NUDT expression is predictive of prognosis in patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma
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Abstract. The nudix hydroxylase (NUDT) family of genes may have notable roles in cancer growth and metastasis. The present study aimed to determine the prognostic ability of NUDT genes in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC). Data from 509 patients with ccRCC was obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database and 192 patient samples from Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center (FUSCC) were analyzed in the present study. The expression profile of NUDT gene family members in the TCGA cohort was obtained from the TCGA RNA sequencing database. Pathological characteristics, including age, sex, tumor size, tumor grade, stage, laterality and overall survival were collected. Cox proportional hazards regression model and Kaplan-Meier survival analysis were performed to assess the associations between pathological characteristics and expression levels of NUDT family genes. NUDT family genes that exhibited associations with overall survival (OS) were further validated in the FUSCC cohort. In the TCGA cohort, Cox proportional hazards analysis found that NUDT5 [hazards ratio (HR)=1.676; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.092-1.732] and NUDT17 (HR=1.375; 95% CI, 1.092-1.732) were predictive of ccRCC prognosis. Further analysis revealed that low NUDT5 (P<0.0001) and NUDT17 (P<0.0001) expression were associated with poorer OS rates in the TCGA cohort. In the FUSCC cohort, low NUDT5 expression was also associated with poor OS rates (P=0.0116), and tumor grade was a factor that influenced the expression level of NUDT5 (P=0.016).

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is responsible for about 3% of all malignancies in adults, and 250,000 new cases of kidney cancer are diagnosed each year worldwide (1). At present, clear cell RCC (ccRCC) is the most common form of adult kidney cancer, representing a diverse set of neoplasms with unique genetic and histological features (2,3). Despite developments in diagnosis and treatment strategies of RCC during the past few years, one-third of patients present with metastatic disease at diagnosis (2). Furthermore, 20-40% of RCC patient that undergo surgical nephrectomy will develop metastasis, meaning poor prognosis. Prognostic factors for RCC include histological subtype, nuclear grade, tumor size, local extent of the tumor and evidence of metastatic disease at presentation (4,5). Although a number of targeted drugs have emerged in recent years, the overall survival times of patients with metastatic kidney cancer remain short (6). ccRCC is generally resistant to standard chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Previous studies have revealed that the 5-year survival rate of patients with metastatic RCC is <10% (7,8). Therefore, to increase understanding of ccRCC prognosis and to develop novel biological therapies, it is necessary to identify molecular markers that have the potential to improve patient outcomes and provide novel molecular targets for adjuvant therapies.

Nudix hydroxylases (NUDTs) are a superfamily of Mg2+-coupling enzymes found in viruses, archaea, bacteria and eukaryotes, and catalyze the hydrolysis of nucleoside diphosphates associated with other moieties, X (any moiety) (9). There are two components to the Nudix hydroxylases family: the so-called Nudix hydroxylases fold of a β-sheet with α-helices on each side and the Nudix hydroxylases motif which contains catalytic and metal-binding amino acids. The Nudix hydroxylases motif is GXXXXXEXXXXEREUXEEXGU where U is isoleucine, leucine or valine, and X is any amino acid (9). All NUDT family members are characterized by a highly conserved 23-residue sequence motif, the Nudix box, and are housecleaning enzymes (10,11). NUDT family enzymes can activate a phosphodiester bond through the Mg2+-assisted nucleophilic attack of a water molecule by a basic residue. The typical NUDT reaction releases products such as N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone, phosphate, or pyrophosphate (12,13).

The human genome has 24 NUDT hydroxylase genes and >5 pseudogenes, several of which encode more than one variant.
Expression of 17 of the 19 studied NUDT genes is strongly induced upon entry into stationary phase, which suggests a possible involvement in metabolic reprogramming (14,15). Additionally, numerous site-directed mutagenesis studies have highlighted the importance of individual residues in the Nudix motif for catalysis. However, little is known about the NUDT family in the field of renal cancer.

