Bortezomib and etoposide combinations exert synergistic effects on the human prostate cancer cell line PC-3
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Abstract. Novel treatment modalities are urgently required for androgen-independent prostate cancer. In order to develop an alternative treatment for prostate cancer, the cytotoxic effects of the 26S proteasome inhibitor bortezomib, either alone or in combination with the two commonly used chemotherapeutic agents irinotecan and etoposide, on the human prostate cancer cell line PC-3 were evaluated in the present study. The PC-3 cell line was maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% fetal bovine serum and treated with various doses of bortezomib, irinotecan, etoposide or their combinations. The growth inhibitory and cytotoxic effects were determined by water-soluble tetrazolium (WST)-1 assay, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay or iCELLigence system. The combination index values were determined by the Chou-Talalay method. The half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\textsubscript{50}) value of bortezomib on the PC-3 cell line was determined to be 53.4 nM by WST-1 assay, whereas the IC\textsubscript{50} values of irinotecan and etoposide were determined to be 2.1 and 26.5 µM, respectively. These results suggest that the 26S proteasome inhibitor bortezomib is more potent, compared with irinotecan and etoposide, in the androgen-insensitive and tumor protein p53-null cell line PC-3. The combined effects of bortezomib+irinotecan and bortezomib+etoposide were also tested on PC-3 cells. The effect of bortezomib+irinotecan combination was not significantly different than that produced by either monotherapy, according to the results of iCELLigence system and MTT assay. However, 40 nM bortezomib+5 µM etoposide or 40 nM bortezomib+20 µM etoposide combinations were observed to be more effective than each drug tested alone. The results of the current study suggest that bortezomib and etoposide combination may be additionally evaluated in clinical trials for the treatment of hormone-refractory prostate cancer.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most common type of cancer diagnosed in men, and the second cause of cancer-associated mortalities in the USA and Western Europe (1,2). By contrast, prostate adenocarcinoma rarely occurs in Japanese and Chinese men (1,2). According to the statistical data established from the demographic records in the USA, 1 out of 6 men is diagnosed with prostate carcinoma during his lifetime (3), and ~1/2 of these patients experience the final stage of malignancy despite certain local treatment modalities, including radiotherapy and radical prostatectomy (4,5). Currently, the suppression of androgenic hormones is the only effective systemic treatment approach during the advanced phase of prostate cancer (6,7). However, the general outcome of this approach is not essentially curative, although ~80% of the cases demonstrate objective or subjective responses to anti-androgenic therapy (6,7). As a result, the disease becomes insensitive to the suppression approach during its course, and is then defined as hormone-refractory prostate carcinoma (HRPC) (6,7). In the majority of cases that receive androgenic suppression treatment, HRPC develops in ~1-4 years (6,7), depending on various prognostic factors, and the mean survival rate has been reported to be 12-18 months (8). By contrast, a minimal favorable effect on the mean survival rate of these patients has been reported with docetaxel, a derivative agent resembling taxol, which may suggest the beginning of a novel era for the treatment of HRPC (9,10). In regards to the possibility of total cure, chemotherapy is far from clinical expectations (9,10). Thus, no current standardized treatment exists for HRPC, and ongoing research studies on this field are currently being conducted (9,10). The ubiquitin proteasome pathway may aid the investigation or discovery of novel targets for cancer treatment (11,12). Bortezomib is a highly specific and reversible inhibitor of the 26S proteasome that has been approved for the treatment of multiple myeloma (11,12). Although, bortezomib has demonstrated strong antitumor activity in clinical settings against hematological malignancies (11), the drug has not been approved for the treatment of solid tumors to date. Bortezomib was previously indicated to cause apoptosis and growth inhibition through tumor protein p53 (p53)-dependent and p53-independent mechanisms in a number of cells in vitro (13,14). In the present study, the effect of bortezomib on the androgen-independent and p53-deficient cell line PC-3, alone and in combination with chemotherapeutic agents

Correspondence to: Dr Azmi Yerlikaya, Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Dumlupınar University, Evliya Çelebi Yerleşkesi, Kütahya 43100, Turkey

E-mail: azmiyerlikaya@yahoo.com

Key words: bortezomib, cancer, etoposide, irinotecan, prostate
such as irinotecan (an inhibitor of topoisomerase I, a nuclear enzyme maintaining the DNA structure) and etoposide (a topoisomerase II inhibitor) were investigated. The combination of bortezomib with etoposide produced synergistic effects at several doses, and may be tested in clinical settings against prostate cancer as an alternative treatment in the future.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials.** Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) cell culture media, fetal bovine serum (FBS), trypsin, penicillin and streptomycin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO USA). Bortezomib was provided by Dr Engin Ulukaya (Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey), and PC-3 cells were provided by Dr Serap Kuruca Erdem (İstanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey). Water-soluble tetrazolium (WST)-1 cell proliferation reagent was purchased from Roche Diagnostics GmbH (Mannheim, Germany). All other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, unless otherwise stated.

