Abstract. The aim of the present study was to determine whether specific molecular parameters may serve as predictors of treatment outcomes and toxicity of oxaliplatin (OXA)-based chemotherapy, which is used as an adjuvant treatment in resected gastric cancer. All gastric cancer patients examined in the study received an OXA/5-fluorouracil chemotherapeutic regimen. Genetic polymorphisms of certain platinum-related genes were determined by the TaqMan 5' nuclease assay and direct sequencing. Relapse-free survival (RFS), overall survival (OS) and toxicity were evaluated according to each genotype. Following adjustment for the most relevant clinical variables, excision repair cross-complimentary group 1 (ERCC1)-118 and X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 1 (XRCC1-399) demonstrated significant predictive value for RFS and OS. We also demonstrated that carrying at least one variant XRCC1 Arg399Gln or glutathione S-transferase π1 (GSTP1) Ile105Val allele significantly increased the risk of any grade 3 or 4 hematologic toxicity. In particular, carrying at least one variant GSTP1 Ile105Val allele was also significantly correlated with an increased risk of grade 3 or 4 gastrointestinal toxicity and neurotoxicity. Our data suggested that gastric cancer patients harboring ERCC1-118 C/C and XRCC1-399 A/G or A/A genotypes may benefit from receiving OXA-based adjuvant chemotherapy, and carrying at least one variant XRCC1 Arg399Gln or GSTP1 Ile105Val allele may contribute to the occurrence of adverse drug effects associated with OXA-based chemotherapy.

Introduction

Gastric cancer is the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality in Asia and worldwide (1,2). Surgery remains the mainstay of curative treatment. However, following radical surgery, the majority of gastric cancer patients develop local or distant recurrence (3). Efforts to improve these poor outcomes have focused on developing effective postoperative systemic and regional adjuvant therapies. Several meta-analyses of postoperative adjuvant trials have demonstrated a significant benefit for chemotherapy-treated patients (4). However, these therapies are often limited by varying degrees of survival benefits and debilitating toxicities. As a result, pharmacogenetics, the study of specific genetic or molecular signatures that may be predictive of treatment outcomes, has gained considerable interest.

Oxaliplatin (OXA) is a third-generation diaminocyclohexane platinum compound that inhibits DNA replication by forming adducts between two adjacent guanines or an adjacent guanine and adenine. The adducts formed by OXA appear to be more effective at inhibiting DNA synthesis compared with cisplatin adducts (5). Numerous studies have confirmed the activity and tolerability of the combination of OXA and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) modulated with leucovorin (LV) administered to patients with gastric cancer (6-9). However, resistance to OXA remains a major obstacle to further improvement of the response rate. DNA repair capacity is considered to be a crucial molecular pathway implicated in the resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy (10). Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is the primary DNA repair mechanism for the removal of bulky, helix-distorting DNA adducts, including those generated by platinum-based chemotherapy (11,12), while the base excision repair (BER) system mainly repairs the small lesions around the damaged bases or single-strand breaks (SSBs) (13,14). Single nucleotide polymorphisms in DNA
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repair genes may be correlated with DNA-repair capacity and may affect the response to platinum-based chemotherapy (10).

The multifunctional detoxifying glutathione S-transferase (GST) enzymes have been specifically implicated in the metabolism of platinum drugs (15) and the polymorphisms of the GST family may affect platinum efficacy by lowering the intracellular concentrations of drugs.

Numerous genomic polymorphisms in genes encoding DNA-repair enzymes and detoxification enzymes have been shown to be correlated with the response to platinum-based chemotherapy. Among which, the four most commonly studied gene polymorphisms correlated with the sensitivity of cancer cells to platinum-based chemotherapy are excision repair cross-complimentary group 1 (ERCC1) Asp118Asn, X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 1 (XRCC1) Arg399Gln, xeroderma pigmentosum group D (XPD) Lys751Gln and glutathione S-transferase π 1 (GSTP1) Ile105Val. However, the association between these gene polymorphisms and the clinical end points are controversial. In addition, little is known with regard to the correlation between these putative markers and survival or toxicity in gastric cancer patients receiving OXA-based adjuvant chemotherapy. The aim of the present study was to assess the correlation between the four SNPs and survival/toxicity in a series of consecutive resected gastric cancer patients treated with OXA/5-FU adjuvant chemotherapy.