Materials and methods

NUDT expression data. Information on the expression of NUDTs and clinical data of the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database were obtained from the Cancer Genomics Browser of University of California Santa Cruz (https://genome-cancer.ucsc.edu/). A total of 24 members (NUDT1, NUDT2, NUDT3, NUDT4, NUDT5, NUDT6, NUDT7, NUDT8, NUDT9, NUDT9P1, NUDT10, NUDT11, NUDT12, NUDT13, NUDT14, NUDT15, NUDT16, NUDT16P1, NUDT16L1, NUDT17, NUDT18, NUDT19, NUDT20 and NUDT22) of the NUDT family are included in the database. In total, 509 patients (median age, 61 years; range, 26-90 years) with primary ccRCC tumors from with detailed NUDT expression data were chosen from the updated TCGA database according to parameters defined in a previous study (16). Only patients with fully characterized tumors, intact overall survival (OS) data, complete RNAseq information and without pretreatment were included. Clinicopathological characteristics, including age, sex, tumor size, Tumor-Node-Metastasis (TNM) stage (1), tumor grade, laterality, hemoglobin level, white blood cell level, platelet level and overall survival were collected. Follow-up of the patients was completed with a median length of 1,063 days. In total, 347 patients succumbed during the follow-up.

Patient enrollment. For the Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center (FUSCC) cohort, 192 patients with ccRCC (median age, 55.5; range, 17-84 years) who underwent radical nephrectomy (RN) or nephron sparing nephrectomy (NSS) between February 2007 and November 2011 were retrospectively enrolled. All the tissue samples were collected during surgeries and stored at -70°C in the tissue bank of FUSCC. The pathological subtypes were confirmed by experienced pathologists. Clinicopathological characteristics, including age, sex and tumor size are summarized in Table I. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Fudan University (Shanghai, China). Patient tissues were used to investigate the expression of genes that were thought to potentially be associated with the prognosis of patients with ccRCC. All the patients in the present study provided signed informed consent for the publication of their data. All patients provided written informed consent to their inclusion in the study.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). In the FUSCC cohort, total RNA was isolated from 192 ccRCC samples using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The PrimeScript RT Reagent kit (K1622; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA from total RNA. Next, Synergy Brands (SYBR)-Green real-time PCR assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were performed using an ABI 7900HT Thermocycler (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The expression level of RNA was normalized, using relative quantification, to the level of β-actin (17). The primers for qPCR analysis were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), the sequences of which are shown as follows: NUDT5 forward, 5'-GGACTGACGCTCTGATGT-3' and reverse, 5'-ACAGCGCACAACACATACCC-3'; NUDT9PI forward, 5'-AGGCTGTGAATCCACCTGATG-3' and reverse, 5'-AGAGGCCTGGCATAAAGCTCA-3'; NUDT16 forward, 5'-TCTCCTCCCCCAAGAAGCATC-3' and reverse, 5'-CCAAGGCTCACCTCACTA-3'; NUDT17 forward, 5'-CACAACCAGGAGGAAAGA-3' and reverse, 5'-TTTCTCTGTGTCCCTTCCTCCCTG-3'; and β-actin forward, 5'-AGGCGAGACTCCCCACAAAGTT-3' and reverse, 5'-GGGCACAGAGGCTCATCA-3'.

TNM stage, tumor grade, and other information were obtained from the electronic records of the patients. Patients were regularly followed up on the telephone or in the clinic every 3 months. Events, including tumor recurrence, progression, metastasis and death, were recorded.

Statistical analysis. Disease-free survival was defined as time from the date of diagnosis to the date of first recurrence or mortality. OS was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of death or of the last follow-up. Patients without events or death were recorded as censored at the time of last follow-up. Stata 12.0 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis. Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan-Meier method, with log-rank tests used to assess the differences between the groups. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using the Cox proportional hazards model. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards of NUDT family members expression and OS for patients with ccRCC in the TCGA cohort were analyzed. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Genes that were associated with OS were studied further. Multivariate logistic regression was used to further study factors that could affect the expression of NUDTs. Student's t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test were performed in 70 couples of paired patients to assess the different expression of NUDT family genes between patients with ccRCC and healthy individuals. A t-test was applied when the test statistic would follow a normal distribution, if not, Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied.

