MicroRNA-29c restores cisplatin sensitivity in liver cancer through direct inhibition of sirtuin 1 expression
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Abstract. Liver cancer is one of the most prevalent human tumors in the world. Despite recent advances regarding the understanding of the molecular basis of liver cancer and the introduction of novel chemotherapeutic approaches, liver cancer remains associated with a poor prognosis. Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) was identified to be abnormally upregulated in liver cancer. Dysregulation of microRNAs (miRs/miRNAs) is associated with a variety of types of cancer, and miRNAs may also serve a role in tumorigenesis and progression. The present study demonstrated that following the selection of the cisplatin chemoresistant HepG2 cell line, miR-29c is downregulated using reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction. Furthermore, overexpression of miR-29c in cisplatin-resistant cancer cells was demonstrated to inhibit tumor cell proliferation and to promote apoptosis in vitro and in vivo, as well as restoring cisplatin chemosensitivity by using a cell counting assay, colony formation assay, Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate/propidium iodide apoptosis analysis, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling and xenograft tumors in nude mice. Mechanistically, according to bioinformatics analysis and a luciferase assay, miR-29c may directly target SIRT1 mRNA and repress SIRT1 expression, which is positively associated with the chemoresistance of liver cancer and may ultimately provide a novel therapeutic method.

Introduction

Liver cancer is one of the most prevalent human tumors worldwide (1) and is ranked as the third leading cause of cancer-associated mortality in China (2). In the majority of cases, liver cancer develops from chronic inflammation and cirrhosis caused by infections from the hepatitis B and C viruses, ethanol or aflatoxins (3). Despite recent advances in the comprehension of the molecular basis of liver cancer and the use of novel chemotherapeutic approaches, liver cancer remains associated with a poor prognosis (4). This is primarily due to only a limited number of patients being able to undertake potentially curative treatments, including surgical resection followed by orthotopic liver transplantation (5). Furthermore, the mortality rate has declined only modestly, owing to the chemoresistance of liver cancer (4). Therefore, there is an urgent requirement for development of effective therapeutic strategies for patients with liver cancer in the advanced stage of the disease.

The initiation of liver cancer has long been established to be the result of different genetic alterations that ultimately lead to malignant transformations (6). MicroRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) are endogenous, small, non-coding regulatory RNAs that are ~22 nucleotides in length (7). miRNAs are able to act as post-transcriptional regulators to negatively regulate the expression of genes by binding directly to the 3'-untranslated regions (3'-UTRs) of target mRNAs in a sequence-specific manner, leading to mRNA degradation (7). Previous studies have demonstrated that miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression exhibits a role in the development, differentiation, proliferation and apoptosis of cells. The dysregulation of miRNAs is associated with a variety of types of cancer, and miRNAs may also serve a role in tumorigenesis and progression (8-11). miRNA targets include tumor suppressor and oncogenes (8,9), for example, miRNA-449 has been demonstrated to repress the DNA synthesis, mitotic entry and proliferation of liver cancer cells (5). Mechanistically, in hepatoma cells, miR-449 controls lipogenesis and cholesterolgenesis by the inhibition of SIRT1 and SREBP-1c expression, and the downregulation of their targeted genes (5).

Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) can function as either a tumor suppressor or an oncogene during cancer development, and upregulation of SIRT1 is able to suppress colon cancer growth (12). SIRT1 is positively associated with malignancy in other types of cancer (13) and was previously identified to be abnormally upregulated in liver cancer, where it promoted tumor growth (14). Consequently, inhibiting SIRT1 activity alone or in combination with other therapies has been
suggested as a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of liver cancer (15).

The miR-29 family is composed of members with conserved miRNA sequences including miR-29a, miR-29b, miR-29c and miR-29d (16). miR-29c was demonstrated to inhibit cell growth, cell migration and invasion in pancreatic cancer by targeting integrin subunit β1 (17). In bladder cancer, miR-29c overexpression inhibited cell growth, suppressed cell migration and resulted in an accumulation of cells in the G1 phase during the cell cycle through the target gene cyclin dependent kinase 6 (18). miR-29c may function as a tumor suppressor serving a crucial role in the development of liver carcinoma by targeting protein phosphatase, Mg2+/Mn2+ dependent 1D (19). miR-29c is downregulated in gastric cancer tissues and cell lines, and the overexpression of miR-29c inhibits cell proliferation, promotes apoptosis and arrests the cell cycle at the G1/G0 phase by targeting nuclear autoantigenic sperm protein (20).

