Central nervous system involvement in adult patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: Influence of rituximab
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Abstract. CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone)-like chemotherapy, in combination with rituximab (R-CHOP-like), improves outcome in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). We aimed to investigate the impact of rituximab on central nervous system (CNS) disease in adult patients. We studied 315 patients (aged 18-60 years old) from six hospitals between July 2003 and May 2008. All patients received CHOP-like (n=165) or R-CHOP-like (n=150) regimen every 3 weeks. With a median follow-up of 3.69 years, 10 patients (3.17%) developed CNS disease. The cumulative risk of CNS occurrence was not significantly different between the two treatment groups (P=0.871). We conclude that the addition of rituximab did not reduce the risk of CNS disease in adult patients with DLBCL.

Introduction

Involvement of the central nervous system (CNS) is an infrequent yet often fatal complication of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). It is widely accepted that due to the low incidence of CNS relapse, prophylactic measures in all DLBCL patients cannot be recommended.

The addition of rituximab to CHOP (cyclophosphamide, Adriamycin, vincristine and prednisone; R-CHOP) has been reported to significantly prolong event-free survival and overall survival (OS) in DLBCL patients (1-5). It is controversial whether the improvement in outcome is in part due to the impact of rituximab on CNS events. Early data indicate no benefit (6) or protective effects against CNS relapse in elderly patients (7,8).

We analyzed CNS events occurring in adult patients with DLBCL treated with CHOP-like or R-CHOP-like regimen at six university hospitals in Shanghai, China. The aim was to evaluate the impact of rituximab on CNS events.

Patients and methods

Patients. This retrospective study was conducted using data from six university hospitals in Shanghai between July 2003 and May 2008. Clinical information was gathered by review of medical charts. Ethics approval for this study and informed consent from the patients were obtained. Patients were enrolled if they were ≥18 years of age, newly diagnosed with DLBCL and treated with at least four cycles of CHOP-like or R-CHOP-like regimen every 3 weeks. Patients with human immunodeficiency virus, CNS involvement at diagnosis, receiving any CNS prophylaxis during the clinical course, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma and incomplete clinical information were excluded from the study and their information was not recorded during the data collection process. The CHOP-like regimens consisted of cyclophosphamide, 600-750 mg/m², day 1; anthracycline (doxorubicin, 40-50 mg/m², day 1; or epirubicin, 60-70 mg/m², day 1; or pirarubicin, 40-50 mg/m², day 1); vincristine, 1.4 mg/m² (up to a maximal dose of 2 mg), day 1 (or vindesin, 2 mg/m², day 1); and prednisone, 80-100 mg, days 1-5. Rituximab was administered at a dose of 375 mg/m² on day 1 or 2 of each cycle.

CNS disease. In our study, patients with CNS recurrence after achieving systemic CR/CRu/PR and patients with spread of
disease to the CNS during first-line therapy were included. The diagnosis was based on the combination of clinical symptoms, radiological findings and the presence of lymphoma cells in the spinal fluid.

**Statistical analysis.** The primary endpoint was the time to CNS disease, defined as the time from the diagnosis of lymphoma to disease progression in the CNS, or CNS relapse after CR/CRu/PR. The secondary endpoint was survival following CNS disease, defined as the time from the diagnosis of CNS disease until death from any cause. Progression-free survival (PFS) was measured from the time of diagnosis to the time of first disease progression or relapse or death resulting from any cause. OS was calculated from the time of initial diagnosis to the time of death from any cause or of last follow-up. Time to CNS disease, survival following CNS disease, PFS and OS were estimated according to the Kaplan-Meier method. Baseline characteristics were compared between the treatment groups using the Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test when appropriate. Statistical analysis was conducted using the SPSS 16.0 software package (Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Patient characteristics.** A total of 472 consecutive patients with DLBCL who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were identified, and 315 adult patients (aged 18-60 years old) were the subjects of this retrospective analysis. Patient characteristics by treatment are listed in Table I, with no statistically significant differences observed between the arms. A total of 165 patients were treated with CHOP-like therapy and 150 patients received R-CHOP-like treatment. In our study, the CHOP-like and R-CHOP-like therapies were administered in parallel time periods, as rituximab was approved as the first line therapy for DLBCL after 2006 in China. Patients decided whether to undergo R-CHOP-like or CHOP-like treatment.

