Brain perfusion and permeability in patients with advanced, refractory glioblastoma treated with lomustine and the transforming growth factor-β receptor I kinase inhibitor LY2157299 monohydrate
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Abstract. Transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signaling is associated with tumor progression and vascularization in malignant glioma. In the present study, magnetic resonance imaging was used to evaluate changes in the size and vascularity of glioblastomas in 12 patients who were treated with lomustine and the novel inhibitor of TGF-β signaling, LY2157299 monohydrate. A response in tumor size was observed in 2 of the 12 patients; in 1 of these 2 patients, a reduction in vascular permeability and perfusion was also detected. The effect was observed following 4 cycles of treatment (~3 months). Changes in vascularity have not previously been attributed to treatment with lomustine; therefore, the effect may be associated with LY2157299 treatment. LY2157299 does not appear to have an anti-angiogenic effect when combined with lomustine, and hence may have a different mechanism of action profile compared with anti-angiogenic drugs.

Introduction

Identified in the early 1980s, the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) ligands, TGF-β1, TGF-β2 and TGF-β3, regulate diverse biological functions (1,2). These three ligands may bind to a specific receptor through their interaction with TGF-β receptor I (TGF-βRI), which subsequently heterodimerizes with the TGF-βR1I. This heterodimer complex phosphorylates the intracellular proteins SMAD2 and SMAD3, which initiate an activation cascade to induce a number of nuclear transcription proteins. Through the induction of such proteins, the TGF-β signaling pathway affects cellular proliferation, differentiation, motility, survival and apoptosis in tumor cells and the surrounding microenvironment. In glioblastoma, TGF-β signaling is important in tumor progression (3,4).

LY2157299 monohydrate (LY2157299) is a TGF-βRI kinase inhibitor that interrupts the receptor-mediated signaling cascade (5-7). However, small-molecule TGF-β inhibitors have been associated with unique cardiovascular toxicities in animals (8). Therefore, it is critical to develop a therapeutic window in which LY2157299 may be safely administered during a first-in-human dose (FHD) study. Based on preclinical information, a pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic model was developed to prospectively describe a therapeutic window to facilitate the safe clinical evaluation of LY2157299 (9). In short- and long-term toxicological studies in rats and dogs, LY2157299 was considered to potentially have the required therapeutic window in which a dose escalation to a biologically active dose range may safely be implemented (10).

Angiogenesis is critical in the development of glioma; anti-vascular endothelial growth factor agents, including bevacizumab, have shown activity in recurrent glioblastoma (11). TGF-β-mediated angiogenesis has also been described in mice, and postulated for humans. For example, mutations of components of the TGF-β signaling pathway, such as Endoglin and SMAD4, have been associated with certain forms of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (12). The importance of the TGF-β signaling pathway is further highlighted by the fact that the deletion of certain components, including TGF-β1, TGF-βRII, activin receptor-like kinase 1, Endoglin, SMAD1, SMAD4 and SMAD5, is not compatible with gestational survival. All these components are associated with embryonic lethality resulting from severe vascular abnormalities (13-16). In vitro studies indicate that the angiogenic effect of TGF-β signaling is differentially modulated by endothelial migration and proliferation, and...
depends upon the different stages of angiogenic development. Overall, this appears to be dose- and cell-dependent (17).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of brain tumors may be utilized to assess anatomical changes in response to treatment, and is also able to detect changes in vascular permeability, blood volume, blood flow and mean transit time of blood flow (17). Measures of relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV) and permeability have been used as antitumor response markers following the initiation of anti-angiogenic treatment (18,19). For example, patients who were treated with cediranib and who exhibited increased perfusion also experienced prolonged survival (20). rCBV has also been demonstrated to be sensitive to tumor grade, to be predictive of survival and to be able to distinguish contrast enhancement following post-radiation changes (21-26). These observations indicate that MRI techniques facilitate the assessment of the anti-vascular activity of novel antitumor agents. In the present study, the perfusion and permeability of glioblastoma was assessed in patients who received combination lomustine and LY2157299 therapy, in order to determine whether this treatment exerts anti-angiogenic effects.

Patients and methods

Patients. Patients with relapsed and progressive glioblastoma were eligible to participate in the FHD study, as described previously (27). All patients were required to have an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status score of ≤2, adequate hematological, hepatic and renal function, and must have discontinued all previous cancer therapies >4 weeks prior to study enrollment. The exclusion criteria included medically uncontrolled cardiovascular illness and electrocardiogram anomalies.