**Cell culture and maintenance.** The human prostate cancer cell line used in the present study, PC-3, was cultured in DMEM containing 4.5 g/l glucose, 0.375% sodium bicarbonate, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 100 U/ml penicillin. The medium was supplemented with 10% FBS. Stock cultures were maintained in 25-cm² flasks (Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA). Cells were grown in 96-well cell culture plates (Corning Incorporated), and subcultured or seeded at ~70% confluence for subsequent experiments (13).

**WST-1 cell proliferation assay.** PC-3 prostate cancer cells were seeded at 1,000 cells/well in 96-well plates, and allowed to attach to the wells for 24 h. Cells were then treated with various concentrations of bortezomib (1 nM, 10 nM, 100 nM, 500 nM, 1 µM, 10 µM, 50 µM and 100 µM), etoposide (100 nM, 500 nM, 1 µM, 10 µM, 50 µM and 100 µM) and irinotecan (100 nM, 500 nM, 1 µM, 10 µM, 50 µM and 100 µM) for 24 h. Following treatment, the medium was replaced with DMEM containing 0.5% FBS and 10% WST-1. Cells were incubated with WST-1 for 1 h at 5% CO₂ in an incubator, and the absorbance of each sample was next recorded with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay reader (RT-21000; Rayto Life and Analytical Sciences Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China) using 450 and 630-nm filters as the reference filters.

**3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT)-based cytotoxicity assay.** A total of 50,000 PC-3 cells were seeded in each 35x10 mm plate. When cells were in the logarithmic phase of the growth curve (50 h of seeding), the cells were treated with bortezomib alone (10 nM or 40 nM), irinotecan alone (100 nM, 500 nM or 2 µM), etoposide alone (5 µM or 20 µM) or with a combination of the above drugs for 48 h. Following exposure to the inhibitor, cells were treated for 2 h with DMEM containing 0.5% FBS and 0.5 mg/ml MTT at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Following incubation, cells were lysed with 3% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS; 200 µl) plus 1 ml 40 mM HCl/isopropanol for 15 min. The homogenate was diluted 1:10 with the same solution used to lyse the cell (200 µl 3% SDS plus 1 ml 40 mM HCl/isopropanol), and the absorbance of each sample was recorded at 570 nm with a SmartSpec Plus spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) (13,15).

**iCELLigence system.** Following resistor plate verification, 150 µl cell culture medium (DMEM with 10% FBS) was added to each E-Plate L8 well (ACEA Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The E-Plates were then inserted into the RTCA iCELLigence instrument (ACEA Biosciences) for background measurement. Subsequently, 12,500 PC-3 cells were seeded in each E-Plate L8 well in a final volume of 500 µl. Following 24 h of seeding, cells were treated with bortezomib alone, irinotecan alone or in combination for approximately 96 h. The cell index, which is a measure of the relative change in electrical impedance to account for the cell status, was monitored every hour.

**DNA fragmentation.** A total of 200,000 PC-3 cells were seeded in 60x15-mm sterile petri dishes. Subsequently, cells in the logarithmic phase of the growth curve were treated with 10 nM bortezomib, 40 nM bortezomib, 5 µM etoposide, 20 µM etoposide or in combination (10 nM bortezomib+5 µM etoposide; 10 nM bortezomib+20 µM etoposide; 40 nM bortezomib+5 µM etoposide; and 40 nM bortezomib+20 µM etoposide) for 48 h. Control cells were treated with isotonic solution. Following treatment, cells were washed with 1 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 1.44 g Na₂HPO₄ and 0.24 g KH₂PO₄), and then resuspended in 200 µl PBS. DNA was then isolated using the Apoptotic DNA-Ladder kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Equal amounts of DNA (2 µg) from each sample were separated by 1.5% agarose (Vivantis Technologies Sdn. Bhd., Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia) gel electrophoresis at 80 V for 2 h. DNA was visualized by ethidium bromide staining under ultraviolet light, and the image was recorded using a Canon PowerShot G2 digital camera (Canon, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and RemoteCapture 2.2 software. GelQuant.NET software (biochemlabsolutions.com/GelQuant-NET.html) was used for quantification of images.

