Abstract. Sarcoma is a rare and heterogeneous type of cancer with an early mean onset age and a poor prognosis. However, its genetic basis remains unclear. A series of recent genomic studies in sarcomas have identified the occurrence of mutations in the α-thalassemia/mental retardation syndrome X-linked (ATRX) gene. The ATRX protein belongs to the SWI/SNF family of chromatin remodeling proteins, which are frequently associated with α-thalassemia syndrome. Cancer cells depend on telomerase or the alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) pathway to overcome replicative programmed mortality. Loss of ATRX is associated with ALT in sarcoma. In the present review, recent whole genome and/or whole exome genomic studies are summarized. In addition ATRX immunohistochemistry and ALT fluorescence in situ hybridization in sarcomas of various subtypes and at diverse sites, including osteosarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma, angiosarcoma and chondrosarcoma are evaluated. The present review involves certain studies associated with the molecular mechanisms underlying the loss of ATRX controlling the activation of ALT in sarcomas. Identification of the loss of ATRX and ALT in sarcomas may provide novel methods for the treatment of aggressive sarcomas.
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1. Introduction

The α-thalassemia/mental retardation syndrome X-linked (ATRX) protein encoded by the ATRX gene (NM_000489: c.6130C>T, p.Leu2044Phe) belongs to the SWI/SNF DNA helicase chromatin remodeling protein family, and contains a plant homeodomain (PHD)-like domain and an ATPase/helicase domain. It was first reported to undergo alterations during cell cycle-dependent phosphorylation, which is closely associated with its nuclear matrix at interphase, condensed chromatin, particularly at the M phase onset, and chromosomal segregation (1).

Mutations in the ATRX gene are associated with a wide and clinically heterogeneous spectrum of X-linked mental retardation (XLMR) syndrome, frequently accompanied by α-thalassemia (ATRX) syndrome, a difficultly diagnosed genetic disorder comprising dysmorphic features, microcephaly, severe intellectual disability, cryptorchidism and mild anemia (1). To date, 66 mutations have been reported, primarily located in the PHD-like domain, and an ATPase/helicase domain. It was first reported to undergo alterations during cell cycle-dependent phosphorylation, which is closely associated with its nuclear matrix at interphase, condensed chromatin, particularly at the M phase onset, and chromosomal segregation in mitosis (1).

Mutations in the ATRX gene are associated with a wide and clinically heterogeneous spectrum of X-linked mental retardation (XLMR) syndrome, frequently accompanied by α-thalassemia (ATRX) syndrome, a difficultly diagnosed genetic disorder comprising dysmorphic features, microcephaly, severe intellectual disability, cryptorchidism and mild anemia (1). To date, 66 mutations have been reported, primarily located in the PHD-like domain, which is regarded as the major mutation hot-spot (2). These mutations have been demonstrated to induce distinct variation in the pattern of DNA methylation, which may provide a connection between chromatin remodeling and gene expression in dynamic processes. It has been reported that multiple alternatively spliced transcript variants encode diverse isoforms. Several lines of evidence have indicated the effect of ATRX in the regulation of chromatin remodeling, and protein and DNA interactions (3,4). A total of two primary functional domains have been predicted in the light of protein structural motifs (Fig. 1): On the one hand, a PHD-like domain, encoded by exons 7, 8 and 9 (5# part); and on the other hand, a helicase domain located at the C-terminus, encoded by exons 18, 19, 20 and 21. These two domains have been reported to serve a role as chromatin remodelers (5). A third domain, predicted as a coiled coil structure, has been demonstrated to interact particularly with EZH2, a protein belonging to the polycomb multigenic family that is involved in histone methylation and deacetylation (6). Mutations in the ATRX gene have been
identified in recent whole genome and/or whole exome genomic studies in sarcomas, particularly osteosarcoma (7-10).

Telomeres, the short, non-protein coding repeated hexan-meric TTAGGG sequences at each end of a chromosome, are involved in the control of genome integrity and chromosomal stability, and the development of cancer cells (11). During chromosome replication in somatic cells, telomeres shorten at each duplication, as the synthesis of Okazaki fragments requires RNA primers to attach ahead on the lagging strand so that the gap between the final RNA primer and the end of the chromosome cannot be completed, and 3' overhangs occur (12). Cells may be alive without division until meeting with a barrier called ‘crisis’, at which a majority of them will undergo programmed cell death. However, pre-malignant cells may cross the crisis barrier by altering the telomere length pathway, leading to cancer initiation by inducing chromosomal instability (13,14). Telomerase activation and the alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) pathway have been proposed as the main telomere maintenance mechanisms underlying the eternal proliferation capacity, and blocking genetic disorders induced by telomere dysfunction. The length of telomeres is primarily maintained by telomerase activation or, in 10-20% of cases, particularly gliomas and sarcomas, by the ALT pathway (15). The activation of ALT reduces tumor relapse in mouse models, although it remains to be elucidated how the molecular mechanisms control the activation of ALT (16,17).

