Significance of cyclooxygenase-2, prostaglandin E2 and CD133 levels in sunitinib-resistant renal cell carcinoma
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Abstract. The current study investigated the mechanism underlying sunitinib resistance. The parental human renal cell carcinoma (RCC) cell line 786-O was continuously exposed to various doses of sunitinib to obtain sunitinib-resistant cells (786-O/S). Cell proliferation and colony formation assays were performed to assess the survival of 786-O/S cells. The half-inhibitory concentration for the drug-resistant cells was calculated. 786-O/S cells demonstrated notably morphological changes compared with parental cells. Compared with 786-O cells, 786-O/S cells exhibited stronger proliferative and colony-forming abilities. Western blot analysis was performed to measure the levels of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2). Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was used to detect the expression of COX-2 and cluster of differentiation (CD) 133 in both 786-O and 786-O/S cells. Following incubation of the two cell lines with celecoxib, a COX-2 inhibitor, RT-qPCR was performed to detect the expression of COX-2 and CD133, and western blot analysis was used to assess the expression of CD133. The results revealed that the levels of COX-2 and PGE2 were significantly higher in 786-O/S cells compared with 786-O cells (P<0.01). Similarly, the expression of CD133 was 24-fold higher in 786-O/S compared with the parental cells (P<0.01). When celecoxib was incubated with the two cell lines, the expression of COX-2 and CD133 decreased significantly (P<0.0001). In summary, the results indicate that activation of the COX-2-PGE2 pathway in RCC leads to the development of sunitinib resistance and may serve an important role in the maintenance of the characteristics of stem cells that are closely associated with drug resistance.

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is the second most common type of cancer of the urological system and represents 2-3% of all adult cancer cases (1). Clear cell RCC is the primary subtype of RCC and accounts for 70-80% of all cases (1). In recent years, there has been an increase in the rates of RCC-associated morbidity and mortality (2). Given the absence of specific clinical manifestations, including local pain and hematuria, 20-30% of patients with RCC possess metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis and ~20% of patients relapse with metastasis following surgical intervention (2,3). RCC is highly resistant to conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy and immunotherapy offers unsatisfactory improvement in survival (4). The prognosis of metastatic RCC (mRCC) is poor, with a 5-year survival rate <5% (5). In the last decade, the introduction of several targeted therapies has improved the treatment of mRCC. Among a number of molecularly-targeted agents, sunitinib is regarded as a powerful therapeutic agent against mRCC (6). Sunitinib is an oral multi-target tyrosine kinase inhibitor that acts on vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR)-1, VEGFR-2, Fms-like tyrosine kinase receptor 3, c-KIT and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (7,8). A large-scale randomized phase III trial (SU11248) comparing sunitinib with interferon in patients with mRCC demonstrated a significantly higher objective response rate (31 vs. 6%) and longer progression-free survival time (11 vs. 5 months) for the sunitinib-treated group (HR, 0.42; CI, 0.32-0.54) (9). However, tumor cells gradually become refractory and drug resistance is inevitable following sunitinib treatment for a certain period (5).

Cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) is a key enzyme that stimulates the production of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and arachidonic acid. COX-2 serves a role in the inflammation, growth, invasiveness and metastasis of a tumor, and inhibits apoptosis and angiogenesis (9). However, to the best of our knowledge, the involvement of COX-2 in RCC drug resistance remains unknown. Celecoxib, a selective COX-2 inhibitor, is a clinically effective drug as it can inhibit COX enzymes and consequently prevent, inhibit or abrogate the effects of prostaglandins (10). Previous studies have reported the antitumor effect of celecoxib against RCC (11,12).

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are important for cancer progression (13). CSCs are a small subpopulation of cells that exhibit stem-like properties, which are associated with the initiation,
chemoresistance, metastasis and recurrence of cancer (13). CSCs express several specific markers that may facilitate the isolation and identification of CSCs, including cluster of differentiation (CD) 133, which is widely expressed (14). CD133, also termed prominin 1, is a 120 kDa transmembrane glycoprotein that is recognized as a useful marker for the identification and isolation of CSCs from many types of solid tumors, including colon, brain, lung, liver, prostate, skin and kidney cancer (15).

A number of studies have elucidated the molecular mechanism underlying sunitinib resistance in RCC (7-9); however, this mechanism remains largely unclear. The present study established a human RCC cell line that was resistant to sunitinib and analyzed the changes in COX-2, PGE2 and CD133 expression to identify potential targets for overcoming acquired resistance to sunitinib.

