Induction of oxidative stress by anticancer drugs in the presence and absence of cells
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Abstract. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated in the cell through multiple mechanisms. Intracellular ROS are rapidly detoxified by various enzymatic and non-enzymatic mechanisms; however, disruption of the oxidant-antioxidant balance causes oxidative stress and elicits cell damage. The oxidative stress induced by chemotherapy is known to cause side effects in patients with cancer. However, few studies have examined whether anticancer drugs induce oxidative stress in cancer cells. Furthermore, the precise mechanism by which anticancer drugs induce the generation of ROS remains unclear. In the present study, to investigate whether anticancer drugs induce oxidative stress, DLD-1 human colorectal cancer cells were treated with 20 different anticancer drugs and then stained with CellROX® ROS detection reagent. Furthermore, an oxygen radical absorbance capacity assay in the presence of copper was performed to estimate the oxidative activities of the anticancer drugs in the absence of cells. The data of the present study using assay methods in the presence and absence of cells suggest that nimustine, actinomycin D, doxorubicin, mitomycin C, mitoxantrone, carmofur, gemcitabine, mercapto-purine, camptothecin, paclitaxel, vinblastine, and vinorelbine are able to induce oxidative stress.

Introduction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) include oxygen molecules (O2), superoxide anion radicals (O2·−), hydroxyl free radicals (HO·) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2). ROS are generated as a result of single- or multi-electron reductions of oxygen by cellular enzymes or in the mitochondrial respiratory pathway (1-5). Although an increase in the level of intracellular ROS leads to oxidative stress and DNA damage, the effects of ROS are normally balanced by antioxidants, such as reduced glutathione (GSH), ascorbic acid, and ureic acid (6). Disruption of the oxidant-antioxidant balance through alterations to cellular homeostasis or defective repair of ROS-induced damage is involved in the pathogenesis of several diseases (7). In particular, it can be the primary trigger and/or mediator of carcinogenesis by contributing to the initiation of cellular malignancy and the progression of cancer (8-10).

Furthermore, it is known that anticancer drugs induce oxidative stress in patients with cancer being treated with chemotherapy. Elevated levels of oxidants in the circulation have been reported in patients with cancer following administration of epirubicin (11,12). Epirubicin and doxorubicin possess an anthracycline skeleton, and generate ROS that lead to DNA damage and subsequently antitumor activity (13,14). Vinblastine and vinorelbine belong to the class of vinca alkaloids. It has been demonstrated that vinorelbine depletes intracellular GSH and increases intracellular ROS production (15). However, few studies have investigated the association between oxidative stress and the effects of anticancer drugs.

Available methods for measuring oxidative stress inducibility include direct measurement of intracellular ROS, indirect measurement of the resulting damage to biomolecules, including proteins, DNA, RNA and lipids, and the detection of antioxidant levels. In the present study, cell staining with CellROX® Green reagent was performed in order to directly measure the intracellular ROS formation (16-18). CellROX® reagent is a fluorogenic probe used for measuring oxidative stress in cells and exhibits bright-green photostable fluorescence upon oxidation by ROS. The oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay is a method for estimating antioxidant activity (19-23) and is often used to determine antioxidant levels in foods. Previous studies have demonstrated that copper (Cu2+) undergoes redox cycling reactions and possesses the ability to produce reactive radicals in normal and cancer cells (24,25). Therefore, in the present study the ORAC...
assay in the presence of Cu^{2+} [ORAC (Cu^{2+}) assay] was used for quantification of the oxidant activity of anticancer drugs. In the ORAC (Cu^{2+}) assay, the reduction in the fluoresecence intensity of fluorescein was calculated as a measure of the oxidant activity of the anticancer drug. In the current study, the ability of 20 anticancer drugs to induce oxidative stress was measured using the methods described above in vitro and in the absence of cells.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. The DLD-1 human colorectal cancer cell line was purchased from the Cell Resource Center for Biomedical Research at Tohoku University (Sendai, Japan) and maintained in RPMI media (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). DLD-1 cells were maintained under standard culture conditions in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37˚C until they reached 80-90% confluence.