The median age was 49 years for the CHOP-like group and 50 years for the R-CHOP-like group. Of the 315 patients, 258 (81.90%) achieved a CR following treatment; 79.39% receiving CHOP-like and 84.67% in the R-CHOP-like group. The 5-year OS (79.7 versus 64.5%, P=0.012) was superior in the R-CHOP-like group.

**CNS disease in CHOP- and R-CHOP-like-treated patients.** With a median follow-up of 3.69 years, 10 patients (3.17%) developed CNS disease (Table II). A total of 5 of the 165 patients (3.03%) treated with CHOP-like, and 5 of the 150 patients (3.33%) administered R-CHOP-like therapy, experienced a CNS event. In 5 patients (100%), CNS disease occurred after a complete remission had been achieved in the R-CHOP-like group compared with 3 patients (60%) in the CHOP-like group. The remaining 2 patients (40%), CNS disease was diagnosed together with progressive disease. Overall, 4 patients (80%) experienced a CNS event without systemic disease whatever the treatment arm. One patient had a first relapse in other sites prior to the CNS in the CHOP-like group, and one patient had a first relapse in the CNS before other sites in the R-CHOP-like group. In each group, the primary site was the lymph node in one patient (20%) and an extranodal site in four patients (80%). Table III shows the

**Table I. Clinical characteristics of all patients.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical factor</th>
<th>CHOP-like</th>
<th>R-CHOP-like</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>49 (18-60)</td>
<td>50 (18-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B symptom</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extranodal sites</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aaIPI</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone; R-CHOP, rituximab with CHOP; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; IPI, International Prognostic Index; aaIPI, age-adjusted IPI.

**Figure 1. Cumulative risk of CNS disease in patients treated with CHOP-like and R-CHOP-like.** CNS, central nervous system; CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone; R-CHOP, rituximab with CHOP.
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The number of patients with CNS relapse according to specific initial extranodal sites in all patients.

The median time between diagnosis and CNS disease was 17.02 months (range, 0.8-49.23) in patients who developed CNS disease and the estimated median survival following CNS disease was 15.23 months. However, the difference for patients treated without or with rituximab is not significant for the time to CNS disease (17.83 vs. 16.60 months, P=0.356) and survival following CNS disease (2.93 vs. 28.73 months, P=0.083). A total of five patients (100%) in the R-CHOP-like group relapsed >1 year following diagnosis (range, 13.40-18.97 months); by contrast, three patients (60%) in the CHOP-like group relapsed >1 year from diagnosis (range, 0.80-49.23 months in 5 patients).

Comparing the two treatment groups, the cumulative risk of CNS occurrence was not significantly different (log-rank test, P=0.871; Fig. 1).

**Discussion**

CNS relapse is a rare but often fatal event for patients with DLBCL and the incidence ranges from 5 to 25% (8). Currently, the addition of rituximab to CHOP is widely accepted as the standard chemotherapy for DLBCL, and has significantly prolonged event-free survival and OS in adult patients (5). We aimed to investigate whether the improvement in outcome is in part due to the impact of rituximab on CNS events. In the present study, we reported CNS events in 315 adult patients with DLBCL treated with CHOP-like or R-CHOP-like regimen. With a median follow-up of 3.69 years, 10 patients (3.17%) developed CNS disease, 5 in the R-CHOP-like and 5 in the CHOP-like group. No significant difference for patients treated without or with rituximab was observed in the time to CNS disease (17.83 vs. 16.60 months, P=0.356) and survival following CNS disease (2.93 vs. 28.73 months, P=0.083). A total of five patients (100%) in the R-CHOP-like group relapsed >1 year following diagnosis (range, 13.40-18.97 months); by contrast, three patients (60%) in the CHOP-like group relapsed >1 year from diagnosis (range, 0.80-49.23 months in 5 patients).