Materials and methods

Patients and treatment protocols. Blood samples were obtained from 126 patients with stage Ib-III disease, who were recruited during the period between October 2004 and March 2007 and underwent surgery at the Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Changzhou Tumor Hospital (Jiangsu, China). The patients comprised of 90 males and 36 females (range, 30-78 years of age; median age, 57 years). None of the patients had previously received chemotherapy. This study was approved by the local ethics committee of The Changzhou Tumor Hospital (Changzhou, China) and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Following surgery, all patients received ≥8 cycles of 85 mg/m² OXA plus 20 mg/m² LV on the first day of treatment, followed by 5-FU via a 400 mg/m² bolus, and a 22-h continuous infusion of 600 mg/m² 5-FU on days 1-2 at 2-week intervals. Side effects were graded according to the United States National Cancer Institute (NCI) Common Toxicity Criteria, version 2.0 (16). OXA dose reductions of 25% were performed in cases of grade 4 hematological toxicity, febrile neutropenia and persistent paresthesias (7-14 d). If hematological and non-hematological toxicities had not recovered prior to the next treatment cycle, the OXA dose was delayed for a maximum of 2 weeks. If these toxicities had not recovered by that time, patients were removed from the study. All patients received a cumulative OXA dose of ≥500 mg/m². Prophylactic use of hematological growth factors was not permitted.

Genotyping. DNA extractions from peripheral blood samples were performed using the QiaAmp kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). SNPs in ERCC1 Asp118Asn, XPD Lys751Gln, XRCC1 Arg399Gln and GSTP1 Ile105Val (Table I) were assessed by a 5' nuclease allelic discrimination assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using a fluorescent temperature cycler (iCyler iQ Multicolor Real-time PCR Detection system; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). Each reaction contained the template DNA and a final concentration of 1X TaqMan PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 300 nM of each primer, 100 nM of wild-type probe (Applied Biosystems) and 100 nM of variant probe (Applied Biosystems). The PCR conditions were 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 15 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. For each SNP, sequencing was performed using an ABI 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Those with concordant results from the two analyses were included in the final data analysis.

Follow-up. Interim history, physical examination, hematological studies, carcinoembryonic antigen levels and whole-body computed tomography were performed every 2 months in the first year and every 6 months thereafter. Patients underwent upper endoscopy 3 months following surgery and every 6 months thereafter. The recurrences or metastases of gastric carcinoma were confirmed by cytology and biopsy, surgery or whole-body computed tomography. The Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) staging system (7th version) was used for the classification of each case. The study was conducted in a blind fashion, so that the patient outcome was unknown to the investigators performing the molecular analysis. Relapse-free survival (RFS) was the time from study entry until disease recurrence, mortality or the day of the last follow-up visit (whichever occurred first). Overall survival (OS) was the time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymorphism substitution</th>
<th>dbSNP</th>
<th>NCBI Ref Seq</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERCC Asn118Asn</td>
<td>rs11615</td>
<td>NM_001983</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Asn118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC Arg399Gln</td>
<td>rs25487</td>
<td>NM_006297</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>Gln399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPD Lys751Gln</td>
<td>rs13181</td>
<td>NM_00400</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>Val751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTP1 Ile105Val</td>
<td>rs1695</td>
<td>NM_000852</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>Val105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERCC, excision repair cross-complimentary group; XRCC, X-ray repair cross-complementing protein; XPD, xeroderma pigmentosum group D; GSTP1, glutathione S-transferase π 1; dbSNP, Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database; NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information.

Table I. Genetic markers evaluated in this study.
from study entry until the date of death, regardless of the cause, or the most recent documented follow-up.

Statistical analysis. Statistical significance was based on a two-sided significance level of 0.05. All analyses were performed with SPSS (version 13.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The correlation between different genotypes and the clinical variables or toxicity to chemotherapy were tested by the \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher's exact test (two-sided), as appropriate. The Kaplan-Meier survival method was used to estimate the survival curves, and the log-rank test was used to analyze univariate distributions for RFS and OS. The prognostic significance of the different gene SNPs following adjustment for other prognostic factors was assessed using the Cox proportional hazards regression model.