Cancer cells, which resist cellular replicative senescence, become immortal cells by activating telomerase or the ALT pathway (18,19). ALT exists in 5-15% of all types of human cancer and it is common in specific types of cancer, including osteosarcoma and glioblastoma (20), suggesting that ALT contributes to genomic instability. ATRX is part of a multipro-tein complex that regulates telomere maintenance; the loss of the protein ATRX is associated with ALT in cancer, which is frequently mutated in osteosarcoma patients. Sarcomas are a rare and heterogeneous group of tumors with an earlier mean onset age than other types of epithelial cancer, accounting for 20% of childhood cancer and 10% of adolescent and young adult cancer, in addition to various clinical manifestations, differentiations, histopathological types, molecular pathogeneses and prognoses. However, the genetic basis for musculoskeletal sarcoma remains largely unknown (21). Epidemiological studies have identified a firm genetic component of sarcomas and a number of familial cancer syndromes have been reported, which is a characteristic of sarcomas (22). Recently, a published genetic-association study concerning 1,162 patients with sarcoma from four different clinical cohort studies demonstrated that 55% of these patients had underlying monogenic or polygenic variations. Except TP53, BRCA2, ATM and ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related kinase (ATR), a surprisingly excess of functional mutations were identified in ERCC2, which is a transcription factor required for nucleotide excision repair. The greater the accumulated burden of multiple pathogenic variants, the earlier the age at which the cancer was diagnosed. To a certain degree, these data demonstrated that sarcoma has a genetic etiology (23). The musculoskeletal sarcoma National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines (www.nccn.org) have recommended genetic testing and consulting for patients with a clinical and/or family history of genetic cancer syndromes associated with a high risk of the development of musculoskeletal sarcoma (21,24).

Cytogenetic variants form one of the earliest and most impactful factors in the classification of musculo-skeletal sarcoma. Since the first discovery of the t(11;22) (q24;q12) variant in Ewing sarcoma, a cytogenetic catalog of archives of chromosomal alterations specifying distinct mesenchymal tumor entities has been created (cgap.nci.nih.gov/Chromosomes/Mitelman) (25-27). According to cytogenetic variant evidence, sarcomas may be broadly distributed into two groups: i) Sarcomas with simple karyotypes, frequently involving specific genetic alterations, including specific oncogenic mutations and reciprocal chromosomal translocations; and ii) sarcomas with complex unbal-anced karyotypes, usually involving non-specific genetic abnormalities, including chromosomal number alterations, translocations, and large amplifications or deletions that may be illustrated [karyotyping, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)]. The present review summarizes recent whole genome and/or whole exome genomic studies, in addition to ATRX immunohistochemistry and ALT FISH, in sarcomas of various subtypes and in diverse sites, including osteo-sarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma, angiosarcoma and chondrosarcoma. Additionally, the present review involves a few studies associated with the molecular mechanisms underlying the loss of ATRX controlling the activation of ALT in sarcomas. Testing for the loss of ATRX and ALT in sarcomas may facilitate the identification of novel targets for the treatment of aggressive sarcomas.

2. Loss of ATRX in sarcomas

Mutations in the ATRX gene have been discovered in a series of sarcomas, including osteosarcoma, leiomyosarcoma and chondrosarcoma. The first report of diagnosed osteosarcoma in two brothers with ATRX syndrome suggested a potential increased risk of cancer in patients with this disorder (28). A 22-year-old Caucasian man was reported in 2017 to exhibit a previously unidentified mutation in ATRX associated with osteosarcoma (29). All these data suggested that patients with ATRX syndrome may be at a potential increased risk of developing osteosarcoma, although the molecular mechanism of ATRX loss-of-function mutations in osteosarcoma remains unclear at present (30,31).

