Metformin inhibits leptin-induced growth and migration of glioblastoma cells
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Abstract. Metformin, a derivative of biguanide, is a first-line therapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Since the drug has been shown to significantly reduce the risk of various cancers and cancer mortality in diabetic patients, it is being considered as a potential anticancer therapeutic or preventive agent. In cellular models, metformin inhibits the growth of many types of cancer cells; however, its effects on glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) are not well characterized. Here, we analyzed the effects of metformin on the growth and migration of LN18 and LN229 GBM cells cultured under basal conditions or exposed to leptin, a cytokine that has recently been implicated in GBM development. We found that 2-16 mM metformin reduced basal and leptin-stimulated growth of GBM cells in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, the drug significantly inhibited the migration of GBM cells. The action of metformin was mediated through the upregulation of its main signaling molecule, the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK), as well as through the downregulation of the Akt/PKB serine/threonine protein kinase. In leptin-treated cells, the drug reversed the effects of the cytokine on the AMPK and STAT3 pathways, but modulated Akt activity in a cell-dependent manner. Our results suggest that metformin or similar AMPK-targeting agents with optimized blood-brain-barrier penetrability could be developed as potential treatments of GBM and could be used in conjunction with other target drugs such as leptin receptor antagonists.

Introduction

Metformin, a biguanide derivative, is a first-line oral medication for type 2 diabetes mellitus. The best known effects of metformin include the suppression of hepatic glucose production and reduction of insulin resistance in peripheral tissues (1). In addition, the drug enhances both peripheral glucose uptake and fatty acid oxidation (1). The Diabetes Prevention Trial demonstrated that metformin decreased the incidence of diabetes development in at risk populations by ~30% (2). The key mechanism of metformin action is the activation of its major effector molecule, the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK), a sensor of cellular energy that is normally activated under conditions of starvation (3-5). The upregulation of AMPK inhibits several anabolic/mitogenic pathways activated by growth factors and nutrients, including the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI-3K) pathway, the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/2) (6). Further downstream, the consequences of AMPK stimulation may include the inhibition of the cell cycle regulator cyclin D1, the downregulation of critical transcriptional regulators such as hypoxia inducible factor 1α, nuclear factor κB and the c-myc protein, and depletion of other mitosis-related proteins (7). On a cellular level, in addition to its insulin-sensitizing effects, the drug is known to inhibit cell growth, migration, invasion and angiogenesis (7).

The above effects are particularly attractive in the context of the potential use of metformin in restricting premalignant or malignant cell growth. Indeed, in cellular models, metformin inhibited the growth of breast, colorectal, pancreatic, lung, ovarian and prostate cancer cells (7). The drug also suppressed the expression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in certain breast cancer cell lines. In animal models, metformin reduced chemically-induced carcinogenesis in various organs (mammary gland, intestine, endometrium, skin, lung and pancreas), and inhibited the growth of breast cancer xenografts and mammary tumors in HER2 transgenic mice (7-12).

Epidemiological studies in diabetic patients have suggested that metformin significantly reduces the risk of pancreatic, liver, colorectal, breast, endometrial and bladder cancer development, and decreases cancer-related mortality (7). Moreover, the drug improves the response to neoadjuvant breast cancer therapy in diabetic women (7). At present, several prospective clinical trials are evaluating metformin as a single or combined treatment for solid tumors (7) and demonstrate its potential value for cancer prevention (13) (www.clinicaltrials.gov).

Studies in vivo demonstrated that metformin can, at least to some extent, cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) through...
an organic cation transporter-dependent mechanism and exert pharmacological effects, including AMPK activation, in intact brain (8,14) and glioma cells in vitro (4). Notably, the AMPK pathway appears to be critical for the growth of epidermal growth factor receptor-dependent glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), and the activation of AMPK by its agonist significantly reduces GBM proliferation (15). However, only a few studies addressed the effects of the leading AMPK-inducing pharmacological agent, metformin, on brain tumor biology. The results suggest that the drug reduces the growth and/or migration of different rat or human glioma cell lines that have a mutation in the phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) gene and lack expression of the PTEN tumor suppressor protein (4,16,17).

