Artesunate and sorafenib: Combinatorial inhibition of liver cancer cell growth
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Abstract. An antimalarial medication, artesunate (Art), has exhibited promising anticancer effects with excellent tolerability in various types of cancer, suggesting that it has the potential to be used in combination with sorafenib (Sora) in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) treatment. To determine the potency of this combination, the present study attempted to quantitatively measure the dose-effect relationship of each drug alone and in combination in liver cancer cells in vitro using Calcusyn software. Cell growth inhibition was determined using the CyQUANT proliferation assay in two liver cancer cell lines, HepG2 and Huh7. Drug combination and reduction indices and isobologram plots were used to assess drug interactions. Cell apoptosis was evaluated by measurements of the proportion of cells in the sub G_0/G_1 phase of the cell cycle, and determination of protein expression levels of cleaved poly ADP ribose polymerase and caspase-9. Additionally, a cell migration assay was conducted using Essen ImageLock plates with an IncuCyte Zoom imaging system. The results of the present study revealed that the inhibitory effect of Sora on cell growth was synergistically enhanced by the combination with Art in HepG2 and Huh7 cells. The combination index and dose reduction index were specific to each cell line. Furthermore, combination at a fixed ratio presented mutual enhancement with respect to apoptosis induction and suppression of in vitro liver cancer cell migration. Therefore, considering the low toxicity and well-defined clinical characteristics of Art, combination of Sora and Art may present an attractive therapeutic option in the development of clinical trials for HCC treatment.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Despite substantial efforts and advances in drug discovery and clinical trials for advanced-stage HCC, there is a limited number of available effective treatments. Sorafenib (Sora), a multikinase inhibitor, exhibits significant effects on improvement of overall survival (OS), but it only delays tumor progression (1). To the best of our knowledge, first-line therapy beyond Sora or attempts to combine Sora with another targeted agent have not been successful, thus far (2).

Artesunate (Art) is a semisynthetic derivative of artemisinins and has been used worldwide over the past decade to combat severe malaria (3). Previous studies have demonstrated the anticancer effects of Art in various types of cancer, including leukemia, colorectal cancer, renal cell carcinoma, lung cancer and ovarian cancer (4-11). The primary mechanism of antitumor activity of Art is via reactive oxygen species generated by reactions between Art and iron that has accumulated in tumor cells (6,8,11). Given its therapeutic significance, the pharmacokinetics of Art and its active metabolite dihydroartemisinin (DHA) have been intensively studied in clinical settings (3,12). Art is rapidly absorbed and converted to its main active metabolite DHA, and generally cleared within an hour (12). This rapid clearing may contribute to its excellent tolerability and lack of adverse effects, even at high dose or rapid infusion. Accordingly, strategies combining Art with other drugs are being tested in vitro and in vivo to combat high systemic toxicity and chemoresistance, which limit the outcomes of cancer treatment (13-16).

Furthermore, Art has been demonstrated to decrease cell viability in a dose-dependent manner and increase caspase-3 activity in human and mouse liver cancer cells, HepG2 and BWTG3 (17). Additionally, it has been reported that Art may function as a potential inhibitor of STAT3 in HCC, and that Art modulates STAT3 targets (procaspase-3, B cell lymphoma 2 like 1 and survivin), leading to apoptosis in vitro (18). Notably, Art inhibits angiogenesis by directly downregulating the expression levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and its receptor (VEGFR) (17,19). Administration of Art reduces vascularization/tumor burden in xenograft mice, and when combined with Sora, these effects are further enhanced (17). This suggests that the combination of Sora and Art could be an effective treatment strategy for HCC. However, more reliable and standardized methods need to be employed in order to evaluate the potency of this drug combination prior to clinical trials.
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The present study attempted to quantitatively evaluate the type of drug interaction between Sora and Art by median-drug effect analysis using CalcuSyn software (Chou-Talalay method). Drug combination and reduction indices and isobologram plots were applied to define drug interactivity in in vitro-cultured liver cancer cell lines, HepG2 and Huh7. Furthermore, the combinatorial effect of these two drugs on apoptosis induction and cell migration suppression was investigated for clinically achievable concentrations.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and reagents. The liver cancer cell lines, HepG2 and Huh7, were obtained from American Type Culture Collection, and were cultured in DMEM and RPMI medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), respectively. Both cell lines were supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cell line authentication was performed by short tandem repeat profiling and interspecies contamination test (Applied Biological Materials Inc.). Cryopreserved normal primary human hepatocytes (PHH) derived from a pool of 5 donors were purchased from Sekisui XenoTech, LLC. and thawed with OptiThaw Hepatocyte Media containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cell line authentication was performed by short tandem repeat profiling and interspecies contamination test (Applied Biological Materials Inc.).

