Functional redundancy of the Notch pathway in ovarian cancer cell lines
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Abstract. Epithelial ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynecologic malignancy, despite advances in treatment. The most common histological type, high-grade ovarian serous carcinoma (OSC) is usually diagnosed at an advanced stage, and although these types of tumors frequently respond to surgery and platinum-based chemotherapy, they usually recur. Ovarian clear cell carcinoma (OCCC) is an unusual histological type, which is known to be intrinsically chemoresistant and is associated with poor prognosis in advanced stages. In recent years, genetic alterations and epigenetic modulation of signaling pathways have been reported in OSC and OCCC, including the overexpression of Notch pathway elements and histone deacetylases. Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACis), including vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid), alter the transcription of genes involved in cell growth, survival and apoptosis, and have become an attractive therapeutic approach. However, no previous work has addressed the effect of HDACis, and in particular vorinostat, on Notch signaling in ovarian cancer. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the modulation of the Notch pathway by vorinostat in ovarian cancer. Using immunofluorescence and quantitative polymerase chain reaction, the present results revealed that vorinostat activated the Notch pathway in OCCC and OSC cell lines, through different Notch ligands. In OCCC, the activation of the Notch pathway appeared to occur through Delta-like (Dll) ligands 1, 2 and 3, whereas in OSC Dll1 and Jagged 1 and 2 ligands were involved. The activation of the Notch pathway by vorinostat, in OCCC and OSC cell lines, culminated in the increased expression of the same downstream transcription factors, hairy enhancer of split (Hes) 1 and 5, and Hes-related proteins 1 and 2. In conclusion, vorinostat modulates the expression of several downstream targets of the Notch pathway and independent Notch receptors and ligands that are expressed in OSC and OCCC. This upregulation of the Notch pathway may explain why vorinostat therapy fails in ovarian carcinoma treatment, as shown in certain clinical trials.

Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is the most lethal and the seventh most common gynecologic malignancy in women worldwide (1,2). EOC represents 90% of ovarian malignant tumors (3) and is an extremely heterogeneous group of neoplasms that exhibit a wide range of tumor morphology, clinical manifestations and underlying genetic alterations (4). The most common histological type, high-grade ovarian serous carcinoma (OSC), is characterized by tumor protein p53 mutations and breast cancer 1 and 2 dysfunction (5). This type of tumor is aggressive and usually diagnosed at an advanced stage, and although OSC frequently responds to surgery and platinum-based chemotherapy, it usually recurs (6). Ovarian clear cell carcinoma (OCCC) is a more unusual histotype of EOC, which is known to be intrinsically chemoresistant and is associated with poor prognosis in advanced stages (6). Molecular alterations of OCCC are not well known, presenting a challenge to treat this type of tumor. However, OCCC is characterized by a unique histology, de novo expression of hepatocyte nuclear factor-\(\beta\) transcription factor and somatic mutations of AT-rich interaction domain 1A gene, and loss of expression (7,8). In previous years, other genetic alterations and epigenetic modulation of signaling pathways have been reported in OSC and OCCC (9), including the overexpression of Notch pathway elements and histone deacetylases (HDAC) (10). The Notch pathway has multiple roles in cell fate determination, since it regulates cell proliferation, differentiation, survival and apoptosis (11,12). This signaling pathway is deregulated in human hematological malignancies and solid tumors (13,14), and it is also implicated in angiogenesis (15,16). Notch signaling is a juxtacrine pathway composed by Notch receptors (Notch1-4) and two classes of ligands, Delta-like (Dll) 1, 3 and 4 and serrate-like Jagged 1 and 2 (17-20).

Notch signaling is initiated by the binding of Delta/Jagged ligands to Notch receptors. Through several proteolytic
cleavages, the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) is released and activates the transcription of target genes, hairy enhancer of split (Hes) family proteins, Hes-related proteins (Hey) (21) as well as cell cycle regulators, including p21<sup>p16<sup>ink4a</sup></sup> (11), cyclin D1 and 3 (22), c-myc (23) and human epidermal growth factor 2 (24).