Here, we analyzed the effects of metformin on basal and leptin-induced growth and migration of PTEN-positive LN18 and LN229 GBM cell lines. Leptin is a multifunctional cytokine that has been shown to regulate metabolic and neoplastic activities in many cell types (18,19). We reported previously that leptin and its receptor (ObR) are overexpressed in different human brain tumors and that their levels correlate with the degree of malignancy, being the most abundant in GBM (20). In ObR-positive LN18 and LN229 cells, leptin acts as a mitogen/survival factor and its effects coincide with the stimulation of the PI-3K/Akt, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) pathways as well as the modulation of ERK1/2 signaling and retinoblastoma protein (pRb) phosphorylation (20).

Materials and methods

Cell lines and growth conditions. ObR-positive LN18 and LN229 glioblastoma cell lines were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). Both cell lines were cultured in low-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Cellgro Mediatech, Manassas, VA, USA) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (Cellgro Mediatech) as described in a previous study (20). The study was approved by the Biosafety Committee at Temple University, PA, USA.

Cell proliferation assay. LN18 (5x10^4) and LN229 (3x10^4) cells were seeded in 24-well plates in growth medium. After 24 h, the cells were placed in serum-free medium (SFM; high-glucose DMEM supplemented with 0.42 g/ml bovine serum albumin, 1 µM FeSO₄, and 2 mM L-glutamine) for a further 24 h. Next, the cells were treated for 48 h with 2, 4, 8 and 16 mM metformin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in the presence or absence of 200 ng/ml leptin, or were left untreated. At the conclusion of the experiment, the cells were counted under the microscope using the trypan-blue exclusion method. Each experiment was repeated a minimum of 3 times.

Cell migration assay. LN18 and LN229 cells (1.5x10^5 cells) suspended in modified SFM (high-glucose DMEM supplemented with 0.42 g/ml bovine serum albumin, 1 µM FeSO₄ and 2 mM L-glutamine, and 0.5 and 1% of FBS for LN229 and LN18, respectively) were seeded in the upper chambers of a Transwell system (24-well format, polycarbonate filters, 8-µM pore size, Corning, Costar, NY, USA). The medium in the lower chambers was supplemented with leptin (200 ng/ml) acting as a chemoattractant, whereas metformin (8 and 16 mM) was added in the upper chambers and the cells were incubated for 16 h. Subsequently, the cells on the upper surface of the filters were removed, while the cells that migrated to the underside of the filters were stained with Giemsa stain for 20 min and counted (5 fields/well) using a contrast phase microscope (Olympus CKX FA), at magnification x10. The average number of cells/field was determined ± SD. Each experiment was repeated a minimum of 3 times.

Western blot (WB) analysis. LN18 and LN229 at a concentration of 1.2x10⁶ and 1.0x10⁶ cells/100 mm plate, respectively, were grown for 16 h and then placed in SFM for 24 h. The cells were subsequently pretreated with 16 mM metformin for 24 h then exposed to 200 ng/ml leptin for 30 and 60 min. Untreated cells were used as controls. The cells were then lysed in 1% NP40, 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.1% SDS, 1X protease inhibitors (Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors, Hoffmann-La Roche, Nutley, NJ, USA) and phosphatase inhibitors (10 mM Na₃VO₄ and 50 mM NaF). The expression of proteins was analyzed in 100 mg total cell lysates. The following antibodies (Ab) from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA) were used for WB: for phospho-AMPKα, AMPKα Thr172 D79.5E monoclonal (m)Ab; for phospho-Akt, Akt Ser473 pAb, 1:1000; for total Akt, Akt pAb, 1:1000; for phospho-STAT3, STAT3 Tyr705, D3A7 mAb, 1:1000; and for total STAT3, STAT3 Tyr705, D3A7 mAb, 1:1000; for phospho-Akt, Akt Ser473 pAb, 1:1000; for total Akt, Akt pAb, 1:1000; for phospho-STAT3, STAT3 Tyr705, D3A7 mAb, 1:1000; and for total STAT3, STAT3 79D7 mAb, 1:1000. The glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 6C5 (GAPDH) Ab 1:1000 was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA. The intensity of WB bands corresponding to studied proteins was analyzed by the ImageJ 1.44 program.