Results

Patients. A total of 126 gastric cancer patients comprised of 90 males and 36 females (range, 30-78 years of age; median
age, 57 years). Of the total number of patients, 15.08% had stage Ib and stage II disease, and 84.92% had stage III disease at the time of diagnosis. The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) was 0-1 in 90 patients and 2 in 36 patients at the time of accepting chemotherapy. Detailed demographic and disease characteristics are listed in Table II. The median RFS time (M-RFS) was 12 months (range, 2-56 months), and the median survival time (MST) was 21 months (range, 5-56 months). The patient characteristics and their outcomes were unknown to the investigators performing the genetic analysis. The genotyping results were disclosed to the clinical investigators following data analysis.

Genotype frequencies of polymorphisms of ERCC1, XRCC1, XPD and GSTP1. The results of the genotyping of ERCC1-118, XRCC1-399, XPD-751 and GSTP1-105 were available for all 126 patients. The wild genotype (C/C) of ERCC1 Asp118Asp was observed in 81 patients (64.29%), while the heterozygous variant (C/T) was present in 36 patients (28.57%) and the homozygous variant (T/T) was present in 9 patients (7.14%). The wild genotype (G/G) of XRCC1 Arg399Gln was observed in 71 patients (56.35%) and the heterozygous variant (G/A) in 33 patients (26.19%), whereas the homozygous variant (A/A) was present in 6 patients (4.76%). The wild type (A/A) of GSTP1 Ile105Val was present in 86 patients (68.25%), the heterozygous variant (A/G) was observed in 35 patients (27.78%), and the homozygous variant (G/G) was present in 5 patients (3.97%). The wild genotype (A/A) of XPD Lys751Gln was observed in 107 patients (84.92%), while the heterozygous variant (A/C) was present in 19 patients (15.08%), and the homozygous variant of codon 751 in the XPD gene was not observed in any patient. Genotype frequencies for ERCC1, XRCC1, XPD and GSTP1 polymorphisms were demonstrated to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. No significant correlations were observed between any of these polymorphisms and age, gender, ECOG status, initial tumor stage and grade.

**Correlation between polymorphisms and survival.** With regard to RFS, the three variable ERCC1 Asp118Asp, XRCC1 Arg399Gln and GSTP1 Ile105Val SNPs demonstrated a predictive value. For ERCC1 Asp118Asp, the M-RFS was 5 months for TT and C/T cases, and 45 months for C/C patients (P<0.001; Fig. 1A). For XRCC1 Arg399Gln, the M-RFS was 47 months for AA and A/G cases, and 8 months for G/G patients (P=0.001). Additionally, for GSTP1 Ile105Val, the M-RFS was 47 months for AA and A/G cases, and 8 months for G/G patients (P=0.001). For XRCC1 Arg399Gln, compared with the G/G cases, the patients with heterozygous and homozygous polymorphic variants (A/G and A/A) had a decreased risk of recurrence by 0.499-fold (RR, 0.298-0.836; P=0.008).

As for OS, the ERCC1 Asp118Asp, XRCC1 Arg399Gln and GSTP1 Ile105Val SNPs also retained their significant predictive value. For ERCC1-118, the median OS was 15 months for TT and C/T cases, and undefined for C/C cases (P<0.001; Fig. 2A). For the XRCC1 Arg399Gln, the median OS was 18 months for G/G patients and undefined for AA and A/G patients (P<0.001; Fig. 2A). Additionally, for GSTP1 Ile105Val, the median OS

### Table III. Hazard ratios for relapse-free survival and overall survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prognostic factor</th>
<th>RFS</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERCC1-118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T+T/T</td>
<td>2.220</td>
<td>1.392-3.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC1-399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G+A/A</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.298-0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ia + II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>13.142</td>
<td>1.782-96.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA (ng/ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>1.844</td>
<td>1.114-3.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG PS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>1.994</td>
<td>1.221-3.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.994</td>
<td>1.221-3.254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RFS, relapse-free survival; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ERCC1, excision repair cross-complementary group 1; XRCC1, x-ray repair cross-complementing protein 1; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status.
was not defined for G/G and A/G cases, and 21 months for AA patients (P=0.019; Fig. 2D). Both ERCC1 Asp118Asp and XRCC1 Arg399Gln remained significant in the multivariate Cox survival analysis [P=0.001; HR=2.262; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.369-3.738; and P=0.02; HR=0.508; 95% CI, 0.288-0.3898, respectively; Table III].