Results

Clinical characteristics of patients with ccRCC in TCGA and FUSCC cohort. In the TCGA cohort, the median age of the 509 patients with ccRCC was 61, ranging between 26 and 90 years old. Of these patients, 328 (64%) were male and 181 (36%) were female. Tumor size, TNM stage, tumor grade, laterality, hemoglobin level, white blood cell level and platelet level are shown in Table I. The median follow-up time of this cohort was 79.5 months.

In the FUSCC cohort, the median age of these 192 patients with ccRCC was 55.5, ranging from 17 to 84 years old; 131 (68.2%) were male patients and 61 (31.8%) were female patients. Tumor size, tumor grade, TNM stage, and tumor...
Table I. Expression of the nudix hydroxylase family in 70 couples of paired patients in the TCGA cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>TCGA cohort, n (%)</th>
<th>FUSCC cohort, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total patients</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>341 (64.95)</td>
<td>131 (68.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>184 (35.05)</td>
<td>61 (31.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/2</td>
<td>240 (45.71)</td>
<td>79 (41.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>202 (38.48)</td>
<td>113 (58.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gx</td>
<td>8 (1.52)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>267 (50.86)</td>
<td>129 (67.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>68 (12.95)</td>
<td>29 (15.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>179 (34.10)</td>
<td>27 (14.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>11 (2.10)</td>
<td>7 (3.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>271 (51.62)</td>
<td>181 (94.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>17 (3.24)</td>
<td>4 (2.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nx</td>
<td>237 (45.14)</td>
<td>7 (3.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>421 (80.19)</td>
<td>184 (95.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>79 (15.05)</td>
<td>7 (3.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx</td>
<td>25 (4.76)</td>
<td>1 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>262 (49.90)</td>
<td>130 (67.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>56 (10.67)</td>
<td>30 (15.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>126 (24.00)</td>
<td>23 (11.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>81 (15.43)</td>
<td>9 (4.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>247 (47.05)</td>
<td>90 (46.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>277 (52.76)</td>
<td>94 (48.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>1 (0.19)</td>
<td>8 (41.67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TGCA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; FUSCC, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center; T, Tumor; N, Node; M, Metastasis.

position are shown in Table I. The median follow-up time of this cohort was 47.1 months; 47 patients succumbed during follow-up.

NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17 expression were independent prognostic factors for OS in the TCGA cohort. In univariate Cox proportion hazard ratio analysis, age, tumor stage, metastasis, tumor stage, Fuhrman grade (All subsequent mentions of grade are referring to Fuhrman grade), hemoglobin level, white blood cell count, platelet count, NUDT1, NUDT3, NUDT4, NUDT5, NUDT6, NUDT7, NUDT9P1, NUDT10, NUDT11, NUDT12, NUDT16, NUDT17, NUDT19, NUDT21 and NUDT22 expression were significantly associated with prognosis in terms of OS of patients with ccRCC in the TCGA cohort (P<0.05; Table II). Multivariate Cox analysis, following adjustment for all the potential prognostic factors, which included age, tumor stage, Fuhrman score, laterality, white blood cell count, blood platelet count, hemoglobin content, NUDT1, NUDT3, NUDT4, NUDT5, NUDT6, NUDT7, NUDT9P1, NUDT10, NUDT11, NUDT12, NUDT16, NUDT17, NUDT19, NUDT21 and NUDT22, indicated that age (HR=1.037; 95% CI, 1.020-1.053; P<0.0001), stage (HR=1.602; 95% CI, 1.317-1.950; P<0.0001), laterality (HR=0.664; 95% CI, 0.467-0.944; P=0.023), NUDT5 (HR=1.676; 95% CI, 1.097-2.559; P=0.017), NUDT9P1 (HR=1.512; 95% CI, 1.143-2.000; P=0.004), NUDT16 (HR=0.692; 95% CI, 0.486-0.985; P=0.041) and NUDT17 (HR=1.375; 95% CI, 1.092-1.731; P=0.007) were the only independent predictors of OS (all P<0.01) (Table II).

NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17 expression revealed that they were normally distributed (data not shown), so TCGA cohort was divided into low and high expression groups according to the median expression level. As a result, higher NUDT5 (P<0.0001) and NUDT17 (P<0.0001) expression was associated with better prognosis for OS, whereas high levels of NUDT9P1 (P=0.151) and NUDT16 (P=0.153) expression was not associated with OS prognosis (Fig. 1).

In multivariate logistic regression analysis of factors that could affect the expression of NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17, tumor grade was significantly associated with NUDT5 (P=0.006) and NUDT17 (P=0.002) expression, while tumor stage was also significantly associated with NUDT5 (P=0.001) and NUDT17 (P=0.007) expression (Table III).

To understand the different expression of NUDT family between patients with ccRCC and normal population further, the present study analyzed the expression of NUDT family in 70 couples of paired patients. If deviations in NUDT expression between couples fitted a normal distribution, paired student t-tests were performed; if not, Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed. Using a paired Student’s t-test, the expression of NUDT3, NUDT4, NUDT6, NUDT7, NUDT9P1, NUDT10, NUDT11, NUDT12, NUDT16, NUDT17, NUDT19, NUDT21 and NUDT22 was found to be significantly different between patients with ccRCC and paired healthy individuals, whereas differences in the expression of NUDT17 was not statistically significant. Using a Wilcoxon signed-rank test, expression of NUDT1, NUDT8, NUDT9, NUDT10, NUDT11, NUDT16L1, NUDT18 and NUDT21 were significantly different between patients with ccRCC and paired healthy individuals, whereas expression of NUDT2, NUDT5, NUDT14, NUDT19 and NUDT22 did not differ significantly (Table IV).

NUDT5 expressions were prognostic factors for OS in the FUSCC cohort. NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17 expression was validated in the FUSCC cohort. This cohort was then divided into low- and high-expression groups according to the median expression level. As the expression level of genes was based on the relative values of PCR results, patients were grouped by Δ-Ct (cycle threshold). Δ-Ct=Ct(target genes)-Ct(reference genes). The median Δ-Ct value of NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17 were 8.29, 3.90, 7.32 and 4.67, respectively. As a result, low NUDT5 expression was associated with poor OS (log-rank test, P=0.0116),
although the level of NUDT9P1 (log-rank test, \( P=0.5915 \)), NUDT16 (log-rank test, \( P=0.6814 \)) and NUDT17 (log-rank test, \( P=0.2968 \)) expression was not associated with OS. The Kaplan-Meier curves are shown in Fig. 2.

To understand the factors that may affect the expression of NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17 in the FUSCC cohort further, multivariate logistic regression analysis with the same parameters including age, stage, grade, hemoglobin level; white blood cells level and platelets level was performed.

In the FUSCC cohort, tumor grade was significantly associated with the NUDT5 expression level (\( P=0.016 \)) expression, whereas other parameters were not significantly associated with the expression of NUDTs (Table V).