Materials and methods

Materials and reagents. The human RCC cell line 786-O was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Sunitinib and 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). Materials for cell culture, including RPMI-1640 medium, trypsin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) were obtained from Gibco (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). All other reagents were of reagent grade.

Determination of the half-inhibitory concentration (IC50) of sunitinib for the RCC cell line 786-O. The 786-O cell line was cultured at 37˚C in the RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% of FBS. Upon reaching their logarithmic growth phase, the cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates at optimal density (104 cells/well) and incubated in a 5% CO2 incubator at 37˚C. Media with the same concentrations of sunitinib but without cells were used as the blank controls. Cells with the culture medium alone served as the control and wells with the culture medium alone were designated as the normal blank group. Five parallel wells were included in each group. Following 72 h of treatment, MTT (20 µl) was added to each well and incubated for an additional 4 h at 37˚C. The formazan precipitate was dissolved in 150 µl (20 µl) was added to each well and incubated for an additional 4 h at 37˚C. The formazan precipitate was dissolved in 150 µl dimethyl sulfoxide and the absorbance at 490 nm was measured on a microplate reader to provide the optical density (OD). The relative growth rate (RGR) was calculated as follows: RGR (%)=(ODt-OD0)/(OD∞-OD0)×100%, where OD∞, ODt, OD0 and OD represent the absorbance of the drug blank, normal blank, experimental group and control group, respectively. A cell survival curve was plotted as the mean value of each group; the IC50 was calculated following curve fitting.

Creation of a sunitinib-resistant RCC cell line. According to the results of IC50 evaluation, an RCC cell line demonstrating resistance to sunitinib, termed 786-O/S, was generated by serial treatment of the parental 786-O cell line with various concentrations of sunitinib from 2.5 to 10 µM at 37˚C with the dose given at 1 month intervals. Following continuous culture in the complete medium supplemented with 10 µM sunitinib for >10 passages, identify the IC50 of 786O/S cells with method mentioned above (concentrations of sunitinib, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40 and 50 µM). The cells were employed as sunitinib-resistant RCC cells in all subsequent experiments.

Cell migration analysis. 786-O and 786-O/S cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS at 37˚C and 5% CO2 in a humidity-saturated incubator. Upon reaching their logarithmic growth phase, the cells were seeded in 24-well culture plates at optimal density (104 cells/well) and kept in the 5% CO2 incubator at 37˚C overnight. Following culture, the cells were incubated at 37˚C with RPMI-1640 without FBS for >4 h to obtain cells in the same growth phase. Subsequently, a scratch was created using a 200 µl tip. The culture medium was replaced with culture medium containing 6 µM sunitinib and images of the cells were obtained using a fluorescence microscope (x40 magnification) at 0, 24 and 48 h.

Colonies formation ability. To detect the effects of sunitinib on colony formation ability, 786-O and 786-O/S cells were seeded in 6-well culture plates at 1,000, 500 and 200 cells/well. Following 24 h at 37˚C, culture medium containing 6 µM sunitinib was added to each well and cells were cultured for 15 days at 37˚C with 5% CO2. During this time, the medium was refreshed every 3 days. Subsequently, the cells were washed three times at 37˚C with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature and washed three times with distilled water. The cells were then stained with 0.5% crystal violet (5 mg/ml) for 15 min at room temperature, followed by washing with distilled water. The colonies stained with crystal violet were counted.

Western blot analysis of COX-2 and PGE2. Both 786-O and 786-O/S cell lines were seeded in 24-well culture plates in culture medium alone or with 6 µM sunitinib for 72 h at 37˚C. Total protein was extracted from cells using SDS lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Protein concentration was determined with a Bicinchoninic Acid Protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Samples containing 30 µg of protein were subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel (4% stacking gel and 10% separating gel) electrophoresis and the protein bands were then transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The membrane was blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 5% fat-free milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20 and incubated overnight with primary antibodies at 4˚C. The primary antibodies included those against human COX-2 (Cell Signaling Technology, #12282, 1:1,000), PGE2 (Absin Bioscience, www.absin.cn, abs124338, 1:1,000) and GAPDH (Sigma-Aldrich, G9545, 1:5,000). The following day the blots were incubated with the goat-anti-rabbit and goat-anti-mouse antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 111-035-045 and 115-035-062, 1:10,000) at room temperature for 1 h. Specific binding was detected using an enhanced chemiluminescent kit (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

Detection of CSC marker proteins and COX-2 by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Both 786-O and 786-O/S cells were cultivated in 24-well culture plates for 48 h. Total RNA was extracted from the cells using
Detection of COX-2 and CD133 by qPCR in the celecoxib condition. Both 786-O and 786-O/S cell lines were seeded in 24-well culture plates in the culture medium alone or culture medium containing 6 µM sunitinib for 48 h incubation at 37°C. Different concentrations of celecoxib (10 or 25 µM) were added to each well for 24 h. The experimental procedure for RT-qPCR was then performed as aforementioned.

