Cyclopentenylcytosine does not enhance cisplatin-induced radiosensitization in human lung tumour cells
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Abstract. The search for agents that enhance the effect of ionizing radiation has been an object of study for decades. In this study, the sensitizing properties of cyclopentenylcytosine (CPEC) on radiation and cisplatin-induced radiosensitization in human squamous lung carcinoma cells were investigated. Human lung tumour SW-1573 cells (SWp, parental; SWg, gemcitabine-resistant) were incubated with CPEC and cisplatin and subsequently irradiated with different doses of γ-rays. Clonogenic survival was determined to measure the effectiveness of the treatments. CPEC (1 or 2 µM) treatment for 4 h decreased the plating efficiency to 75 and 50% in SWp and SWg cells, respectively. In the SWg cells, 0.1 and 1 µM CPEC for 4 h enhanced the cell killing effect of cisplatin. However, an increase was not noted in the SWp cells. Due to the moderate toxicity of 1 µM for 4 h, this CPEC dose was used in the radiosensitization experiments. However, CPEC neither radiosensitized the lung tumour cells nor enhanced the radiosensitizing effect of cisplatin. A 2-h incubation with 4 µM cisplatin also decreased the plating efficiency to 75-80% in the two cell lines. Using this cisplatin dose, radiosensitization was obtained in the two cell lines. Although cisplatin treatment clearly radiosensitized the lung tumour cells, CPEC treatment did not. Cisplatin-induced radiosensitization was also not enhanced by CPEC.

Introduction

Cisplatin is a drug widely used in the treatment of cancer and is often combined with radiotherapy. On the other hand, whether cyclopentenylcytosine (CPEC) has potential in anti-cancer treatment is currently under investigation (1-4). CPEC is cytotoxic to various cell types, and it sensitizes human colon carcinoma HT-29 cells to treatment with cisplatin (2). CPEC is a cytidine analogue, which, due to its intracellular activation to its 5'-triphosphate form, is a non-competitive inhibitor of cytidine-triphosphate synthetase. The exposure of cancer cells to CPEC results in the depletion of cellular CTP (cytidine 5'-triphosphate) and dCTP pools, leading to inhibition of RNA and DNA synthesis, as well as S-phase accumulation of mammalian cells (3,5,6). Since CPEC induces depletion of CTP, one of the building blocks of DNA, it may influence the proper repair of DNA after exposure to ionizing radiation. Van Bree et al found that CPEC enhances the radiosensitization effect of gemcitabine in human pancreatic tumour cells; however, the radiosensitization effect of CPEC alone was only modest (3).

Cisplatin treatment induces DNA damage via the formation of interstrand and intranstrand crosslinks (7). Cisplatin crosslinks DNA in several different ways inducing cell cycle arrest, inhibition of DNA replication and transcription, and eventually apoptosis (8). The inhibition of DNA damage repair has also been implicated to be involved in the cytotoxicity of cisplatin (9). Therefore, cisplatin is an effective radiosensitizer used in combination with radiotherapy in a wide range of malignancies (10,11). Cisplatin-induced radiosensitization occurs via the inhibition of the non-homologous end-joining pathway (8,12).

Combination treatment of CPEC with cisplatin was investigated by Gharehbaghi et al (1,2). A synergistic cytotoxicity was found in HT-29 cells after treatment of the cells, initially with 1 µM CPEC and subsequently with cisplatin. Less synergy was found when cells were first incubated with cisplatin and then treated with CPEC.

