Relation of DNA ploidy to genetic aberrations detected by chromosomal CGH and FISH in gastric adenocarcinomas
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Abstract. We analyzed DNA copy number aberrations (DCNAs) by chromosomal comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) in 93 consecutive sporadic gastric adenocarcinomas. In addition, numerical aberrations in chromosomes 7, 11, 17, and 18 were evaluated by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Gastric cancers were divided on the basis of nuclear DNA content measured by laser scanning cytometry (LSC) into two groups, 36 DNA diploid (1.0 ≤ DNA index (DI) < 1.2) and 57 aneuploid (DI ≥ 1.2) cancers. The most frequent gain and loss of DNA copy number were found at 8q21-23 and 19p13.3, respectively, in both diploid and aneuploid cancers. Diploid cancers were further divided on the basis of genetic aberrations into major type and subtype cancers. The diploid cancer group included nine subtype cancers that showed large numbers of DCNAs; the mean number of DCNAs detected by CGH was 26.7 per tumor. This value was much larger in these diploid subtype cancers than diploid major type cancers (mean, 5.2 per tumor, p<0.0001). These nine cancers were also characterized by large intercellular variations in chromosome copy numbers that were not detected in the 27 major diploid type cancers. The aneuploid cancer group included only three subtype tumors that showed only a small number of DCNAs (mean, 3 per tumor) and minimal intercellular variations in chromosomal copy number. These data indicate that gastric adenocarcinomas can be divided into three types; aneuploid, major diploid type and diploid subtype cancers. Large-scale studies are necessary to clarify the differences in biological characteristics and underlying genetic mechanisms between these types.

Introduction

Cancer is the most common cause of death in advanced countries, and its incidence steadily increases with the elongation of lifespan. Gastric cancer is one of the major causes of cancer deaths in the world. Although early detection coupled with the improvement of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures has increased survival, many patients with gastric cancer still die of the disease. In general, the prognosis of patients with early gastric cancer is excellent and that of patients with advanced gastric cancer is poor. A large variation in the biological behavior of tumors of the same pathological stage has been noted, and the biological characteristics of tumors are considered to be primarily dependent on the genetic alterations of cancer cells. The most effective treatments may be those that are tailored to the individual cancer patient on the basis of the biological characteristics of the tumor. Therefore, tumors should be classified into pathogenetic subtypes with distinct clinical course to design a therapeutic strategy for each cancer patient. To achieve individually-tailored treatments, clinical tools for the diagnosis and classification of patients are needed. Although histological classification is valuable for precise diagnosis of gastric cancers, it allows a limited estimation of the biological characteristics of an individual tumor. DNA ploidy that represents only gross changes in the genome of a cell population is more or less linked with biological characteristics of tumors. Measurements of DNA ploidy are easily implemented and highly cost-effective (1-3). Gastric cancers as well as other tumors are divided into two groups, diploid and aneuploid tumors, on the basis of the nuclear DNA content with the intention of optimizing treatment regimens for cancer patients. It is also true, however, that the relationship of DNA ploidy to biological characteristics is tenuous (4-6). This leads to a hypothesis that heterogeneous subtypes within each ploidy group distort the relationship between DNA ploidy and biological characteristics of gastric adenocarcinomas (7). At present, there are few data concerning the existence or nonexistence of subtypes in diploid or aneuploid cancers. If there are subtypes within each group, a new ploidy classification system that takes into account the subtypes must be developed. Since the ploidy status depends on types of genetic instability (8,9), it is a prerequisite to identify subtypes with different genetic characteristics for each ploidy group in...
primary gastric cancers for adoption of the new classification system.

In the present study, we examined genetic characteristics of gastric adenocarcinomas that could be used to define subtypes of diploid and aneuploid tumors. We propose a new ploidy classification system for gastric adenocarcinomas in the present manuscript. On the basis of genetic alterations, gastric adenocarcinomas can be divided into three groups, aneuploid, major diploid, and diploid subtype cancers.

Materials and methods

Specimens. We used 93 consecutive, surgically removed gastric cancers comprising of 6 early (6 submucosal tumors) and 87 advanced cancers. The patients consisted of 67 males and 26 females with an average age of 69.9 years ranging from 44 to 88 years. Family histories were noncontributory for all patients, and all tumors were considered to be sporadic. Tumor tissue specimens were taken from a representative part of the tumor and, as a control, an additional specimen was taken from the mucosa distal to the tumor. The tissue specimens were stored at -80°C until use. The study protocol was approved in 2004 by the Institutional Review Board for Human Use at the Yamaguchi University School of Medicine, and informed consent for participation in this study was obtained from every patient.