Treatment with anticancer drugs. DLD-1 cells were treated for 24 h in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37˚C with 10 µM of the following anticancer drugs: Cyclophosphamide, dacarbazine, nimustine, temozolomide, actinomycin D, doxorubicin, mitomycin C, mitoxantrone, carbomufor, cytobarine, fluorouracil, gemcitabine, mercaptopurine, caraplatin, oxaliaplatin, capmothercin, etoposide, paclitaxel, vinblastine and vinorelbine. Positive control cells were treated with 10 µM 2,2'-azobis (2-amidonopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH), which is a free radical generator. All anticancer drugs and AAPH were obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan).

Cell staining with CellROX<sup>®</sup> ROS detection reagent. Following incubation with the anticancer drugs or AAPH for 24 h, 5 µM CellROX<sup>®</sup> Green reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was added to DLD-1 cells and incubated for 30 min in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37˚C. The cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS for 15 min and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, at room temperature. Glass coverslips were mounted using SlowFade<sup>®</sup> Diamond Antifade mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The samples were examined using a Leica DM IL LED fluorescence microscope.

ORAC and ORAC (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) assay. Application of the ORAC and ORAC (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) assay determined the fluorescence intensity in the presence of the drug relative to that in the presence of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.). The ORAC assays contained 50 µM of an anticancer drug or 5 mM AAPH, with 10 mM fluorescein (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.). The ORAC (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) assay additionally contained 10 µM CuSO<sub>4</sub> (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.). The assay mixtures were incubated for 1.5 h at room temperature, in the dark. The fluorescence intensity was subsequently measured using a Flex station 3 fluorescence plate reader (Molecular Devices, LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) with filters for excitation wavelength of 494 nm and emission wavelength of 523 nm. The result was described as the percentage of fluorescence intensity relative to DMSO treatment. The ORAC and ORAC (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) assay was tested once.

Cell staining with Annexin V-Cy3 apoptosis detection reagent. Following incubation with the anticancer drugs for 24 h, Annexin V-Cy3 reagent (dilution, 1:200; BioVision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, USA) was added to the DLD-1 cells and incubated for 5 min at room temperature, in the dark. The cells were examined using the previously described Leica DM IL LED fluorescence microscope.

Calculation of radical structural energy of anticancer drugs. To calculate the radical structural energy of the previously described anticancer drugs, modelling with the B3LYP/6-31G(d) method was performed in Gaussian version 09 D.01 (Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA). The radical structural energies were calculated as Δ (E+ZPE) or ΔE (26).

Results

Detection of ROS in DLD-1 cells with CellROX<sup>®</sup> reagent. The investigated anticancer drugs are classified as follows: i) Alkylating agents-cyclophosphamide, dacarbazine, nimustine and temozolomide; ii) antibiotics-actinomycin D, doxorubicin, mitomycin C and mitoxantrone; iii) antineoplastic agents-carmofor, cytobarine, fluorouracil, gemcitabine and mercaptopurine; iv) platelet agents-caraplatin and oxaliaplatin; and v) vinca alkaloids-camptothecin, etoposide, paclitaxel, vinblastine and vinorelbine. To investigate whether these anticancer drugs induce intracellular ROS formation, DLD-1 cells treated with each anticancer drug were stained with CellROX<sup>®</sup> Green reagent. As demonstrated in Fig. 1, intracellular ROS formation was induced in DLD-1 cells treated with actinomycin D, carbomufor and vinorelbine. DLD-1 cells treated with vinorelbine exhibited the strongest CellROX<sup>®</sup> Green signal among all anticancer drugs. The results of CellROX<sup>®</sup> staining are summarized in Table I. Furthermore, certain anticancer drugs, including vinblastine and vinorelbine, markedly decreased the number of cells. It was initially assumed that the anticancer drugs had induced growth inhibition or apoptotic cell death. Annexin V-Cy3 staining indicated that the cells treated with actinomycin D, doxorubicin, mitomycin C, vinblastine or vinorelbine had undergone apoptotic cell death (data not shown).

