Abstract. Osteosarcoma is one of the most common bone tumors, and exhibits a high degree of malignancy. Gene therapy is a novel approach to its treatment, however, specific target genes are required to enable effective use of this therapy. In order to investigate the effects of the mechano-growth factor E (MGF-E) peptide, which is derived from the IGF-I alternative splicing isoform, on the regulation of the development of osteosarcoma, the expression of MGF was detected in osteosarcoma cell lines with different degrees of malignancy. Concomitantly, exogenous MGF-E peptide was used to stimulate these osteosarcoma cell lines. The results demonstrated that MGF was overexpressed in malignant osteosarcoma cells, while it was not expressed in the least malignant osteosarcoma cells. Furthermore, MGF-E treatment altered the cell cycle distribution, and promoted the proliferation, migration and invasion of osteosarcoma cells. The possible mechanisms underlying these effects were detected by quantitative polymerase chain reaction and western blotting. Based on these results, it was hypothesized that MGF may be a suitable biomarker for malignant osteosarcoma phenotypes.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma is the most common malignant bone tumor, accounting for 20% of all bone tumors (1,2). Current therapy involves surgical removal of the malignant lesion, in association with chemotherapy. Survival rates of 60-80% are obtainable for cases of osteosarcoma without metastasis (3). In recent years, there have been advances in gene therapy for osteosarcoma, including immune gene therapy, antisense gene therapy and suicide gene therapy (4-6). Cancer gene therapy is a novel treatment approach, and it is a revolution in cancer treatment. Studies have focused on the involvement of certain growth factors, which may affect the development of osteosarcoma. These factors may be of use in the development of novel medications for the treatment of this disease.

The insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) gene generates three mRNA isoforms during transcription, including IGF-I Ea, IGF-I Eb and IGF-I Ec. IGF-I Eb in rodents, and IGF-I Ec in humans, are also termed mechano-growth factor (MGF) (7-9). MGF has been widely studied in biological and medical fields. It is established as a stimulator of myoblast and osteoblast proliferation, and protects neuronal and cardiomyocyte apoptosis, inhibits osteoblast differentiation and mineralization, and stimulates mesenchymal stem cell proliferation and migration (10-13). Furthermore, MGF expression has been demonstrated to be associated with different diseases, including those affecting tissue repair and regeneration, and cancer (14). Compared with healthy tissues, MGF has been indicated to be overexpressed in neuroblastoma, prostate cancer and osteosarcoma (15-17).

There is a unique E domain in the C-terminal of MGF that distinguishes MGF from other IGF-I isoforms in terms of its peptide sequence and function (12,18,19). The present study aimed to measure the expression of MGF mRNA in Hos, MHos and MG-63 cells, and to investigate the actions of the MGF-E peptide in human MG-63 cells in vitro. It has previously been demonstrated that cyclinD1 is required for G1/S transition in cell proliferation (20), caspase-3 is essential for apoptosis (21), and VEGF is the best characterized regulator of angiogenesis (22). High expression levels of CD147 and MMP-9 are positively correlated with invasion and metastasis of various cancers, such as triple-negative breast cancer and laryngeal carcinoma (23,24). The expression levels of these proteins in MG-63 cell after MGF-E treatment are detected. The results indicated that exogenous MGF-E peptide is involved in the regulation of cell cycle distribution, in addition to the proliferation, migration and invasion of MG-63 cells. This indicates that MGF may be a suitable biomarker gene of malignant osteosarcoma.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture. The human osteosarcoma cell lines Hos, MHos and MG-63 were purchased from CCTCC (Shanghai, China) and all cultured in MEM medium (GE Healthcare
Life Sciences, Logan, UT, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Merck Millipore, USA) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Solarbio, Beijing, China). Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. For cell seeding, the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and digested with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Solarbio, Beijing, China). The MHos and MG-63 cell lines are more malignant than Hos (25).

**Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay for measurement of MG-63 cell proliferation.** The study was approved by the ethics committee of Department of Orthopedics, Xinqiao Hospital (Chongqing, China). MG-63 human osteosarcoma cell proliferation activity was assessed by direct cell counting subsequent to cell seeding. Briefly, MG-63 cells were cultured at a density of 2x10⁵ cells/well in 96-well plates (200 µl/well) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Cells were then exposed to conditioned medium (containing 0, 10, 20, 50 or 100 ng/ml MGF-E; Catalog no. 033-42; Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Burlingame, CA, USA) for 0, 24 or 48 h. Cell proliferation was evaluated using the CCK-8 assay (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. CCK-8 solution (20 µl) was added to each well of the 96-well plate. Following incubation at 37°C for 2 h, the plates were analyzed using an ELISA reader at 450 nm. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation from 5 independent experiments.

**Cell cycle assay.** Conditioned cultured MG-63 cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and digested with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA solution (Solarbio). Test cells were immobilized with 75% alcohol and stained with propidium iodide (PI, Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Munich, Germany). A FACSCalibur Flow Cytometry System (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) was used for single-cell analysis.

**Scarification test.** MG-63 cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 2x10⁵ cells/well. Following culture for 24 h, wounds were created in the cell monolayer using a pipette tip. Dead cells were removed using 0.1 mM PBS. Cells were treated with MGF-E peptide at various concentrations (0, 10, 20, 50 and 100 ng/ml) and all the groups were treated with serum-free medium for 24 h. Images were captured at 0 and 24 h. The migration distance was using Photoshop version 3.0 (Abode Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

**Transwell chamber assay.** MG-63 cells were seeded at a density of 2x10⁵ cells/well into the top chamber of Transwell-COL co-culture systems (8.0 µm pore size; Costar, Corning, Shanghai, China) were starved in serum-free minimum essential medium (MEM) for 24 h. Cells were then treated with MGF-E peptide at various concentrations (0, 10, 20, 50 and 100 ng/ml) in 200 µl serum-free MEM (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). MEM (500 µl) containing 20% FBS was added to the bottom chamber. The Transwell plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The upper chamber was removed, and cells on the upper chamber surface of the basement membrane were removed using cotton swabs. Cells that had invaded the lower chamber surface of the basement membrane were stained with crystal violet (Solarbio). The number of migrated cells in the bottom chamber was quantified using an Inverse Fluorescent IX73 Microscope with a microublisher 5.0 RTV (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

**RNA isolation.** Total RNA was isolated from the conditioned cultured cells using a High Pure Viral RNA kit (Biotek Corporation, Beijing, China). The integrity of RNA was determined by electrophoresis at 100 V on a 1.5% agarose gel (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Beijing, China) with Goldview (Solarbio). RNA quality and quantity were determined using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

**Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).** Total RNA was isolated from the conditioned cultured Hos, MHos and MG-63 cells. Then the RNAs were transcribed to cDNA s using the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Cat#RR047A, Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Dalian, China). The expressions of genes associated with proliferation, migration and invasion were measured by qPCR with a StepOne Plus thermocycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). A cDNA template (2 µl) and 12.5 µl 2X SYBR Premix ExTaq II (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) were added, to obtain a final volume of 25 µl. The thermal cycles were performed at 95°C for 30 sec, 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 sec, and 60°C for 30 sec. The primer sequences were as follows: MGF, F 5'-GCCCCCATCTAACCACAGAGACAC-3' and R 5'-CGGTGACATGCCTTTCACT-3'; GADPH, F 5'-CTTCGACCCCAACTGCTT-3' and R 5'-GAGGGCCCATACAGCTTTCT-3'. Sequences of differentially expressed genes were obtained from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/index.html). Primers were designed by Primer 3.0 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu).