**Table II. Univariate and multivariate Cox Proportional Hazards analysis of integrin expression and overall survival for patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma in The Cancer Genome Atlas cohort.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariate HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Multivariate HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>1.015-1.042</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>1.020-1.053</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>0.781-1.473</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.964</td>
<td>1.658-2.325</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.799</td>
<td>1.486-5.274</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>4.448</td>
<td>3.221-6.141</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>1.944</td>
<td>1.695-2.229</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.603</td>
<td>1.317-1.949</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>2.350</td>
<td>1.899-2.908</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>0.920-1.644</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.415-0.823</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.624-1.342</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.471-0.902</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>0.694-1.483</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>1.702</td>
<td>1.145-2.529</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td>0.748-1.579</td>
<td>0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td>1.174</td>
<td>0.946-1.459</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.491-0.913</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.467-0.944</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT1</td>
<td>1.629</td>
<td>1.346-1.971</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.661-1.341</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT2</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.596-1.018</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT3</td>
<td>2.089</td>
<td>1.215-3.593</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.401-1.361</td>
<td>0.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT4</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.538-0.925</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.614-1.175</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT5</td>
<td>2.165</td>
<td>1.684-2.783</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.676</td>
<td>1.097-2.559</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT6</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.461-0.801</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.648-1.383</td>
<td>0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT7</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.576-0.889</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>0.730-1.457</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT8</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>0.953-1.299</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT9</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.639-1.474</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT9P1</td>
<td>1.274</td>
<td>1.011-1.606</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.512</td>
<td>1.143-2.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT10</td>
<td>1.203</td>
<td>1.074-1.347</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>0.987-1.412</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT11</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>1.208-1.503</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.796-1.162</td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT12</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.542-0.805</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.600-1.017</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT13</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.809-1.214</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT14</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.778-1.121</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT15</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.496-1.107</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT16</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.499-0.919</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.486-0.985</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT16P1</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.792-1.133</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT16L1</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>0.813-1.478</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT17</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>1.341-1.870</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>1.092-1.732</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT18</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.788-1.206</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT19</td>
<td>2.026</td>
<td>1.372-2.991</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT21</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.519-0.946</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>0.682-1.815</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT22</td>
<td>1.424</td>
<td>1.127-1.800</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>0.686-1.730</td>
<td>0.716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; T, Tumor; N, Node; M, Metastasis; Hb, hemoglobin; WBC, white blood cells; PLT, platelets; NUDT1, nudix hydroxylase 1; NUDT9P1, NUDT9 pseudogene 1; NUDT16L1, NUDT16-like 1.
with the OS of patients with ccRCC. Members of this family, particularly NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17, may be independent prognostic factors for OS in patients with ccRCC.

The present study demonstrates that the NUDT family may have important roles in suppressing the progression of ccRCC. NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17 expression were independent prognostic factors for OS in patients
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the TCGA cohort were Caucasian or of African descent. All patients in the
remains a good prognostic indicator, due to demographic
to further
expression groups in the FUSCC cohort, NUDT5
NUDT17 remained good indicators of prognosis. To further
analysis. However, in patients in the TCGA database, NUDT5 and
NUDT17 expression. However, upon statistical analysis of
TNM stage were significantly associated with NUDT5 and
expression of NUDT5 and
70 paired patients with ccRCC and healthy individuals, there
expression and mutual relationship; and ii) the number of patients who participated in
excellent follow-up, and patients from other centers were not
involved in a number of cellular processes such as DNA repair,
genomic stability and programmed cell death (19,20). In
experiments in vitro, NUDT5 suppressed the increased mutation
rate of cancer cells and may act in concert with NUDT1 or
NUDT15 in antimutagenesis (19,20). NUDT5 may also prevent
transcriptional errors and mistranslation. Prior studies (19-21)
also found that lowered NUDT5 expression led to cell cycle
inhibition in HeLa cells (21). Further studies indicated that the
NUDT5 protein may have notable roles in regulating the G1-S
transition in mammalian cells (22-24).

Nudix hydrolase 9 pseudogene 1 (NUDT9P1) is located in
the 5-HT receptor 7, adenylate cyclase-coupled (HTR7) gene,
which is associated with the response to iloperidone. However,
the role of NUDT9P1 in healthy or tumor cells remains
unknown (25).

NUDT16 is a ‘housecleaning’ enzyme that removes
inosine diphosphate from the nucleotide pool. Studies have
revealed that NUDT16 forms a dimer, which generates a
positively charged trench to accommodate substrate binding (26).
NUDT16 may be involved in regulating ribosome biogenesis
by altering the stability of U8 small nuclear RNA and other
guide RNAs (26,27). Studies have revealed that NUDT16
may interact with a nuclear protein phosphatase, possibly in
a complex with small nuclear riboprotein components (28,29).
At the time of writing, NUDT17 remains an uncharacterized
protein, with no known function. NUDT17 may be
bi-functional and possesses RNA de-capping activity in cells,
in addition to its reported activities on nucleotide containing
molecules (16).