Statistical analysis. The results of the growth and migration experiments were analyzed by a two-tailed distribution paired Student’s t-test. P-values ≤0.05 were considered to indicate a statistically significant result.

Results

Leptin stimulates the growth of GBM cells, while metformin counters this effect. The effects of metformin in PTEN-positive, leptin-responsive brain cancer cells have never been examined. We assessed how the drug affects basal and leptin-depmandent proliferation of LN229 and LN18 cells (Fig. 1). We found that metformin at 2-16 mM significantly decreased normal cell growth in both cell lines relative to untreated cells. The activity of the drug was dose-dependent and the best cytostatic result was observed with 16 mM, while lower concentrations produced lesser effects. Notably, LN18 cells appeared to be more sensitive to metformin than LN229 cells (Fig. 1).

Next, we evaluated the effects of 8 and 16 mM metformin on leptin-induced proliferation of LN229 and LN18 cells (Fig. 2). In both cell lines, leptin increased cell growth by ~25 and 20%, respectively, whereas metformin counteracted these effects. In particular, in LN229 cells, 8 and 16 mM metformin reduced cell proliferation to basal levels. In LN18 cells, both concentrations inhibited the growth to levels significantly below basal, suggesting that some activity of the drug is mediated through a leptin-independent mechanism (Fig. 2).
Leptin stimulates the migration of GBM cells, while metformin counteracts this effect. Leptin is a recognized motogenic and angiogenic factor in cancer models (21) and induces migration in rat glioma cells (22); however, its role in human GBM migration has never been studied. We found that in LN229 and LN18 cells, leptin increased cell migration by ~50% (Fig. 3). In the presence of metformin, leptin-dependent migration was reduced to basal or slightly below basal levels (Fig. 3).
In addition, we observed that metformin alone significantly suppressed basal cell migration. In LN229 cells, the drug at 8 and 16 mM inhibited basal cell migration by 26 and 34%, respectively. In LN18 cells, the decrease of cell migration observed in the presence of metformin 8 and 16 mM was 27 and 41%, relative to the control (Fig. 3).

**Effects of metformin on leptin signaling pathways in GBM cells.** We previously demonstrated that leptin activates the STAT3 and Akt pathways, and downregulates ERK1/2 signaling in ObR-positive GBM cells (20). In addition, leptin is known to modulate AMPK in a cell context-dependent manner (19,23), although its effects on this enzyme in brain tumor cells have never been studied. Here, we assessed how metformin modulates several signaling pathways in GBM cells cultured in the presence or absence of leptin (Fig. 4).

In LN18 cells, the basal levels of activated AMPK were low and leptin treatment did not modify its phosphorylation status. However, metformin pretreatment increased total AMPK levels by ~90%. The addition of leptin to metformin-treated cultures did not modulate AMPK abundance, but it decreased AMPK phosphorylation by 90-120% (Fig. 4). In LN229 cells, leptin treatment for 30 or 60 min reduced the levels of phosphorylated AMPK by ~40 and 60%, respectively, without affecting the basal expression of the enzyme. Metformin did not increase total AMPK levels in LN229 cells, but it moderately (~40%) elevated AMPK phosphorylation. The latter effect was effectively counteracted by leptin treatment, particularly at 60 min (Fig. 4).

In both cell lines, neither metformin nor leptin affected total STAT3 levels. Leptin treatment induced STAT3 phosphorylation at 30 and 60 min by ~80-200%, while metformin pretreatment reduced STAT3 activation to below basal levels. This effect of metformin was partially reversed by leptin addition (Fig. 4).