The present study aimed to uncover the function of miR-29c in chemoresistance and the mechanisms by which miR-29c regulates the cisplatin (cis-diaminedichloroplat-inum, CDDP) resistance of liver cancer.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. The HepG2 cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and passaged for a period of <6 months. The HepG2 cell line was originally assumed to be a hepatocellular carcinoma cell line, but was subsequently identified to originate from a hepatoblastoma, hence the emphasis of the present study on 'liver cancer' (21). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin, at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator.

Selection of chemoresistant cell line. CDDP resistant HepG2 cell line (CDDP-R) was derived from original parental cell line (CDDP-S) by continuous exposure to cisplatin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) following initial dose-response studies of cisplatin (0, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10 µM) over 72 h at 37°C from which half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values were obtained. Initially, HepG2 was treated with cisplatin (4.7 µM) (IC₅₀) for 72 h at 37°C. The media (DMEM + 10% FBS, Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was removed and cells were allowed to recover for 2 min, amplification for 30 cycles at 94°C for 0.5 min, annealing at 60°C for 0.5 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min. This development period was performed for ~6 months. IC₅₀ concentrations were reassessed. Cells were then maintained continuously in the presence of cisplatin at the new IC₅₀ concentration (20.5 µM) at 37°C for a further 6 months.

Plasmid construction and extraction. pVax-based SIRT1 overexpression plasmid was purchased from Fulengen Bio Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). The pVax empty plasmid was used as transfection control. All plasmids were transformed into DH5α cells (Genewiz, Inc., Suzhou, China) for amplification and DNA was extracted by the EndoFree Plasmid kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The concentration was determined by measuring the A260/A280 ratio using a Thermo ND 2,000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The plasmid was stored at -20°C until further use. The plasmid transfection was conducted using 2 µg plasmid per well using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Luciferase assay. The SIRT1-3'UTR containing the miR-29c binding site and the miR-29c mutant binding site were purchased from Genewiz, Inc., and extracted using the EndoFree Plasmid kit (Qiagen GmbH). The plasmids (2 µg/well) were co-transfected with miR-29c into HepG2 cells using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the protocol previously described by Luo et al (22). A luciferase reporter assay (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) was purchased and used to measure luciferase activity at 4 h post-transfection, according to the manufacturer's protocol. The relative luciferase activity was normalized to the miR-NC group.

In vitro proliferation and colony formation assay. For proliferation assays, cells were seeded at 2x10⁵ cells per well in 96-well plates, as previously described (23). Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Shanghai, China) was used and absorbance was measured at 450 nm for each well at different time points (0, 24, 48 and 72 h) using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). For colony formation assays, cells were plated at 500-1,000 cells per well into 6-well plates and cultured for ~14 days, followed by crystal violet (0.5% w/v) staining for 30 min at room temperature, and counted using a light Stereomicroscope (x4).

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). miRNAs were obtained using the mirVana miRNA Isolation kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (Ambion; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). RT-qPCR was performed for miR-29c using miRNA primers obtained from Exiqon A/S (Vedbaek, Denmark). β-actin was used as a loading control. The primers of miR-29c and β-actin were not supplied according to the rules of the company (Exiqon A/S, Vedbæk, Denmark). First, cDNA was synthesized from all miRNA samples according to the manufacturer's protocol (Exiqon A/S). Synthesized cDNAs were used as templates for gene-expression analysis through RT-qPCR with SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The qPCR conditions were as follows: Denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, amplification for 30 cycles at 94°C for 0.5 min, annealing at 60°C for 0.5 min and extension at 72°C for 1 min, followed by a terminal elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. Data were analyzed with the 2⁻ΔΔCq method (24).

