Emodin mitigates the oxidative stress induced by cisplatin in osteosarcoma MG63 cells
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Abstract. Previously, the application of cisplatin in chemotherapy was limited due to the significant side effects on normal cell growth. In the present study, the concomitant application of emodin with cisplatin was demonstrated to ameliorate cisplatin-induced oxidative stress and markedly suppress tumor cell proliferation for the first time. Human osteosarcoma MG-63 cells were treated with cisplatin alone or in combination with emodin. The cell viability was determined by MTS assays and the augmentation of reactive oxygen species were determined by fluorogenic probes; in addition, a stable MG-63 subline bearing antioxidant response element (ARE)-driven luciferase expression was developed to monitor the activation of the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2)-ARE signaling pathway. The results indicated that cisplatin or emodin may inhibit MG-63 cell proliferation in a time- or dose-dependent manner, respectively. Concomitant treatment with cisplatin and emodin demonstrated synergic anti-tumor effects. Cisplatin augmented reactive oxygen species in the MG-63 cells, followed by the translocation of Nrf2 from the cytoplasm into the nucleus, which triggered ARE-driven luciferase expression. The addition of emodin diminished the previously described phenomenon, resulting in decreased ROS augmentation, translocation of Nrf2 and ARE-driven luciferase activity. In conclusion, emodin could ameliorate cisplatin-induced oxidative stress and protect the cells from oxidative stress-induced damage. The findings of the present study provide a novel strategy for the treatment of osteosarcoma using emodin and cisplatin.
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Introduction

Cisplatin is a chemotherapy drug that is widely used to treat various cancers, including bladder (1), ovarian (2), breast (3), and pancreatic cancers (4), and osteosarcoma (5). Cisplatin is a highly reactive compound that interferes with cell division by mitosis and cross-linking DNA (6). When the DNA repair mechanism fails to restore the integrity of genomic DNA, the apoptosis pathway is activated in turn (7). The presence of DNA damage-mediated cell death elucidates the high toxicity of cisplatin for fast-dividing cells. Nevertheless, cisplatin also demonstrates multiple side effects on normal tissues and non-dividing cells, including kidney, ear and sensory nerves (8-10), which suggests that the mechanism of cisplatin-induced DNA damage may not explain the entire story and that the underlying pathways remain poorly defined. Previous research demonstrates that cisplatin accumulates in the mitochondria, damaging mitochondrial DNA and proteins (11), and leads to the increase of an oxidative stress reaction in normal cells (12,13), suggesting that oxidative stress is involved in the pathogenesis of cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity. Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) is pivotal for modulating cellular redox homeostasis and aberrant Nrf2 expression is closely associated with tumor cell resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs (14).

Numerous endogenous antioxidants, including superoxide and dismutase catalase, are reported to block oxidative stress (15,16), with each possessing the capability of scavenging reaction oxygen species (ROS). Deficiency of these enzymes may worsen the oxidative stress-induced cell damage. Worldwide, studies are devoted to identifying exogenous antioxidants that ameliorate oxidative stress conditions. For example, curcumin, isolated from the rhizome of Curcuma longa, demonstrates antioxidant abilities in vivo (17). Emodin, another natural anthraquinone, demonstrates protective or ameliorative effects against inflammation or oxidative stress-associated diseases (18,19). The aim of the present study is to assess the ability of emodin to alleviate the oxidative stress induced by cisplatin, and the results may elucidate the benefits of combined chemotherapy using emodin and cisplatin.
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Materials and methods

Drugs and reagents. Cisplatin was supplied by Qilu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Jinan, Shandong, China) and was prepared in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) prior to use. Emodin (catalog no., A7687) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide. Unless specified, all reagents for cell culture were either Gibco or Invitrogen products obtained from (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA USA). Mouse anti-human monoclonal Nrf2 (catalog no., sc-36949) and β-actin (catalog no., sc-1616) primary antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA). ONEGlo reagent (catalog no., E6110) for the detection of firefly luciferase activity was purchased from Promega Corporation (Madison, WI, USA).

Cell line and culture conditions. Human osteosarcoma MG-63 cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in Eagle's minimal essential medium complete medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum.