DNA ploidy measurement by LSC. DNA ploidy was determined as described previously (10-12,14,15). The slides fixed in 70% ethanol were dipped in a propidium iodide solution (25 μg/ml in PBS) containing 0.1% RNase (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). A cover slip was placed on the slide and sealed with nail polish. DNA content was measured with a laser scanning cytometer (LSC 101, Olympus Co., Tokyo, Japan). Usually, more than 2,000 cells were examined for each sample, DNA histograms were generated, and DNA ploidy was determined. The DNA index (DI) was calculated according to principles (16). In this series, tumors with 1.0 ≤ DI < 1.2 were classified as diploid cases, and were separated from DNA aneuploid tumors (DI ≥ 1.2) as described previously (12).

Touch-smear preparations for fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and laser scanning cytometry (LSC). At least five touch smears were prepared by touching thawed tissue specimens to glass slides after wiping blood from the cut surface of the specimens with a paper towel. One touch-smear slide was fixed in 70% ethanol for measurement of nuclear DNA content by LSC (10-12). The other slides were air dried and fixed with 100% ethanol for analysis of numerical chromosomal aberrations by FISH (11-13). In addition, slides were subjected to May-Grunwald Giemsa staining for morphological observation.

Figure 1. CGH profiles of DNA major diploid type (A), subtype (B) and aneuploid gastric adenocarcinomas (C). Chromosomal regions with DNA copy number gains are shown with green bars to the right of the chromosome ideograms and losses are shown with red bars to the left of the chromosome ideograms. Major diploid cancers (n=27) are characterized by a small number of DNA copy number aberrations (DNCAs) (mean; 5.2 per tumor, 3.5 gains and 1.8 losses) (A). Subtype cancers (n=9) are characterized by large number of DNCAs (mean; 26.7 per tumor, 17.1 gains and 9.6 losses). Aneuploid cancers (n=57) show many DCNAs (mean; 15.2 per tumor, 9.6 gains and 5.6 losses).
Table I. Average number of DCNAs detected by CGH for types of gastric adenocarcinoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diploid tumors</th>
<th>Aneuploid tumors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtype (n=9)</td>
<td>Subtype (n=57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of DCNAs&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.2±4.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.7±8.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of gains</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of losses</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Average total number of DCNAs ± SD for each type of gastric adenocarcinoma. <sup>b</sup>p<0.0001; major diploid type tumors vs. diploid subtype and aneuploid tumors. <sup>c</sup>p<0.001; diploid subtype tumors vs. aneuploid type tumors (p<0.001).

Histological diagnosis. Histological diagnoses were made from routine 5-μm sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin according to the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma (17).

Tissue microdissection and genomic DNA extraction. We used a manual microdissection technique as described previously to reduce the contamination of tumor samples by normal tissue for chromosomal comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) analysis (18). High molecular weight genomic DNA was extracted from each tumor specimen using a DNA extraction kit (SepaGene, Sankojunyaku Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Control DNA was extracted from peripheral blood lymphocytes of healthy volunteers.

Chromosomal CGH. Chromosomal CGH and digital image analyses were carried out as described previously (19-23). Briefly, DNAs extracted from tumors and lymphocytes were labeled by nick translation with SpectrumGreen (Vysis, Inc., Downers Grove, IL) and SpectrumRed (Vysis, Inc.), respectively. Labeled DNA samples were co-hybridized onto normal denatured metaphase chromosomes for 72 h at 37˚C. The slides were then mounted in anti-fade solution containing 0.15 mg/ml 4, 6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vysis, Inc.). Images were captured with an Olympus BX60 fluorescence microscope equipped with a 100X UplanApo objective lens and a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Sen-Sys 1400, Photometrics Ltd., Tucson, AZ). Usually, 20 representative images were analyzed for each sample. Increases and decreases in DNA sequence copy number were defined as the total number of chromosomal regions with alterations in DNA sequence copy number.

FISH. Touch-smear samples fixed in 100% ethanol were further fixed in 0.2% paraformaldehyde/PBS at 4˚C for 10 min as previously described (11,12). We examined numerical aberrations in chromosomes 7, 11, 17, and 18 using alphoid satellite DNA probes specific for the pericentromeric region of each chromosome (D7Z1, D11Z1, D17Z1 and D18Z1, respectively; Vysis Inc.) labeled with SpectrumGreen or SpectrumRed (12). FISH was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions as previously described (23). Briefly, 3μl of the probe mixture was applied to ethanol-fixed touch preparations, covered with a coverslip, and then denatured at 73˚C for 2 min. The slides were incubated overnight at 39˚C and washed in the washing solution at 45˚C. Then, slides were transferred to a solution of 2X SSC/0.1% NP-40 at room temperature. DNA was stained with DAPI-II (Vysis Inc.), and a coverslip was placed on the slide. The number of FISH signals in each nucleus was determined by observing more than 200 nuclei on each slide with an epifluorescence microscope equipped with a 100X oil immersion objective (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical Analysis. Student’s t-test was used to compare two groups of possible permutations of three categories of gastric cancer based on DNA indices. When p-value is <0.05 between two groups, statistical difference is considered to be significant.