**Western blotting.** Cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) with protein inhibitor, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (CWBio, Beijing, China), to obtain a final concentration of 1 mM. Samples were maintained on ice for 30 min, then centrifuged at 14,000 x g for 3-5 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was collected. The concentrations of cyclin D1, CD147, matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) were detected using an Enhanced BCA Assay kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE in a 10% polyacrylamide gel and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Membranes were blocked in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 and 5% non-fat milk (Solarbio) for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were immunoblotted with mouse monoclonal anti-human MMP-9 (2C3) (Cat No. sc-21733), mouse monoclonal anti-human EMMRPR (F-5) (Cat. No. sc-37401I), mouse monoclonal anti-human cyclin D1 (HD11) (Cat. No. sc-246), mouse monoclonal anti-human VEGF (JH121) (Cat. No. sc-57496), mouse monoclonal anti-human caspase-3 (31A1067) (Cat. No. sc-56053), mouse monoclonal anti-human Actin (C-2) (Cat No. sc-8432) (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology,
Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) overnight at 4°C, and HRP-labeled Goat Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L)(Cat No.AB503-01A, Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China)for 2 h at RT. Immunoreactive proteins were visualized using BeyoECL Plus chemiluminescent detection (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) and the band intensity relative to actin was acquired using Quantity One software version 4.2 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA).

Figure 1. Quantitative analysis of (A) MGF and (B) GAPDH mRNA in Hos, MHos and MG-63 osteosarcoma cell lines using quantitative polymerase chain reaction. MHos cells and MG-63 cells expressed MGF, while Hos cells did not. Normalization in all cases was conducted using GAPDH. MGF, mechano-growth factor.

Figure 2. Proliferation of MG-63 cells in response to treatment with MGF-E peptide treatment at various concentrations (0, 10, 20, 50 and 100 ng/ml) for (A) 48 and (B) 24 h. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error (n=5 per concentration). *P<0.05 vs. control. MGF-E, mechano-growth factor E; OD, optical density.

Figure 3. Effects of MGF-E peptide on MG-63 cell cycle progression were analyzed. MG-63 cells were treated with MGF-E peptide at various concentrations (0, 10, 20, 50 and 100 ng/ml). Data are presented as the mean ± standard error (n=5 per concentration). *P<0.05 vs. control. MGF-E, mechano-growth factor E.
Figure 4. Effect of MGF-E peptide on MG-63 cell migration was analyzed by a wound-healing assay. MG-63 cells were seeded in 6-well plates for 24 h, then wounds were created. Concomitantly, MG-63 cells were treated with MGF-E peptide at various concentrations (0, 10, 20, 50 and 100 ng/ml). Cell migration was observed 24 h after wounding. (A) Photographs display cells that had migrated into the wounded area, x100 magnification. (B) The migration distance in each group was calculated as (width at 0 h) - (width at 24 h). *P<0.05 vs. control. MGF-E, mechano-growth factor E.

Figure 5. Effect of MGF-E peptide on the invasion of MG-63 cells. Invasion of MG-63 cells treated with MGF-E peptide at various concentrations (0, 10, 20, 50 and 100 ng/ml) were analyzed at 24 h following the addition of MGF-E. (A) Photographs display cells that had travelled through the micropore membrane, with crystal violet staining, and (B) the histogram demonstrates the number of migrant cells. *P<0.05 vs. control. MGF-E, mechano-growth factor E.
Statistical analysis. The results were analyzed using SPSS software, version 19.0 (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA). Student’s t-test was conducted, and the results are presented as the mean ± standard error. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Expression of MGF in the Hos, MHos and MG-63 cell lines. qPCR analysis demonstrated that MHos and MG-63 cells expressed MGF, while Hos cells did not (Fig. 1A). As presented in Fig. 1, MGF was differentially expressed in osteosarcoma cells. Normalization was conducted using GAPDH, and