Detection of CD133 by western blot analysis in the celecoxib condition. Both 786-O and 786-O/S cell lines were seeded in 24-well plates in the culture medium alone or culture medium containing 6 µM sunitinib for 48 h incubation at 37°C. Different concentrations of celecoxib (10 or 25 µM) were added to each well. The anti-human CD133 antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (#64326, 1:1000). The experimental procedure for western blot analysis was then performed as aforementioned.

Statistical analysis. Experiments were performed three times and data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Data were analyzed using SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Comparisons were performed by an unpaired Student t-test for two groups and one-way analysis of variance for more than two groups. Comparisons between multiple groups were performed using S-N-K method. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The results were statistically analyzed by GraphPad software V8 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

IC₅₀ of sunitinib for 786-O cells. To evaluate the effects of different concentrations (0, 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5 or 15 µM) of sunitinib on the viability of 786-O cells an MTT assay was performed. The mean value of each group at 490 nm decreased with increases in sunitinib concentration (Table I). Based on these data, RGR values were calculated and cell survival curves were plotted. The IC₅₀ value was calculated following curve fitting and identified to be 8.15 µM (Fig. 1).

Development of the sunitinib-resistant RCC cell line (786-O/S). When 786-O cells were treated with various concentrations of sunitinib from 2.5 to 10 µM, morphological changes were observed (Fig. 2). In comparison with the control cells, sunitinib-resistant cells appeared slimmer with increasing drug concentration (Fig. 2A). Following continuous culture in complete culture medium supplemented with 10 µM sunitinib for >10 passages, the IC₅₀ determined by an MTT assay was 24.57 µM. According to the IC₅₀ values for 786-O and 786-O/S cells, the resistance index (IC₅₀786-O/S/IC₅₀786-O) was identified to be 3.01. These results demonstrated that drug resistance of 786-O/S cells significantly increased following treatment with high concentrations of sunitinib.

Cell migration assay for 786-O and 786-O/S cells. The migration of 786-O and 786-O/S cells was observed and photographed following treatment with 6 µM sunitinib. As demonstrated in Fig. 3, the scratch area was significantly larger for 786-O cells compared with 786 -O/S cells at 24 and 48 h, indicating that the migration speed of 786-O/S cells was faster compared with that of the parental cells. The width of the scratch was significantly reduced in the 786-O/S cell group at 48 h compared with 786-O cells. Therefore, the migration ability of sunitinib-resistant cells was significantly promoted compared with the parental cells.

Proliferative ability of 786-O and 786-O/S cells. A colony formation assay was performed to analyze the proliferative ability of 786-O and 786-O/S cells. The cells were stained with crystal violet following culture with 6 µM sunitinib for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sunitinib (µM)</th>
<th>Mean ± standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6190±0.0269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5625±0.0365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5697±0.03451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5273±0.03519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.4647±0.02996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0421±0.00652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Mean value of the optical density at 490 nm for 786-O cells treated with different concentrations of sunitinib for 72 h.
When observed directly, the number of colonies of 786-O/S cells was greater and the size of the colonies was larger compared with that of 786-O cells in the 1,000-, 500- and 200-cell groups (Fig. 4A). This was consistent with the quantified analysis results, which revealed a significantly higher number of colonies in the 786-O/S group compared with the control (Fig. 4B). The colony formation ability of the cells notably decreased following treatment with 6 µM sunitinib (Fig. 4). Therefore, sunitinib-resistant cells demonstrated a significant increase in proliferative ability.

**Expression of COX-2 and PGE2 in 786-O and 786-O/S cells.** The expression levels of COX-2 and PGE2 in 786-O and 786-O/S cells were quantified following western blot analysis (Fig. 5), which revealed significantly higher expression levels of COX-2 (Fig. 5B) and PGE2 (Fig. 5C) in 786-O/S cells compared with 786-O cells.