Results

Gastric adenocarcinomas were histologically divided into two types, diffuse and intestinal types. In this series, 43 were diffuse type carcinoma and 50 were intestinal type carcinoma. All but six submucosal tumors (invading the submucosa) were advanced tumors. One submucosal carcinoma was classified histologically as diffuse type, and the remaining five were classified as intestinal type.

DNA ploidy analysis by LSC. Of 93 gastric adenocarcinomas, 36 were classified as diploid tumors (1.0 ≤ DI < 1.2) and the remaining 57 were classified as aneuploid tumors (DI ≥ 1.2) with DI ranging from 1.2 to 2.29. Diffuse type carcinomas tended to be diploid (25/36), whereas intestinal type carcinomas tended to be aneuploid (34/57). Four submucosal carcinomas were included in the diploid group, and the remaining two submucosal carcinomas, one of which was diffuse type and the other of which was intestinal type, were classified as aneuploid.

Chromosomal CGH. The number of DCNAs ranged from 0 to 40 in diploid cancers and from 0 to 36 in aneuploid cancers (Fig. 1). In diploid cancers, the average number of DCNAs was 10.8±10.8 (mean ± SD), and the average numbers of DNA copy number increases and decreases were 7.3 and 3.7, respectively. In aneuploid cancers, the average number of DCNAs was 15.2±8.5 (mean ± SD), and the average numbers of DNA copy number increases and decreases were 9.6 and 5.6, respectively (Table I). Frequently involved chromosomal regions are shown in Table II. The most frequent DNA copy number gain and loss were detected at 8q21-23 and 19p13.3, respectively, in both diploid and aneuploid tumors. Gain of 8q21-23 was detected in 20 (55.6%) of the 36 diploid tumors and 44 (77.2%) of the 57 aneuploid cancers. Loss of 19p13 was detected in 22 (61.1%) of the diploid and 33 (57.9%) of
the aneuploid cancers. The incidence of DCNAs differed at some chromosomal regions between diploid and aneuploid cancers. DNA copy number gains at 6p12, 7p15-21, 19q12, 20p12, and 20q13 and DNA copy number losses at 4q24 and 8p22 were more frequent in aneuploid than in diploid cancers (Table II).

It is noteworthy that diploid cancers included a subtype in which the number of DCNAs was much greater than in others, which were designated as major diploid cancers (26.7±8.81 vs. 5.2±4.0, p<0.0001) (Table I). In this study, 9 of 36 cancers (25% of diploid carcinomas) were put into the subtype category. The average number of DCNAs was significantly greater in diploid subtype cancers than in aneuploid cancers (p<0.001) and it was smaller in major diploid type cancers than in aneuploid cancers (p<0.0001) (Table I). DNA index was 1.0 in seven (78%) of these subtype cancers, and it was 1.1 and 1.18 in the remaining two. These subtype cancers showed distinct intercellular numerical variation in centromeric signals (Table III). Diploid subtype cancers were not associated with specific clinicopathological features. In contrast, the aneuploid group contained only three cancers (5.3% of aneuploid tumors) that showed a small number of DCNAs (mean, 3 per tumor) and minimal intercellular numerical variation in centromeric signals. Dis of these tumors were 1.22, 1.24, and 1.26.

Intercellular variation in the chromosome copy number was detected by FISH. In the normal mucosa, approximately 90% of cells had two signals for all chromosomes examined, and polysomic (>4 signals) cells were virtually never observed. In diploid cancers except for subtype tumors, disomic cells were predominant for all chromosomes examined (Table III). All diploid subtype cancers showed large intercellular variations in chromosome copy number (Table III). The percentage of cells with the modal chromosome number was significantly smaller in both aneuploid and diploid subtype tumors than in major diploid type tumors (1.0 ≤ DI < 1.2) (p<0.0001) (Table III). A new classification of gastric adenocarcinomas based on nuclear DNA content coupled with chromosomal aberrations is shown in Fig. 2.

**Discussion**

DNA ploidy analysis has been used to estimate biological features of individual tumors and to divide tumors into diploid and aneuploid groups on the basis of nuclear DNA content. Large amounts of DNA ploidy analysis data have been published for various kinds of cancer, including gastric cancer (1-3). However, the clinical usefulness of ploidy analysis is still
The features of genetic instability may correspond to CIN. MIN brings subtle changes in DNA content, while CIN causes distinct alterations in cellular variations in chromosome copy numbers. Subtype cancers may facilitate personalized treatments of gastric cancer patients. Large-scale studies are necessary to validate the usefulness of the classification system of gastric adenocarcinomas.
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