Epigenetic alterations are also involved in the repression of tumor suppressor genes and promotion of tumorigenesis in ovarian cancers, and HDAC inhibitor (HDACi) drugs are an attractive therapeutic approach (25). HDACis inhibit cancer cell growth in vitro and in vivo, revert oncogene-transformed cell morphology, induce apoptosis and enhance cell differentiation (26). Vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid) is a HDACi (27) that was FDA approved in 2006 for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, and it has demonstrated interesting results in in vitro models of ovarian cancer (28). However, to the best of our knowledge, there has been no previous study addressing the effect of HDACi, and in particular of vorinostat, on Notch signaling in ovarian cancer. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the modulation of the Notch pathway by vorinostat in ovarian cancer cell lines.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and cell culture conditions. OCCC ES2 (CRL-1978) and OSC OVCA3 (HTB-161) cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). The cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in McCoy’s 5A Modified Medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (AA) (Invitrogen™; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The cells were cultured to 80-100% confluence prior to use. For the various assays, cell number was determined using a Bürker counting chamber. Vorinostat (catalog no., CAS 149647-78-9; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was used at 5 µM to treat cells.

Immunofluorescence. Cells were cultured on glass slides with a 0.2% gelatin coating in McCoy’s 5A Modified Medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% AA until 80% confluence, and were then fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at 4°C. Blocking was performed with 0.2% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA; catalog no., A9647; Sigma-Aldrich) in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight [dilution, 1:100 in 0.2% (w/v) BSA in 1X PBS]. The primary antibodies were as follows: Rabbit polyclonal anti-human Notch1 extracellular (catalog no., ABS90; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), rabbit monoclonal anti-Notch1 cleaved (catalog no., 4147; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti-Notch2 cleaved (catalog no., 07-1234; EMD Millipore) and rabbit polyclonal anti-Notch4 (catalog no., N5163; Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> 488 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (catalog no., A-11008; Invitrogen™, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 2 h at room temperature. The slides were mounted in VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (catalog no., H-1200; Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) and examined by standard fluorescence microscopy using an Axio Imager microscope (Zeiss GmbH, Jena, Germany). Images were acquired with AxioVision software (version 4.5; Zeiss GmbH) and processed with ImageJ software (version 1.44p; imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). Total RNA was isolated from cells cultured in complete McCoy’s with or without (control conditions) vorinostat, using RNeasy Mini Extraction kit (catalog no., 74104, Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA synthesis was performed with 1 µg total RNA, using random hexamers (catalog no., 11034731001; Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and SuperScript II™ (200 U; Invitrogen™, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The PCR amplification conditions were as follows: 95°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. Dissociation curve conditions were as follows: 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 15 sec. qPCR was performed using an ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems®; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (catalog no., 437659; Applied Biosystems®, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The primers sequences used were as follows: Notch1, TGCGCGAAGTGTGAAGCGG (forward) and GTGCGGAGACGGGTGGG (reverse); Notch2, CCA TATGCTCTACCCGGGATAC (forward) and GTCTCACAT TTGTCCCTCTGTG (reverse); Notch3, CTGGAAGAGGAG ATGCAATTG (forward) and GTGCCCAGTTGCACTAC (reverse); Notch4, CCACCTTTACCACTCCTGCCT (forward) and ACCTCAAGCTTGCCCTAT (reverse); DI1, ATGCCTTCCGCCCACCTAC (forward) and CACATCCAG GCAGGACAGAT (reverse); DI3, GAACCGTGTGCCA AAGG (forward) and GTAGGCGAGTAGGGTGCT (reverse); DI4, GTG GTGCTGACACTGTTTATGG (forward) and TGACAGATGACC CGTGAAG (forward); Jagged1, CCAGGTCTCCATCT CGTCTG (reverse) and TCT TCTCCATTCCCTGCT (reverse); Jagged2, GTCGTG CATCCTCTCCAGGTT (forward) and CTCATTGGG GTGATTCTG (reverse); Hey1, GAATGTCGGGCTTATCTG AG (forward) and GTTGAAGATGCCAACCAGT (reverse); Hey2, TCAGCTCTCCAC AATTCAG (forward) and TGA AATCGCATGGGCAAACG (reverse); Hey3, CGAAGGGTGC TTCACCTGCT (forward) and ACAGACCGGGGATA CAAA (reverse); Hey5, GAGAGAAACC CGACTGGGAAAG (forward) and GACAGGCTCCTCAGGATGCT (reverse); Hey6, GAAAGTGGCGGGCATGAGG (forward) and CGA GAGATGGTCAGGAC (forward). All samples were run in triplicate. Data were analyzed in SDS 2.4.1 software (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and the relative expression of each gene was quantified by comparative quantification cycle (Cq) method (ΔΔCt) (29) using hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase gene (HPRT) as an endogenous reference gene.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test with GraphPad Prism software (version 5.03; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.
Results

