Identification of prognostic genes in colorectal cancer through transcription profiling of multi-stage carcinogenesis
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Abstract. Colorectal cancer is a complex multistage process following the adenoma-carcinoma sequence. Additional research on the basis of molecular dysregulations, particularly in the precancerous stage, may provide insight into the realization of potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets for the disease. In the present study, the expression profile of human multistage colorectal mucosa tissues, including healthy, adenoma and adenocarcinoma samples, was downloaded. Genes that were consistently differentially expressed in precancerous tissues and cancer samples were collected. Based on a merged biological network, the biggest connected component composed of these identified genes and their one-step neighbors were retrieved to conduct random walk with restart algorithm, in order to identify genes significantly affected during carcinogenesis. Therefore, 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation were successfully identified. Survival and Cox analysis indicated that the expression of these genes was an independent prognostic factor confirmed by six cohorts. In summary, based on the transcription profile of multi-stage carcinogenesis and bioinformatics analysis, 35 genes significantly associated with patient survival were successfully identified, which may serve as promising therapeutic targets for the disease.

Introduction

Despite advances in understanding the underlying molecular mechanism of colorectal cancer (CRC), CRC remains a leading cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide (1). Patient survival has been reported to be excessively dependent on clinical stage at the time of diagnosis, and reduced sensitivity to chemotherapy remains a major challenge in the effective management of CRC (2). Therefore, the identification of novel molecules promoting CRC progression is required for the identification of promising prognostic biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets (3).

CRC is a complex bioprocess following the adenoma-carcinoma multistage sequence. Therefore, understanding the molecular dysregulations during the process of carcinogenesis, particularly during the precancerous stage, may assist in identifying potential prognostic biomarkers and candidate therapeutic targets. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) consistently and continuously altered throughout the whole carcinogenic process may reduce potential noise created by large-scale heterogeneity in tumors.

Network-based analyses have been demonstrated to be substantially effective in identifying causal cancer driver genes (4,5). Based on publicly accessible biological networks, a random walk with restart (RWR) algorithm was used in the present study to identify potential genes affected significantly by source genes. RWR is a network-based mathematical model simulating a random walker starting from seed nodes and advancing towards randomly selected neighbors at each step (6). Subsequently, once the network dynamics are stable, the probability of walking to each node in the network is also inclined to be stable. Therefore, novel candidate molecules receiving significant information flow were regarded to be significantly affected by source genes.

In the last decade, the rapid development of high throughput technologies has greatly promoted modern studies of molecular oncology (7). However, expression-profiling datasets are short of systematic consistency between different studies, probably due to different working platforms and lab protocols (8,9). In order to evaluate the robustness of identified prognostic genes, four microarray datasets in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database and one dataset from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/) were used as
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independent testing cohorts in the present study, increasing the accuracy and robustness of the analysis.

Materials and methods

Download of transcriptomic profile of colorectal carcinogenesis. The processed expression profile of 117 colorectal carcinogenesis samples and 52 samples with survival information was downloaded from GEO database with the accession number GSE71187 (microarray platform ID, GPL6480) (10). Adenocarcinoma is pathologically defined as submucosal invasion by tumors (11). The global expression profiles contained the expression values of 18,986 genes. All associated data were directly downloaded from the original publication (10). Furthermore, TCGA RNA sequencing data, GSE14333 (12), GSE17536 (13), GSE39582 (14) and GSE28722 (15) were also downloaded from their original publications in order to test the validity of the identified gene signature.

Identifying consistent DEGs at precancerous and cancer stages. An unpaired significance analysis of microarrays (SAM) algorithm (16) was used to identify the DEGs during the following two transitions, i.e., between precancerous progression of colorectal adenoma (n=58) and normal mucosal tissues (n=12), and between CRC (n=47) and precancerous progression [false discovery rate (FDR)<0.005]. Genes that were simultaneously and continuously upregulated or downregulated at the aforementioned transitions were regarded as consistent DEGs and used for further analysis.