Long-term studies by Liau et al have provided evidence of the frequency of ATRX expression loss in 519 sarcomas samples (30). Those studies identified 85 tumors in those samples (85/519, 16%) arising from ATRX loss, consisting of 83 sarcomas with complex cytogenetics and two sarcomas with fusion genes; the details of the sarcoma types are presented in Table I. The loss of ATRX expression with complex cytogenetics was significantly more frequent in sarcoma compared with fusion-associated sarcoma (30). The previous studies of Liau et al reported additional details. For example, the loss of ATRX in leiomyosarcoma was correlated with cell modalism, poor differentiation, necrosis, estrogen receptor expression, lower patient age and smaller tumor size. There was no significant association with tumor site, compared among uterine and non-uterine, non-retroper-itoneal or non-intra-abdominal sites (32).
Furthermore, an osteosarcoma discovery cohort identified the somatic mutation landscapes of 34 primary and metastatic pediatric osteosarcomas via whole-genome sequencing, reported Chen et al (33). A total of five osteosarcomas exhibited ATRX point mutations, and five exhibited structural variations or focal deletions impacting the ATRX gene coding regions. In addition, upon analysis with immunohistochemistry (IHC), 69% (13/19) of the tumors were ATRX-positive.

A series of studies have used a next generation sequencing (NGS) panel to examine common cancer-associated genetic alterations. Lee et al (34) reported that 25 leiomyosarcomas occurring in multiple sites were associated with the frequent gene alterations in the following proportions: TP53 (36%), ATM and ATRX (16%), and EGFR and RB1 (12%). Furthermore, Mäkinen et al (35) reported that 43 genes exhibited mutations in 19 uterine leiomyosarcoma (ULMS) tumors, including the following frequently mutant genes: TP53 (6/19, 33%), ATRX (5/19, 26%), and mediator complex subunit 12 (MED12; 4/19, 21%), demonstrated by whole-exome sequencing. However, all the ATRX alterations were either frameshift or nonsense mutations, opposite to TP53 and MED12 which are all the identified alterations. In addition, ATRX protein expression levels were analyzed by IHC in a total of 44 ULMS tumors, indicating markedly reduced ATRX expression in 23 tumors (23/44, 52%). Yang et al (36) analyzed the genetic alterations in 44 cancer-associated genes via NGS in 54 leiomyosarcomas. The most frequently mutated genes were identified, including TP53 mutations in 19 of the leiomyosarcomas (19/54, 35%) and ATRX mutations in 9 of the tumors (9/54, 17%). Notably, the ATRX mutations were associated with low-differentiation or undifferentiated leiomyosarcomas (P=0.028), and the existence of tumor necrosis (P=0.015). In addition, leiomyosarcoma patients with ATRX mutations exhibited a poorer prognosis compared with ATRX-wild-type patients, as demonstrated by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis.

Hartmann et al (37) reported that isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH)-1 or -2 mutations have been detected in gliomas (60-80%) and cholangiocarcinomas (7-28%). Notably, the loss of ATRX occurred in the IDH-mutant gliomas. However, without relevance in cholangiocarcinomas was evaluated by IHC 2 of 36 (5.6%) and usual chondrosarcomas showed complete negative of ATRX (38).

3. Loss of ATRX is associated with the ALT pathway in sarcoma

Previous studies have suggested that the ALT pathway exists in the majority of cancer types. Previous studies analyzed the frequency of ALT correlating with the loss of ATRX in soft tissue sarcomas. Liau et al characterized the significant association between the ALT pathway and ATRX status in 519 sarcoma samples (P<0.001) (30). It was reported that the association was relatively similar in undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma, leiomyosarcoma and pleomorphic liposarcoma (Table 1). Notably, over 50% tumors are identified to be ALT-positive in which ATRX deficient were observed about 50%. By contrast, only a few malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors and embryonal rhabdomyosarcomas were ALT-positive or exhibited a loss of ATRX (or both), which indicated that ALT status and the loss of ATRX were likely to be associated with katagenesis and dedifferentiation variation (30). Additionally, these previous studies included certain essential information. For example, deletion of ATRX was observed in 33% of the leiomyosarcomas, and all of them were ALT-positive except...
for two ALT-negative tumors out of all the ATRX-deletion tumors. The ALT phenotype and ATRX deletion are both associated with cell morphology, tumor necrosis and differentiation. In addition, ALT-positivity and younger patient age were independent poor-prognostic risk factors in the multivariate analysis (32). Furthermore, previous studies have reported that ALT was detected in 20-30% of liposarcomas (20,39). Notably, Lee et al (34) provided evidence of a perfect correlation between the loss of either ATRX or death domain-associated protein and the ALT status in 46 dedifferentiated liposarcoma samples (DDLS) via telomere-specific FISH and IHC, and the ALT status significantly indicated poor clinical outcomes, including poor overall survival and short progression-free survival (40,41). Notably, all the well-differentiated (WD) liposarcomas were ALT-negative (40,42).