Apoptosis analysis. Cells (2x10⁵) transfected with miRNA and treated by CDDP were harvested at 48 h post-transfection and stained with Annexin V-FITC/PI Apoptosis Detection kit 1 (BD Pharmingen; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Apoptotic cells were assessed in triplicate and the experiment was repeated three times independently by flow cytometry (FACS Calibur; BD Biosciences) with FACSComp software (version 5.1; BD Biosciences).
Xenograft tumors in nude mice. CDDP-R cells stably expressing miR-NC or miR-29c (5x10⁶ cells in 100 µl DMEM) were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of Balb/c nude mice (4 mice/group) (5 weeks old, 18-20 g, male; Vital River Laboratories, Beijing, China). Mice were kept in a specific pathogen-free environment, on a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle at a room temperature of 22±2°C with ad libitum access to food and water. CDDP (20 nmol in 100 µl saline) was injected every 3 days (5 times). An equal volume of normal saline was injected as a negative control. Tumor volumes were measured every 5 days. Tumor weights were measured immediately after sacrificing the mice, and tumor samples were harvested for whole protein lysates and embedded in paraffin for sectioning, as previously described by Dai et al (25). Procedures involving animals conformed to the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences and Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital (Sichuan, Chengdu, China) and study approval was obtained.

TUNEL assay. Tumor tissue sections were examined for the presence of apoptotic cells using TUNEL assay, in which fragmented DNA from apoptotic cells is end-labeled with the fluorophore. The biopsy samples were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 24 h at room temperature, processed and then embedded in paraffin. Serial 4-µm thick tissue sections were analyzed using the DeadEnd™ Fluorometric TUNEL system (Promega Corporation) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Following deparaffinization and hydration, sections were fixed, permeabilized with proteinase K for 8-10 min, and repeatedly fixed. The sections were then covered with 50 µl terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase mix for 1 h at 37°C in a humidified chamber. The coverslips were removed and the sections were immersed in 2X SSC buffer for 15 min, washed with PBS and mounted with medium that included DAPI (1.5 µg/ml) (Vectashield; Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) at room temperature (22-25°C) for 15 min. Fluorescence images of three different fields of view were captured using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Western blot analysis. The proteins were extracted with ice-cold lysis buffer containing 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH7.5), 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 5 mM MgCl₂, and a protease inhibitor cocktail (1:100) (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), and then centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 20 min at 4°C. Protein concentration of the supernatant from the extract was measured using the Bicinchoninic Acid assay kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Beijing, China). Equivalent amounts (30 µg) of proteins were loaded on 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Merck KGaA). The membranes were blocked in Tris-buffered saline-Tween 20 and probed with anti-SIRT1 at 4°C overnight (dilution, 1:1,500; catalog no. ab32441; Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Following washing, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (dilution, 1:10,000; cat no. ZB-5301; OriGene Technologies, Inc., Beijing, China) for 60 min at room temperature. All blots were probed with antibodies against β-actin at 4°C overnight (dilution 1:3,000; cat no. MABT825; Merck KGaA) as a loading control. Immobilon® ECL Ultra Western HRP Substrate (cat no. WBUILS0500; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used for detection with X-ray film. The densitometry was measured by Image J software (Version 1.48; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

Bioinformatics analysis. The potential target genes of miR-29c were predicted using three different online programs with databases of different algorithms, including TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/). MicroRNA.org (http://www.microrna.org/) and miRDB (http://mirdb.org/) using h-miR-29c as a keyword on the 8th November 2016. The predicted targets were listed.

Statistical analysis. Continuous normally distributed variables are represented graphically as the mean ± standard deviation. For statistical comparison of quantitative data between groups, analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons or Student’s t-test was performed. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 statistical software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

miR-29c is downregulated in CDDP-resistant liver cancer cells. To assess the expression of miR-29c in CDDP-R liver cancer, CDDP-R HepG2 cell lines were selected by gradually increasing CDDP concentration in the culture medium. The IC₅₀ value for CDDP in the parent HepG2 CDDP-sensitive (CDDP-S) line and the derived CDDP-resistant HepG2 (CDDP-R) cells was calculated. It was demonstrated that the IC₅₀ value in the CDDP-S cells (IC₅₀, 4.7±0.4 µM) (Fig. 1A) was significantly lower than that in the CDDP-R cells (IC₅₀, 82.2±3.1 µM) (P<0.05) (Fig. 1B). The colony formation abilities of the two cell lines were analyzed, and the CDDP-R cells were demonstrated to exhibit increased colony formation abilities (CDDP-S, 143±18 vs. CDDP-R, 264±25; P<0.01) (Fig. 1C-D). Notably, miR-29c expression levels, as detected by qPCR, were significantly lower in CDDP-R cells than in CDDP-S cells (CDDP-R, 0.21±0.05; P<0.001) (Fig. 1E). These results suggested that miR-29c is downregulated in CDDP-R cancer cells.

