Effects of PHA-665752 and vemurafenib combination treatment on in vitro and murine xenograft growth of human colorectal cancer cells with BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutations
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Abstract. It remains unknown whether blockade of B-Raf proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase (BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>) signaling and MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase (c-Met) signaling is effective in suppressing the growth of human colorectal cancer (CRC) cells. The present study investigated the effects of the vemurafenib alone and in combination with c-Met inhibitor PHA-665752 on the growth of human CRC cells in vitro and in mouse xenografts. HT-29 and RKO CRC cell lines with BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutations and mice bearing HT-29 xenografts were treated with vemurafenib in the absence or presence of PHA-665752. Cell viability and cycle phase were respectively examined by using the MTT and flow cytometry assay. Immunohistochemistry was conducted to detect the protein expression levels of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), phosphorylated (p)-c-Met, p-AKT serine/threonine kinase (AKT) and p-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (p-ERK). The MTT assay demonstrated that the growth of CRC cells in vitro and in mouse xenografts. HT-29 and RKO CRC cell lines with BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutations and mice bearing HT-29 xenografts were treated with vemurafenib in a time- and dose-dependent manner (P<0.05), however no significant suppressive effects were observed with vemurafenib. Relative to the PHA-665752 or vemurafenib stand-alone treatment groups, the combination of PHA-665752 and vemurafenib had a significant inhibitory effect on the proliferation of CRC cell lines (P<0.05). The mean tumor volume in mice treated with vemurafenib in combination with PHA-665752 was significantly smaller compared with those treated with only vemurafenib or PHA-665752 (P<0.05). Flow cytometry assay revealed that the G0/G1 phase frequency was significantly increased in the combination group compared with any other treatment groups (P<0.05). Immunohistochemistry demonstrated that vemurafenib in combination with PHA-665752 effectively induced the expression of p-c-Met, p-AKT and p-ERK, however had no effect on HGF.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the principal causes of cancer worldwide (1). Unfortunately, even if a 5-year survival prognosis can be given to 90% of patients in the early stages, disappointing treatment outcomes are recorded in subjects with extensive local invasion or distant metastases. Generally, their 5-year survival rate is less than 15% (2) and, even if suitable for receiving adjuvant chemotherapy, disease-related deaths remain stubbornly high.

A recent steady stream of important breakthroughs has dramatically improved our understanding of CRC. One such discovery identified the BRAF mutation as common in metastatic CRC patients, especially those with a right-side colon cancer and a poorly differentiated tumor (3). Vemurafenib is a potent and selective inhibitor of mutated BRAF. Chapman et al (4) revealed that vemurafenib achieved 40% response rates in melanoma with a BRAF mutation. However, unlike melanoma, the effect of vemurafenib in CRC patients with a BRAF mutation is often negligible, resulting in a clinical response in only 5% of patients (5). This discrepancy of outcomes suggests that different cancer types may present important variations even if they share the same BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation.

Growing evidence has revealed that resistance to BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> inhibition depends in part on altered c-Met signaling in cancers. Blocking c-Met signaling may therefore help reverse resistance to vemurafenib in BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>-targeted therapy (6,7). Byeon et al (6) have reported that dual inhibition of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and c-Met leads to a reversal of the epithelial-to-mesenchymal
transition, resulting in decreased resistance to chemotherapy and a positive therapeutic response in thyroid cancer. To date, only a few studies have focused on the effects of c-Met inhibitors and anti-BRAF agents as a combined treatment option for CRCs. We therefore investigated the combined effect of vemurafenib and PHA-665752, a c-Met inhibitor, on in vitro and in vivo growth of human CRC cells, with the goal of identifying suitable clinical combinations.

Materials and methods

Animals. Fifty-six female BALB/c nu/nu nude mice (age, 4-5 weeks; weight, 20 g each) were purchased from HFK Bioscience Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China) and raised under specific-pathogen-free conditions at the Animal Experimental Center of the Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University (Shijiazhuang, China). The animals were allowed to adapt to the housing conditions for 5 days before being used in experiments. The experimental protocol pertaining to the animal study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and the Ethics Committee of the Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University. In addition, animal studies were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Cell lines and antibodies. Human CRC cell lines RKO and HT-29 (BRAF V600E mutant) were acquired from the Cell Bank of the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). HT-29 cells were cultured in McCoy's 5A medium and RKO cells were cultured in MEM (both from Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Cells were maintained in the presence of 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. The medium was supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FBS; PAN-Biotech GmbH, Aidenbach, Germany).