**Expression of COX-2 and CD133 in 786-O and 786-O/S cells.** The mRNA expression levels of COX-2 in 786-O and 786-O/S cells were quantified by RT-qPCR. The results demonstrated that the expression levels of COX-2 in 786-O/S cells were higher compared with the control cells (Fig. 6A; P<0.01). CD133, an important CSC marker in RCC, was used to examine the stemness of 786-O/S cells. The expression levels of CD133 in 786-O/S cells were higher compared with that in the control cells (Fig. 6B). When different concentrations (10 or 25 µM) of celecoxib were incubated with the cells, the expression levels of COX-2 significantly decreased at both concentrations (P<0.001). In addition, a significant decrease in the expression of CD133 was observed following treatment with 10 µM (P<0.01), but not 25 µM celecoxib (Fig. 6).

**Expression of CD133 following celecoxib treatment.** The protein expression of CD133 in 786-O and 786-O/S cells was quantified by western blot analysis (Fig. 7). The results demonstrated that the expression of CD133 was significantly higher in 786-O/S cells compared with 786-O cells (Fig. 7B; P<0.0001). When different concentrations (10 or 25 µM) of celecoxib were incubated with the cells, the expression of CD133 significantly decreased (P<0.001), particularly at a concentration of 25 µM compared with in untreated 786-O/S cells (Fig. 7A).

**Discussion**

Several mechanisms are thought to be involved in the development of sunitinib resistance (17-25). These mechanisms...
include: i) Lysosomal membrane permeabilization and autophagy; ii) antiangiogenic-therapy-induced hypoxia that may stimulate the expression of other proangiogenic factors, such as fibroblast growth factor and interleukin-8; iii) epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition regulation via epidermal growth factor receptor; iv) intrinsic resistance and multidrug resistance; v) sequestration of sunitinib in acidic lysosomes to reduce its cytoplasmic availability; and vi) alterations in the transcription of genes, including protein kinase X-linked, tau tubulin kinase 2, ribosomal protein S6 kinase α4, tyrosine-protein kinase receptor UFO, MET and interleukin 13 receptor subunit α2. The present study investigated the possible mechanism of sunitinib resistance via the COX-2-PGE2 signaling pathway.

In the early 1970s, Hamburger and Salmon (26) proposed the CSC theory by confirming that only a small number of cells in solid malignant tumors may further proliferate and form colonies following transplantation. This hypothesis implies that only a small number of CSCs possess the capacity for unlimited proliferation and tumor formation; thus, solid malignant tumors originate from a few CSCs. This theory has been studied further in acute myeloid leukemia, breast cancer and other cancer types (27,28). CSCs in RCC have also been studied (29,30). It has been reported that the expression of Bmi-1, a gene that may promote self-renewal of stem cells and whose mutation may lead to tumor initiation, is inversely proportional to the degree of RCC differentiation (31). Numerous characteristics of RCC are similar to those of stem cells (31), which indicates a possibility of the existence of renal CSCs. The surface marker of CSCs, CD133, also termed prominin 1, was initially identified as a cell surface antigen specific to hematopoietic stem cells (32). Bussolati et al (33) have reported the presence of CD133 in multipotent progenitor cells in the proximal tubules and Bowman's capsule in the kidneys of adults. Sun et al (34) demonstrated that CD133 expression is closely associated with the degree of tumor differentiation and TNM staging, including lymph node metastasis status. This observation

![Figure 4](image-url)
was supported by Costa et al (35). The present study revealed the expression of CD133 in both 786-O and 786-O/S cells; however, CD133 expression was 24-fold higher in 786-O/S cells compared with 786-O cells. Therefore, the proportion of CSCs may notably increase among resistant cells, which suggests that CD133 may serve as a potential maker for the development of novel treatment strategies to target CSCs. A number of studies have demonstrated that CD133 may be inhibited by the Notch pathway in glioma stem cells and neuroblastoma cells (36,37).

The enzyme COX-2 converts arachidonic acid to prostaglandin G2, which is later converted via peroxidase activity to prostaglandin H2, a precursor of other prostaglandins (38). The COX-2-PGE2 pathway is understood to perform an important function by increasing cell proliferation, promoting angiogenesis, inhibiting apoptosis, regulating cell adhesion and increasing the invasiveness of malignant cells (39). This pathway is seldom detected in human tissues under normal physiological conditions but may be activated in several solid malignant tumors, including colorectal, breast, prostate, skin, lung, bladder
inhibitor has been rarely reported. Using a nude-mouse model with a combination of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor and COX-2 compared with that in healthy renal tissue. Treatment of RCC independent experiments, Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean from three blot analysis. (B) The results were normalized to GAPDH expression. Following celecoxib treatment. (A) CD133 levels were determined by western significant.

