides, and GSH reductase (17,18). Consumption of antioxidant compounds and maintaining a constant redox state in the lens are currently the recommended methods for preserving lens transparency, as cataracts are mainly caused by oxidative stress. We synthesized two hesperetin derivatives, Hes-S and Hes-O, that have a fatty acid linked to the 7-hydroxy position of hesperetin, to evaluate the effect of hesperetin derivatives on cataract onset by measuring cataract development, antioxidant levels, and lens chaperone activity.

In this report, we show that fatty acid-linked hesperetins have greater anti-cataract effects compared to that of the original chemical compound, hesperetin, especially for lens chaperone activity. We hypothesize that hesperetin fatty acid ester compounds may improve hesperetin pharmacokinetics due to the following effects: i) Improvement of uptake from subcutaneous areas into the bloodstream and systemically; ii) greater permeability across the blood-aqueous barrier; iii) hydrolysis of Hes-S or Hes-O into hesperetin by esterase(s) localized in the lens; and iv) trapping of hesperetin within the lens due to poor water solubility. Firstly, cellular uptake of Hes-S or Hes-O is thought to be enhanced by improving the lipophilicity of hesperetin which was achieved by linking it to either stearic acid or oleic acid. It is well known that drugs are generally absorbed by passive diffusion into the systemic

Figure 5. Effect of hesperetin and hesperetin derivatives on lens chaperone activity. (A) The time course of light scattering of ALDH at 360 nm. Curve 1 represents the light scattering of the ALDH and 1,10-phenanthroline mixture without lens proteins. Curves 2-8 represent the light scattering of a mixture of ALDH and lens proteins from each group (from G7, G10, G9, G8, G11, G12, and G1, respectively). Curve 9 is that of ALDH alone. (B) Relative chaperone activity of cataract lens proteins with antioxidants was calculated using ALDH light scattering at 180 min following the addition of 1,10-phenanthroline. The change of light scattering of ALDH in the absence of water-soluble lens proteins was defined as 100%. Bars represent the mean ± standard error (n=6 or 8 per group). *P<0.05, as indicated. G, group; ALDH, aldehyde dehydrogenase.
circulation and that improving the lipophilicity of the drug can increase absorption rate. Furthermore, the absorption of lipophilic compounds is thought to be mediated primarily by membrane diffusion, whereas hydrophilic compounds appear to be absorbed via passive diffusion through intercellular junction pores (19,20).

In this current study, we were unable to detect any differences in cataract classification or levels of antioxidant compounds in the between the hesperetin treatment and hesperetin derivative treatment groups. Indeed, neither hesperetin nor its derived compounds could be detected in the serum or lens by HPLC 4 h after injection (data not shown). However, we did observe significant differences in the retention of chaperone activity, we hypothesized that tiny amounts of hesperetin or its derivatives could reach the lens. It will be necessary to use a detector and/or HPLC system with a greater sensitivity to detect the presence of these compounds, and further studies will be needed to decipher how hesperetin and its derivatives reach the lens and/or interact with α-crystallin at a molecular level to maintain lens chaperone activity.

The results of the current study indicate that hesperetin and hesperetin-derived compounds may delay or prevent cataract onset by preserving chaperone activity in lens proteins, and fatty acid-linked hesperetins had greater chaperone activity than that of the original compound (hesperetin). We previously reported that hesperetin treatment could prevent chaperone activity decreasing in the lens by preventing oxidative modification of α-crystallin and retaining water solubility (9). Generally, α-crystallin purified from the lens is used to measure chaperone activity. However, the water solubility of this protein and its concentration in the water-soluble fraction was changed after cataract onset. Therefore, we used measurements of total water-soluble protein to determine the lens chaperone activity.

Hes-O-treated rats displayed lower cataract grades, higher lens GSH levels, and stronger chaperone activity than Hes-S-treated rats. Although the carbon number of stearic acid is the same as that of oleic acid, but oleic acid has a cis-double bond in its structure. This double bond structure may affect the membrane permeability and pharmacokinetics of the hesperetin esters. Interestingly, cataract stages and markers of cataract development were improved in the animals treated with fatty acid (groups G9 and G10). We hypothesize that this improvement may be due to enhanced lens membrane stability. Further in-depth investigation is required to assess the pharmacokinetics of these compounds. In addition, further studies are needed to determine how Hes-S and Hes-O interact with lens proteins and prevent cataract onset at the molecular level, and how they affect the molecular disposition of antioxidants in vivo.

In addition to the anti-cataract effect, hesperetin has several general health benefits, such as anti-inflammatory properties, antihypertensive effects, and the improvement of very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) metabolic abnormalities (21-23). We speculate that these health benefits may be more pronounced in Hes-S or His-O compared to that in the original compound (hesperetin).

As age-related cataract progression in humans is very slow and treatments must be applied for long periods of time to delay or prevent the development of cataracts, it is vital to study the long-term safety of pharmacological therapies. As anti-cataract pharmaceutical therapies require long-term treatment, identifying affordable anti-cataract compounds that can be found ubiquitously in the human diet and that have no adverse effects is of paramount importance.

Acknowledgements

MP would like to thank the Graduate School of SIGMA Clermont, France, for the opportunity to be a visiting research student (2017) under an agreement between SIGMA Clermont and Keio University.

Funding

The present study was supported by grants from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (grant no. 16K18957), and from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT)-supported program for Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities (grant no. S1101003).

Availability of data and materials

The analyzed datasets generated during the study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

YN and HT conceived and designed the present study. YN, MFT, TS and HT designed the methods. YN, MP, KF and NN performed the laboratory experiments. YN, NN and HT analyzed and interpreted the data. YN was major contributor in the writing of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Keio University Animal Research Committee (Tokyo, Japan) approved all of the animal procedures that were performed in the present study [11014-(4)].

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References


