Factors that influence the incidence of breast cancer in Arica, Chile (Review)
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Abstract. Breast cancer is a common disease estimated to occur in 1 in 9 women over their lifetime. Epidemiological research has identified a number of risk factors for breast cancer. Racial and ethnic differences in breast cancer mortality rates have been difficult to ascertain. The present review reports that there was an increase in the incidence of breast cancer in Arica, Chile, from 1997 to 2007, particularly in 2005, reaching 55.1% per 100,000 women, while the percentage decreased in 2006 and 2007. A greater percentage of breast cancer was found in individuals between 46 and 65 years of age when the population was distributed by age. The Indian population, Aymara, had only a 13.9% incidence of the disease. The incidence for breast cancer for patients with no family background reached approximately 88%, with or without Indian ethnicity, and 98.4% of these women did not have prior hormonal therapy. When the stage of the disease and the number of pregnancies were considered, results showed that there was an increase in the progression of the disease from stage I to stage III in women that had 1-3 pregnancies. Results also showed that 20.9 and 33.2% who received prior tamoxifen treatment were in stages I and IIA, respectively. The breast cancer incidence reached 42.4% when patients had a sister with the disease. It can be concluded that important differences in the risk factors of breast cancer should be identified in the future for a comparison with other biological factors, such as genetic and molecular factors. This may provide greater insight into breast cancer aetiology in different populations.
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1. Risk factors of breast cancer

Breast cancer is a common disease estimated to occur in 1 in 9 women over their lifetime. Thus, a large population is available for epidemiological, genetic and molecular studies. The aetiology of human breast cancer is unknown; however, it is a complex disease, characterized by a progressive multistep process caused by interactions of both genetic and non-genetic factors.

Epidemiological research has identified a number of risk factors for breast cancer. However, racial and ethnic differences in breast cancer mortality rates have been difficult to ascertain (1). Possible explanations include differences in the biological characteristics of the tumor (2), patient characteristics such as obesity that may affect prognosis, frequency of mammography examinations (3), timeliness and completeness of breast cancer diagnosis and treatment (4,5), social factors such as education, literacy and cultural beliefs, and economic factors (6-8).

Age is the strongest demographic risk factor for most human malignancies, including breast cancer (9). Approximately 80% of all breast cancers occur in women >50 years of age; the 10-year probability of developing invasive breast cancer increases from ~1.5% at age 40, to ~3% at age 50 and to ~4% by the age of 70, resulting in a cumulative lifetime risk of 13.2% (1 in 8) and a near 9-fold higher incidence rate in women >50 years of age as compared to their younger counterparts (10). Fig. 1A shows the increase in the incidence of breast cancer in Arica, Chile, from 1997 to 2007, particularly in 2005, reaching 55.1% per 100,000 women. This percentage decreased in 2006 and 2007, but was higher than the previous years. Fig. 1B shows the distribution of patients by age in relation to the incidence of breast cancer. A greater percentage, reaching ~25.6%, was noted among individuals between 46 and 65 years of age. The Indian population, Aymara, showed only a 13.9% incidence as noted in Fig. 1C. Fig. 1D shows that the incidence of breast cancer in patients with no family history was ~88%, with or without Indian background.
2. Cancer biomarkers

Tumor biology is altered with aging, and at the cellular level it has been linked to increased genomic instability, global and promoter-specific epigenetic changes and altered expression of genes involved in cell division and extracellular matrix remodelling (11-16). A number of associations have led to the hypothesis that cancer in older individuals results from cumulative mutations, increased epigenetic gene silencing, telomere dysfunction and altered stromal milieu (17). Younger age at diagnosis (≤45 years of age) is associated with more aggressive breast cancer biomarkers, including overexpression of the ERBB2 growth factor receptor (18), abnormal p53 expression (19), estrogen receptor (ER) negativity (18-20), higher nuclear grade and higher Ki-67 proliferation index (19,20).

Even though breast cancer biomarkers are interdependent, ER expression in particular, is inversely correlated with abnormal p53 (19), overexpression of ERBB2 (19), a high Ki-67 and nuclear grade and poor prognosis (21). In general, the normal mammary gland ER content as well as the proportion of ER-expressing (ER-positive) in ductal epithelial cells increase with each decade of age and reach a plateau with menopause at age ~50 years (22,23). By contrast, breast cancer ER expression continues to increase beyond menopause, reaching an ~25-fold difference between normal and malignant mammary gland ER expression by the age 70 years (22). Notably, the expression of certain ER-inducible gene markers, such as progesterone receptor (PR), pS2, Bcl2 and cathepsin D, do not show any significant relationship with age at diagnosis (18,22), while other markers show an increased expression in breast cancers arising earlier in life (24).