Figure 7. Determination of CD133 expression in 786-O and 786-O/S cells following celecoxib treatment. (A) CD133 levels were determined by western blot analysis. (B) The results were normalized to GAPDH expression. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean from three independent experiments (P<0.05, "P<0.001, ""P<0.0001 vs. 786-O/S, sunitinib-resistant 786-O; CD133, cluster of differentiation 133; ns, not significant.

and pancreatic cancers (40). Yi et al (41) demonstrated that the expression levels of COX-2 in RCC were 10% higher compared with that in healthy renal tissue. Treatment of RCC with a combination of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor and COX-2 inhibitor has been rarely reported. Using a nude-mouse model of RCC, Wang et al (42) demonstrated that a COX-2 inhibitor may enhance the therapeutic effect of sunitinib and prolong the progression-free survival time. In addition, they revealed that the resistance to sunitinib is associated with strong expression of COX-2. Zhao et al (43) reported that a COX-2 inhibitor in combination with sunitinib is more effective compared with sunitinib or the COX-2 inhibitor alone in RCC-bearing mice. Therefore, combination therapy consisting of a COX-2 inhibitor and sunitinib may enhance the antitumor effect by reducing the number of immunosuppressive cells and regulating the tumor microenvironment. It can be hypothesized that sunitinib resistance in RCC may be mediated by the activation of the COX-2-PGE2 pathway. The current study identified that the expression of COX-2 and synthesis of PGE2 were significantly higher in the resistant cell line compared with parental cells.

Celecoxib is widely used in the clinic. One study on COX-2 in non-selected patients with mRCC evaluated the effectiveness of celecoxib administered in conjunction with interferon-α and revealed that 20-30% of mRCC tumors exhibit maximal (3+) staining for COX-2 (44). Wang et al (42) identified that the combination of sunitinib with celecoxib resulted in a longer time to tumor progression compared with treatment with either agent alone or compared with untreated control animals in four models. Zhao et al (11) have also revealed that combination therapy consisting of sunitinib and celecoxib exerts improved curative effects against RCC compared with any monotherapy and identified that immunoregulatory cells may be involved in this phenomenon.

A number of studies have indicated that celecoxib inhibits tumor sphere formation by CD133 downregulation (45-48). COX-2 can facilitate proliferation of CSCs (45). COX-2-dependent mechanisms of an inflammatory balance may be responsible for the treatment-resistant phenotype of CSCs (46). Annabi et al (46) revealed that COX-2 induction is associated with CD133 expression in human glioma cell lines and demonstrated that selective COX-2 inhibitors hold promise for further developments in the treatment of glioma. In in vivo and in vitro experiments, Ma et al (47) reported that CD133-associated tumorigenicity is significantly suppressed by celecoxib treatment. Furthermore, celecoxib combined with radiation strongly suppressed the growth of CD133+ glioblastoma stem-like cells. Similarly, Deng et al (48) reported a significant decrease in the levels of CD133 expression with increasing concentrations or increasing duration of treatment with celecoxib, as demonstrated in colon cancer HT29 cells. A gene expression microarray revealed that stemness-associated genes, including leucine-rich repeat-containing g-protein coupled receptor 5, octamer-binding transcription factor 4, prominin 1, prominin 2, C-X-C receptor type 4, E2F transcription factor 8 and cyclin dependent kinase-2, were downregulated and differentiation-associated genes, including carcinoembryonic antigen related cell adhesion molecule 5, growth/differentiation factor 15, adipose differentiation-related proteins and intercellular adhesion molecule 1, were upregulated (42).

In the current study, a drug-resistant RCC cell line (786-O/S) was established. The proliferation and migration abilities of 786-O/S cells compared with untreated control animals in four models. Zhao et al (11) have also revealed that combination therapy consisting of sunitinib and celecoxib exerts improved curative effects against RCC compared with any monotherapy and identified that immunoregulatory cells may be involved in this phenomenon.
role in the maintenance of CSC characteristics of RCC cells that are closely associated with drug resistance.
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