Synergistic effects of cisplatin-caffeic acid induces apoptosis in human cervical cancer cells via the mitochondrial pathways
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Abstract. Cervical cancer (CxCa) is a major health problem globally and is associated with the presence of human papillomavirus infection. Cisplatin (CDDP) is a platinum-based chemotherapeutic agent. Owing to its side effects and drug-resistance, novel anticancer agents with lower toxicity, including caffeic acid (CFC), are of interest. However, the effects of CDDP and CFC in combination are, to the best of our knowledge, uninvestigated. The present study investigated the effectiveness of CDDP and CFC in combination and its mechanism of action on four human cervical cancer cell lines, which were compared with the Chlorocebus sabaeus normal kidney Vero cell line. Cell viability was evaluated using a sulforhodamine B assay. Caspase-Glo assay kits, measuring the activity of caspases-3, -7, -8 and -9, were used to detect caspase activation in HeLa and CaSki cell lines in response to CDDP and CFC in combination. The results revealed that CDDP and CFC alone reduced the proliferation of HeLa, CaSki, SiHa and C33A cell lines. Treatment with CFC exhibited no significant cytotoxicity towards Vero cells. In addition, CDDP-CFC significantly inhibited cell growth of HeLa and CaSki cell lines. In HeLa and CaSki cell lines, a combination index <1 for CDDP and CFC indicated the synergistic growth inhibition; the combination of the two also significantly increased expression of caspase-3, -7 and -9. In conclusion, CFC may be a candidate anticancer agent that, when use in combination, may increase the therapeutic efficacy of CDDP.

Introduction

Cervical cancer (CxCa) was the fourth most common cancer amongst women globally in 2012 (1). The major cause of CxCa is infection with human papillomavirus (HPV). HPV-16 and -18 are high-risk HPV subtypes (2). The platinum-based antitumor agent cisplatin (CDDP) is a chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of epithelial malignancies, including cervical (3), lung (4), ovarian (5) and testicular cancer (6). CDDP modifies DNA primarily at the N7-position of guanosine, causing inter- and intra-strand cross-links (7) and thus apoptosis (8). The clinical use of CDDP is often limited owing to its severe adverse effects (9) and the generation of chemoresistance (10).

Plants are major sources of phytochemicals. Polyphenolic compounds are involved in induction of apoptosis, growth arrest, inhibition of DNA synthesis and modulation of signal transduction pathways in cancer cells (11-13). Prior studies have demonstrated that phytochemicals have anti-oxidative (14), anti-inflammatory (15) and anticancer (16) activities. The use of phytochemicals for the treatment of cancer may enhance the efficacy of chemotherapy, lowering toxicity to normal cells. Therefore, phytochemicals in combination with CDDP may reduce the side effects caused by CDDP treatment alone. A previous study reported that tea polyphenols enhance the effect of CDDP in cervical cancer cells via the induction of apoptosis (17). Caffeic acid (CFC) is a simple phenolic compound (Fig. 1) identified primarily in coffee and specific herbs, particularly thyme, sage and spearmint (18). It was reported that CFC interacted synergistically with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), leading to a reduction of apoptosis in HeLa cell line with minimum amount of hemolytic activity (19). Considering the aforementioned, CDDP and CFC were selected and their CxCa anticancer activity was assessed in combination.

Materials and methods

Reagents. CDDP was obtained from Masu Co., Ltd. (Bangkok, Thailand). CFC was obtained from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). DMEM-high glucose medium, fetal bovine serum (FBS) and trypsin-EDTA were obtained from Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. (Waltham, MA, USA).
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Cell lines and cell culture. Four cell lines, HeLa (HPV-18-positive), SiHa and CaSki (HPV-16-positive), and C33A (HPV-negative) cervical cancer cells and normal monkey epithelial kidney Vero cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in DMEM-high glucose medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Once the cells were ~80% confluent, they were trypsinized with 1 ml of 1X trypsin-EDTA, incubated at 37°C for 5 min and centrifuged at 250 x g for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant was then removed, and 200 µl of seeding cells were resuspended in 4 ml of DMEM-high glucose medium. Under these conditions, cells reached confluence in 3 days. Cells were then treated with the test compounds.