All patients provided written informed consent to participate in the study, and the protocol was approved by the ethics committees of University Hospital 12 October (Madrid, Spain) and Vall d’Hebron University Hospital (Barcelona, Spain). The study was conducted in accordance with good clinical practice and the ethics principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study design. The MRI-based assessment was conducted as part of a main protocol evaluating the safety and pharmacokinetics of LY2157299 (Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA), either alone or in combination with lomustine (Medac GmbH, Wedel, Germany) (27,28). LY2157299 was evaluated in a multicenter, open-label, non-randomized, dose-escalation FHD phase I study. Patients participating in this substudy received two doses of LY2157299 (160 and 300 mg/day), in combination with lomustine at standard doses: 100 mg/m² every 6 weeks in the first cycle, and 130 mg/m² in the second and subsequent cycles, according to the patient’s tolerance.

Safety analysis. Safety assessment was based on the summaries of adverse events, including severity [as defined by the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 3.0)] (29), and possible associations with the study drug, dose-limiting toxicities and laboratory changes at each dose level. Logistic regression analysis for the probability of experiencing a dose-limiting toxicity was not performed, as there was only one occurrence of a dose-limiting toxicity. Safety was also analyzed by evaluation of Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (30), electrocardiogram and echocardiography/Doppler scanning. Standard laboratory tests, including chemistry, hematology and urinalysis panels, were also conducted. All concomitant medications were documented throughout the patient’s participation in the study.

Treatment. LY2157299 was administered orally in tablet form at 80- and 150-mg doses twice daily (in the morning and evening; total, 160 and 300 mg/day) for 14 days, followed by a 14-day drug-free period, for a 28-day cycle. Lomustine was administered at a starting dose of 100 mg/m² in the first cycle and 130 mg/m² in the second and subsequent cycles; the time between lomustine doses was 6 weeks. Based on toxicities, doses were lowered when indicated.

Radiological assessment. All patients underwent a baseline MRI exam <2 weeks prior to the commencement of treatment, and a second MRI exam prior to the third cycle of treatment. Radiographic changes were evaluated according to Macdonald criteria (31).

Conventional MRI. Imaging was performed with a 1.5T scanner (Signa Excite; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Anatomic sequences were conducted as follows: A 3-plane localization sequence, sagittal T1-weighted with an inversion recovery (IR) pulse [repetition time (TR), 2,400 msec; echo time (TE), 24 msec; IR, 750 msec], axial T2-weighted fast spin-echo (TR, 4,100 msec; TE, 85 msec), and fluid-attenuated IR [FLAIR; TR, 8,000 msec; TE, 120 msec; inversion time (TI), 2,000 msec]. Post-contrast axial and coronal T1-weighted images with an IR pulse (TR, 2,400 msec; TE, 24 msec; IR, 750 msec) were obtained following the acquisition of the perfusion MRI data. All data were obtained using 4-mm thick sections with a 1-mm skip, a 320x256 matrix and a field of view (FOV) of 24x24 cm.

Dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion MRI. Dynamic contrast-enhanced T2-weighted gradient-echo echo-planar images were acquired during the first pass of a bolus of gadobutrol (Gadavist™; 1 mmol/ml; Berlex Laboratories, Wayne, NJ, USA) at a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg. For perfusion MRI, 19 sections were selected to cover the tumor on the basis of T2-weighted and FLAIR images. Imaging parameters were as follows: TR/TE, 2,000/21.1 msec; FOV, 26x26 cm; section thickness, 4 mm with a 0.4-mm skip, a matrix of 128x128 and a flip angle of 90°. A series of 40 multisection acquisitions was acquired at 0.2-sec intervals. The first 8 acquisitions were performed prior to the injection of the contrast agent in order to establish a precontrast baseline. For the ninth acquisition, gadobutrol was injected at a rate of 5 ml/sec using a power injector (Medrad® Spectris Solaris® EP MR Injection System; Medrad Inc., Bayer Healthcare, Indianola, PA, USA) followed by the administration of a 20-ml bolus of saline at 5 ml/sec. Immediately prior to dynamic imaging, a prebolus dose (2 ml) of gadobutrol was administered to diminish any T1 effects that may have resulted from agent extravasation.