Drug combination and reduction indices and isobologram plots were calculated using the CalcuSyn software v2.11 (Premier Biosoft International).

Western blot analysis. Protein extracts were prepared in 1% NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM orthovanadate, 10 mM iodoacetamide, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and protease inhibitor cocktail). Protein concentrations were determined by Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Cell protein lysates (50 µg) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gels and then transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked with Tris-buffered saline containing 3% BSA and 0.05% Tween-20 (TBST) for 30 min, followed by incubation with aforementioned primary antibodies overnight at 4°C and aforementioned secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. A total of three 5-min washes with TBST were performed after incubation with the primary or secondary antibodies. The protein expression was detected using RapidStep™ Enhanced Chemiluminescence (Merck KGaA). GAPDH was used as a reference protein.

Flow cytometry. Liver cancer cell lines were plated into 6-well plates at a density of 300,000 cells/well and treated with vehicle, 2.5 µM Sora, 25 µM (HepG2)/125 µM (Huh7) Art or Sora + Art for 72 h. Cell cycle analysis with propidium iodide (PI) staining was performed according to a standard protocol. Briefly, drug-treated cells were harvested in cell suspensions in PBS and fixed in a final concentration of 700-800 µm wide. Cells were washed twice with PBS and allowed to grow in 10% FBS growth medium with vehicle (DMSO or 100% ethanol), 2.5 µM Sora, 25 µM (HepG2)/125 µM (Huh7) Art or Sora + Art. Images were captured at 6 h intervals for 36 h using the IncuCyte ZOOM imaging system with time-lapse bright field microscopy (Essen Bioscience). Relative wound density was calculated based on the ratio of cell density in the wound/cell density outside the wound.

Statistical analysis. All values are presented as the means ± standard deviation of at least three independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed to analyse...
differences in growth inhibition and apoptosis using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc analysis. The wound scratch assays were analysed using Friedman's test followed by the Dunn's post hoc test using GraphPad Prism v6.0.1 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.). P<0.05 (two-tailed) was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Determination of dose-response curves and half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) values in liver cancer cell lines.

To determine accurate IC_{50} values of Sora and Art used in the present study, dose-response curves were initially generated for these drugs using the CyQUANT cell proliferation assay. This assay is a cell metabolic activity-independent and sensitive method, which is used to directly measure DNA content to quantify cells. The dose response curves of Sora in HepG2 and Huh7 cells were similar to those reported previously, with IC_{50} values of 5.93-8.51 (mean 7.10) µM in HepG2 and 7.11-17.11 (mean 11.03) µM in Huh7 cells (Fig. 1; Table I) (20,21). It has been reported that the maximum drug concentration of Art in human plasma is 3,260 (1,020-164,000) ng/ml [8.48 (2.65-427.08) µM] and the terminal elimination half-life is 0.25 (0.1-1.8) h (22). To obtain clinically relevant concentrations, the inhibitory dose response of Art was tested for 72 h in the two cell lines at a starting concentration of 1,000 µM. This revealed that the IC_{50} values of Art ranged between 63.28 and 99.85 (mean 79.49) µM in HepG2, and 344.70-1,099 (mean 615.40) µM in Huh7 cells (Fig. 1; Table I). The results indicated that HepG2 and Huh7 cells exhibited low sensitivity to Art treatment, compared with other types of cancer cell lines, including leukemia or colon cancer cell lines, which have been reported to be responsive in types of cancer cell lines, including leukemia or colon cancer cell lines.

Additionally, a fixed constant ratio of drug combination starting concentration of 1,000 µM. This revealed that the IC_{50} values of Art ranged between 63.28 and 99.85 (mean 79.49) µM in HepG2, and 344.70-1,099 (mean 615.40) µM in Huh7 cells (Table I). The results demonstrated that the combination of Sora and Art significantly augmented cell growth inhibition compared with single drug treatments, the starting concentrations at which this effect became significant were 2.5 µM for Sora combined with Art at 25 µM for HepG2 and 2.5 µM Sora with 125 µM Art for Huh7 (Fig. 2). In addition, PHH were tested for the potential hepatotoxic effects associated with this drug combination. Due to the limitations of the utility of this model, the hepatotoxicity evaluation here is focused on cell viability. The cells were treated with the drug combination ratios of Sora to Art, 1:10 and 1:50, with Art starting at 100 µM and 1,000 µM, respectively. The former ration did not present hepatotoxicity in human normal primary hepatocytes, whereas with the latter ratio, Art treatment alone at higher concentrations (>250 µM), significantly reduced the cell viability by ~40% (the combination ratio at 1:50). Notably, no significant difference was observed between Art alone and the combination treatments, suggesting that the drug combination in PHH does not show augmented hepatotoxicity beyond the single drug treatments.