Overexpression of miR-29c restores CDDP sensitivity in liver cancer cells in vitro. As miR-29c expression was reduced following acquisition of resistance to CDDP, the present study investigated the role of miR-29c in chemoresistance. A plasmid expressing miR-29c was introduced and it was validated that the plasmid effectively enhanced miR-29c levels using h-miR-29c as a keyword on the 8th November 2016. The predicted targets were listed.
cells (decreased 72.9%; miR-29c vs. miR-NC, 67±15 vs. 247±27 mm³) (Fig. 2C and D). Furthermore, an improved rate of apoptosis in CDDP-R cells was observed following treatment with miR-29c and CDDP (increased 8.0 times; miR-29c vs. miR-NC, 21.83±4.25 vs. 2.73±0.72%) (Fig. 2E and F). These results indicate that overexpression of miR-29c could restore the CDDP sensitivity of CDDP-R cells in vitro.

**Overexpression of miR-29c restores CDDP sensitivity in liver cancer in vivo.** To validate the observed phenomenon in vivo, a xenograft tumor model in nude mice was established, using the selected CDDP-resistant HepG2 cell line with CDDP treatment and stable expression of either miR-NC or miR-29c. The present study demonstrated that restoring miR-29c in CDDP-R cells markedly reduced xenograft tumor growth, including tumor volume (decreased 55.5%; miR-29c vs. miR-NC, 428.4±59.2 vs. 963.2±102.3 mm³) and tumor weight (decreased 53.4%; miR-29c vs. miR-NC, 0.48±0.09 vs. 1.03±0.14 g), whereas there was no significant difference between the CDDP + miR-NC and normal saline control groups (Fig. 3A and B). RT-qPCR analysis demonstrated that the expression of miR-29c in the CDDP + miR-29c-treated tumors was 9.42 times higher than that in the control groups (Fig. 3C). TUNEL staining revealed a markedly increased number of apoptotic cells upon CDDP + miR-29c treatment (increased 3.45 times; miR-29c vs. miR-NC; 76.3±14.3 vs. 22.1±6.2) (Fig. 3D and E). These results indicated that overexpression of miR-29c may sensitize CDDP-resistant liver cancer to CDDP in vivo.

**miR-29c directly targets SIRT1 to enhance CDDP sensitivity of liver cancer.** To investigate the possible mechanisms by which miR-29c restores liver cancer sensitivity to CDDP, bioinformatics-based prediction was performed using TargetScan and miRDB (http://mirdb.org), and it was demonstrated that miR-29c may potentially target the SIRT1 3'-UTR. To
Figure 2. Overexpression of miR-29c restores CDDP sensitivity in liver cancer cells in vitro. (A) Overexpression of miR-29c in CDDP-R cells by quantitative polymerase chain reaction following transfection of miR-29c plasmid compared with miR-NC (n=3). (B) Overexpression of miR-29c and CDDP (20 µM) treatment inhibited cell proliferation compared with that of CDDP (20 µM) + miR-NC and non-treated control (Ctrl) in CDDP-R cells (n=3). *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 vs. the CDDP+miR-NC group. (C) Crystal violet staining of colony formation for CDDP (20 µM) plus miR-NC or miR-29c combination treatments or non-treated control in CDDP-R cells after 10 days, with (D) statistical analysis of colony numbers for triplicate experiments (D) (n=3). (E) Flow cytometry analysis of apoptotic cells for CDDP (20 µM) plus miR-NC or miR-29c combination treatments or non-treated control in CDDP-R cells after 48 h, with (F) statistical analysis of apoptosis cells for triplicate experiments (n=3). **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. the miR-NC group. NC, negative control; CCK8, Cell Counting Kit-8; OD, optical density; Ctrl, non-treated control; CDDP, cisplatin; PR, propidium iodide; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; miR, microRNA.