Perfusion MRI data evaluation. Dynamic susceptibility contrast images were processed on an Advantage Workstation.
using FuncTool (GE Healthcare). The beginning and the end of the first-pass bolus were determined through inspection of the time-signal-intensity curve and care was taken to exclude any recirculation-related signal intensity. Cerebral blood volume (CBV) refers to the amount of blood in a given region of brain tissue at any time, commonly measured in milliliters per 100 g of brain tissue. As the CBV must be expressed relative to an internal reference, it was normalized in the present study by expressing ratios relative to values measured in the normal white matter of the contralateral lobe; these values

Table I. Patient demographics and disease characteristics of all enrolled and treated patients by dose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Original/ subsequent diagnosis</th>
<th>Time from initial diagnosis to study entry</th>
<th>Primary/ secondary GBM</th>
<th>Previous treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>3 years, 5 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (6 cycles), surgery (complete resection) and bevacizumab + irinotecan (12 cycles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>1 year, 4 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (5 cycles), surgery (complete resection) and bevacizumab + irinotecan (12 cycles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>1 year, 9 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ (no adjuvant TMZ due to thrombocytopenia) and bevacizumab + irinotecan (24 cycles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>1 year, 4 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (2 cycles) and bevacizumab + irinotecan (20 cycles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>2 years, 2 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (6 cycles), second chemoradiotherapy and bevacizumab + irinotecan (2 cycles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ and bevacizumab + irinotecan (14 cycles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>1 year, 2 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (2 cycles) and bevacizumab + irinotecan (12 cycles) Radiotherapy, adjuvant TMZ (18 cycles), second surgery at progression with partial resection and adjuvant TMZ (8 cycles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Oligodendroglioma/anaplastic oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>6 years, 4 months</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (6 cycles) and dose intense TMZ + bevacizumab (5 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (6 cycles) and dose intense TMZ + bevacizumab (5 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma/ GBM</td>
<td>5 years, 6 months</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (1 cycle), dose intense TMZ (4 years) and surgery (at relapse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>11 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (4 cycles) and second surgery (at relapse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>1 year, 5 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy with TMZ, adjuvant TMZ (11 cycles) and surgery (partial resection)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GBM, glioblastoma; M, male; F, female; TMZ, temozolomide.
were referred to as rCBV. Color-coded rCBV maps were
generated to target regions of maximum abnormality. Two
neuroradiologists determined 3 regions of interest (ROIs)
within the tumor, on areas exhibiting the highest intratumoral
rCBV on the color-coded maps. The standardized ROI was
2-3 mm\(^2\) and was used for the majority of the tumor and white
matter measurements. Care was taken to avoid the inclusion
of large intra- or peritumoral vessels, as these may confound
perfusion measurements. The maximum rCBV value in the
intratumoral ROIs was selected for quantitative analysis, and
correlated with the corresponding specimen histopathology.
This method has been demonstrated to provide the most
optimal interobserver and
intraobserver reproducibility (13).

Statistics. A two-tailed Wilcoxon test for paired samples
was used to establish the significance of any differences in
the same variable, in perfusion and rCBV observed between
different time points, and between baseline MRI and MRI
performed after the completion of two cycles of chemo-
therapy. Summary statistics were reported as the median
and standard deviations. A two-sided P-value of <0.05 was
considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

SPSS software version 12 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA)
was used for the statistical analyses.

Results

Patient demographics and disease characteristics. In this
single-institution imaging study, patients were enrolled between
September 2010 and June 2011. All patients participated in
the FHD study of LY2157299 combined with lomustine, and
discontinued all previous therapies for glioblastoma, such as
radiochemotherapy with temozolamide or other investigational
therapy regarded to be effective in glioblastoma, prior to the
commencement of the study. Of the 12 patients enrolled, 8 had
been treated with bevacizumab-based therapies (Table I).
Two patients (S11 and S12) did not receive additional chemo-
therapies following their first relapse, but instead underwent
re-resection. Two patients were considered to have secondary
glioblastoma based on their previous low-grade glioma diag-
on (S8 and S10).

Analysis of changes in rCBV and perfusion. The details of
the effects of the treatment on rCBV and perfusion are listed

Table II. Overview of the rCBV and perfusion changes in glioblastoma patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Baseline rCBV</th>
<th>Baseline Perf</th>
<th>After 2 cycles rCBV</th>
<th>After 2 cycles Perf</th>
<th>After 4 cycles rCBV</th>
<th>After 4 cycles Perf</th>
<th>After 8 cycles rCBV</th>
<th>After 8 cycles Perf</th>
<th>After 10 cycles rCBV</th>
<th>After 10 cycles Perf</th>
<th>Best response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>9.76</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rCBV, relative cerebral blood volume; Perf, perfusion; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; n.a., not available; -, data not collected due to progression.