In vitro cytotoxicity assay. The in vitro cytotoxic effect of the test compounds was determined using a sulfonfomadine B (SRB) assay (20). Briefly, cell lines (6x10³ cells/well) were seeded in a 96-well plate for 24 h (day 0). Next, these cells were treated with various concentrations of CDDP (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 24 µM) and CFC (20, 50, 100, 200, 400 and 800 µM) for 24 h. Cells treated with 1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were used as a negative control. Following this, cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 24 h, medium was replaced with 100 µl cold 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid in each well, and plates were incubated at 4°C for 1 h. Next, the plates were washed four times with tap water and excess water was removed by paper towels and was completed dried using a blow dryer or air-dried at room temperature. Next, 100 µl of 0.1% (w/v) SRB solution was added to each well and left at room temperature for 1 h. Following this, the plates were quickly rinsed four times with 1% (v/v) acetic acid, 200 µl of 10 mM Tris base solution (pH 10.5) was added to each well and the plates were shaken on a gyratory shaker for 1 h. Finally, the optical density (OD) of solution in the plates was measured using a microplate reader at 510 nm. Each concentration of drug treatment was repeated for three independent experiments. Cell viability was calculated by using the following formula: Cell viability (%) = [(mean OD_50 - mean OD_blank)] x100.

For the half-maximal inhibitory concentration determination (IC₅₀), a dose-response curve between the compound concentration and percent cell viability was plotted. The cytotoxicity of the test compounds was compared between the CxCa and Vero cell lines.

Estimation of combination index (CI). To estimate the CI of CDDP-CFC, the concentration of CDDP and CFC used in this experiment was a series of 1.5-fold dilutions of IC₅₀ values. In the present study, HeLa cells were treated with CDDP-CFC at various concentrations (3.25 and 88.88, 4.88 and 133.31, 7.32 and 200, 11 and 300, and 16.5 and 450 µM CDDP and CFC, respectively), CaSki cells were treated with CDDP-CFC at the following concentrations: (3.25 and 59.27, 4.88 and 88.88, 7.32 and 133.31, 11 and 200, and 16.5 and 300 µM CDDP and CFC, respectively), and Vero cells were treated with CDDP-CFC at various concentrations (3.25 and 88.88, 4.88 and 133.31, 7.32 and 200, 11 and 300, 16.5 and 450, and 24.7 and 675 µM CDDP and CFC, respectively). After 24 h, cell growth was examined using the SRB assay. The effect of CDDP-CFC, quantified by determining CI, was performed using the Chou-Talalay algorithm (21) using CalcuSyn software (version 1.1; Biosoft, Cambridge, UK). A CI value of 1 indicates an additive effect, CI<1 represents synergism and CI>1 represents antagonism. The dose reduction index (DRI), which is defined as the degree of dose reduction possible in a combination for a given degree of effect, compared with the dose of each drug alone, was also calculated using this software.

Caspases activity assay. Apoptosis pathway analysis was performed by observing caspase activity using Caspase-Glo-3/-7, -8 and -9 assay kits (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). Cell lines (6x10³ cells) were seeded into 96-well plates. CDDP alone (11 µM), CFC (300 µM) and CDDP (11 µM) or CFC alone (200 µM) was added to HeLa and CaSkI cells, which were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. A total of 100 µl Caspase-Glo-3/-7, -8 and -9 reagents were then added, the plates were shaken for 30 sec, followed by incubation at room temperature for 1 h. For the negative control, no CDDP or CFC was added. The blank control contained Caspase-Glo-3/-7, -8 and -9 reagents without cells and CDDP-CFC. Following this, luminescent signal was detected by using a SpectraMax L Luminescence microplate reader (Molecular Devices, LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The data was analyzed using Soft Max® Pro software (version 6.2.2; Molecular Devices, LLC, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). The differences between testing groups were determined using Tukeys post hoc test following one-way analysis of variance. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All analyses were performed using SPSS (version 17; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The correlation coefficient, CI and DRI were calculated using CalcuSyn software (version 1.1; Biosoft, Cambridge, UK).