Establishing a merged a priori knowledge-based biological network. The gene-gene interaction information was obtained from combining the a priori knowledge from the Human Protein Reference Database (HPRD; http://www.hprd.org/) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG; https://www.kegg.jp/). The gene regulatory network was subsequently established by merging HPRD and KEGG gene-gene interactions, including 10,340 nodes and 60,642 edges. All consistently dysregulated DEGs were projected onto this merged network and the largest connected component was determined by tumors (11). The global expression profiles contained the expression values of 18,986 genes. All associated data were directly downloaded from the original publication (10).

RWR to identify genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation. Consistent DEG i was weighted with $z_i$ as follows:

$$Z_i = \Phi^{-1}(1 - P_i)$$

In this formula, $P_i$ represented the P-value of Cox regression analysis between the expression value of DEG i and the patients' overall survival (OS) rate; $\Phi^{-1}$ represented the inverse standard normal cumulative distribution function (17,18). Therefore, $z_i$ increased monotonically along with OS association of DEG i, following a standard normal distribution. Gene-gene interactions were further weighted with corresponding co-expression values. For example, for a particular gene x with the expression values $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ and another gene y with the values $(y_1, ..., y_n)$, the formula for the interaction weight $E_i$ was as follows:

$$E_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$

In RWR analysis, genes of interest were regarded as the information source, such as the source nodes, while the remaining genes were regarded as the target, such as the target nodes. Subsequently, the information flow was iteratively and randomly ‘walked’ from source nodes to their neighboring targets with a probability constantly proportional to their connectivity. Following considerable steps, the final steady-state probability calculated for each gene denoted the integrated influence imposed by source nodes, as well as network topology. The RWR algorithm was defined as follows:

$$p^{t+1} = (1 - r) W p^t + r p_0$$

In this formula, $W$ is the column-normalized adjacency matrix of the target network and $p^t$ is the probability vector the genes hold at step $t$ in the iterative process. Source nodes were initially weighted with the probability vector $p_0$, with the sum of all elements being 1, and $r$ denoted the restart probability ($r=0.7$ in the present study). All genes in the aforementioned network were ranked according to the values in the steady-state probability vector $p^\infty$. The iteration did not cease until the difference between $p^t$ and $p^\infty$ was <1x10^{-6}, which was measured by the least absolute deviations norm. To increase the robustness of RWR analysis, 10,000 permutations of nodes were conducted to simulate the null distribution. Therefore, the P-value was designated as the ratio of random values greater than or equal to the observed final probability and then corrected using the FDR method. Genes with FDR of <0.001 were defined as the genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation.

Statistical analysis. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used to determine the OS difference between the two eigengene of the module (EM)-assigned groups. The first principal component (PC1) captured the greatest amount of total variance in the profiles and was calculated for each patient. Patients were then divided into two groups of equal size based on the rank order of PC1 across their tumor profiles. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and the log-rank test were used to evaluate the prognostic difference between the two groups (10,19). Cox regression model was conducted to evaluate the independence of prognostic factors. Samples along with their detailed information, including age, sex, stage and survival time, were used and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All data analyses were conducted using R programming (version 3.3.1; https://www.r-project.org/), Bioconductor packages (version 3.5; http://www.bioconductor.org/) and Matlab (version 2015b; https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html) language. The network visualization and analysis were achieved through Cytoscape (version 3.4.0; http://www.cytoscape.org/). DEG identification was conducted with R package ‘samr’ (version 2.0;
Results

Schematic of methodology. The schematic adopted for the present study is depicted in Fig. 1.

Consistently dysregulated DEGs in precancerous and cancer stages identified to reduce noise. The global expression profiles of human healthy colorectal mucosa, CRC precancerous (adenoma) and cancer (adenocarcinoma) samples from the GEO database (GSE71187) were downloaded. Using unpaired SAM analysis, 6,726 genes were upregulated and 4,825 genes were downregulated in precancerous samples compared with those in healthy tissues. A total of 2,404 genes were upregulated and 2,726 genes were downregulated in cancer samples compared with those in precancerous samples. Furthermore, DEGs that were simultaneously and continuously upregulated or downregulated during carcinogenic transitions were referred to as consistent DEGs. Therefore, 405 upregulated and 877 downregulated consistent DEGs were identified (Fig. 2A). Gene ontology (GO) analysis was further conducted with the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery bioinformatics tool (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/). The results of the GO enrichment analysis indicated that the consistent downregulated DEGs were associated with the ‘cell development’ process, while consistent upregulated DEGs functionally concentrated upon the ‘immune response’ and the ‘defense response’ in CRC (Fig. 2B).