Yang et al (36) reported that all ATRX-mutated leiomyosarcoma samples exhibited the ALT phenotype (P=0.008). Although the ATRX mutation was not correlated with the loss of ATRX expression, all the tumors with nonsense and frame shift mutations were ATRX-negative, according to IHC (36). Likewise, the loss of ATRX expression was confirmed in all uterine leiomyosarcoma samples. The loss of ATRX expression has been associated with ALT, as analyzed by telomere-specific FISH (35).

Similarly, myxofibrosarcoma was frequently positive for the ALT pathway (43). Recently, a KRAS-mutated KIT/PDGFRA-wild-type gastrointestinal stromal tumor was revealed to exhibit ATRX mutations (44). This tumor also exhibited anaplastic histological features and behaved aggressively, which indicates that the loss of ATRX expression and/or the acquisition of the ALT pathway may be associated with dedifferentiation and/or transdifferentiation of gastrointestinal stromal tumors, indicating a poor prognosis.

Similarly, Chen et al (33) demonstrated that 85% (12/14) of pediatric osteosarcomas used the ALT mechanism to maintain their telomeres detected by the WGS data, telomeres quantitative polymerase chain reaction and telomere-specific FISH. Furthermore, 58% of 12 ALT-positive osteosarcomas exhibited ATRX mutations.

By contrast, a previous study by Heaphy et al (20) reported that two out of six epithelioid sarcomas were ALT-positive, and none of these tumors were revealed to be ALT and ATRX deficient, implying that marked genetic alterations may have promoted tumor formation instead of the ALT mechanism in this series of tumors. Consequently, these were more similar to the fusion-associated sarcomas and gastrointestinal stromal tumors in a study undertaken by Liau et al (30).

### 4. Molecular mechanisms of the loss of ATRX controlling the activation of ALT in sarcomas

As mentioned earlier, the loss of ATRX expression is associated with ALT, but the molecular mechanisms controlling the activation of ALT remain unclear. Previous studies into the molecular mechanisms involved in sarcomas have been rare. Napier et al (45) primarily reported the direct and functional evidence that ATRX loss accelerates ALT. In the aforementioned study, the knockdown of ATRX in pre-crisis simian virus 40-transformed fibroblasts led to activated ALT or a significant decrease in the time prior to ALT activation. Furthermore, transiently upregulated ATRX expression was able to suppress ALT activity in ALT-positive cells.

Additionally, Flynn et al (46) reported that the loss of ATRX leads to the formation of a recombinogenic nucleoprotein complex consisting of ATR, replication protein A (RPA), and telomeric non-coding RNA. The inhibition of ATR, an essential recombination regulator recruited by RPA, disrupts
Table II. Overview of studies evaluating correlation between ATRX and ALT in sarcomas.

### A. Osteosarcoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year)</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Study evaluation</th>
<th>(Refs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Chen et al (2014) | 34 cases of paediatric osteosarcoma | Genome sequencing: 5/34 tumours with point mutations of ATRX and 5/34 tumours with focal deletions or SVs  
IHC: 6/19 negative for ATRX  
FISH: Activation of ALT found in 12/14, including 7 samples with ATRX mutation | (33) |

### B. Soft tissue sarcoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Study evaluation</th>
<th>(Refs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Liau et al (2015) | 92 samples of leiomyosarcoma (46 uterus, 29 Retroperitoneum, 16 other sites) | IHC: Loss of ATRX-expression in 30/92  
FISH: ALT activation present in 51/86 | (32) |
| Yang et al (2015) | 54 samples of leiomyosarcoma (24 uterus, 11 Retroperitoneum, 19 other sites) | Genome sequencing: ATRX mutations in 9/54 tumors  
IHC: Loss of ATRX-expression in 19/54  
FISH: ALT activation present in 33/54 | (36) |
| Lee et al (2017) | 25 samples of leiomyosarcoma (9 uterine/pelvic, 5 retroperitoneal/abdominal, 7 somatic soft tissue, 3 vascular, 1 other) | Genome sequencing: 5/25 leiomyosarcomas with mutations of ATRX | (34) |
| Mäkinen et al (2016) | 19 samples of Uterine leiomyosarcomas (ULMSs) for Exome sequencing; 44 ULMSs for IHC | Exome sequencing: 5/19 Uterine leiomyosarcomas with mutations of ATRX  
IHC: 23/44 ULMSs with clearly reduced ATRX expression | (35) |
| Lee et al (2015) | 111 cases of liposarcoma (28 well-differentiated, 52 dedifferentiated, 20 myxoid/round cell, 11 pleomorphic) | IHC: ATRX-loss in 13/52 dedifferentiated liposarcomas and 5/11 pleomorphic liposarcomas  
FISH: ALT activation in 8/10 pleomorphic, 14/46 dedifferentiated, 1/19 myxoid/round cell and 0/16 well-differentiated liposarcomas | (40) |
| Liau et al (2015) | 88 samples of angiosarcoma (34 skin, 13 liver, 7 breast, 54 others) | IHC: Loss of ATRX in 16/77 angiosarcomas  
FISH: Activation of ALT in 49/66 angiosarcoma samples | (42) |
| Cleven et al (2017) | 36 samples of conventional chondrosarcoma | IHC: 2/36 negative for ATRX | (38) |
ALT, and causes chromosome disruption and apoptosis in ALT-positive cells. Notably, cell death induced by ATR inhibitors is highly selective for ALT-positive cancer cells, proposing that ATR inhibitors may be used to treat ALT-positive cancer. At present, there have been two highly selective and effective ATR inhibitors, AZD6738 and VX-970, which have reached phase I clinical trials. These drugs are being used either as a monotherapy or combined with a series of genotoxic chemotherapies for the treatment of ALT-positive solid tumors and refractory cancer (47).