The following rabbit polyclonal antibodies were purchased from Bioworld Technology (Louis Park, MN, USA): Anti-phosphorylated (p)-c-Met (BS4752), anti-AKT (BS1007), anti-p-AKT (BS4007), and anti-p-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (anti-p-ERK) (BS5016). An anti-hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) rabbit polyclonal antibody (BS1025R) was purchased from Bioss Inc. (Woburn, MA, USA). Vemurafenib (BRAF inhibitor) was purchased from Cayman Chemical Co. (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). PHA-665752 was purchased from Selleck Chemicals LLC (Houston, TX, USA).

Flow cytometry. For cell cycle detection, cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 12x10⁴ cells/well for HT-29 and 9x10⁴ cells/well for RKO cells. Cells were washed three times with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then stained with 50 µl propidium oxide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 30 min. Each sample was harvested at log phase and fixed in 70% ethanol at 4°C overnight in the dark. Quantification of cell cycle distribution was performed with a FACScan system (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA, USA). The percentage of cells in G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases was calculated and compared.

Mouse xenograft studies. Cancer cells (5.5x10⁵) were subcutaneously implanted into the left abdominal region of mice. Both PHA-665752 and vemurafenib were prepared by dilution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Treatments were administered when tumor length reached ~0.5-0.7 cm. Mice were divided into four groups (n=10/group): vemurafenib group (75 mg/kg peroral (p.o.) twice a day, interval time ≥8 h), PHA-665752 group (25 mg/kg intraperitoneal (i.p.) every other day), combined group (vemurafenib 75 mg/kg p.o. twice a day, interval time ≥8 h; PHA-665752 25 mg/kg i.p. every other day), and DMSO control group (2.5% DMSO 200 µl i.p. every other day). Tumor volume was measured every 3 days, and calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Tumor volume} = \frac{\text{large diameter of tumor} \times \text{small diameter of tumor}^2}{2}
\]

The treatment lasted for 3 weeks. Then, mice were sacrificed under anesthesia, and tumor tissue was obtained and fixed in 10% formaldehyde solution prior to immunohistochemical analysis.

Immunohistochemical analysis. Samples were fixed by formalin, embedded in paraffin, and cut into sections (4-6-µm thick). Immunohistochemical staining (IHC) was performed using the Envision plus detection system (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sections were incubated with methanol/hydroperoxide (9:1) for 20 min at room temperature to block endogenous peroxidase activity, and washed with PBS. Sections were incubated at 4°C overnight with primary antibodies against HGF (1:100), p-c-Met (1:100), p-Akt (1:200), and p-ERK (1:200). Secondary antibodies (Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) were added, sections were incubated at 37°C for 45 min, and washed with PBS. DAB staining was used for coloring sections and nuclei were counterstained with hematoxylin. Yellow, yellowish-brown, or darker immunohistochemical staining was considered as positive. The density of positive staining was measured using a computerized image system composed of a charge-coupled device camera (DFC420; Leica Microsystems Imaging Solution, Ltd., Cambridge, UK) connected to a microscope (DM IRE2; ZHI et al. PHA-665752 ENHANCES THE in vitro AND in vivo CHEMOSENSITIVITY OF HUMAN CRC CELLS 3905

The IC₅₀ was calculated when it was equal to 50%. In single-drug treatment experiments, a suitable IC₅₀ value for vemurafenib could not be obtained because of low response to the drug. Thus, in the combined treatment experiment, we used a fixed concentration of PHA-665752 and a varying concentration of vemurafenib. The MTT assay determined the viability of CRC cells at 24, 48, and 72 h. A Thermo Plate microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used to measure sample absorbance at 490 nm.
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The mean number of immunopositive cells was determined in five fields-of-view at x400 magnification using Leica Qwin Plus V3 software (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany).

Figure 1. Effect of different concentrations (in µM given as standard symbols) of PHA-665752 and vemurafenib on cell proliferation in (A and B) HT-29 and (C and D) RKO cell lines. In vitro sensitivity of CRC cells to PHA-665752 and vemurafenib was determined using the MTT assay. Mean OD was used to detect cell viability following treatment with different concentrations of drugs for 24, 48 and 72 h. Values correspond to means. Data are represented as mean ± standard error of the mean (n=7). One-way ANOVA followed by the LSD test was used for statistical analysis, *P<0.05 vs. control, separately. OD, optical density; LSD, least significant difference.