Consistent DEGs are also dysregulated in the same direction in TCGA dataset. Gene set enrichment analysis using 32 paired RNA sequencing data of cancer and adjacent healthy tissue in TCGA database indicated that consistently upregulated DEGs were also significantly upregulated [normalized enrichment score (NES)=3.22; FDR=2.20x10^{-4}; Fig. 3A] and consistently downregulated DEGs were also significantly downregulated (NES=-3.36; FDR=1.80x10^{-4}; Fig. 3B) in TCGA dataset. Principal component analysis indicated that consistent DEGs retrieved from GSE71187 were able to distinguish CRC samples from adjacent healthy tissues in TCGA paired data (Fig. 3C and D).

Random walk in merged biological network to identify genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation. The identified consistent DEGs were projected onto the merged a priori knowledge-based biological network and subsequently, the largest connected component was obtained, composed of these consistent DEGs and their one-step neighbors (Fig. 4A). Finally, 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation were successfully identified through the RWR algorithm (Fig. 4B). It was indicated that this network approximated the scale-free network topology of a transcriptional regulatory network (Fig. 4C), indicating that the merged network functioned as a small-world phenomenon (20). The largest connected component contained 173 consistently upregulated DEGs, 320 downregulated DEGs, 1,901 one-step neighbors and 21,691 interactions (Fig. 4D). Furthermore, DEGs and interactions were weighted with prognostic association by quantifying the survival association and with gene-to-gene co-expression by quantifying the biological affinity, using 52 CRC microarray data and corresponding
survival information in GSE71187. The initial probability vector \( p_0 \) was calculated by normalizing score vector (n=493) so that the sum of the vector equaled 1. When the steady state was achieved, genes in the connected component, including 493 source nodes, were scored with \( p^\infty \) (n=2,394, output of random walk algorithm). Genes with significantly high score were referred to as the most affected ones by large-scale molecular dysregulations during carcinogenesis. Therefore, 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation were collected through 10,000 permutations.

**Validation of the prognostic value of the genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation.** GSE71187 CRC survival data, TCGA RNA sequencing data, and datasets GSE14333, GSE17536, GSE39582 and GSE28722 were used to evaluate the prognostic value of the 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation. The EM value of the candidate module was calculated based on their first principal component. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used to illustrate the difference of survival status between the two EM-assigned groups in each dataset. The result of the survival analysis indicated a satisfactory performance of the 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation in all six independent cohorts (GSE71187, n=52, \( P=0.028 \); TCGA, n=377, \( P=0.0068 \); GSE14333, n=226, \( P=0.016 \); GSE17536, n=177, \( P=0.0072 \); GSE39582, n=566, \( P=0.0073 \); GSE28722, n=125, \( P=0.041 \); Fig. 5). All genes were significantly dysregulated during CRC carcinogenesis in TCGA paired data (\( P<0.05 \); Fig. 6), with the exception of hepsin (\( P=0.350 \)) and GLI family zinc finger 1 (\( P=0.906 \)).

**Confirmation of the prognostic value of the 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation.** Meta-analysis of 35 significant mRNAs in six independent cohorts was conducted with a fixed-effects model and a random-effects model (Fig. 7). The Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to evaluate the independence of the prognostic factors in a stepwise manner (Table I). In each independent cohort, samples with age, sex and American Joint Committee...
Figure 3. GSEA analysis of consistent DEGs using TCGA paired data set. GSEA analysis was conducted with the consistent (A) upregulated and (B) downregulated DEGs. The two gene groups revealed a concordant differential expression pattern in TCGA paired data sets (FDR<0.001). Principal component analysis was conducted with the consistent (C) upregulated and (D) downregulated DEGs, indicating that consistent DEGs retrieved in the GSE71187 dataset were able to distinguish CRC samples from adjacent healthy tissues. PCA, principal component analysis; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; FDR, false discovery rate; CRC, colorectal cancer; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.