Although favourable results have been obtained with the combination treatment of cisplatin and radiotherapy, relapses still occur. Therefore, trimodality treatments have been investigated in our laboratory. Bergs et al (11) observed that hyperthermia further increased the effects of combined cisplatin and radiation treatment in several human tumour cell lines. The present study investigated whether CPEC enhances cisplatin-induced radiosensitizing effects in human lung tumour cells.
Materials and methods

Cell culture. The human NSCLC cell line SWp (parental SW-1573 cells, squamous cell carcinoma) and its gemcitabine-resistant variant, SWg, were grown at 37°C as monolayers in 75-cm² tissue culture flasks (Costar/Corning) in Leibovitz-15 medium (L-15; Gibco-BRL) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. The L-15 medium does not require CO₂. The doubling time of the two cell types during exponential growth is 24 h. In the SWg cell line, which is derived from SWp, the dCK gene is disrupted (13).

Irradiation. Irradiation was performed with γ-rays from a 137Cs source. At a dose rate of ~0.6 Gy/min, single doses up to 8 Gy were applied.

Clonogenic cell survival. Cells were plated at the appropriate cell numbers. After 2 h, the cells were attached to the bottom of the plate and treated for 2 h with 0.1, 1 or 2 µM CPEC (NSC 375575). The medium was then refreshed, and cells were treated for 2 h with 4 µM (1.2 g/ml) cisplatin (Platosin®, Pharmachemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands). After this treatment, the medium was refreshed again, and the cells were irradiated. In control experiments, cells were treated with physiological salt solution, CPEC, cisplatin or radiation only. Subsequently, cells were incubated for 10 days. Surviving colonies were fixed and stained with glutaraldehyde-crystal violet solution and counted (14). Following dose D, surviving fractions S(D)/S(0) were corrected for toxicity of CPEC or cisplatin alone, or a combination treatment of CPEC and cisplatin. Survival curves were analyzed using SPSS (Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software by means of fitting data by weighted linear regression, according to the linear-quadratic formula: S(D)/S(0)=exp-(αD+βD²) (15-19).

Results

To study the influence of CPEC on cisplatin radiosensitization, we initially determined the cytotoxicity of CPEC alone. Fig. 1 shows the toxicity of 1 and 2 µM CPEC on SWp cells after different incubation times. A similar pattern was obtained for the SWg cells, indicating that an intact dCK activity is not required for CPEC cytotoxicity (data not shown). To investigate the cisplatin-sensitization by CPEC, cells were incubated for 2 h with 0.1 or 1 µM CPEC. The concentrations were selected to compare almost no (0.1 µM) and moderate (1 µM) cytotoxicity of CPEC. In addition, the concentration of 1 µM CPEC was described by Gharehbaghi et al to sensitize the cisplatin toxicity of HT-29 cells (2). As shown in Fig. 2A, the cytotoxicity of cisplatin in SWp cells was not increased by CPEC. However, in SWg cells the CPEC treatment enhanced the cell killing effect of cisplatin (Fig. 2B).

Fig. 3 shows the radiosensitization curves. The linear-quadratic parameters of these radiation-dose survival curves are provided in Table I. Fig. 3 shows that cisplatin treatment clearly radiosensitized the lung tumour cells. This is evident from the value of the sensitizing enhancement ratios of the α-parameter provided in Table I. The increase in the α value after cisplatin treatment was highly significant. However, CPEC treatment did not radiosensitize these cells, nor did it sensitize the cisplatin-induced radiosensitization. The slight increase noted in the α value of the SWp cells after CPEC and radiation was not significant.

Discussion

Our results show that 4 µM of cisplatin with a 2-h incubation sensitized SW-1573 cells to ionizing radiation. In contrast to
Akudugu and Slabbert (20), who did not observe any radiosen-sitization of cisplatin in CHO cells after an <8-h incubation, we clearly showed that a 2-h exposure to cisplatin radiosensi-tized SW-1573 cells. The difference may be due to the higher concentration of cisplatin used in our study (4 vs. 1.5 µM used by Akudugu and Slabbert) or due to the different cell type. Bergs et al (11,21) demonstrated that radiosensitization with cisplatin was concentration-dependent. Incubation with 5 µM radiosensitized SW1573 cells, but that with 1 µM cisplatin did not (11). Cisplatin radiosensitization has been shown for several different cell lines in a number of studies, and usually higher doses than 1 µM were used (11,21-23). Wilkins et al (22) studied glioblastoma cells using higher concentrations (12 µM) of cisplatin and a higher radiation dose (18 Gy). Begg et al (23) studied a RIF1 cell line and used similar concentrations of cisplatin and radiation dose as those in our study. Our experimental design did not include the study of potentially lethal damage recovery by cisplatin (22). Bergs et al also demonstrated that cisplatin-induced radiosensitization was due to the inhibition of PLDR (11,21).