Table I. Cell cycle phase and proliferation index of MG-63 cells exposed to MGF-E for 24 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>G₁/G₀</th>
<th>G₂/M + S</th>
<th>Proliferation index (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>81.605±2.934</td>
<td>18.395±2.934</td>
<td>18.395±2.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ng/ml</td>
<td>61.065±1.039*</td>
<td>38.960±1.131*</td>
<td>38.960±1.131*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 ng/ml</td>
<td>62.020±1.160*</td>
<td>38.005±1.181*</td>
<td>38.005±1.181*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ng/ml</td>
<td>62.140±0.905*</td>
<td>37.840±0.877*</td>
<td>37.840±0.877*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 ng/ml</td>
<td>56.895±1.138*</td>
<td>43.075±1.181*</td>
<td>43.075±1.181*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. *P≤0.05 compared with the control. MGF-E, mechano-growth factor E.
its expression is presented in Fig. 1B. Furthermore, MGF expression was higher in MG-63 cells than that in MHOs cells, indicating that the expression of MGF is associated with the degree of malignancy in osteosarcoma.

**Effect of the MGF-E peptide on the proliferation capacity of MG-63 cells.** Cell proliferation was evaluated in MG-63 cells treated with various concentrations of MGF-E peptide (10, 20, 50 or 100 ng/ml), as presented in Fig. 2. Following treatment for 48 h, significant increases in the number of MG-63 cells were observed at all concentrations of MGF-E ≥10 ng/ml (P<0.05 compared with 0 ng/ml; Fig. 2A). However, no effect on the proliferation of MG-63 cells was detected at 24 h (Fig. 2B). These results demonstrated that the MGF-E peptide may promote the proliferation of osteosarcoma cells.

**Cell cycle distribution and proliferation index of MG-63 cells in response to MGF-E peptide administration.** In order to confirm whether the proliferation of MG-63 cells in response to MGF-E peptide was due to arrest at a certain cell cycle phase, flow cytometry and PI staining were used to detect DNA content and the proportion of cells in different phases of the cell cycle. MGF-E significantly altered cell cycle distribution in the cells, resulting in increased accumulation of cells in the G2/M + S phases (from 18.395% in the control to 43.075% in the 100 ng/ml group; P≤0.05; Table I). Each concentration of MGF used in the present study exerted an effect on cell cycle progression, compared with the control group. Cell proliferation activity was also indicated by the proliferation index (Fig. 3). Following treatment with MGF-E, proliferation index increased significantly compared with the control (P<0.05). These results demonstrated that the pro-proliferation effect of the MGF-E peptide is mediated via an effect on cell cycle progression in MG-63 cells.

**Migration of MG-63 cells in response to the MGF-E peptide.** In order to investigate the function of MGF in osteosarcoma cells, the migration of MG-63 cells in response to treatment with various concentrations of the MGF-E peptide (0, 10, 20, 50 or 100 ng/ml) was observed. At 24 h after wounds were made, the migration distances of MG-63 cells were significantly increased in all MGF-E treatment groups compared with that in the control group (P<0.05; Fig. 4). Furthermore, with increasing concentrations of MGF-E, the effect was more marked. These results demonstrated that MGF-E effectively promoted the migration of MG-63 cells.

**Invasion of MG-63 in response to the MGF-E peptide.** Cell invasion was calculated from the number of cells observed to have passed through the 8-µm pore of the polycarbonate membrane coated with collagen, which separated the upper and lower chambers. Crystal violet staining demonstrated that the number of MG-63 cells that crossed the basement membrane of the Transwell system was significantly increased in response to treatment with MGF-E (P<0.05; Fig. 5). This effect occurred in a dose-dependent manner. This suggested that MGF is involved in inducing the invasion of MG-63 cells, and that this effect is associated with the concentration of the MGF-E peptide.