Table III. Description of rCBV prior to treatment and following two cycles of LY2157299.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time-point</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean(^a)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>6.8750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 cycles</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>9.7750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)P=0.015. rCBV, relative cerebral blood volume; SD, standard deviation.
Table IV. Description of perfusion prior to treatment and following two cycles of LY2157299 and lomustine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time-point</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 cycles</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(P=0.93\). rCBV, relative cerebral blood volume; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 1. Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging-evaluated responses in patients S8 (blue line) and S10 (green line). (A) Permeability and (B) relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV) were reduced in patient S8, while patient S10 showed no change in rCBV and an increase in permeability. (C) Both patients showed a response in the size of the tumors, starting at cycle 4. The product sum of the perpendicular diameters (y-axis) was reduced around cycle 6 (x-axis representing cycles of treatment).

Figure 2. Images of magnetic resonance imaging-evaluated responses for patients S8 and S10. The two patients exhibited a partial response after several cycles of treatment with LY2157299, with reappearance of the lesion after ~10 cycles.

in Table II. rCBV increased significantly during treatment (Table III): The baseline mean and median values were 4.02 and 2.99, respectively. Following the second cycle of LY2157299 + lomustine, the mean and median values were 6.63 and 8.20, respectively (Wilcoxon test, \(P=0.015\)). Changes in the mean and median rCBV reflect the tumor progression in the majority of cases without appreciable normalization of the tumor vessels, as observed with anti-angiogenic agents. The mean and median perfusion values showed a marginal, non-significant increase during treatment (Table IV): The
baseline values were 3.04 and 2.15, respectively, and following two cycles of LY2157299 + lomustine, the mean and median perfusion values were 3.07 and 3.60, respectively (Wilcoxon test, P=0.93). Although the majority of the patients exhibited an increase in rCBV and permeability, 1 patient displayed reduced permeability and rCBV following the tumor response (Fig. 1A and B).

Efficacy measures. According to Macdonald criteria, 2 patients (S8 and S10) responded to combined treatment. Responses were noted after 4 cycles (Fig. 1C). Fig. 2 shows that the response was observed at cycle 4 in patient S8, but at cycle 6 in patient S10.

Discussion

The present study assessed whether LY2157299 has antitumor effects, as detected by perfusion MRI. The results indicated that LY2157299 in combination with lomustine does not reduce rCBV and permeability, as previously described for anti-angiogenic drugs such as bevacizumab or cediranib (32). A number of anti-angiogenic agents have been investigated for their potential ability to treat glioblastoma, and various imaging techniques, such as perfusion MRI and dynamic susceptibility weighted MRI, have been proposed to measure responses to treatment (33). Whilst lomustine monotherapy has not specifically been evaluated as an anti-angiogenic agent, a recent study observed its anti-angiogenic effect as part of a combination therapy of procarbazine, lomustine and vincristine (34). In this study, patients had undergone fewer prior treatments compared with the present study. The shorter median treatment of <1 cycle observed in the current study, compared with that of other trials, is a consequence of the highly pre-treated study population used for this study.

In the present study, evidence of responses began to emerge after the patients received 4 cycles of treatment, and became more clear following 6 cycles. This pattern of responses is inconsistent with that of previous studies reporting tumor shrinkage with chemotherapy, in which responses were generally observed within the first month of treatment (35). A recent phase III study confirmed that the early responses with lomustine are commonly visible within the first month of treatment (36). Due to this lack of early anti-tumor responses, it is possible that the changes in the present study are predominantly a result of the LY2157299 treatment. As demonstrated previously (27), LY2157299 monotherapy in patients with glioblastoma shows preliminary stabilization of the tumor growth, followed by a tumor response several months later. In contrast to the previous studies, the present study shows that these responses may also be associated with changes in the vasculature of the glioma.

In the present study, 2 patients with relapsed glioblastoma responded to LY2157299 and lomustine treatment; one of these patients also had measurable reduction in rCBV and permeability. Although there was no control in this study, the late responses in the 2 patients may be attributable to LY2157299 and not to lomustine, which is predicted to produce early antitumor effects. This is the first study evaluating the impact of a TGF-β inhibitor on vascular changes in patients with glioma.
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