In the present study, CPEC sensitized cisplatin in the SWg cell line, but did not increase the cisplatin cytotoxicity in the SWp cell line. The cell lines differ only in the fact that the SWg line is deoxycytidine kinase (dCK)-deficient (13), although this deficiency has no influence on the depletion of cellular CTP levels by CPEC (3). Therefore, it is difficult to explain why CPEC sensitized cisplatin in the one cell line but not in the other one. Gharehbaghi et al (2) clearly showed that pre-incubation with 1 µM of CPEC sensitizes HT-29 cells to cisplatin. In this study, incubation with CPEC neither sensitized these cells to ionizing radiation nor to cisplatin-induced radiosensitization. The radiosensitization properties of CPEC have been demonstrated in human pancreatic carcinoma cells upon incubation with gemcitabine (3,4). In these studies, it was observed that CPEC, at low, clinically achievable and non-toxic doses, increased dFdC effectiveness; its radiosensitizing effect in human pancreatic carcinoma cells was also shown. However, CPEC alone did not radiosensitize these cells. This latter finding is in agreement with our findings in lung tumour cells.

### Table I. Linear-quadratic parameters and sensitizing enhancement ratios of linear-quadratic parameter α.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LQ parameter</th>
<th>α, GY⁻¹</th>
<th>β, GY⁻²</th>
<th>Sensitizer-enhancement ratio αᵃ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SWp cells</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation only</td>
<td>0.16±0.011</td>
<td>0.038±0.003</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation + 1 µM CPEC</td>
<td>0.21±0.033</td>
<td>0.027±0.009</td>
<td>1.28±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation + 4 µM cisplatin</td>
<td>0.41±0.014</td>
<td>0.006±0.004</td>
<td>2.52±0.19ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation + 1 µM CPEC + 4 µM cisplatin</td>
<td>0.45±0.017</td>
<td>0.001±0.005</td>
<td>2.77±0.21ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SWg cells</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation only</td>
<td>0.16±0.013</td>
<td>0.035±0.007</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation + 1 µM CPEC</td>
<td>0.16±0.029</td>
<td>0.039±0.008</td>
<td>1.00±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation + 4 µM cisplatin</td>
<td>0.30±0.016</td>
<td>0.030±0.010</td>
<td>1.88±0.18ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation + 1 µM CPEC + 4 µM cisplatin</td>
<td>0.40±0.031</td>
<td>0.021±0.011</td>
<td>2.55±0.20ᵇ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LQ, linear-quadratic. The LQ parameters are derived from the survival curve, as shown in Fig. 3A and B.ᵇSignificantly different from radiation only treatment and radiation + CPEC (p<0.05).

[Figure 3. Survival curves of (A) SWp and (B) SWg cells after radiation only (□); radiation + preincubation with 1 µM CPEC (▲); radiation + preincubation with 4 µM cisplatin (▼) and radiation + 1 µM CPEC + 4 µM cisplatin (○). Means with standard errors of at least three experiments are shown. Data of survival curves of combined treatments are corrected for the toxicity of CPEC only, cisplatin only and CPEC + cisplatin.]
In conclusion, cisplatin sensitized the human lung tumour cells to ionizing radiation, but pre-treatment with CPEC neither enhanced cisplatin cytotoxicity nor the cisplatin-induced radiosensitizing effects.
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