Little is known about the NUDT family of genes in the
field of oncology. NUDT1 and NUDT15 are expressed in
RAS-dependent types of cancer (30,31). Loss of NUDT1 function
impaired growth of KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase-positive
tumor cells. NUDT1 overexpression mitigated sensitivity
towards certain experimental small molecules, including the
NUDT1 inhibitor SCH51344 (30-32). However, the association
between NUDT family and tumorigenesis were not clear and
studies about their role in renal cancer are rare (30-32).

The present study confirmed the role of the NUDT family of
genesis in patients with ccRCC, identifying NUDT5 may inform
on patient prognosis. Limitations of the present study are:
i) All of the patients that were included in the present study
were from Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center with
excellent follow-up, and patients from other centers were not
included; ii) all patient tissue specimens in the present study
came from patients who suitable to surgery so it is possible
that the results will not apply to people who were not suitable
for surgery; and iii) the number of patients who participated in
the study was low.

The present study indicated the presence of an association
between ccRCC outcome and NUDT gene family expression;

Table IV. Expression of NUDT family genes in 70 patients with
ccRCC. Reduced expression of NUDT5 and NUDT17
was associated with poor prognosis and decreased OS time.
Expression of NUDT5 is closely associated with the prognosis
of patients with ccRCC. Additionally, Fuhrman grade and
TNM stage were significantly associated with NUDT5 and
NUDT17 expression. However, upon statistical analysis of
70 paired patients with ccRCC and healthy individuals, there
was no significant difference in expression of NUDT5 and
NUDT17. This may be because: i) The number of patients
included in the paired study was not large enough; and ii)
in analysis of NUDT5, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used,
which has a low power and thus may affect the outcome of the
analysis. However, in patients in the TCGA database, NUDT5 and
NUDT17 remained good indicators of prognosis. To further
verify the accuracy of NUDT as a ccRCC prognostic marker,
FUSCC patient specimens were tested. Low NUDT5
expression was associated with OS rates in the FUSCC cohort. Even
though no statistical difference existed between low and high
NUDT17 expression groups in the FUSCC cohort, NUDT5
remains a good prognostic indicator, due to demographic
difference between these two studies. All patients in
the present study were Asian, while the majority of the patients in
the TCGA cohort were Caucasian or of African descent.

The human genome has 24 NUDT hydrolase genes and
at least 5 pseudogenes (18). NUDT genes are associated with
metabolic reprogramming and mutagenesis. Previous studies
have partially revealed their functions, even though the role
they serve in tumorigenesis is poorly understood (16,17).

NUDT5 is an antimitator candidate; this protein was
originally characterized as an ADP sugar hydrolase, which
corresponds to the high-K_m ADP Ribose-II isolated from tissues. ADP Ribose
is a member of a family of proteins involved in a number of cellular processes such as DNA repair,
genomic stability and programmed cell death (19,20). In
experiments in vitro, NUDT5 suppressed the increased mutation
rate of cancer cells and may act in concert with NUDT1 or
NUDT15 in antimutagenesis (19,20). NUDT5 may also prevent
transcriptional errors and mistranslation. Prior studies (19-21)
also found that lowered NUDT5 expression led to cell cycle
inhibition in HeLa cells (21). Further studies indicated that the
NUDT5 protein may have notable roles in regulating the G1-S
transition in mammalian cells (22-24).

Nudix hydrolase 9 pseudogene 1 (NUDT9P1) is located in
the 5-HT receptor 7, adenylate cyclase-coupled (HTR7) gene,
which is associated with the response to iloperidone. However,
the role of NUDT9P1 in healthy or tumor cells remains
unknown (25).

NUDT16 is a ‘housecleaning’ enzyme that removes
inosine diphosphate from the nucleotide pool. Studies have
revealed that NUDT16 forms a dimer, which generates a
positively charged trench to accommodate substrate binding (26).
NUDT16 may be involved in regulating ribosome biogenesis
by altering the stability of U8 small nuclear RNA and other
guide RNAs (26,27). Studies have revealed that NUDT16
may interact with a nuclear protein phosphatase, possibly in
a complex with small nuclear riboprotein components (28,29).
At the time of writing, NUDT17 remains an uncharacterized
protein, with no known function. NUDT17 may be
bi-functional and possesses RNA de-capping activity in cells,
in addition to its reported activities on nucleotide containing
molecules (16).