Cell proliferation assay using MTS. The cell proliferation assay was conducted using a colorimetric method with an MTS assay kit (CellTiter 96 Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay; Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). In brief, the MG-63 cells in the logarithmic phase of growth were seeded into a 96-well plate at a density of 1x10⁴ cells per well, 24 h prior to treatment. The cells were then treated with cisplatin (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2 µM) alone or in combination with emodin (5, 10, 20, 40, 80 and 100 µM) at the indicated concentration for 24, 36 and 48 h, respectively. The production of formazan was read at 490 nm using Flexstation 3 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The intensity of the color produced was proportional to the number of living cells.

Detection of intracellular reactive oxygen species. The ROS in live cells were determined using a fluorogenic probe (CellROX® Oxidative Stress Reagents; catalog no., C1042; Molecular Probes Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The assay procedure was performed as follows. Briefly, the MG-63 cells were plated in a 6-cm plate and treated with cisplatin (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2 µM) alone or in combination with emodin (5, 10, 20, 40, 80 and 100 µM) at the indicated concentration. The cells were then stained with 5 µM CellROX® Deep Red Reagent, by adding the complete probe medium containing cisplatin (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2 µM) alone or cisplatin plus emodin (5, 10, 20, 40, 80 and 100 µM) at the indicated concentration for 24 h. Firefly luciferase activity was determined by ONEGlo reagent (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA).

Statistical analysis. The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (version 6.0; GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The results were expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Comparisons between groups were performed using one-way analysis of variance. Student's unpaired t-test was used and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Combination of cisplatin with emodin enhanced the inhibitory effects on MG-63 cell proliferation. The effects of cisplatin or emodin on human osteosarcoma MG-63 cell growth were studied. First, the IC₅₀ of a single treatment with cisplatin or emodin was determined by incubating the cells with a series of drug concentrations and then measuring the cell survival rate at 6 days post-treatment (Fig. 1A and B). Then, the time course of single cisplatin or emodin, as well as the combination of
these two drugs, was assessed using a single dose (Fig. 1C). The data demonstrated that cisplatin and emodin could efficiently suppress the proliferation of MG-63 cells in a dose-dependent manner. The administration of a single dose of 0.2 µM cisplatin or 10 µM emodin inhibited MG-63 cell growth time-dependently; and using a combination of cisplatin with emodin strengthened the inhibitory effects on MG-63 growth, demonstrating synergistic function.

Emodin attenuated cisplatin-induced oxidative stress in MG-63 cells. ROS was important in the pathogenesis of cisplatin-induced cell damage. The data demonstrated that the intracellular ROS level was significantly increased subsequent to the treatment of the cells with 0.1 µM cisplatin for 24 h (P<0.01; Fig. 2). However, ROS accumulation was suppressed when the cells were simultaneously treated with 0.1 µM cisplatin and 5 µM emodin, when compared with the single treatment of cisplatin (P<0.01; Fig. 2). These results suggested that emodin prevents cisplatin-induced ROS accumulation in MG-63 cells.

**Figure 1.** Inhibitory effects of cisplatin or emodin on MG-63 cells. MG-63 cells were incubated with cisplatin or emodin at the indicated concentrations. Fig. 1 demonstrates that the growth of MG-63 cells was suppressed by (A) emodin and (B) cisplatin in dose-dependent manner. (C) MG-63 proliferation was inhibited time-dependently by cisplatin (black triangle), emodin (empty square), or combination treatment (diamonds). Cell survival was expressed relative to untreated control cells and the data were expressed as the mean values with the standard deviation from three independent experiments each performed in triplicate.

**Figure 2.** Effects of cisplatin and emodin for accumulating or reducing ROS in MG-63 cells. (A) The accumulation of ROS in live cells was detected using the CellROX® Red reagent fluorogenic probe, which released bright red photostable fluorescence upon oxidation by reactive oxygen species, and the accumulation was measured by flow cytometry. Cells were co-stained with Annexin V and analyzed by flow cytometry. (B) Statistical analysis for the fluorescence. **P<0.01 represents the signal intensity in the cisplatin group compared to the control group; ***P<0.01 represents the signal intensity in the cisplatin + emodin group compared to the cisplatin group. ROS, reactive oxygen species.