Quantification of oxidant activity using the ORAC (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) assay. The ORAC and ORAC (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) assays are methods for measuring the antioxidant ability of food. In the present study, the ORAC (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) assay was used to determine the oxidant activities of anticancer drugs in the absence of cells by measuring the reduction of fluorescence intensity derived from fluorescein. As demonstrated in Table I, the abilities of nimustine, mitoxantrone, gemcitabine and vinblastine to reduce the fluorescence intensity were comparable to that of AAPH, whereas vinorelbine markedly reduced the fluorescence intensity. These results suggested that nimustine, mitoxantrone, gemcitabine, vinblastine and vinorelbine may directly generate intracellular ROS. In addition, the ORAC
assay indicated that nimustine and mitoxantrone reduced the intensity of fluorescence to the same extent as AAPH, whereas vinorelbine reduced the intensity of fluorescence (Table I). However, the reduction in fluorescence intensity of anticancer drugs in the ORAC assay was less extensive, compared with in the ORAC (Cu²⁺) assay.

As the reduction of fluorescence intensity by anticancer drugs in the ORAC (Cu²⁺) assay was attributed to the greater stability of a radical structure of anticancer drugs, the calculation of the radical structural energy of anticancer drugs, including doxorubicin, mitoxantrone, cytarabine, camptothecin, etoposide, paclitaxel, vinblastine, vinorelbine and AAPH was performed. As the radical structural energy of the most stable structure of each anticancer drug, Δ(E+ZPE) of doxorubicin was 80.6 kcal/mol, that of mitoxantrone was 87.9 kcal/mol, that of cytarabine was 83.6 kcal/mol, that of gemcitabine was 89.1 kcal/mol, that of camptothecin was 73.1 kcal/mol, that of etoposide was 76.6 kcal/mol and that of AAPH is 5.7 kcal/mol. Similarly, ΔE of paclitaxel was 88.7 kcal/mol, that of vinblastine was 77.3 kcal/mol and that of vinorelbine was 77.2 kcal/mol. These results indicated that the anticancer drugs were identified as exhibiting a higher radical structural energy compared with AAPH. Therefore, it was concluded that the results of an ORAC (Cu²⁺) assay was not associated with the radical structural energy of an anticancer drug.

Discussion
Anticancer drugs often cause a variety of adverse events and induction of ROS has been reported as one of the side effects of anticancer therapies (11-14,27,28). However, the ability of numerous anticancer drugs to induce oxidative stress has not been examined. In the present study, the oxidative stress levels induced by 20 anticancer drugs were investigated using cell staining with CellROX® Green reagent and in the absence of cells using the ORAC (Cu²⁺) assay. The data of the current study suggests that certain anticancer drugs have the ability to induce oxidative stress. DLD-1 cells treated with actinomycin D, doxorubicin, camptothecin, paclitaxel, vinblastine or vinorelbine exhibited a strong CellROX® signal; however, it cannot be concluded that the ROS signal was directly derived from treatment with the various anticancer drugs. As numerous cells treated with these anticancer drugs died, the drug-treated DLD-1 cells were stained with Annexin V-Cy3 as a marker of apoptosis for 24 h. Cells that were treated with actinomycin D, doxorubicin, mitomycin C, vinblastine or vinorelbine exhibited a signal with Annexin V-Cy3 (data not shown), suggesting that the ROS signal detected in cells treated with these anticancer drugs is partially dependent on cell death. Taken together, these data suggest that anticancer drugs that are CellROX®-positive/ORAC (Cu²⁺)-negative,
The radical structural energies of the anticancer drugs were estimated using computational chemistry. Although these anticancer drugs were predicted to be able to reduce the fluorescence intensity of fluorescein similar to the anticancer drugs was enhanced by the addition of Cu(II). Vinblastine markedly reduced the fluorescence intensity. The ORAC (Cu(II)) assay implied that ORAC (Cu(II))-positive anticancer drugs, nimustine, mitoxantrone, gemcitabine, vinblastine, and vinorelbine, may themselves be oxidants. In addition, the present results suggest that CellROX®-positive/ORAC (Cu(II))-positive anticancer drugs such as vinblastine and vinorelbine have a strong capacity to induce oxidative stress.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrate that certain anticancer drugs have the ability to induce oxidative stress in the presence or absence of cells. The profiling of anticancer drugs in this study may provide an insight into the ROS-induced mechanisms of anticancer drugs. A detailed examination of the induction of oxidative stress by anticancer drugs may aid in developing novel approaches to reduce the side effects of cancer therapies.
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