**Statistical analyses and combination index (CI) determination.** Data were analyzed and plotted with GraphPad Prism 3.03 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). To determine the IC₅₀ values of each inhibitor, a sigmoid-dose response curve was fitted to the data using nonlinear regression in GraphPad Prism 3.03 software. The statistical differences between the samples were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance, and the Bonferroni test was used for multiple comparisons. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The sample number (n) for each drug concentration varied between 2 and 6, and the results are presented as the mean ± standard error or deviation of the mean as stated in each figure legend. To analyze the effect of non-constant ratios of combinations of bortezomib and etoposide, CalcuSyn software version 1.0 (Biosoft, Ferguson, MO, USA) was used. The results of MTT assay were expressed as the fraction of cells treated with bortezomib or etoposide alone or in combination. The CI plot was then obtained, according to the Chou-Talalay method (16).
The combined effect of bortezomib and irinotecan on the PC-3 cell line was then examined with the iCELLigence system, an impedance-based system used for label-free and real-time monitoring of cytotoxicity. Based on the IC\textsubscript{50} values obtained, the cells were treated with 10 nM bortezomib, 100 nM irinotecan, 500 nM irinotecan or 2 µM irinotecan, alone or in combination. Contrary to what was expected, none of the above treatments caused significant cytotoxicity, according to the results of iCELLigence system analysis (Fig. 2). This phenomenon was hypothesized to be partly due to the enlargement of cell morphology following treatment with the aforementioned drugs as determined by visualization of E-plates under an inverted microscope (AE21; Motic Europe, Barcelona, Spain) (data not shown), which may consequently cause an increase in impedance despite the low cell number.

To confirm whether the bortezomib+irinotecan combination is more cytotoxic than the corresponding monotherapies, PC-3 cells were treated with the same concentrations used for of iCELLigence system, and tested by MTT assay. The iCELLigence data revealed that the doubling time of PC-3 prostate cancer cells under the experimental conditions used (cells were seeded at a density of 12,500 cells/E-Plate L8 well) was 44.8±3.8 h. Therefore, cells were treated for 48 h with the aforementioned concentrations of drugs. As indicated in Fig. 3, only 2 µM irinotecan induced significant cytotoxicity as compared to the control (P<0.05). However, 10 nM bortezomib was combined with three different concentrations of irinotecan (100 nM, 500 nM and 2 µM), and resulted in no significant enhancement of cytotoxicity compared with each treatment alone (Fig. 3), which is in agreement with the data obtained using the iCELLigence system.

The effects of two concentrations of bortezomib in combination with two concentrations of etoposide were also investigated by MTT assay. Cells were treated for 48 h with doses selected based on the aforementioned IC\textsubscript{50} data. As shown in Fig. 4A, the effect of 40 nM bortezomib in combination with 5 µM etoposide was significantly different to that of 10 nM bortezomib alone (P<0.001) and 5 µM etoposide alone (P<0.001). Similarly, the effects of combining 40 nM bortezomib with 20 µM etoposide differed significantly, compared with 40 nM bortezomib-alone treated cells (P<0.001) and 20 µM etoposide-alone treated cells (P<0.001). In addition, the effect of 40 nM bortezomib+20 µM etoposide combination was significantly different, compared with that of 40 nM bortezomib+5 µM etoposide treatment (P<0.05). Analysis of DNA fragmentation also corroborated the results of the MTT assay. As shown in Fig. 4B and C, the 40 nM bortezomib+5 µM etoposide combination resulted in a ~2.8-fold increase in DNA fragmentation (evaluated by the level of smearing), compared with 40 nM bortezomib-treated cells, and in a 1.8-fold increase, compared with 5 µM etoposide-treated cells. Similarly, the 40 nM bortezomib+20 µM etoposide combination increased DNA fragmentation by ~2.9 fold, compared with 40 nM bortezomib-treated cells, and by ~1.4 fold, compared with 20 µM etoposide-treated cells.

In order to determine whether the effect of the bortezomib- etoposide combination was synergistic or additive, the CI values were calculated according to the Chou-Talalay method, using the data presented in Fig. 4A. The findings

**Results**

The growth of early prostate cancer cells requires 5α-dihydrotestosterone; therefore, these cells are described as androgen-dependent (1). Since prostate cancer cells require androgen for growth, patients with prostate cancer are usually treated with hormonal intervention (1). However, following a period of remission, the prostate cancer recurs, and these cancer cells then become androgen-independent (1). In order to develop novel treatment strategies for prostate cancer, the present study tested the effect of the highly specific 26S proteasome inhibitor bortezomib, either alone or in combination with irinotecan or etoposide, on PC-3 prostate cancer cells. As represented in Fig. 1A, PC-3 prostate cancer cells were observed to be highly sensitive to bortezomib following 24 h of treatment with this drug, whose IC\textsubscript{50} value was determined to be 53.9 nM. The effects of irinotecan and etoposide on PC-3 cells were also examined following a period of 24-h incubation. The IC\textsubscript{50} values of irinotecan and etoposide were calculated to be 2.1 and 26.5 µM, respectively (Fig. 1B).
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indicated that treatment with the 40 nM bortezomib+5 μM etoposide combination resulted in a CI value of 0.46, and 40 nM bortezomib+20 μM etoposide combination resulted in a CI value of 0.39, indicating that these combinations cause synergistic effects on PC-3 cells.