Of importance is the age-related change in PR co-expression within ER-positive breast cancers, since PR has been used extensively as a clinical indicator for a functioning ER pathway in tumors likely to respond to endocrine therapy (25). Among all ethnic patient groups, ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancers showed the greatest age-related increase in incidence after the age of 40 (26). Potentially relevant to this ER-positive/PR-negative phenotype is the fact that growth-factor-activated pathways down-regulate PR expression (26) and that the inverse correlation between overexpression of the ERBB2 growth factor receptor and positive PR is only noted in breast cancers arising after the age of 40 (27). The age-adjusted breast cancer incidence rates for women of racial/ethnic minority groups are substantially lower than those for Caucasian women. In addition, African-American women are likely to be diagnosed at a more advanced stage (28) and to have larger tumors, usually ER-negative (29,30) and of high grade (29), than those found in Caucasian women. African-American women also have higher breast cancer mortality (31). On the other hand, the association of oral contraceptives with risk of breast cancer was similar in Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women in certain studies (32). African-American (33,34), Hispanic (33,35), native American (35,36), Hawaiian and Filippino (33,37,38) women living in the US are more likely to be diagnosed with advanced stages of breast cancer and to have poorer survival after diagnosis compared to non-Hispanic white women. Alternatively, Japanese and Chinese women exhibit no difference with respect to breast cancer stage and survival (33), they also present less advanced stages and have better survival when compared to non-Hispanic whites (37,38).

Differences in socio-demographic, cultural and behavioral characteristics have been postulated. Stage and survival differences may also be related to the differential expression of breast tumor characteristics that have been independently
shown to be related to mortality. Specifically, hormone receptor-negative breast tumors (39) are associated with poorer survival, whereas those tumors that have a lobular histology are associated with better survival (40). Previous studies suggested that African-American women are more likely to have ER-negative, PR-negative (41) and medullary (42) breast tumors. One study found that Asian women were more likely to have ER-/PR-negative breast tumors than non-Hispanic white women (43). African-American and Hispanic white women appear to have decreased risks of lobular carcinoma and increased risks of medullary carcinoma compared to non-Hispanic whites (41,42,44). A more pronounced expression of cell cycle- and proliferation-associated genes has emerged as a strong defining feature of ER-positive breast cancers arising in younger women, perhaps even leading to the earlier clinical appearance. This observation is consistent with the more aggressive clinical nature of early-age-onset breast cancer.

3. Hormonal replacement therapy

The use of hormone replacement therapy is associated with an increased risk of lobular tumors (45). Breast cancer incidence rates rose throughout the 1980s and 1990s in the US and declined during 2004. This decline was attributed to the reduction in menopausal hormone use (46). However, data gathered from 1995 to 2004 did not consider either the histological type or race/ethnicity which may have influenced these trends.

Invasive ductal carcinoma and invasive lobular carcinoma incidence rates fell steadily from 1998 to 2004. Declines in overall breast cancer rates and invasive ductal carcinoma were limited to women who were 50 years of age, non-Hispanic white and Asian/Pacific Islanders, and declines in the rates of invasive lobular carcinoma were primarily limited to non-Hispanic white women. Fig. 2A shows the incidence of breast cancer in Arica, Chile, from 1997 to 2007, in relation to hormonal replacement. Of these women, 98.4% did not have such treatment.

Fig. 2B shows the incidence during the same years in relation to stage of the disease and number of pregnancies. Results showed an increase in the progression of the disease that reached 100% from stage I to stage III in women that had 1-3 pregnancies. Reports (47) have confirmed that women of childbearing age experience an increased breast cancer risk associated with a completed pregnancy. For younger women, the increase in breast cancer risk was transient, and within a decade after parturition a crossover effect resulted in an ultimate protective benefit. The post-partum peak of increased risk was greater in women with advanced maternal age. Furthermore, their lifetime risk for developing breast cancer remained elevated for a number of years, with the crossover to protection occurring decades later or not at all.