Results

Cytotoxicity of CDDP and CFC on cervical cancer cell lines. CDDP and CFC significantly inhibited the growth of CxCa cell lines (Fig. 2). IC₅₀ of CDDP in HeLa, CaSki, SiHa, C33A and Vero cells was 12±1.57, 10±0.00, 13±13.32, 10±0.50 and 18±1.22 µM, respectively. All CxCa cell lines, other than HeLa, had a significant lower IC₅₀ for CDDP than did Vero cells. The results in Fig. 2 show that CFC significantly inhibited the growth of HeLa, CaSki, SiHa, C33A and Vero cells, with IC₅₀ values of 327±11.55, 220±18.03, 157±15.28, 40±3.21 and 487±30.55 µM, respectively. In the present study, a 1% concentration of DMSO was tested in each of the cell lines. The results demonstrated that the percentage of cell viability
Effects of cisplatin in combination with CFC on cervical cancer cells. The effects of CDDP and CFC in combination on inhibition of HeLa, CaSki and Vero cell viability was determined using isobologram analysis, as described previously (16). The proxies for the combined effects were i) the dose-reduction index (DRI), ii) the combination index (CI) and iii) the dose-effect levels of cell growth inhibition at the IC50, IC75 and IC90 (Table I). CDDP and CFC exerted a synergistic effect on HeLa and CaSki cells, but an antagonistic effect on Vero cells (Fig. 3). The highest synergistic effect dose of CDDP and CFC was found in HeLa cells, at the IC50, IC75 and IC90 gave a CI of 0.88, 0.77 and 0.69, respectively (Table I). The lowest synergistic effect dose of CDDP-CFC was found in CaSki cells (CI value at IC90=0.92) (Table I).

As a result of the observed synergistic effect of CDDP and CFC, there was a considerable reduction in the DRI. At a dose level corresponding to synergistic drug combinations, the DRI indicated that the IC50 of CDDP could be decreased 2.18-fold (HeLa) and 1.98-fold (CaSki) (Table I).

CDDP, CFC and a combination of CDDP and CFC were tested for their cytotoxicity in CaSki (HPV-16-positive) and HeLa (HPV-18-positive) cell lines, compared with the Vero cell line. CaSki and HeLa cells treated with CDDP and CFC (11 and 300 µM, respectively) had statistically significant higher percentage of cell inhibition than those treated with CDDP or CFC alone (Fig. 4). No significance in percentage of cell inhibition was found when Vero cells were treated with CDDP-CFC, compared to CDDP and CFC alone.

CDDP-CFC treatment on cervical cancer cells induced apoptosis. CDDP and CFC in combination increased caspase-3, -7 and -9 activity (apoptosis via the intrinsic pathway) to a greater degree than using either CDDP or CFC alone (Fig. 5). In Fig. 5A, CDDP and CFC, at 11 and 300 µM, respectively, significantly activated the expression of the caspase-3 and -7 in HeLa cells by 4.02- and 6.34-fold compared with CDDP and CFC alone, respectively. Similarly, caspase-9 expression was significantly increased by CDDP and CFC combination treatment, compared with CDDP or CFC alone.

Similar results were obtained for CaSki cells (Fig. 5B). The increase in caspase-3 and -7 expression upon treatment with CDDP-CFC, was 1.87-fold higher than CDDP treatment alone and was 2.51-fold higher than CFC treatment alone. Caspase-9 was increased by 2.29-fold, when treated with a combination of CDDP and CFC, which was significantly higher than that of cells treated with 11 µM CDDP (1.88-fold) and treated with CFC (1.26-fold). In addition, CDDP, CFC and CDDP-CFC affected caspase-8 activity less than caspase-3, -7 and -9.