Figure 3. Overexpression of miR-29c restores CDDP sensitivity in liver cancer in vivo. (A) Tumor volume of xenograft tumors in nude mice measured every 5 days for a total of 25 days (n=4). Solvent or CDDP (20 nmol) was injected every 3 days. (B) Tumor weight of xenograft tumors after sacrifice (n=5). (C) miR-29c expression was upregulated in CDDP + miR29c-treated tumors by quantitative polymerase chain reaction compared with that in the CDDP + miR-NC or Solvent group (n=4). (D) TUNEL analysis of apoptosis cells for Solvent, CDDP + miR-NC or CDDP + miR-29c-treated tumor sections, with (E) statistical analysis of apoptotic cells (n=4). *P<0.01. Solvent, normal saline; NC, negative control; CDDP, cisplatin; miR, microRNA; NS, not significant.
investigate if this was a possible mechanism for miR-29c-mediated restoration of liver cancer sensitivity to CDDP, the protein level of SIRT1 was determined and substantially increased SIRT1 protein levels were observed upon acquisition of CDDP resistance (Fig. 4A). A luciferase assay indicated that luciferase expression in SIRT1-3'UTR constructs was significantly affected by miR-29c, whereas no significant reduction was observed in SIRT1-3'UTR mutant constructs (Fig. 4B). A SIRT1 expression vector was ectopically expressed and verified that SIRT1 levels were restored (Fig. 4C). The present study assayed for cell viability and colony formation ability and identified that overexpression of SIRT1 relieves the effect of miR-29c on cell proliferation (CDDP + miR-NC, 1.74±0.18; CDDP + miR-29c, 0.89±0.11; CDDP + miR-29c + SIRT1, 1.82±0.13) and colony numbers (CDDP + miR-NC, 213±25; CDDP + miR-29c, 42±8; CDDP + miR-29c + SIRT1, 243±34) (Fig. 4D-F). Additionally, overexpression of SIRT1 restores the effect of miR-29c, which promotes apoptosis in CDDP-R...
LncRNA HULC triggers autophagy via could be targeted by multiple miRNAs, the results of the which may be targeted by miR-29c in liver cancer. As an support a pro-tumorigenic and chemoresistant role for SIRT1, which was positively correlated with tumor grade (36). Depletion of SIRT1 reduced the colony formation ability of liver cancer cells and colony formation were demonstrated to be significantly decreased by overexpression of miR-29c, whereas apoptosis was significantly increased, suggesting that it serves roles in chemoresistant cell proliferation, apoptosis and tumor growth. The antiproliferative effect of miR-29c overexpression appears to be associated with a change in SIRT1 expression level in chemoresistant cells over time.

Previous studies demonstrated that SIRT1 expression levels were positively correlated with tumor grade (36). Depletion of SIRT1 reduced the colony formation ability of liver cancer cells on soft agar, and xenograft growth in mice (14,37). Furthermore, patients with SIRT1-positive liver cancer have a lower survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative liver cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1 has been demonstrated to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer, where it may be a potential prognostic indicator for patient survival outcome (39). SIRT1 is one among other genes to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1-positive liver cancer have a lower survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative liver cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1 has been demonstrated to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer, where it may be a potential prognostic indicator for patient survival outcome (39). SIRT1 is one among other genes to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1-positive liver cancer have a lower survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative liver cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1 has been demonstrated to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer, where it may be a potential prognostic indicator for patient survival outcome (39). SIRT1 is one among other genes to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1-positive liver cancer have a lower survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative liver cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1 has been demonstrated to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer, where it may be a potential prognostic indicator for patient survival outcome (39). SIRT1 is one among other genes to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1-positive liver cancer have a lower survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative liver cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1 has been demonstrated to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer, where it may be a potential prognostic indicator for patient survival outcome (39). SIRT1 is one among other genes to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1-positive liver cancer have a lower survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative liver cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1 has been demonstrated to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer, where it may be a potential prognostic indicator for patient survival outcome (39). SIRT1 is one among other genes to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1-positive liver cancer have a lower survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative liver cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1 has been demonstrated to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer, where it may be a potential prognostic indicator for patient survival outcome (39). SIRT1 is one among other genes to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1-positive liver cancer have a lower survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative liver cancer (38). Overexpression of SIRT1 has been demonstrated to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer, where it may be a potential prognostic indicator for patient survival outcome (39). SIRT1 is one among other genes to contribute to chemoresistance in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (38).


