Propofol inhibits Wnt signaling and exerts anticancer activity in glioma cells
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Abstract. Aberrant activation of Wnt signaling is implicated in gliomagenesis. Propofol, the most commonly used intravenous anesthetic agent in clinics, exhibits potent antitumor activity in a variety of cancer cells through different mechanisms. However, the role of propofol on Wnt signaling and glioma cell growth remains to be fully elucidated. In the present study, propofol was identified as a potent inhibitor of Wnt signaling. In 293T cells transfected with Wnt1 or Wnt3 expression plasmids or treated with Wnt3A-conditioned medium, propofol significantly inhibited the transcriptional activity of the SuperTopFlash reporter and the expression of Wnt target genes. The inhibitory effect of propofol on Wnt signaling was also observed in glioma cells. Further experiments demonstrated that propofol suppressed glioma cell growth by decreasing cell proliferation and enhancing cell apoptosis. Finally, the potential antitumor efficiency of propofol was confirmed using xenograft experiments in vivo. Taken together, the results indicated a novel mechanism for the anticancer activity of propofol and provide supporting evidence for its use as a prospective anticancer drug to treat glioma in patients with deregulated Wnt signaling.

Introduction

Wnt signaling is important in embryonic development, tissue homeostasis regulation and tissue regeneration upon injury (1), and the deregulation of Wnt signaling is implicated in the initiation and development of various types of cancer (2). β-catenin is a key component of Wnt signaling, and its stability and sub-cellular localization are tightly controlled. In the absence of Wnt ligands, cytoplasmic β-catenin protein is constantly degraded by a destruction complex composed of the scaffolding protein AXIN, adenomatous polyposis coli (APC), casein kinase 1 (CK1) and glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β) (3). CK1 and GSK3β sequentially phosphorylate β-catenin, promoting its degradation through an ubiquitination-proteasome pathway (4). The binding of Wnt proteins to frizzled (FZD) receptor and lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 or 6 (LRP5/6) co-receptors trigger the phosphorylation of LRP5 or LRP6. Phosphorylated LRP5 or LRP6 recruits AXIN to the plasma membrane and inactivates the β-catenin destruction complex, leading to the accumulation and nuclear translocation of β-catenin (5). In the nucleus, β-catenin forms a complex with the lymphoid enhancer factor/T-cell factor and activates the transcription of Wnt target genes, including AXIN2, cyclin D1 (CCND1), B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL2), naked cuticle homolog 1 (NKDI), and leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptor 5 (LGR5) (6).

Glioma is the most common form of neural malignancy and contributes to ~70% of malignant primary brain tumors each year (7). Despite therapeutic advances, the prognosis of malignant gliomas remains poor and the majority of patients eventually relapse (8). Increasing evidence demonstrates that the aberrant activation of Wnt signaling is crucial in gliomagenesis (9,10). Hyperactive Wnt signaling in glioma is mainly attributed to the overexpression of positive regulators and the silencing of negative regulators. WNT1, evenness interrupted (EV1), dishevelled 3, forkhead box M1 (FoxM1) and β-catenin, primary positive regulators of Wnt signaling, show higher expression in glioma specimens, compared with non-tumor brain tissues, and their expression levels are associated positively with the degree of glioma (11-15). Dickkopf (DKK1 and DKK3), antagonists of Wnt signaling, are frequently silenced through promoter hypermethylation (16). Functional studies have demonstrated that the ectopic expression of positive regulators of Wnt signaling,
including EVI (12) and FoxM1 (14), promotes glioma tumorigenesis, whereas DKK3 induces cell death in human malignant glioma (17). Therefore, targeting the Wnt signaling may be an effective therapeutic approach to treat patients with glioma.

Propofol is widely used as an intravenous anesthetic agent clinically due to its limited side effects and rapid recovery (18). In addition to its anesthetic advantages, accumulating clinical evidence demonstrates that cancer patients receiving propofol-paravertebral anesthesia during cancer surgery have reduced risk of recurrence and metastasis (19). In addition, studies have shown that propofol exhibits potent antitumor activity in a variety of human cancer cell lines, including lung cancer cells (20), colon carcinoma cells (21), cervical cancer cells (22), pancreatic cancer cells (23) and gastric cancer cells (24), through different molecular mechanisms. However, the effect of propofol on Wnt signaling and glioma cell growth remains to be elucidated. In present study, propofol was identified an inhibitor of Wnt signaling, and it was shown that propofol suppressed the expression of Wnt target genes and growth of glioma cells in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and reagents. The 293T cells and LN299 glioma cell line were obtained from Cobioer Biosciences Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China). The LN299 glioma cell line and 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Hyclone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Logan, UT, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. The cells were maintained in humidified incubators at 37˚C, 5% CO₂. Propofol was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; Merck Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany) and dissolved in either DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck Millipore) or soyabean oil (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

