An effective treatment for penile strangulation
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Abstract. The aim of this study was to present our experience of patients with penile strangulation. The clinical data of 6 patients (mean age, 36 years; range, 18-72 years) with penile strangulation caused by a metal hoop are provided, where the duration of strangulation was between 3 h and 1 month. Among these patients, the case of a 22-year-old patient with a steel hoop entrapment on his penis for 5 days is described; due to serious injury of the skin at the root of penis, an intermediate split-thickness thigh skin graft was used to repair the skin loss. A review of the literature is also provided in this study, and current treatment options and outcomes are evaluated. In all the cases described, the metal hoops were successfully removed, without gangrene of the penis. These patients were discharged 2-27 days later with a satisfactory outcome. Penile strangulation is an unusual clinical condition and the consequences may be severe. The choice of method for removal depends on the type and size of metal hoop, incarceration time, trauma grade and availability of equipment. Early treatment is essential to avoid potential complications, including ischemic necrosis and autoamputation.

Introduction

Entrapment or strangulation of the penis is a rare emergency situation that may lead to a wide range of vascular and mechanical injuries. Urgent treatment is required, as potential delays may lead to irreversible penile ischemia and gangrene. Penile strangulation, though uncommon, may be challenging to manage. As the constricting devices involved are variable, clinicians require the ability to be creative in their attempts to extricate the foreign bodies, and industrial strength devices should be deployed when necessary.

Penile strangulation is an unusual clinical condition that was first reported in 1755 by Gauthier (1). Since then, approximately 120 cases have been reported in the literature worldwide.

In middle-aged and elderly men, the leading cause of penile injury by foreign bodies is the attempt to increase sexual performance or due to autoerotic intentions (2), while masturbation and sexual curiosity are the leading causes in male adolescents. In infants and children, the foreign body is usually a string (3), thread (4) or hair tied around the penis. The most commonly reported reason for intentionally placing hair around the penis is for enuresis (5-7).

The attachment of foreign bodies to the penis leading to incarceration of the penis has been accomplished using a variety of non-metallic and metallic objects; the only characteristic these objects have in common is circularity (8-16). Entrapment of the penis by an encircling object leads to swelling of the penis distal to the object, due to the initial blockage of venous return and arterial supply. After several hours, penile strangulation may result in ischemic necrosis and gangrene of the tissue. By contrast, the encircling object may block the venous return without any arterial involvement, resulting in massive enlargement of the penis due to lymphedema, which may lead to necrosis as a result of anoxia associated with venous stasis. Additionally, a whole spectrum of various degrees of mechanical penile injuries is recognized, including skin ulceration, urethral injuries, constriction of corpus spongiosum and corpora cavernosa, development of urethral fistula and loss of distal penile sensations. Delayed removal may lead to necrosis, fistula, sepsis and penile amputation (17-19). Gangrene is an uncommon outcome, as each corpus cavernosum has an individual artery, and the thickness of Buck’s fascia and corporeal tissue resists pressure on the deep vessels (20).

Materials and methods

Patients. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University (Shanghai, China) prior to initiation of the study. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients. Between November 2005 and December 2011, 6 cases of patients with penile strangulation caused by a metal hoop (mean age, 36 years; range, 18-72 years) were admitted to our hospital, where the duration of strangulation was between 3 h and 1 month. All the patients presented to the Emergency Department with a metal hoop (ring or steel hoop) entrapment on the penis, which resulted in significant penile edema, thus preventing its removal. All the patients maintained normal micturition.
Among the admitted patients, a 72-year-old patient with penile strangulation (duration, 1 month) presented with evident penile edema; however, cutaneous necrosis had not occurred due to the small diameter of the penis. In addition, a 22-year-old
patient with penile strangulation (duration, 5 days) presented with a penis that was dark purple in color, with serious cutaneous necrosis and desquamation (Fig. 1A).