In the present study, when a combination of CDDP and CFC was used to treat HeLa and CaSki cells, the expression of caspase-3 and -7 was increased compared with the expression of caspase-9 by 7.70-fold (black bar; Fig. 5A) and by 1.67-fold (black bar; Fig. 5B), respectively. For HeLa cells treated with CFC, the expression of caspase-3 and -7 was 1.43-fold higher than the expression of caspase-9 (diagonal bar; Fig. 5A), but in CaSki cells, the expression of caspase-9 was 0.84-fold higher than the expression caspase-3 or -7 (diagonal bar; Fig. 5B).

Discussion

Chemoresistance is one of the major problems encountered in CxCa therapy. CDDP is an anticancer drug used for the treatment of CxCa; however, there are numerous side effects and drug resistance is frequently developed (24,25). These issues require the investigation of a novel anticancer agent, potentially derived from natural sources. In the present study, the CxCa CaSki, SiHa, HeLa and C33A cell lines were selected for the experiments owing to their different properties. CaSki and SiHa are HPV-16-positive, whereas HeLa is HPV-18-positive (26). C33A is HPV-negative with mutations to tumor protein p53 (hereafter p53) (27). It was reported that SiHa cells were more resistant to drug treatment than CaSki cells (28) and that HeLa is more sensitive to CDDP than CaSki (29). C33A is the most responsive of the CxCa cell lines examined in the present study to a combination of chemotherapy and radiation (28). Vero cells, isolated from African green monkey kidney epithelial cells, was used as normal control instead of normal human cervical cells due to ethical issues, which is a limitation in the present study. However, previous studies (30,31) have also used Vero cells as control.
DMSO is often used in biological studies for solubilizing drugs or studied compounds.

The combination of phytochemicals with anticancer drugs may result in a synergistic, antagonistic or additive effect in the treatment of cancer. The advantage of synergism is that it can increase the efficacy of therapy; it can also decrease dosage of the compound used which may lead to a reduction in drug toxicity (32). CFC (Fig. 1) is a phytochemical with anticancer properties in CxCa cells (16). Previous studies revealed that CFC altered the development of tumors by inhibiting cell growth and modifying the levels of estrogen and insulin-like growth factor I receptors in human breast cancer (33), exhibiting a potent anticancer effect in the human fibrosarcoma HT-1080 cell line (34), alteration of the mitochondrial membrane potential and induction of mitochondrial collapse (35). The results indicated that CFC exhibited lower cytotoxicity than CDDP. In C33A cells, the IC_{50} value of CFC was 4-fold higher than that of CDDP (40±3.21 vs. 10±0.50 µM). The IC_{50} of CFC and CDDP combined, were obtained for SiHa, CaSki, HeLa and Vero cells as follows: 12, 22, 27 and 27-fold compared to CDDP, respectively. Therefore, CaSki and HeLa cells were selected for assessing the combination of CDDP and CFC, compared with Vero cells.

CDDP and CFC exhibited a higher synergistic effect in HeLa cells than in Caski cell lines (CI value at IC_{75}=0.77 vs. 1.36) (Table I and Fig. 3). A similar study reported that 5-FU in combination with CFC (5-FU and CFC), at the IC_{75} in HeLa cells, exhibited a strong synergistic effect (14). The result of DRI (Table I) demonstrated that CDDP and CFC in combination can reduce the effective dose of CDDP for HeLa cells by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Parameter, r</th>
<th>CI value</th>
<th>DRI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IC_{50}</td>
<td>IC_{75}</td>
<td>IC_{90}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa</td>
<td>CDDP</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CFC</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDDP-CFC</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaSki</td>
<td>CDDP</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CFC</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDDP-CFC</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Molar ratio of IC_{50}. CI, combination index; DRI, dose reduction index; CDDP, cisplatin; CFC, caffeic acid; r, correlation coefficient; IC_{50}, half maximal inhibitory concentration.