Luciferase reporter assay. The cells (3×10⁴ cells/well) were plated into 24-well plates. The following day, the cells were co-transfected with 300 ng of the TOPflash luciferase construct and 500 ng of control, Wnt1 or Wnt3 plasmid. The pCMXβ gal plasmid (30 ng) was co-transfected as an internal control. Wnt3a-conditioned medium (Wnt3a-CM) was prepared as previously described (25). At 24 h post-transfection, the cells were treated the indicated concentrations (1-10 µg/ml) of propofol. Following treatment with propofol for 48 h in humidified incubators at 37˚C in 5% CO₂, the cells were harvested for luciferase activity measurement. The luciferase assays were performed using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis. Total RNA was extracted from the 293T and LN299 cells using TRizol (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA (1 µg per sample) was reverse transcribed into cDNA using Reverse Transcriptase M-MLV (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RT-qPCR analysis was performed in an Applied Biosystems 7900 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) using the QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocols. A total of 0.5 µM each primer was added to a 20-µl RT-qPCR reaction mix containing 10 µl 2x QuantiTect SYBR Green, 500 ng cDNA, 0.5 µl QuantiTect RT Mix (Qiagen, Inc.) and RNase-free water. The thermocycling conditions were as follows: 95˚C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95˚C for 30 sec and 60˚C for 1 min. The average cycle quantitation (Cq), from triplicate assays, was used for further calculations. The endogenous GAPDH used as the normalization control. The 2^ΔΔCq Method (26) was used to quantify the relative levels of mRNA. The primer sequences were as follows: AXIN2, forward 5'-CCAAACACGGGCGA ACGAG-A-3' and reverse 5'-CGCCCAATAAGGGTGTA AGGAC-3'; CCND1, forward 5'-AATGACCCCGACACA TTTC-3' and reverse 5'-TCAGGTTCAGCCCTTGAC-3'; NKD1, forward 5'-GGGAACCTCTACCTCAAGGCC' and reverse 5'-GTCTCCGGATCCACCTCTCG-3'; LGR5, forward 5'-CTCTTCTCAAACCGCTG-3' and reverse 5'-GAT CGAGGCTAAGCACC-3'; BCL2L1, forward 5'-ATGTTGT GGTGAGAGCTCAA-3' and reverse 5'-AACAGTCCACAA AGGCATTCC-3'; GAPDH, forward 5'-CCAGAACATCAT CCCCTGCTTACT-3' and reverse 5'-GGTTTTCTTCTA GAAGCTAGGT-3'.

Western blot analysis. The cells were pelleted, washed twice in cold PBS and resuspended in 100 µl lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 % Nonidet P-40, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 % sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM NaF) supplemented with 1X protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) on ice for 30 min. Lysate was centrifuged for 5 min at 12,000 x g, 4˚C to remove cell debris and the supernatant was harvested. The protein concentration was measured using the Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The detailed protocol is described previously (27). An equal amount of protein per lane (50 µg) were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Richmond, CA, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 and then incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4˚C. Anti-tubulin antibody (cat. no. sc-101527) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; anti-β-catenin antibody (cat. no. 9857), anti-phosphorylated (p)LRP6 (Ser1490) antibody (cat. no. 2568), anti-LRP6 antibody (cat. no. 2560), anti-CCND1 antibody (cat. no. 9857), anti-phosphorylated (p)LRP6 (Ser1490) antibody (cat. no. 2568), anti-LRP6 antibody (cat. no. 2560), anti-CCND1 antibody (cat. no. 9857), anti-BCL2 antibody (cat. no. 2872) were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Beverly, MA, USA). Anti-pLRP6 antibody was used at a dilution of 1:500, anti-tubulin antibody was used at a dilution of 1:200, and other antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:100. Following incubation with the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (cat. no. HAF007 for mouse and cat. no. HAF008 for rabbit; R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) for 1 h at room temperature, the proteins were visualized using ECL detection reagent (Amersham; GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

Cell viability assays. The cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 2,000 per well. The following day, the cells were treated with different concentrations (0, 1, 2, 5
and 10 µg/ml) of propofol for 48 h. Cell survival was determined using a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The absorbance at 450 nm was detected using a multilabel plate reader. Each experiment was performed with three replicates per sample.

Analysis of cell proliferation and apoptosis. Ki67 quantification was performed to determine the effects of propofol treatment on cell proliferation. Briefly, the cells were harvested 48 h following propofol treatment and stained with anti-human Ki67 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) at a dilution of 1:200 overnight at 4˚C, followed by a FITC-conjugated mouse anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at a dilution of 1:2,000 for 1 h at room temperature prior to flow cytometric analysis. Cell apoptosis was assessed using an Annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit (BD Pharmingen, San Diego CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Briefly, the cells were harvested 48 h following propofol treatment and washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cells were stained with FITC-labeled Annexin V and PI for 15 min in the dark, followed by flow cytometric analysis. Data were analyzed using FlowJo software version 10 (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).