In LN18 and LN229 cells, leptin significantly stimulated Akt phosphorylation, particularly at 60 min. Notably, metformin produced differential effects of Akt signaling in our cell models. In LN18 cells, metformin inhibited basal Akt phosphorylation below basal levels, but this effect was totally negated by leptin treatment. By contrast, in LN229 cells, metformin increased Akt phosphorylation by ~250%, and the addition of leptin did not significantly alter this response. Total Akt levels were not modified by either leptin or metformin treatment (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

There is significant experimental evidence showing that cellular mechanisms controlling the metabolism converge with those implicated in the development and progression of neoplastic diseases (7). In particular, energy excess appears to act as a tumor promoter in the course of many common cancers (7). AMPK is a critical pathway regulating cellular response to energy imbalance and has also been shown to control cancer progression (24). A well-characterized and extensively used pharmaceutical agent that activates AMPK, thereby mimicking a state of energy depletion, is metformin. Metformin has proven efficacy in the treatment of diabetes and other metabolic diseases (1,25). The drug has also shown value in diabetes prevention, and its potential in the treatment and prevention of cancer is currently being evaluated (7-11).

In this study, we analyzed the effects of metformin on the growth and migration of human GBM cells cultured either under basal conditions or exposed to leptin, a hormone known to regulate various metabolic, mitogenic and motogenic functions in normal and neoplastic cells (21). The results of this study may be summarized as follows: i) metformin restricts basal and leptin-stimulated growth of LN18 and LN229 GBM cells; ii) metformin inhibits basal and leptin-induced migration of LN18 and LN229 cells; iii) the action of metformin in these cells is mediated through the AMPK, STAT3 and Akt pathways; iv) metformin counteracts leptin effects on the AMPK and STAT3 pathways, but modulates Akt status in a cell-dependent manner.

This study confirmed our previous findings that leptin stimulates several growth-related intracellular pathways and acts as a mitogen in GBM cells (20). Furthermore, we demonstrated for the first time that leptin activates migration in human GBM cells, which is consistent with the observation that the hormone induces migration of rat C6 glioma cells (22).

In human LN18 and LN229 cells, metformin inhibited motogenic as well as mitogenic leptin activity. These findings are original as no prior study has addressed metformin interference with leptin activity in cancer models.

Significantly, we observed that metformin not only inhibited cell growth, migration and signaling induced by leptin but also restricted cell functions in the absence of the hormone. This suggests that the drug suppresses the constitutive activation of several mitogenic and motogenic pathways in GBM cells, which are frequently induced due to either lack of tumor suppressors, such as PTEN, or overexpression of activated oncogenic proteins e.g., the activated epidermal growth factor receptor mutant EGFRvIII, the insulin-like growth factor receptor, HER2, or focal adhesion kinase (FAK) (26-30). In fact, previous studies demonstrated that metformin inhibits growth and/or migration of PTEN-deficient rat or human glioma cell lines (4,16,17).
Notably, despite the differential genetic backgrounds of our PTEN-positive cell models (LN18: EGFRvIII++, HER2++, IGFR-IR+, FAK++; LN229: EGFR+, HER2++, EGFRvIII+, IGFR-IR++, FAK+), metformin activated AMPK in both cell lines, either through increased levels or elevated phosphorylation of the enzyme. This is consistent with the original observation of Guo et al that the proliferation of at least some GBM cells is significantly suppressed by AMPK activation (15,27). Metformin also inhibited STAT3 activation in both our cell models, which confirms the importance of STAT3 signaling in GBM (31).

In contrast, we observed differential effects of metformin on Akt in LN18 and LN229 cells. In LN18 cells, the drug reduced basal and leptin-induced Akt phosphorylation, which confirms reports of metformin activity in other cancer models (32,33). Conversely, in LN229 cells, metformin significantly increased basal Akt phosphorylation, and this process was not affected by leptin treatment. The reason for this difference is unclear, but it may be related to cell-specific upregulation of Akt by chronic metformin treatment, as noted in certain models (34,35).

In summary, our results suggest that metformin or similar AMPK-targeting agents with optimized BBB penetrability could be developed as potential treatments of GBM. Such modalities could be used in conjunction with other target drugs, for example those which inhibit angiogenic and mitogenic pathways stimulated by leptin or other cytokines/growth factors. However, the analysis of metformin interaction with conventional anti-neoplastic treatments is necessary as the drug may decrease the efficacy of some chemotherapeutic agents (4).
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