Fig. 2C indicates that 20.9 and 33.2% of the breast cancer patients who received tamoxifen treatment were in stages I and IIA, respectively. Fig. 2D shows the incidence of breast cancer in Arica, Chile, in relation to family history, with the results indicating that the incidence of breast cancer reached 42.4% when the patient had a sister with the disease.

4. Breast cancer and family history

Ethnic variation in the incidence rate of breast cancer is considerable. Non-Hispanic white women, women of various races/ethnicities living in the US, including African-Americans,
native Americans, Filipinos, Chinese, Koreans, Vietnamese, Indians/Pakistanis, Mexicans, South/Central Americans and Puerto Ricans, were found to have a greater risk of presenting with breast cancer with characteristics associated with a poor prognosis. A combination of biological, genetic, environmental and lifestyle differences across these populations is likely to account for these variations. One of the strongest risk factors for breast cancer is a family history of the disease (48), although this factor varies among ethnic groups. In general, ethnicity has been equated with minority status. Thus, studies on the topic are evaluations of incidence, mortality and survival of black people, Asians (primarily Chinese, Japanese and Filipino), Hispanics, American Indians, native Hawaiians and Alaska natives. Migrant studies (49) have demonstrated that variability in incidence is attributed to differences in risk factor levels. Similarly, geographic variation within a country may also be partly influenced by population risk factor differences (50).

Breast cancer risk is also influenced by host differences in the genetic variation or predisposition to the disease. Such risk has been attributed to ethnic variation in the frequency of specific susceptibility genes. A combination of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations appears to be responsible for 20-30% of the cases with familial breast cancer. The prevalence of BRCA1/2 pathogenic mutations largely varies within different populations; in particular, the rate of mutations in breast and/or ovarian cancer families of Italian origin is controversial and ranges from 8 to 37%. A number of major genes that confer an increased susceptibility to breast cancer when inherited in a mutated form have been identified (51). Studies have suggested that the relative proportion of breast/ovarian cancer families with mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2 varies in different populations. For example, the percentage of familial breast/ovarian cancer explained by BRCA1 mutations is estimated to be 29% in Italy, 21% in Britain and 9% in Iceland (52). In addition, in the majority of populations, BRCA1 mutations are more common than their BRCA2 counterparts in breast/ovarian cancer families, although in Iceland BRCA2 mutations are more common than BRCA1 ones (52). Specific mutations identified in BRCA1 or BRCA2 also differ by ethnic group. In Israel, three specific mutations were reported to account for 36% of familial breast/ovarian cancer (53). A specific BRCA1 mutation, 185delAG, was observed primarily in the Ashkenazi Jewish population (54).

Since specific mutations appear to confer different breast cancer risks, the variation in breast cancer risk in different populations may be attributed, in part, to underlying differences in genetic and molecular factors (55,56). These genetic differences may appear as ethnic-specific differences in breast cancer risk associated with a family history of the disease. Diet patterns and breast cancer risk in Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women indicate that the rates of breast cancer (57) and obesity (58) differ among ethnic groups. The rate of breast cancer among Hispanic women is 2/3 of the rate noted among non-Hispanic white women (57), while the rate of obesity is higher among Hispanic women (58). An increase in the prevalence of obesity with higher intakes of animal protein and fat composition has previously been shown in non-Hispanic white women, but not in Hispanic control participants (59). Ethnic differences were noted in the association of obesity with risk for breast cancer in postmenopausal Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women. However, no differences were noted in these same associations in pre-menopausal women (60). Fig. 2D shows the percentage per 100,000 women with a breast cancer family history. The data indicated that breast cancer reached 42.4% for individuals who had a sister with a history of cancer.

5. Results and conclusions

The present review reports an increase in the incidence of breast cancer in Arica, Chile, from 1997 to 2007. A greater percentage of breast cancer was found in individuals between 46 and 65 years of age when the population was distributed by age. The Aymara group had only a 13.9% incidence of the disease. The incidence of breast cancer in patients with no family background reached approximately 88%, either with or without Indian ethnicity. There was an increase in the progression of the disease from stage I to stage III in women that had 1-3 pregnancies, and 20.9 and 33.2% who had received tamoxifen treatment were in stages I and II, respectively. The incidence of breast cancer reached 42.4% when the patient had a sister with the disease. Therefore, it can be concluded that important differences in breast cancer risk factors should be identified in the future for comparison with other biological factors such as genetic and molecular factors. This may provide greater insight into breast cancer etiology in different populations.
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