Figure 4. Random walk of consistent DEGs in merged biological network. (A) The biggest connected component containing 2,394 genes and 21,691 edges. Consistent DEGs were regarded as source nodes and the rest genes in the network were target nodes. (B) The heatmap of the 35 genes in GSE71187. Rows represent 35 significant genes, which were clustered UCA, while columns represent samples, which are divided into two groups according to their corresponding EM value. (C) The network degree approximating the scale-free network topology of a transcriptional regulatory network. (D) Pie chart of 3 gene groups in the biggest connected component. UCA, unsupervised clustering algorithm; EM, eigengene of the module; DEGs, differentially expressed genes.
on Cancer staging information were used to perform Cox analysis, with the exception of GSE28722, since the dataset does not contain sex information. The results indicated that the expression of these 35 genes (as indicated by the EM value) was confirmed as significant in all six cohorts based on the univariate analysis, and as an independent prognostic factor.
in four out of six cohorts, with the exception of GSE71187 (P=0.131) and GSE17536 (P=0.063).

Discussion

The concept of biological pre-determinism was first proposed in a study by MacDonald, which stated that clinical outcome is possibly pre-determined by the intrinsic natural history of cancer (21). A previous study of a mammary intraepithelial neoplasia model indicated that precancerous cells possess the malignant inclination for latency and distant metastasis, independent of further genetic alterations (22). Invasive behaviors were also discovered in the precancerous stage, suggesting that cancer cell dissemination may happen prior to tumor formation (23). The aforementioned studies indicated that the ability of cancer cells to invade and metastasize may be acquired at a precancerous stage. This concept was also addressed in a previous study (24). Therefore, consistent DEGs continuously activated or inactivated in precancerous and cancer stages may increase the knowledge on the essential molecular dysregulations during carcinogenesis, and aid in the identification of prognostic biomarker and potential therapeutic targets.

GO analysis indicated that consistent DEGs were significantly enriched in immune response and development-associated processes (Fig. 2B). It has been reported that chronic infection and inflammation contribute to ~25% of all cancer types worldwide, particularly in CRC (25). The molecular similarities between malignancies and corresponding developing samples have been reported in respect to transcription factor activity (26), chromatin structural regulation (27) and other signaling pathways (28). Important molecules have been indicated to be activated or inactivated simultaneously in development and carcinogenesis processes. For example, patched 1 is a key regulator for embryonic development and skin carcinogenesis (29). Furthermore, a fetus could also evade maternal immune-surveillance by adopting similar molecular mechanisms to tumors (30). In the present study, GO results of consistent DEGs confirmed that the molecular dysregulations associated with immunity and development regulation may serve an important role in CRC carcinogenesis, as indicated in previous investigations.

In the present study, RWR, a simple and effective computational strategy, was used in a merged biological network to identify genes affected by multistage molecular dysregulation. The RWR algorithm was used to establish gene to disease associations in a priori knowledge-based networks, as it performed superiorly compared with other methods (31-33). The advantage of this computational strategy is subtly combining dysregulation status of one’s own data with putatively accepted prior knowledge, rendering genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation with certain biological and clinical associations. Furthermore, consistent DEGs were weighted with survival association and the edges were weighted with co-expression association. Therefore, genes identified through RWR algorithm possibly contained specific genes undergoing considerable dysregulations during carcinogenesis. Gene signatures derived from one data type should be extensively...
tested in other published datasets in order to increase the validity of the whole analysis. Therefore, additional published CRC data were also used to test the validity of these 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation. A total of six independent cohorts were used to evaluate the prognostic value of these 35 mRNAs significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was conducted to distinguish the actual survival association between the two EM-assigned groups in each dataset. The first principal component captured the greatest amount of total variance in the profiles and was calculated for each patient. Patients were then divided into two groups of equal size based on the rank order of PC1 across their tumor profiles. This method has been frequently used in previous studies (19,34-36). The result indicated that the 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation performed well in all six independent cohorts (Fig. 5), suggesting a profound prognostic value of the 35 identified genes. The significance of these identified genes in CRC was also supported by a review of the literature. For example, EPH receptor B2 could substantially reduce migration and invasiveness of colonic tumor implants (37), functioning as a key regulator in CRC carcinogenesis and prognostic prediction (38,39). The promoter of spartin, variously methylated in colorectal carcinomas, adenomas and normal mucosa samples (40), was found to be a highly specific and sensitive biomarker for screening CRC (41). Furthermore, Cox analysis revealed that the 35 identified genes were unanimously significant in univariate analysis, validating their association with survival in patients with CRC.