**Effects of MGF on the expression of key proteins in MG-63 cells.** Western blotting analysis demonstrated that the expression levels of cyclin D1, CD147, matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) were all significantly increased in response to treatment with the MGF-E peptide (20 and 50 ng/ml) compared with that in the control group (0 ng/ml). The expression of caspase 3 was significantly reduced in response to treatment with the MGF-E peptide (50 ng/ml) compared with that in the control group (0 ng/ml). These results suggested that increased MGF expression may upregulate cyclin D1, CD147 and MMP-9 expression, and suppress that of caspase 3. The effects of MGF-E on cell cycle distribution and proliferation in osteosarcoma cells may be due, at least in part, to the upregulation of cyclin D1 expression. Furthermore, MGF-E upregulated CD147 and MMP-9 expression, which
may be responsible for the increased migration and invasion following treatment with MGF-E.

Discussion

IGF-I is one of the most abundant proteins in bone and is involved in the process of bone formation (26). In addition, IGFs are associated with the development of cancer and are involved in events required for metastasis, including the promotion of cell transformation, angiogenesis, proliferation and infiltration, and the inhibition of apoptosis (27,28). MGF is an alternative splicing variant of IGF-I, which exerts similar effects to IGF-I in numerous respects, including satellite cell activation, aging and neuroprotection (29). However, there have been relatively few studies into the association between MGF expression and carcinogenesis.

Armakolas et al (16) initially demonstrated that the IGF-I gene transcript, MGF, was specifically expressed in PC-3 and LNCaP prostate cancer cells. However, under the same experimental conditions, HPrEC normal human prostate epithelial cells did not express MGF isoforms. Subsequently, Philippou et al (17) demonstrated that MGF was expressed in MG-63 osteosarcoma cells. The present study also indicated that MGF was specifically expressed in malignant MG-63 and MHos cells. This may be an indication that the expression of MGF is associated with the degree of malignancy of osteosarcoma cells.

It has been documented that a synthetic MGF-E peptide, which comprises the final 24 amino acids of the translation product of the E domain of MGF, can stimulate the proliferation of prostate and osteosarcoma cancer cells (16,17). The same proliferative effects of the MGF-E peptide were confirmed in the current study. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to interpret the effects of MGF on migration and invasion in cancer cells, in addition to examining the possible molecular mechanisms underlying its effects on the promotion of proliferation, migration and invasion.

The present results suggested that MGF significantly promotes the proliferation, migration and invasion of osteosarcoma cells. In addition, the promotion of proliferation by MGF was demonstrated to be a result of an increase in DNA synthesis and mitosis. During this process, the expression of cyclin D1 was increased after treatment with MGF-E for 48 h, which indicated that cell cycle arrest may be due to the upregulation of cyclin D1 expression. The invasion and metastasis-associated molecular pathways which MGF may affect were also investigated. A previous study indicated that MMP-9 expression is closely associated with tumor cell invasion and metastasis (30). However, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies demonstrating that CD147 and MMP-9 are regulated by MGF. Therefore, the present study sought to determine the effects of MGF-E on CD147 and MMP-9 expression in MG-63 cells. The expression levels of CD147 and MMP-9 were significantly higher following treatment with MGF-E than that in the control cells. This suggests that MGF may promote MG-63 cell invasion by increasing the expression of CD147 and MMP-9.

The effects of MGF in osteosarcoma are hypothesized to act via a number of possible molecular mechanisms (Fig. 7). The upregulation of MGF in the current study led to cell cycle arrest. Furthermore, cyclin D1 expression was increased in response to treatment with MGF. The results suggested that MGF may regulate progression through the cell cycle, by increasing the expression of cyclin D1, which is required for G1/S transition. CD147 and MMP-9 are involved in the invasion and metastasis of numerous types of human malignancy (31,32). The present study demonstrated that MGF may promote the expression of CD147 and MMP-9, and that this may underlie the promotion of cell migration and invasion by MGF. Furthermore, MGF influenced apoptosis and angiogenesis in osteosarcoma cells by regulating the expression of caspase-3 and VEGF.

The pathogenesis of cancer is a complicated process, in which different factors are involved at each stage. However, cancer cells share certain characteristics, including uncontrolled growth and the capacity to invade surrounding tissues or to metastasize to distant tissues. Therefore, the identification of universal biomarkers, independent of cancer-type is important.
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