Discussion

Bortezomib, the first proteasome inhibitor approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of multiple myeloma, induces apoptotic cell death in androgen-dependent and androgen-independent prostate cancer cell lines (17). In order to develop an alternative and novel treatment strategy for androgen-independent prostate cancer, the PC-3 cell line was treated with bortezomib, alone and in combination with irinotecan or etoposide, in the present study. The IC$_{50}$ value of bortezomib in PC-3 cells was determined to be 53.9 nM following 24 h of treatment, which indicates that bortezomib is highly cytotoxic in this prostate cancer cell line. This result is in agreement with a number of previous studies performed on prostate cancer cells. For instance, Kiliccioglu et al (18) determined the IC$_{50}$ value of bortezomib to be 30 nM, following 24-h treatment in LNCaP cells (bearing wild-type p53), and 50 nM in PC-3 cells (which are p53-deficient). By contrast, Williams et al (19) indicated that the IC$_{50}$ value of bortezomib was ~10 nM following 48 h of treatment in PC-3 cells. In another study, Sato et al (20) estimated the IC$_{50}$ value of bortezomib in the PC-3 cell line to be 23 nM. Overall, these results suggest that prostate cancer cells are highly sensitive to bortezomib and that bortezomib is effective in p53-wild-type or p53-deficient prostate cancer cells.

In the present study, the IC$_{50}$ values of etoposide (26.5 μM) and irinotecan (2.1 μM) in PC-3 cells were determined, and the effects of these drugs in combination with bortezomib were subsequently tested. The effect of irinotecan in combination with bortezomib was tested in the iCELLigence system; however, no significant cytotoxicity was observed in this real-time cell analysis system for ≤ 112 h of incubation. To the best of our knowledge, the combination of bortezomib+irinotecan has not
been previously tested on prostate cancer cells. In one of the rare studies performed on other types of cancer, Ocean et al. (21) investigated the effect of bortezomib + irinotecan combination in patients with adenocarcinoma of gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) or stomach. However, bortezomib alone or in combination with irinotecan was not effective for the treatment of advanced adenocarcinoma of the GEJ or stomach (21). Similarly, in advanced colorectal carcinoma (CRC), bortezomib alone or in combination with irinotecan was ineffective in patients with relapsed or refractory CRC (22).
The present study also examined the effect of the bortezomib+etoposide combination on PC-3 cells. Different doses of bortezomib (10 nM and 40 nM) were tested, either alone or in combination with various doses of etoposide (5 µM and 20 µM). Bortezomib at a dose close to its IC_{50} value was observed to be more cytotoxic on PC-3 cells when in combination with 5 µM or 20 µM etoposide. To the best of our knowledge, this bortezomib+etoposide combination has not been previously investigated on prostate cancer cells. However, the combination of bortezomib plus etoposide has been studied in children with relapsed, refractory or secondary acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (23). In those studies, bortezomib was reported to be tolerable in combination with chemotherapy regimens for relapsed pediatric AML, but the regimens (1.3 mg/m² bortezomib and 100 mg/m² etoposide) did not exceed the preset minimum response criteria to allow continued accrual (23). The dose of bortezomib combined with other chemotherapy drugs is hypothesized to be critical for obtaining effective treatment modalities (12), and the present results imply that doses close to the IC_{50} values of each inhibitor (bortezomib and etoposide) are crucial for exerting synergistic effects. Although both irinotecan and etoposide interfere with DNA replication through destabilizing DNA structure and causing DNA strand breaks (24,25), only etoposide was observed to cause synergistic effects when in combination with 40 nM bortezomib in the present study. Since various doses of irinotecan in combination with 40 nM bortezomib were not tested in the present study, it is possible to hypothesize that irinotecan may also exert synergistic effects when in combination with 40 nM bortezomib. The present authors are currently designing experiments to confirm the present results and to determine the combined effect of irinotecan+bortezomib.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest that targeting various intracellular pathways with two structurally and functionally different inhibitors (bortezomib, which inhibits the proteasome, and etoposide, which blocks the DNA topoisomerase activity) generated a more potent effect, compared with monotherapies. Therefore, the use of bortezomib, either alone or in combination with etoposide, requires additional studies in a clinical setting, and may be an alternative strategy for the treatment of androgen-independent prostate cancer.
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