Effects of vorinostat treatment on Notch signaling in ovarian cancer cells. In order to investigate the effect of HDACi vorinostat on the Notch signaling pathway, OCCC ES2 and OSC OVCAR3 cell lines were exposed to vorinostat for various periods of time. The results demonstrated that vorinostat exposed OCCC ES2 cells express increased levels of Notch2 (P<0.010) and Notch4 (P<0.001) mRNAs, whereas Notch1 expression remained unchanged (Fig. 1A). Increased levels of Notch1 NICD in control and vorinostat exposed cells was observed using immunofluorescence. In Fig. 1B, immunofluorescence revealed that vorinostat exposure increased Notch2 and 4 protein expression but not extracellular Notch1. Concerning Notch ligands in ES2 cells, vorinostat induced an increased expression ofDll1, Dll3 and Dll4 (P<0.010), whereas Jagged1 and 2 levels remained the same compared with cells without vorinostat treatment (Fig. 1C). RT-qPCR revealed that Hes1, Hes5, Hey1 and Hey2 mRNA expression levels in cells treated with vorinostat were increased compared with cells without vorinostat treatment. Hes6 had a similar expression under all conditions. RT-qPCR was normalized to the hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase gene. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of triplicate experiments. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P≤0.001 vs. control cells. Dll, Delta-like; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction; Hes, hairy enhancer of split; Hey, Hes-related proteins.

Figure 1. Vorinostat increases the mRNA expression of Notch receptors, Dll/Jagged ligands and Hey/Hes downstream target genes. Ovarian clear cell carcinoma ES2 cells were grown in the absence and presence of vorinostat (5 µM) for 6, 18 and 30 h, following starvation, with medium supplemented with 1% fetal bovine serum. (A) Notch receptor expression levels in ES2 cells. RT-qPCR revealed that Notch2, Notch3 and Notch4 mRNA expression was increased following vorinostat exposure, whereas Notch1 was not differentially expressed. (B) Representative staining of Notch receptors by immunofluorescence. It was observed that there was an increase of Notch2 and Notch4 protein following exposure to vorinostat (magnification, x200). Nuclei are stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (blue) and Notch receptors with fluorescein isothiocyanate (green). (C) Notch ligand expression levels in ES2 cells. RT-qPCR indicated that Dll1, Dll3, Dll4 mRNA expression levels in cells treated with vorinostat were increased compared with cells without vorinostat treatment (magnification, x200). Jagged1 and Jagged2 mRNA expression levels were not significantly different. (D) Notch downstream target gene expression levels. RT-qPCR revealed that Hes1, Hes5, Hey1 and Hey2 mRNA expression levels in cells treated with vorinostat were increased compared with cells without vorinostat treatment. Hes6 had a similar expression under all conditions. RT-qPCR was normalized to the hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase gene. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of triplicate experiments. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P≤0.001 vs. control cells. Dll, Delta-like; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction; Hes, hairy enhancer of split; Hey, Hes-related proteins.
Jagged2 (P<0.001) mRNA levels in OVCAR3 cells exposed to vorinostat (Fig. 2C), whereas Dll3 and 4 mRNA expressions were not increased. Regarding Notch downstream targets, it was observed that vorinostat statistically increased Hey1, Hey2 (P<0.001), Hes1 (P<0.050) and Hes5 (P<0.001), mRNA levels compared with control cells (Fig. 2D); however, Hes6 levels were not increased.