In conclusion, the global expression profiles of human healthy colorectal mucosae, precancerous and CRC samples were downloaded to identify genes with profound prognostic value. The identified genes were then tested in other published datasets in order to increase the validity of the whole analysis. Additional published CRC data were also used to test the validity of these 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation. A total of six independent cohorts were used to evaluate the prognostic value of these 35 mRNAs significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was conducted to distinguish the actual survival association between the two EM-assigned groups in each dataset. The first principal component captured the greatest amount of total variance in the profiles and was calculated for each patient. Patients were then divided into two groups of equal size based on the rank order of PC1 across their tumor profiles. This method has been frequently used in previous studies (19,34-36). The result indicated that the 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation performed well in all six independent cohorts (Fig. 5), suggesting a profound prognostic value of the 35 identified genes. The significance of these identified genes in CRC was also supported by a review of the literature. For example, EPH receptor B2 could substantially reduce migration and invasiveness of colonic tumor implants (37), functioning as a key regulator in CRC carcinogenesis and prognostic prediction (38,39). The promoter of spartin, variously methylated in colorectal carcinomas, adenomas and normal mucosa samples (40), was found to be a highly specific and sensitive biomarker for screening CRC (41). Furthermore, Cox analysis revealed that the 35 identified genes were unanimously significant in univariate analysis, validating their association with survival in patients with CRC.

Table I. Univariate and multivariate analyses of survival in patients with colorectal cancer in six test cohorts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Univariate cox regression</th>
<th>Multivariate cox regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE71187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.959 (0.928-0.991)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>1.777 (0.768-4.115)</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (III/II)</td>
<td>2.919 (1.073-7.942)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>3.068 (1.241-7.583)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.027 (1.009-1.045)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>1.244 (0.808-1.915)</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (III+IV/I+II)</td>
<td>2.750 (1.759-4.299)</td>
<td>9.190x10^-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>1.815 (1.172-2.809)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE14333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.015 (1.002-1.028)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>0.877 (0.651-1.182)</td>
<td>0.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (Duke C/A+B)b</td>
<td>0.878 (0.638-1.207)</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>1.456 (1.072-1.976)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE17536</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.007 (0.989-1.025)</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>1.105 (0.694-1.759)</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (III+IV/I+II)</td>
<td>4.220 (2.387-7.459)</td>
<td>7.275x10^-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>1.899 (1.180-3.055)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE39582</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.024 (1.012-1.036)</td>
<td>8.793x10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>1.314 (0.983-1.756)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (III+IV/I+II)</td>
<td>1.761 (1.322-2.347)</td>
<td>1.109x10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>1.457 (1.094-1.940)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE28722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.017 (0.997-1.038)</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (III+IV/I+II)</td>
<td>1.205 (0.791-1.834)</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>1.647 (1.011-2.688)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on the median of the EM value to divide samples into two groups. ^Only information based on Duke's staging was available for this dataset. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; EM, eigengene of the module.*
information and potential clinical application. Consistent DEGs that continuously differentiated at precancerous and cancer stages were identified. Upregulated consistent DEGs were associated with ‘immune response’, while downregulated DEGs were mainly associated with ‘cell development’. Furthermore, 35 genes significantly affected by carcinogenic dysregulation were successfully identified through a network-based RWR algorithm, and the expression of these genes was significantly associated with CRC patients’ survival.
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