Little is known about the NUDT family of genes in the
field of oncology. NUDT1 and NUDT15 are expressed in
RAS-dependent types of cancer (30,31). Loss of NUDT1 function
impaired growth of KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase-positive
tumor cells. NUDT1 overexpression mitigated sensitivity
towards certain experimental small molecules, including the
NUDT1 inhibitor SCH51344 (30-32). However, the association
between NUDT family and tumorigenesis were not clear and
studies about their role in renal cancer are rare (30-32).

The present study confirmed the role of the NUDT family of
genesis in patients with ccRCC, identifying NUDT5 may inform
on patient prognosis. Limitations of the present study are:
i) All of the patients that were included in the present study
were from Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center with
excellent follow-up, and patients from other centers were not
included; ii) all patient tissue specimens in the present study
came from patients who suitable to surgery so it is possible
that the results will not apply to people who were not suitable
for surgery; and iii) the number of patients who participated in
the study was low.

The present study indicated the presence of an association
between ccRCC outcome and NUDT gene family expression;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Statistical test</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUDT9P1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Paired Student t-test</td>
<td>0.364-0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT16L1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Wilcoxon rank-sum test</td>
<td>-0.559-0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Paired Student t-test</td>
<td>0.467-0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Wilcoxon rank-sum test</td>
<td>-0.490-0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT17</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>Paired Student t-test</td>
<td>0.110-0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT14</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>Wilcoxon rank-sum test</td>
<td>0.199-0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Paired Student t-test</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Wilcoxon rank-sum test</td>
<td>0.0742-0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Wilcoxon rank-sum test</td>
<td>1.638-2.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT2</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>Wilcoxon rank-sum test</td>
<td>0.951-1.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Wilcoxon rank-sum test</td>
<td>0.667-0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUDT7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Wilcoxon rank-sum test</td>
<td>0.559-0.821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NUDT5, nudix hydroxylase 5; NUDT9P1, NUDT9 pseudogene 1; NUDT16L1, NUDT16-like 1; CI, confidence interval.
However, the underlying mechanism has yet to be elucidated. The present study may have revealed novel ccRCC biomarkers or therapeutic targets; as such, further study is urged.

NUDT5 expression was identified as an independent prognostic factor for OS time of ccRCC in the present study: Low NUDT5 expression was associated with low OS time and

Table V. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of factors that might affect the expression of NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17 in the Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center cohort with clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>NUDT5</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>NUDT9P1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>NUDT16</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>NUDT17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.959-1.027</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.950-1.018</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.944-1.013</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.959-1.027</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.950-1.018</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.944-1.013</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.958-1.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.409-1.077</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>0.868-2.197</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.616-1.623</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>1.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>2.132</td>
<td>1.150-3.951</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.437-1.356</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.438-1.421</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.958-1.011</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>0.995-1.051</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>0.984-1.038</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.987-1.003</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.993-1.005</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.981-1.005</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>0.996-1.007</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.992-1.003</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.995-1.007</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NUDT5, nudix hydroxylase 5; NUDT9P1, NUDT9 pseudogene 1; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Hb, hemoglobin; WBC, white blood cells; PLT, platelets.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier plots of survival in the FUSCC cohort are shown according to NUDT5, NUDT9P1, NUDT16 and NUDT17 expression. (A) Kaplan-Meier estimates of OS are shown according to the expression level of NUDT5. (B) Kaplan-Meier estimates of OS are shown according to the expression level of NUDT9P1. (C) Kaplan-Meier estimates of OS are shown according to the expression level of NUDT16. (D) Kaplan-Meier estimates of OS are shown according to the expression level of NUDT17. FUSCC, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center; NUDT5, nudix hydroxylase 5; NUDT9P1, NUDT9 pseudogene 1; OS, overall survival.
tumor grade was significantly associated with NUDT5 expression. NUDT5 could therefore act as a tool to reveal further prognostic genes in ccRCC.
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