Other factors that were significantly correlated with RFS and OS in the univariate analysis using the Kaplan-Meier survival curves and the log-rank test were ECOG PS, tumor location, tumor stage and the levels of serum carcinoembryonic antigen (Table II). Gender, age and tumor differentiation were not significant prognostic factors for RFS and OS. ECOG PS, stage and serum carcinoembryonic antigen remained significant prognostic factors correlated with RFS and OS in the Cox proportional hazards regression model multivariate analysis (Table III).

Correlation between polymorphisms and toxicity. We analyzed whether the previously mentioned four gene SNPs were correlated with the severe oxaliplatin regimen-related toxicities in all 126 patients. There were no significant correlations between the ERCC1-118 and XPD-751 polymorphisms with grade 3 or 4 toxicity. However, carrying at least one variant XRCC1 Arg399Gln and GSTP1 Ile105Val allele was significantly correlated with grade 3 or 4 hematological toxicity (P=0.029 and P<0.001, respectively). In particular, carrying at least one variant GSTP1 Ile105Val allele also remained significantly associated with grade 3 or 4 gastrointestinal toxicity and neurotoxicity (P=0.002 and P=0.018, respectively).

Discussion
The ability of cancer cells to recognize and repair DNA damage and to enhance detoxification by the GST pathway may contribute to tumor resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy (17,18). In the present study, we selected four putative molecular parameters to determine whether these markers were partly responsible for sensitivity and toxicity to oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy used as adjuvant treatment in gastric cancer. Our findings supported the hypothesis that pharmacogenetic profiling may be useful for predicting the prognosis of survival and the toxicity associated with oxaliplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in gastric cancer patients. The univariate analysis revealed that ERCC1-118, XRCC1-399 and GSTP1-105 polymorphisms were significantly correlated with RFS (P<0.001, P=0.001 and P=0.033, respectively) and
OS (P<0.001, P<0.001 and P=0.019, respectively). The Cox proportional hazards regression model multivariate analysis suggested that ERCC1-118 and XRCC1-399 polymorphisms also retained significant predictive value for RFS (P=0.001 and P=0.008, respectively) and OS (P=0.001 and P=0.02, respectively). However, we also demonstrated that carrying at least one variant XRCC1 Arg399Gln or GSTP1 Ile105Val allele was significantly correlated with grade 3 or 4 hematological toxicity (P=0.029 and P<0.001, respectively). In particular, carrying at least one variant GSTP1 Ile105Val allele remained significantly correlated with grade 3 or 4 gastrointestinal toxicity and neurotoxicity (P=0.002 and P=0.018, respectively).

NER is the main mechanism in mammalian cells for the removal of bulky, helix-distorting DNA adducts produced by platinum agents (11). ERCC1 is an important DNA repair gene with critical roles in the NER pathway, which is the most important system for repairing a wide variety of structural DNA lesions, including bulky DNA adducts (19). Several studies have demonstrated that patients, including those with gastric cancer, with low ERCC1 expression were more sensitive to platinum-based chemotherapy (20-23). In vitro experiments have also demonstrated that cells with low ERCC1 expression were more sensitive to platinum derivatives or alkylating agents (24). Functional variants of the gene may alter the levels of ERCC1 gene expression. Chang et al (25) revealed that higher ERCC1 protein expression levels were correlated with the variant ERCC1-118 T allele, which may lead to resistance to platinum derivatives. This may explain the lower RFS and OS times observed in the individuals in our study of FOLFOX4 adjuvant chemotherapy (Tables II and III; Figs. 1 and 2) and other studies where patients have been treated with platinum-based chemotherapy (26-28). However, Yu et al obtained contrary results in studies of ovarian cell lines, where the ERCC1 codon 118 C-T substitution was associated with reduced levels of ERCC1 mRNA and protein expression (29). The contrasting results from different clinical studies of the ERCC-1 polymorphism (30) may be due to insufficient sample sizes; with more definitive results likely to be achieved through a large sample, multicenter prospective studies should be conducted in the future. We did not observe a correlation between the ERCC-1 polymorphism and overall toxicity, which suggests that ERCC1 may be not involved in adverse reactions to FOLFOX4 treatment, and is consistent with the results of a previous study (28).