Furthermore, a series of studies have revealed the possible mechanism underlying the manner in which ATR loss leads to sarcoma formation. McFarlane and Preston (48) proved that the presence of ATRX inhibited the expression of human cytomegalovirus immediate early genes instead of herpes simplex virus type 1 in the osteosarcoma U2OS cell line, and the specific virus phosphoprotein PP71 was able to withstand the inhibition due to the disassembly of ATRX with nuclear domain 10 in human fetal foreskin fibroblast 2 cells. Kovatcheva et al (49) revealed that the turnover of mouse double minute 2 homolog (MDM2) and the expression of ATRX were crucial in WD and DDLS cell lines. Particularly, the effect of the ATRX expression is significant in cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) inhibitors responder inducing silence or consenescence. ATRX deficiency prevents the loss of MDM2, which may be blocked by ATRX C-terminal modifications. This discovery may directly guide the effective enhancement of CDK4 inhibitors in clinical cancer therapy.

5. Discussion and conclusion

The present review presented screening data for ALT status and ATRX alteration from a variety of sarcomas (Table II). It was surmised that recent whole genome and/or whole exome genomic studies, in addition to ATRX immunohistochemistry and ALT FISH, have been performed for various sarcomas in diverse sites, including osteosarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma, angiosarcoma and chondrosarcoma. Considering the results of these studies, it has been demonstrated that ALT serves an essential role in telomere maintenance in sarcomas, and is continually affected by ATRX expression loss. However, the correlation between ALT and ATRX expression loss differs among the sarcoma types and the differences are distinct. For certain tumors, particularly the myxofibrosarcomas, it appears that the mechanisms may be ascribed to ALT instead of the loss of ATRX expression in the majority of cases.

Recently, the cell death induced by ATR inhibitors, which were developed as feasible novel drugs for ATRX-deficient tumors, have demonstrated high selectivity for ALT-positive cancer cells, indicating that such types of inhibitors may be effective for the treatment of cancer with an ALT-dependent mechanism (46,48). ATR was reported to be crucial for homologous recombination and ALT, and inhibition of this kinase caused apoptosis in ALT-positive cancer cells. This demonstrates the significance and development of clinical genome analysis to assist diagnosis and facilitate individualized precision targeted therapies for sarcomas with complex pathologies. Identification of an ALT phenotype and the loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year)</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Study evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liau et al (2015)</td>
<td>96 samples of sarcoma</td>
<td>IHC: Loss of ATRX-expression 15/96 sarcomas; FISH: ALT activation present in 48/96 sarcomas, 27 Myxofibrosarcomas, 17 Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors, 9 Embryonal rhabdomyosarcomas, 15 Chondrosarcomas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of ATRX are not rarely seen in sarcomas, suggesting that ATR inhibitors may provide a novel option to treat these invasive neoplasms (46,48).

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81372180) and the Hunan Provincial Science and Technology Association program (grant no. 2017JJ-019) and the Hunan Provincial Science and Technology Association key-point program (grant no. 2017DK2013).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors’ contributions

XR, RM and ZT collected the associated articles about the ATRX gene. XR and CT analysed and summarized the data. XR wrote the paper and edited the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. CT analyzed and summarized the data. XR was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. ZL revised and checked the manuscript and gave the final approval of the version to be published. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

Multiple mechanisms of telomere maintenance exist in liposarcoma.