Table I. Cytotoxic effects of sorafenib and artesunate in liver cancer cell lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>HepG2 cells</th>
<th>Huh7 cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
<td>7.10 (5.93-8.51)</td>
<td>11.03 (7.11-17.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>79.49 (63.28-99.85)</td>
<td>615.40 (344.70-1099.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synergistic effects of Sora and Art on suppression of liver cancer cell growth.

To further determine the types of drug interactions, the data were analyzed by median-drug effect analysis (Calcsyn) to determine antagonism [combination index (CI)>1], additivity (CI=1) and synergism (CI<1). The combinatorial effects of Sora and Art in HepG2 and Huh7 cells as identified by the CI, isobologram and dose reduction index (DRI) at three dose-effect levels of cell growth inhibition (IC_{50}, IC_{50}, or IC_{50}) are summarized in Table II. The combinations exhibited strong synergy in HepG2 cells (CI range, 0.11-0.22) or moderate to strong synergy in Huh7 cells (CI range, 0.75-0.43), which was also indicated by the isobolograms (Fig. 3; Table II). The isobolograms indicate the nature of the drug interaction at constant ratios in each liver cancer cell line. They present drug combination effects at the ED_{50} (50% effective dose), ED_{50} (75% effective dose), and ED_{90} (90% effective dose) and the data points below the line, on the line or above the line suggest synergistic, additive or antagonistic effects, respectively (Fig. 3). Although there are differences between HepG2 and Huh7 cells in response to this drug combination which showed opposing trends in the CIs and DRIs (Table II), the CIs clearly indicated strong synergism in HepG2 and moderate synergism in Huh7 cells. Furthermore, the DRIs exhibited considerable dose reduction for Sora and Art as a result of synergism. When applied at the indicated constant ratio (Table II), the IC_{50} of Sora was decreased 14.69-fold (HepG2) or 3.04-fold (Huh7). For Art, it was reduced 21.86-fold (HepG2) or 2.34-fold (Huh7). The dose reduction levels were specific to each cell line.

Additionally, a fixed constant ratio of drug combination (Sora:Art, 1:5) was tested in HepG2 and Huh7 cells for 48 h (Fig. S2). Art treatment at concentrations <100 µM demonstrated moderate effects on growth inhibition within 48 h (17-40%) for the two cell lines, while it exhibited synergistic effects with Sora on suppression of cell growth in HepG2 cells as indicated by CI (Fig. S2A). By contrast, concurrent treatment of Art and Sora in Huh7 cells for 48 h did not comply with the Calcsyn mathematical model since the median-effect curves in this cell line exhibited a negative slope due to its poor response to Art treatment (<100 µM; Fig. S2B). However, this was overcome by 24 h pre-treatment with Art, followed by the combination treatment (Fig. S2B), which suggested that sequential treatment of Art followed by Sora may improve the overall outcome of this drug combination in liver cancer treatment.
Combination of Sora and Art significantly increases cell apoptosis. It has been previously reported that Art exerts anti-cancer properties in a variety of tumor types via the activation of mitochondrial apoptosis (17). Art also has been revealed to reduce tumor vessel formation via downregulation of VEGF and VEGFR protein expression levels (12,14). To further assess the biological effects of the combination of Sora and Art, concentrations (Sora:Art, 2.5:25 µM for HepG2; 2.5:125 µM for Huh7) at which the combination started exhibiting noticeable enhancement of growth inhibition at 72 h compared with the single drug treatments were selected (Fig. 2). This significantly reduced cell numbers, which was partially caused by cell apoptosis induction as indicated by the percentage of cells in the subG₀/G₁ phases of the cell cycle (Fig. 4A and B). Consistent with these results, western blot analysis revealed that the initiator and the final substrate of the caspase cascade, cleaved caspase-9 and cleaved poly ADP ribose polymerase expression levels were significantly increased following combination treatment. Sora and Art reduced VEGFR2 protein expression, and this effect was enhanced by drug combination in HepG2 and Huh7 cells (Fig. 4C).

Combination of Sora and Art significantly reduces cell migration. Subsequently, the combined effect of the drugs on cell migration was determined using an in vitro wound healing assay (Fig. 5). Cell migration was kinetically monitored in
Essen ImageLock plates using the IncuCyte Zoom imaging system. Cell growth was controlled by mitomycin C treatment. For the selected drug combination ratio (Sora:Art, 2.5:25 µM for HepG2 and 2.5:125 µM for Huh7), no significant apoptotic effects were observed at 24 h (data not shown). However, the results revealed a substantial inhibition of migration in the combination-treated cells compared with vehicle, Sora or Art treated cells (Fig. 5A and B).