XPD is another important component of NER. The majority of studies have demonstrated that variance in the DNA sequence of the ERCC2/XPD gene 751 was correlated with impaired DNA repair activity (31,32), while one study
demonstrated the opposite results (33). A significant correlation has also been observed between homozygosity for the wild-type XPD 751 allele (Lys/Lys) and an improved response to FU-OXA in metastatic colorectal cancer (34). By contrast, another study in patients with stage II and IV gastric cancer treated with surgery following radiation therapy plus FU/LV-based chemotherapy obtained the opposite result; patients with the wild-type XPD-751 allele (Lys/Lys) were more likely to have relapse compared with those with Lys/Gln and Gln/Gln genotypes (35). In the present study, we did not observe a significant correlation between XPD-751 polymorphism and clinical outcome in gastric cancer patients treated with FOLFOX4 adjuvant chemotherapy, which is consistent with a previous study in non-small cell lung cancer (36). At the same time, no C/C genotype was detected in the present study, which is consistent with the findings of studies examining the Chinese population (37,38). These results suggest that the polymorphisms may differ according to ethnicity.

Base excision repair, another critical DNA repair mechanism, is also important in the response to platinum-based therapy. XRCC1 is a key player in the BER pathway. In vitro assays have demonstrated that reduced DNA repair capacity is associated with the XRCC1-399 polymorphism, and the rate of irradiation-specific DNA repair decreased with an increasing number of variant XRCC1 Arg399Gln alleles (39,40). Several studies have demonstrated a correlation between XRCC1-399 G-A substitution with improved outcomes in patients with solid tumors treated with platinum-based chemotherapy (41-43). Consistent with the above results, in the present study, patients carrying at least one variant XRCC1-399A allele had a better prognosis, which may have been correlated with enhanced sensitivity to OXA-based chemotherapy. We also identified that variance in the XRCC1 Arg399Gln allele was significantly correlated with grade 3 or 4 hematological toxicity, which may have been due to the less proficient DNA repair activity. However, opposite results demonstrated an improved survival for patients with the XRCC1-399 G allele receiving platinum-based chemotherapy for colorectal, lung, esophageal, gastric and cervical carcinoma (26,30,38,44-50). Several studies have also demonstrated that no statistically significant correlation was identified between the XRCC1 codon 399 polymorphism and survival or toxicities correlated with platinum-based chemotherapy. The aforementioned conflicting results may be due to different study populations, chemotherapy regimens and genotyping methods.

GSTs participate in the detoxification of a variety of chemotherapeutics, including platinum. The GSTP1-105A allele may be correlated with lower GSTP1 enzyme activity in the tumor tissue (51). In the present study, we verified that patients with GSTP1-105A allele variants not only exhibited longer relapse-free (P<0.01) and overall (P<0.01) survival times; however, also had a higher incidence of grade 3/4 cumulative neuropathy, gastrointestinal toxicity and hematological toxicity following different cycles of treatment, which is partly in accordance with the results of Stoehlmacher et al (52). The aforementioned results may be correlated with the reduced metabolism and slower removal of chemotherapeutic agents, which yields a longer RFS and OS; however, leads to toxicity of platinum-based chemotherapy.

Although our findings supported the theory that ERCC1 Asp181Asp, XRCC1 Arg399Gln and GSTP1 Hei105Val polymorphisms may be useful for predicting the prognosis of survival and the toxicity associated with OXA-based adjuvant chemotherapy in gastric cancer patients, the limitations of our study must be acknowledged. These include insufficient sample sizes and a single unit population. Therefore, larger sample sizes, multicenter prospective studies and even basic functional studies are required to confirm the results and identify the biological basis of these findings.

In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that pharmacogenetic profiling may be useful for predicting the prognosis of survival and the toxicity associated with the OXA-based adjuvant chemotherapy in gastric cancer patients.
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