Comparing the two treatment groups, the cumulative risk of CNS occurrence was not significantly different (log-rank test, P=0.871; Fig. 1).

**CHOP**, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone; **R-CHOP**, rituximab with CHOP; **ECOG**, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; **LDH**, lactate dehydrogenase; **IPI**, International Prognostic Index; **aaIPI**, age-adjusted IPI; **CNS**, central nervous system.
375 patients with DLBCL received CHOP-21 or R-CHOP-21, demonstrated no significant difference in the incidence of CNS involvement (9). Likewise, Tai et al (10) in a study involving 499 patients with DLBCL also did not find any significant difference in the CNS recurrence rates between patients who received CHOP or R-CHOP therapies. On the contrary, the German High-Grade Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group (DSHNHL) reported that rituximab modestly decreased the risk of CNS occurrence in the RICOVER-60 trial of CHOP-14 or R-CHOP-14 in 1,222 elderly patients with aggressive CD20-positive lymphoma (2-year incidence, 6.9 vs. 4.1%; P=0.043) (7). A study from Japan concluded that rituximab had a protective effect against CNS relapse in multivariate analysis in 403 patients with DLBCL (P=0.027) (11). A study from the British Columbia Cancer Agency suggested that rituximab reduced the risk of CNS relapse in 435 patients with DLBCL (3-year risk, 9.7 vs. 6.4%; P=0.085) (8). The differences in patient populations and the small number of cases relapsed in the CNS in certain studies likely account for the discrepancy in the effect of rituximab on the risk of CNS relapse among these studies. Taken together, these data indicate that treatment with R-CHOP results in a moderate decrease of CNS disease, at best.

Although there is evidence that patients with CNS lymphoma have a disrupted blood/brain barrier (7), initial pharmacokinetic studies show that levels of rituximab in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) are approximately 0.1% of matched serum levels following an intravenous administration dose (12). Intrathecal (IT) administration of rituximab to increase the concentration of rituximab in the CSF has been demonstrated to be safe and effective in animal models (12,13), several case reports (14-18) and a phase I trial (19). Nonetheless, the prophylactic and therapeutic effects of rituximab on CNS events remains elusive.

Although numerous risk factors for CNS disease have been suggested, there is no clear consensus on which patients with DLBCL should receive CNS prophylaxis. Given the rarity of CNS relapse in DLBCL patients and the risk of toxicity caused by CNS prophylaxis, it is agreed that the use of CNS prophylaxis in all patients is not justified. Currently, high-risk groups, including those with involvement of the testis, breast, bone marrow, sinus or orbital cavity, have been recommended prophylactic IT methotrexate (MTX) in most centers. However, there has been no randomized study to indicate that this strategy is effective in reducing CNS involvement. By contrast, IT MTX may not have a protective effect against CNS relapse, according to certain data (7,8,10,20). Abramson et al, in a retrospective study including 65 patients with DLBCL and CNS risk factors receiving intravenous high-dose MTX as CNS prophylaxis concurrent with CHOP or R-CHOP regimen, found that this approach may be associated with a low risk of CNS occurrence in high-risk patients (21), but prospective assessment is needed.

We recognized several limitations in our study, including the use of retrospective data, not being part of a clinical trial, the small number of patients developing CNS events and potential selection bias.

In summary, the addition of rituximab to CHOP chemotherapy does not reduce the risk of CNS disease in adult patients with DLBCL, according to our data. Further research is needed to identify more effective strategies to prevent CNS recurrence.
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