Overall, the present results reveal that vorinostat activated the Notch pathway in OCCC and OSC cell lines; however, this activation was through a different expression panel of Notch ligands in the two cell lines. In OCCC, the activation of Notch pathway appears to occur through Dll1, Dll2 and Dll3, whereas in OSC Dll1 and Jagged 1 and 2 ligand families appear to be involved. Nevertheless, the present results revealed that the activation of Notch pathway by vorinostat, in OCCC and OSC cell lines, culminated in the increased expression of the same downstream targets, Hey1, Hey2, Hes1 and Hes5.

Discussion

The Notch signaling pathway is an important cell signaling system that is activated in various types of cancer, including ovarian carcinoma (5,30,31). Notch1 overexpression has been demonstrated in several studies to promote ovarian cancer cell proliferation (32-34), and this is primarily associated with increased levels of Notch1 NICD (33). In the literature,
Notch3 has also been demonstrated to be active in certain ovarian cancer cell lines and its overexpression was described in 20% of OSC (35,36). In addition, Notch3 was revealed to increase following tumor chemotherapy, and its overexpression is associated with tumor aggressiveness and poor prognosis of patients (36).

The present study aimed to investigate the effect of vorinostat, an HDAC inhibitor, on the Notch signaling pathway in ovarian cancer cells. For this purpose, the present study used cell lines from two different histological types of EOC. OSC, the most prevalent and aggressive EOC type; and OCCC, which is a rare type of EOC that is highly resistant to chemotherapy (37).

No significant alteration in Notch1 mRNA levels following vorinostat exposure was identified in the two different ovarian cancer cell lines; however, high levels of cleaved Notch1 were detected in OCCC cell line (ES2). In addition, Notch3 was demonstrated to be upregulated following vorinostat exposure in the two cell lines. Furthermore, the present study revealed that vorinostat activates the Notch pathway through specific Notch ligands in OCCC and OSC cell lines; Dll1, 3 and 4 are activated in OCCC, while Dll1 and Jagged1 and 2 are activated in OSC. The present results are supported by a previous studies that demonstrated altered Notch signaling in OSC, due to increased expression of Jagged1 and 2 ligands (5,38).

The Hes and Hey gene families are the best characterized canonical Notch target genes, and the activation of Notch signaling upregulates their transcription (39); therefore, Hes and Hey mRNA expression may be considered as markers for Notch activation. The present study demonstrated that Hes and Hey Notch target genes are overexpressed in EOC cells following exposure to vorinostat. The present data reveals that vorinostat induces the overexpression of Hes and Hey Notch target genes in EOC cells, due to Notch signaling activation. In addition, the redundancy of Notch, Delta and Jagged elements expressed in EOC cell lines was shown (Fig. 3). Hence, no matter which panel of receptors or ligands is expressed on the cell membrane, the downstream target genes are always expressed in the presence of vorinostat. This upregulation can aid our understanding of the mechanism underlying the failure of vorinostat therapy in ovarian carcinoma.

In conclusion, the present findings illustrate the redundancy of Notch pathway in ovarian cancer, and suggest that disruption of histone acetylation may not be a useful therapeutic strategy in these carcinomas.

Figure 3. Schematic representation of the Notch pathway in ovarian (A) clear cell carcinoma ES2 and (B) serous carcinoma OVCAR3 cells following exposure to vorinostat. NICD, Notch intracellular domain; CSL, Suppressor of Hairless; CoR, corepressor; CoA, coactivator; MAML, mastermind-like proteins; Hes, hairy enhancer of split; Hey, Hes-related proteins.
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