**Surgery procedure.** All the patients were administered a tetanus injection and were subsequently transferred to an emergency operation room; a team of urologists and a technician carried out the removal procedure. Following anesthesia, a metallic strip was placed between the metal hoop and the penis to protect the skin (Fig. 1B). To remove the steel hoop, a motor-operated emery wheel machine was used to create intermittent cuts at two points of the hoop, which was subsequently removed from the penis (Fig. 1C) (Chong Chuan Qu Cheng Bang Power Tools Factory, Nantong, China). Care was required when using the machine, particularly with regard to the following points: i) The patients required a protector to be placed with both ends over the rim of the inner edge of the hoop to prevent damage to the skin and ii) constant rinsing with iced physiological saline was required to prevent the skin from burning.

**Results**

The mean operation duration was 36 min. In the case of the 22-year-old patient (penile strangulation duration, 5 days), the removed constricting steel hoop was 16-20 mm in external diameter (Fig. 1D and E). The metal hoops were successfully removed from all the patients, without gangrene of the penis. All the patients were discharged 2-27 days after the original procedure, with a satisfactory outcome. No dysuria or erectile dysfunction was identified during the 3-month follow-up period.

Due to the serious injury of the skin at the root of penis (Fig. 2A) of the 22-year-old patient (penile strangulation duration, 5 days), an intermediate split-thickness thigh skin graft was used to repair the skin loss in the Department of Orthopedics of our hospital at day 20 following the procedure. The patient was discharged after 27 days with a satisfactory outcome (Fig. 2B and C).

**Discussion**

Chronic penile strangulation by the same mechanism is extremely rare, and only 6 cases have been previously reported (20). This is usually caused by the inappropriate use of devices, developed for autoerotic purposes or to prolong erection, which may be regularly acquired at specialized shops.

Non-metal or thin metal rings are easily removable by severing, but heavy metal rings pose a challenge to emergency physicians and urologists. Various procedures depending on the constricting object have been described for removal (20-23). These include the common metal ring cutter, cutting nippers, metal saw, high-speed drill and string method. Removal is usually performed under anesthesia and the urologist should remove the ring with great care to avoid iatrogenic injury to the external genitalia. Furthermore, removal of these objects may be challenging and require equipment that is not directly available in a urology department. The tools and mechanical methods used are typically selected according to the specific condition (24). In the present study, a motor-operated emery wheel machine was used to cut the steel hoop in two spots; this was provided by the mechanical workers. The metal hoop required a protector to be placed with both ends over the rim of the inner edge of the hoop to prevent damage to the skin.

A grinder, hacksaw, fret-saw or emery wheel machine are tools that are frequently selected to sever a metal object constricting the penis; as a result, significant heat may be produced during the cutting procedure (25). In the present study, to prevent heat damage to the tissue beneath the cutting point, iced physiological saline was applied locally, and rinsing was applied while the preoperative preparations were proceeding. Lower temperatures applied locally protected the tissue by decreasing ischemic-reperfusion injury. The benefit of low temperature locally in the management of penile strangulation requires further evaluation.

When removal of the strangulation object is not possible by any of the aforementioned methods due to the size of the object and/or increased edema, the penis may be demuced, and postoperative treatment follows the principles of severe traumatic penile and scrotal avulsion, including skin grafting (12,13) or the use of a myofascial flap for construction of a neoglans penis (26). Vähäsarja et al (8) described a string method with a glandular incision, which was more simple and rapid compared with previously used methods for removing thick and hardened steel. In the present study, due to the serious injury of the skin at the root of the penis, an intermediate split-thickness thigh skin graft was used to repair the skin loss. No erectile dysfunction was identified during the 3-month follow-up period.

Following the removal of the object, the urethra requires radiological evaluation, particularly in cases where the device has cut through the skin and/or caused ulceration. In such cases, the value of methylene blue in aiding the localization of urethral injury is well recognized (27).

When this is not possible, urinary drainage may be provided by suprapubic tube prior to removal, or urethral catheterization following removal of the strangulation object. Penile block with local anesthetic may improve patient comfort during removal. Patient preparation with parenteral pain medication, tetanus prophylaxis and antibiotics should be considered. Tetanus prophylaxis and pain control has received limited attention in the treatment of penile strangulation in China.

In conclusion, penile incarceration is an urgent matter, with potentially severe clinical consequences. With rapid intervention and removal of the foreign body, a successful outcome may be achieved and no further intervention is required. Early and effective treatment is essential to avoid potential complications, including ischemic necrosis and autoamputation.
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