Figure 2. Effect of HA-665752 and vemurafenib (concentrations in µM given as symbols) on the proliferation of (A) HT-29 and (B) RKO cell lines. Drugs were applied alone or in combination for 24, 48 and 72 h. One-way ANOVA followed by the LSD post hoc test was used for statistical analysis to compare differences between the control and treated groups. Each bar represents the mean ± SD (n=7), *P<0.05. LSD, least significant difference.
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Statistical analysis. Data for continuous variables are shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Differences among groups were compared using Student's t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistical significance was considered at P-values <0.05. All data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 21.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Growth suppression by PHA-665752 and resistance to vemu-rafenib in CRC cells in vitro. The effect of PHA-665752 on the viability of RKO and HT-29 cells was evaluated using the MTT assay at 24, 48, and 72 h (Fig. 1). PHA-665752 significantly inhibited the proliferation of CRC cells with a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation in a time- and dose-dependent manner (P<0.05). In addition, IC<sub>50</sub> values of PHA-665752 against HT-29 and RKO cells were 2 and 1 µmol/l, respectively (Fig. 1A and C). Vemurafenib slightly inhibited cell growth only at a sufficiently high concentration; consequently, we could not calculate its IC<sub>50</sub> value (Fig. 1B and D; P<0.05). These findings suggested that CRC cells with a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation were resistant to vemurafenib therapy, whereas the c-Met-related pathway could mediate CRC cell growth and proliferation in vitro.

Combined PHA-665752 and vemurafenib treatment is more effective than either agent alone in inhibiting CRC cell proliferation. We explored the proliferation of CRC cells by MTT assay, following a combined treatment with PHA-665752 (2 µmol/l for HT-29 cells and 1 µmol/l for RKO cells) and vemurafenib at variable doses. Cell proliferation was inhibited (P<0.05) to a greater extent with the combined treatment than any of the two drugs alone. Moreover, as the concentration of vemurafenib but not PHA-665752 increased, the inhibitory effect, too, increased (Fig. 2; P<0.05). These results indicated that in vitro combined therapy using PHA-665752 plus vemurafenib could significantly decrease tumor cell growth, with PHA-665752 reversing vemurafenib drug resistance.

Effect of PHA-665752, vemurafenib, and a combination of both drugs on cell cycle progression. Flow cytometry revealed that treatment with vemurafenib caused a marked increase with G0/G1 and decrease with S phase frequency in HT-29 cells compared with the control group (Figs. 3A and B; P<0.05). In RKO cells, the proportion of cells in G0/G1 increased following PHA-665752 treatment (P<0.05), whereas that in S phase decreased relative to the control (Fig. 3B; P<0.05). A combination of PHA-665752 and vemurafenib had a marked effect on G0/G1 phase frequency compared with the control

Figure 3. In vitro comparison of the effect of PHA-665752 and vemurafenib on the cell cycle. Effect of chemotherapy on cell cycle progression in (A) HT-29 and (C) RKO cells. The cycle distribution of apoptotic cells percentage in (B) HT-29 and (D) RKO cells. Tumor cells were treated with PHA-665752, vemurafenib, or a combination of the two, after which cell distribution in different phases of the cell cycle was analyzed by flow cytometry. Experiments were repeated three times. *P<0.05.

Figure 4. Tumor growth curves showing the in vivo antitumor effect of PHA-665752, vemurafenib, and a combination of the two. Tumor size was measured starting after 6 days and then once every 3 days. The LSD post hoc test was used for statistical analysis to compare differences between groups (n=10/group). *P<0.05. LSD, least significant difference.
in both CRC cell lines (Fig. 3C and D; P<0.05). This finding implied that HT-29 cells in G0/G1 phase were killed by vemurafenib, whereas RKO cells in G0/G1 were merely blocked by PHA-665752; their effect was significantly potentiated when the drugs were combined.

Combined PHA-665752 and vemurafenib treatment is more effective than either agent alone in suppressing growth of mouse xenografts bearing CRC cells. Thirty days after being subcutaneously implanted in the left abdominal region, tumor volumes were measured. Tumors that received combined treatment, PHA-665752 alone, vemurafenib alone, or DMSO were: 549.22±240.93, 1207.25±129.53, 1137.31±220.21, 1213.85±295.34 mm³, respectively (Fig. 4). Thus, tumor volume was significantly smaller in mice subjected to combined treatment (P<0.05). These findings suggested that combined treatment was more effective in controlling tumor growth in mice than either PHA-665752 or vemurafenib alone.