Table II. CalcuSyn output of median-effect analysis of Sora and Art combination in liver cancer cell lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>CI value</th>
<th>DRI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dm (µM)</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>Sora</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sora + Art (1:10)</td>
<td>0.37+3.73</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huh7</td>
<td>Sora</td>
<td>16.44</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>63.93</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sora + Art (1:50)</td>
<td>5.39+270.05</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dose-effect relationships were calculated by median-effect analysis using CalcuSyn. m=1, mx1 and m<1 signify hyperbolic, sigmoidal and flat sigmoidal shapes, respectively. r indicates conformity of results. CI<1, CI=1 or CI>1, indicate synergism, additive or antagonism, respectively. DRI was measured by comparing the doses when used as a single treatment or in combination. Sora, sorafenib; Art, artemisin; CI, combination index; DRI, dose reduction index; Dm, median-effect dose that inhibits cell growth by 50%; r, linear correlation coefficient; IC50, half-maximal inhibitory concentration; IC75, 75% inhibitory concentration; IC90, 90% inhibitory concentration; m, shape of curve.
Discussion

In the SHARP trial (2008), Sorafenib treatment was associated with a modest improvement in survival (2.8 months) compared with placebo treatment; however, the treatment was commonly associated with adverse effects, resulting in discontinuation of the drug in certain cases (1). Since then, there have been a number of trials investigating the combination therapy of Sorafenib with various interventions, including VEGF-targeted monoclonal antibody, bevacizumab (Avastin®; Genentech) (2,23). The overall assessment of the majority of studies is that they do not support the combination of two chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of unresectable HCC (23-25). Recently, another multikinase inhibitor, regorafenib, first appeared to improve survival rates in patients who progressed on Sorafenib, with an increased median OS over 24 months across the two lines of therapy with Sorafenib as a first-line and regorafenib as a second-line treatment (24,25). However, due to common adverse events, patients intolerant to Sorafenib were excluded from the study (24). Therefore, further investigation is required to determine the benefits or lack of benefits of combination therapy. Similarly, identification of a synergistic partner drug would provide an opportunity for dose-reduction; and therefore, increase the therapeutic window.
Drug repurposing of Art in cancer therapy has been proposed in numerous studies (4-11). Synergistic effects of Art and other chemotherapy drugs have been reported in a number of types of cancer (15,16,26-28). Therefore, its combination with Sora has been investigated in HCC in *in vitro* and *in vivo* (17). However, the lack of evidence from reliable and standardised methods defining the *in vitro* synergistic potential of this drug combination remains a major drawback for its practical use. It is often unclear whether it has greater or lesser effects in combination with other drugs compared with the simple additive effect expected from the combination of the effects of each drug individually. This is a great limitation in providing valuable insights for developing drug combination in cancer therapeutics. In the present study, an effort was made to measure the dose-effect relationship of Sora or Art alone or in combination, and to quantitatively determine whether this combination yielded a synergistic effect in liver cancer cells.

Median-drug effect analysis (Calcusyn) was used to define drug interactivity by generating the CI, isobologram and DRI in an objective manner. The drug combination ratio reported in the present study was determined based on the IC$_{50}$ values of each drug, and the same ratio was applied to additional functional studies. The results of the present study indicated that combination at the fixed ratio was associated with strong to moderate synergistic growth inhibition in HepG2 and Huh7 cells. The DRI of Sora ranged between 14.69- and 3.04-fold and that of Art ranged between 21.86- and 2.34-fold at the IC$_{50}$ level. The synergistic effects...
included apoptosis induction, cell migration inhibition and anti-angiogenesis activity. Notably, the combination treatment reduced VEGFR2 protein expression more than Sora or Art alone, indicating these two drugs cooperatively exert anti-angiogenesis roles. Additionally, another drug combination ratio of Sora:Art (1:5) was applied in HepG2 and HuH7 cells, and sequential combination treatment in HuH7 cells that were not responding well in the first setting was assessed. It was revealed that the drug combinatorial effect of Art and Sora was synergetic. The benefit of this particular drug combination in HCC is not only due to the characteristics of the drugs, but also dependent on the dose ratio and scheduling of treatments. Furthermore, it would be reasonable to consider what dose ratio or scheduling of treatments may optimize the synergy. Ideally, these two factors should be extensively optimized in preclinical studies prior to proceeding to a clinical setting in humans.

In conclusion, the present study provided methodological evidence to facilitate the development of the drug combination of Sora and Art in HCC treatment. This could be a potential treatment option for patients with HCC and may harness the overall therapeutic efficacy of Sora with Art, an affordable and well-characterized medication. The combination ratio and concurrent/sequential dosing schedule requires further investigation in clinical trials.
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