Xenograft animal model. Animal experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of Yangtze University (Jingzhou, China). Male, 5-6-week-old immune-deficient BALB/c nude mice were purchased from Vital River Experimental Animal Center (Beijing, China). The mice were housed in specific pathogen-free conditions in an environment with a 12-h light-dark cycle, a temperature of 22±0.5˚C and a relative humidity of 50±1%. The mice had ad libitum access to autoclaved rodent diet and deionized water treated by reverse osmosis. LN299 cells (5x10^6) in 0.1 ml serum-free medium were injected subcutaneously in the right flank of the nude mice. When the tumors reached ~100 mm^3, the mice were divided randomly into two groups (n=6/group) according to tumor volumes and body weights, and were treated with the vehicle (0.5 ml soybean oil) or 0.5 ml propofol (50 mg/kg) by intraperitoneal injection for 20 days (once each day). The tumor sizes and body weights were measured at indicated times. At the end of the experiments, the mice were sacrificed and tumors were harvested and weighed, followed by RT-qPCR and western blot analyses.

Statistical analysis. All data were analyzed using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All values are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Student’s t-test (unpaired) was used to detect the statistical significance between two groups. One-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett’s test was used to determine the statistical differences when comparing more than two groups. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.
Results

Inhibition of Wnt signaling by propofol. The present study first investigated the effect of propofol on the Wnt signaling in 293T cells. Due to the low endogenous Wnt signaling activity in 293T cells (28), the 293T cells were transfected with the SuperTopFlash reporter plasmid together with Wnt1 or Wnt3 expression plasmids, following which they were treated with increasing concentrations of propofol. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, Wnt1 or Wnt3 transfection significantly increased the transcriptional activity of the SuperTOPFlash reporter, compared with the basal levels, and propofol treatment suppressed the Wnt signaling induced by Wnt1 or Wnt3 in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, it was found that propofol dose-dependently inhibited the transcriptional activity of the SuperTopFlash reporter induced with Wnt3A-CM (Fig. 1C). To confirm the inhibitory role of propofol on Wnt signaling, the effect of propofol treatment on Wnt signaling target genes was examined in the 293T cells transfected with Wnt3 expression plasmids using RT-qPCR analysis. Compared with DMSO treatment (as a control), propofol treatment significantly reduced the expression levels of AXIN2, CCND1, NKD1 and LGR5, which are four well-known Wnt targets (Fig. 1D). Taken together, these data suggested that propofol inhibited Wnt signaling.

Propofol suppresses Wnt signaling in glioma cells. The present study then determined the effect of propofol on the Wnt signaling in glioma cells. The LN229 cells were transfected with the SuperTopFlash reporter plasmid and treated with propofol. As shown in Fig. 2A, propofol decreased the transcriptional activity of the SuperTOPFlash reporter. In addition, significant decreases in the protein levels of pLRP6, total LRP6 and total β-catenin were detected in the LN299 cells following propofol treatment, indicating the inhibition of Wnt signaling in the glioma cells by propofol (Fig. 2B). To confirm this inhibitory role, the present study examined the effect of propofol treatment on the expression of Wnt target genes, CCND1 and BCL2, which are two key genes involved in glioma cell proliferation and survival. Using RT-qPCR analysis, it was found that propofol treatment resulted in a marked decrease in the mRNA expression levels of CCND1 and BCL2 in LN299 cells (Fig. 2C). In agreement with the mRNA data, propofol markedly inhibited the protein levels of CCND1 and BCL2 in the glioma cells (Fig. 2D). These results suggested that propofol inhibited Wnt signaling in the glioma cells.

Propofol inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in glioma cells. As Wnt signaling is critical in glioma cell viability and it was shown that propofol suppressed Wnt signaling in glioma cells, the present study subsequently investigated the effect of propofol on glioma cell viability using a CCK-8 assay. As shown in Fig. 3A, propofol treatment dose-dependently inhibited the viability of the LN299 cells. Consistent with the inhibitory effect of propofol on the expression of CCND1, propofol treatment resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in the percentage of proliferating LN299 cells, as assessed by Ki67 staining (Fig. 3B). Additionally, propofol treatment led to a significant increase in the percentage of apoptotic LN299 cells, as measured by Annexin V staining (Fig. 3C). These results indicated that propofol inhibited the proliferation and induced the apoptosis of glioma cells, leading to suppressed glioma cell viability.