**Figure 3.** Effect of cisplatin or emodin on the translocation of Nrf2 from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. (A) MG-63 cells were treated with single reagent of cisplatin or in combination with emodin and the nuclear fraction was isolated and analyzed for Nrf2 expression using western blot analysis. Lane 1, Untreated MG-63 parental cells; Lane 2, MG-63 cells treated with cisplatin; Lane 3, MG-63 cells treated with cisplatin and emodin. (B) Nrf2 expression was also analyzed and compared. Column 1, MG-63/ARE-Luciferase reporter cell line; Column 2, Cisplatin-induced MG-63/ARE-luciferase reporter cells; Column 3, Cisplatin-emodin treated MG-63/ARE-luciferase reporter cells. Nrf2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; ARE, antioxidant response element; RLU, relative light unit. ***P<0.001 for RLU of column 2 vs. column 1 and for column 2 vs column 3.
An ARE-driven firefly luciferase reporter MG-63 stable subline was developed, as previously reported (20). Nrf2 may be retained in cytoplasm under normal conditions, but may be translocated into the nucleus when the cells are switched to oxidative stress conditions. The nuclear fraction of the cells was isolated and analyzed using western blot analysis (Fig. 3A). The data demonstrated that Nrf2 expression in the nucleus was dramatically improved by treatment with cisplatin, while emodin mildly blocked the cisplatin-induced translocation of Nrf2 (P<0.001; Fig. 3B). To investigate whether the Nrf2/ARE pathway was fully activated, the ARE/luciferase reporter MG-63 cells were tested with single cisplatin treatment or in combination with emodin. The results demonstrated that cisplatin triggered the cascade of Nrf2-ARE, resulting in the robust elevation of luciferase activity. Emodin counteracted the function of cisplatin to a certain extent. These data indicated that emodin mitigated cisplatin-induced cell damage by blocking the translocation of Nrf2 into the nucleus.

**Discussion**

The present study investigated the function of emodin in attenuating cisplatin-induced oxidative stress in human osteosarcoma MG-63 cells. The findings demonstrated that the combined application of emodin and cisplatin inhibited the malignant properties of MG-63 cells, further suppressing cellular proliferation when compared with a single treatment with cisplatin. In addition, the oxidative stress pathway, which was activated by cisplatin treatment, was reversed by the addition of emodin, demonstrating the clinical relevance of using emodin and cisplatin simultaneously for novel therapeutic strategies for the treatment of osteosarcoma. Emodin plus cisplatin inhibits tumor cell growth and ameliorates cisplatin-induced oxidative stress.

As a natural bioactive anthraquinone, emodin has previously been used therapeutically to treat gastroenteric and liver diseases (21). Emodin is widely used for multiple effects, such as antiviral and hepatoprotective activities (22,23); however, it is also used therapeutically to treat gastroenteric and liver diseases (21). Furthermore, in the current studies focus more on the anti-tumor activity of emodin.

ROS have been demonstrated to suppress the proliferation of malignant cells and even induce cellular apoptosis (6). Cisplatin may induce the ROS response and alter the redox status in malignant and normal cells, which may limit the clinical applications of cisplatin (25). The present study also demonstrated the augmentation of ROS in cisplatin-treated MG-63 cells, as previously reported in another type of cell model (19). Waly et al demonstrated that emodin protected normal cells from cisplatin-induced oxidative stress (26). The findings of the present study were in accordance with the outcomes of the study by Waly et al. Furthermore, in the present study, the concomitant application of emodin with cisplatin exerted synergistic effects on osteosarcoma cell proliferation, although emodin counteracted the oxidative stress conditions induced by cisplatin.

In addition to the experiments involving the blocking of cell proliferation, the present study also investigated how emodin manipulated the oxidative stress signaling pathway. Previously, Nrf2 regulated the expression of multiple oxidative stress-associated genes (27), and upon stimulation with certain inducers, Nrf2 was translocated into the nucleus, where Nrf2 activated the ARE-driven antioxidant and detoxification genes. This classical oxidative stress signaling pathway was hypothesized to be modulated by certain anticancer drugs. In the present study, cisplatin treatment was found to carry Nrf2 from the cytoplasm into the nucleus and trigger the ARE-driven luciferase expression, while concomitant treatment with emodin diminished the translocation of Nrf2.

The molecular mechanism behind the present findings remains undefined in detail, but the present study indicates that the combined application of emodin with cisplatin may be a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of osteosarcoma.
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