Combined therapy with PHA-665752 and vemurafenib indicates involvement of the PI3K/AKT and MEK/ERK signaling pathway in vivo. Finally, we used immunohistochemistry to evaluate the expression of p-c-Met, p-AKT, and p-ERK following inhibition with PHA-665752 alone, vemurafenib alone, or a combination of the two. Except for HGF, the expression of the other target proteins was significantly lower in mice treated with PHA-665752 plus vemurafenib than DMSO (Fig. 5). These findings suggest that effectiveness of the combined anticancer treatment may depend on a shift of the phosphorylation status of ERK and AKT.

Discussion

BRAF is a protein directly downstream from RAS in the classical MAPK cascade (8). RAS activates RAF by recruiting RAF and simulating its dimerization. In CRC, BRAF mutations occur most commonly at the V600 site, particularly in patients with right-side colon cancer, who are more likely to present with poorly differentiated tumors (9,10). Cancers with a BRAFV600E mutation have been shown to lead to a poor prognosis (11). Therefore, anti-BRAFV600E therapy is considered effective in oncotherapy. Vemurafenib, a selective inhibitor of BRAFV600E,
has achieved a high objective response rate in ~50% of melanomas, improving overall survival compared with traditional chemotherapy (4). In contrast to the high response rates seen in melanomas, therapeutic efficacy in CRC has been poorly characterized. In our study, we report that the c-Met inhibitor PHA-665752 could suppress CRC cell survival when used alone, whereas the BRAF V600E inhibitor vemurafenib had no significant effect on reducing CRC cell viability. Interestingly, dual inhibition of c-Met and BRAF V600E resulted in a significantly stronger inhibitory effect on cancer cells than either agent alone. Therefore, inhibition of BRAF signaling together with c-Met-targeted therapy may offer a new effective approach for CRC treatment in CRC patients with a BRAF V600E mutation.

Along with tumor initiation, progression, and even metastases, tumor cells' viability, proliferation, and death represent important parameters. Here, cell cycle analysis indicates that BRAF V600E and c-Met inhibitors alone can only block cell growth of RKO and HT-29 cells by inducing G0/G1 arrest. However, vemurafenib plus PHA-665752 inhibit cell proliferation of both cell lines, indicating that the antitumor effect of the combined treatment may be achieved by interrupting normal cell cycling profiles. The finding is in line with previous studies on inhibition of the cell cycle in gastric cancer and non-small cell lung cancer (12,13).

In vivo, cancer develops around a solid tumor in the context of a tumor microenvironment (14,15). This specific microenvironment is not only a cause but also the consequence of tumorigenesis. Tumor, mesenchymal, and nominal cells co-evolve dynamically through direct and/or indirect cellular interactions to elicit various specific biological programs (16,17). The tumor microenvironment is important for tumor initiation, maintenance, and even metastasis (18); however, how and why the tumor microenvironment can contribute to cancer remains unclear (19). In our study, PHA-665752 showed significant antitumor ability in vitro, but poor inhibition of tumor volume growth in vivo. This may be due to the complex microenvironment network composed of fibroblasts, multipotent stromal cells, blood vessels, immune cells, and secreted factors such as cytokines. Therefore, further studies involving manipulation of the tumor microenvironment could offer an approach to prevent and treat cancer.

Activation of the PI3K/AKT and MEK/ERK pathways is common in different types of cancer (20-23) and, in solid tumors, it is often associated with poor prognosis (24). AKT and ERK play crucial roles in cell proliferation, metastasis, angiogenesis, and drug response. The phosphorylated state of both AKT and ERK is indicative of the activity of tumor signaling (25,26). Moreover, a high expression of both proteins coincides with higher tumor cell viability and proliferation, and lower death in basic experiments, but a poorer prognosis in clinical practice (27). Finally, the PI3K/AKT pathway plays an important role in progression of CRC cells with a BRAF mutation, which can accelerate invasion, migration, and infiltration (28). Here, we treated CRC cells bearing the BRAF V600E mutation with vemurafenib and a c-Met inhibitor, individually or in combination. Immunohistochemistry revealed no significant decrease in expression of p-AKT and p-ERK after treatment with vemurafenib alone. However, the c-Met inhibitor synergized with vemurafenib to result in low expression of P-AKT and P-ERK. These findings constitute an indirect proof of the impact the combined therapy has in suppressing tumorigenesis and development. Furthermore, it suggests a possible mechanism for cancer cell resistance to vemurafenib and the BRAF inhibitor. Additional in-depth studies are warranted to explore the exact underlying mechanism.

In conclusion, combined treatment with PHA-665752 and vemurafenib suppresses in vitro and in vivo CRC cell growth more effectively than treatment with either agent alone. The targeting of c-Met combined with vemurafenib may represent an effective approach in the management of CRC patients with a BRAF V600E mutation.
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