Propofol treatment inhibits the growth of xenograft tumors in nude mice and suppresses Wnt signaling in tumors. The above results demonstrated the inhibitory role of propofol on Wnt signaling and cell growth of glioma cells in vitro, therefore, the present study examined whether such an inhibitory effect occurs in vivo. A xenograft tumor model was established by injecting LN299 cells subcutaneously into the right dorsal flank of nude mice. When the average tumor volume reached ~100 mm³, the mice were randomly divided to two groups (n=6/group) and treated with an intraperitoneal injection with propofol (50 mg/kg). Soybean oil was used as a vehicle and to dissolve propofol for treating the xenograft animal, as it is non-toxic and safe for use in mice (29). Propofol treatment significantly suppressed tumor growth (Fig. 4A) but had no effect on body weight (Fig. 4B). Propofol also significantly decreased tumor weight (Fig. 4C). To examine whether propofol treatment suppressed Wnt signaling in the xenograft tumors, the expression levels of Wnt target genes were measured in primary tumors by RT-qPCR analysis. As shown in Fig. 4D, AXIN2, CCND1...
and BCL2 were significantly downregulated in the LN299 xenografts treated with propofol. In accordance with the mRNA results, propofol treatment also suppressed the protein levels of CCND1 and BCL2 in the LN299 xenografts (Fig. 4E). The protein levels of total β-catenin in the LN299 xenografts were also repressed by propofol treatment (Fig. 4E), confirming the inhibition of Wnt signaling in LN299 xenografts by propofol treatment.

**Discussion**

Propofol is one of the most widely used intravenous anesthetic agents for pain relief in patients in clinical surgery. In addition to its well-known anesthetic advantages, the anticancer effect of propofol has attracted increasing attention (18). In lung cancer cells, propofol can promote cell apoptosis by inducing endoplasmic reticulum stress (20). It has been reported that...
propofol inhibits cervical carcinoma cell growth via decreasing the HOTAIR-mediated mammalian target of rapamycin pathway (22). Propofol has also been found to attenuate prostate cancer cell malignancy through modulating the hypoxia-inducible factor-1α pathway (30). Li et al demonstrated that propofol reduced the level of matrix metalloproteinase, and suppressed the migration and invasion ability of breast cancer cells via inhibition of the nuclear factor-kB pathways (31). These findings demonstrate that propofol exerts antitumor activity in several types of cancer through various molecular mechanisms. However, whether propofol has any effects on Wnt signaling and glioma cell growth remains to be elucidated.

Wnt signaling is critical in the initiation and development of glioma. Wnt ligands (Wnt1, Wnt3 and Wnt5) and FZD receptors (FZD2, FZD4, FZD6, FZD7 and FZD9) are overexpressed in human gliomas (9,10). Multiple negative regulators of Wnt signaling, including FAT atypical cadherin 1, dkk1, NKD2, secreted FZD-related protein (sFRP1) and sFRP2, are down-regulated in gliomas (9). Although β-catenin mutations are rarely detected in gliomas, elevated levels of β-catenin and high nuclear accumulation are frequently found in glioma tissue and are positively correlated with poor prognosis (32). The transcriptional knockdown of Wnt pathway regulators, including Wnt2 and β-catenin, in glioma cells significantly represses cell proliferation and invasiveness, and induces apoptotic cell death (33). SEN461, a potent WNT signaling inhibitor, exhibits anticancer activity in gliomas (34). These findings indicate that WNT signaling is a potential therapeutic target in gliomas.

In the present study, the effect of propofol on WNT signaling was determined using a SuperTopFlash reporter assay and it was found that propofol treatment suppressed the transcriptional activity of the SuperTopFlash reporter in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, propofol significantly inhibited the protein levels of pLRP6 and total β-catenin and the expression of Wnt target genes in glioma cells, supporting the inhibition of Wnt signaling by propofol. Propofol treatment also inhibited the growth of glioma cells in vitro and in vivo, but had no significant effect on the body weight of propofol-treated mice. Consistent with the inhibitory role of propofol on Wnt signaling in vitro, propofol treatment significantly suppressed Wnt signaling in the xenograft tumors. These data demonstrated that propofol inhibited Wnt signaling and suppressed glioma cell growth. As Wnt signaling is also important in the migration and invasiveness of gliomas, and it was found that propofol decreased Wnt signaling in glioma cells (35), there is merit in examining whether propofol treatment affects glioma cell migration or invasiveness in future investigations.

In conclusion, the data obtained revealed that propofol is a novel potential Wnt signaling inhibitor, which suppressed the growth and survival of glioma cells in vitro and in vivo. The results indicate a novel mechanism of anticancer activity for propofol and provide novel insights into the signaling pathways regulated by propofol. The results suggested the possibility for the potential use of propofol as a novel agent for the treatment of patients with glioma.
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