Figure 3. Cytotoxicity of CDDP and CFC in combination on (A) HeLa, (B) CaSki and (C) Vero. The cells were treated with the appropriate concentrations of CDDP (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 24 µM) and CFC (20, 50, 100, 200, 400 and 800 µM) for 24 h. Plots of the combination index vs. fraction of cells affected were obtained using the median-effect analysis program. Dashed lines indicate a CI of 1. CDDP, cisplatin; CFC, caffeic acid.
CDDP combined with CFC could inhibit CaSki and HeLa cell growth; however, no effect was found on Vero cells. The concentration of CDDP and CFC used in the present study were based on the IC\textsubscript{50} values of HeLa cells. CDDP (11 \(\mu\)M), CFC (300 \(\mu\)M) and the same concentration of CDDP combined with CFC could inhibit CaSki and HeLa cell growth; however, no effect was found on Vero cells.

CDDP is often used in combination with natural compounds for enhancing treatment of cancer (6). According to the success of 5-FU and CFC in combination as anticancer agents in HeLa cells (19), the present study assumes that the carboxylic (-COOH) group of CFC binds with the ammonia (-NH\textsubscript{3}) group in CDDP, forming CDDP-CFC. A previous study revealed that CDDP cross-linked with DNA and altered DNA conformation, leading to DNA damage (36).

The mechanism of action of CDDP and CFC combined in the CxCa cell lines in the present study was investigated via the apoptotic pathway. Under normal condition, p53 initiates apoptosis in response to cellular stress. Previous reports state that CDDP increased expression of p53 protein in HeLa (37) and CaSki cell lines (38). The CxCa cells might be more sensitive to CDDP as a result of dormant p53 tumor suppressor pathways (39). These previous studies support the findings of the present study, indicating that the return of p53 expression may contribute to the chemosensitivity of CxCa cells. CDDP and CFC in combination may induce apoptosis by activating DNA damage. The present study is in agreement with that of Ye et al (40), which found that expression of p53 could lead to the induction of apoptosis by activating DNA damage in osteosarcoma cell lines. One limitation of the present study is that the expression of proteins involved in apoptotic pathways was not determined. Measuring the expression of p53, anti-apoptosis (B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2) and Bcl-xL) and pro-apoptosis proteins (Bcl-associated X and Bcl-2 homologous antagonist/killer Bak) and should be further investigated to aid elucidation the mechanism of CDDP-CFC-induced apoptosis. The results of the present study (Fig. 5) indicated that CDDP and CFC in combination induced apoptosis via the intrinsic pathway. CDDP and CFC in combination significantly increased the expression of caspase-3, -7 and -9 in HeLa and CaSki cells compared with treatment with either alone. CDDP may induce apoptosis better compared with CFC as the expression of caspase-3 and 7 in HeLa and CaSki cells, which was induced by CDDP, was significantly increased compared with that induced by CFC (Fig. 4). Previous studies reported that CDDP induced apoptosis through the caspase cascade pathway (41) and CFC induced apoptosis via the intrinsic pathway (16). In addition, CDDP, CFC and CDDP and CFC in combination affected caspase-8 activity less than that of the other caspase (caspase-3, -7 and -9) (Fig. 5). As aforementioned, the expression of caspase-8 was lower than that of caspase-3, -7 and -9, as the expression of caspase-3, -7 and -9, but not that of caspase-8, was detected at 12 h following drug treatment.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated that CDDP and CFC in combination synergistically inhibited the growth of cells and induced apoptosis in HeLa and CaSki cells. The mechanism that is most likely to be behind the efficacy of this treatment is the modulation of apoptosis-regulated expression (i.e. the activation of caspase-3, -7 and -9). No cytotoxicity induced by CDDP and CFC in combination was observed for Vero cells, indicating the feasibility of using CDDP in combination with CFC as an adjunct to chemotherapy for the management of CxCa. Further in vivo and clinical studies should be conducted to determine the effectiveness of CDDP and CFC in combination for the treatment of CxCa.
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