Abstract. This study aimed to clarify the clinical significance of the expression of KL-6 mucin, a type of MUC1, in primary liver cancer. Tissue specimens were collected from 21 patients with cholangiocarcinoma (CC), 78 with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and 12 with combined hepatocellular and cholangiocarcinoma (cHCC-CC). Immunohistochemical analysis was done using a monoclonal antibody for KL-6 mucin as well as antibodies for Hep1 or CK7. KL-6 staining was positive in all the CC tissues examined, while it was not positive in any of the HCC tissues. Similar selectivity of KL-6 staining was also observed in the cHCC-CC specimens, and the cholangiocellular tissue could be clearly delineated by KL-6 staining. In contrast, 79.5% of HCC specimens and 25.0% of cHCC-CC specimens were positive for Hep1 in the hepatocellular tissues, while none of the CC or cHCC-CC specimens were positive in the cholangiocellular tissues. Staining for CK7 was positive in 95.2% of CC and 35.9% of HCC specimens, while 58.3 and 25.0% of cHCC-CC specimens displayed positivity for CK7 in the cholangiocellular and hepatocellular tissues, respectively. These results suggest that KL-6 may be a useful tumor marker for distinguishing CC from HCC. In addition, the high selectivity of KL-6 for cholangiocellular tissue may help to provide information for deciding the clinical strategy in cHCC-CC patients.

Introduction

Primary liver cancer is classified as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) or intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (CC). Since these two cancers differ with respect to their etiological, epidemiological, and clinical characteristics, distinguishing between them is important (1). In addition, various combinations of hepatocellular and cholangiocellular components have been reported in combined hepatocellular and cholangiocarcinoma (cHCC-CC), a rare type of liver cancer (1-3). Immunohistochemical detection of various immunoreactive targets (such as Hep1, CK7, carcinoembryonic antigen, α1-antitrypsin, and fibrinogen), which are expressed by or accumulate in tumor tissues, is often used to assist in making a histopathological diagnosis (3,4). However, the sensitivity and specificity of such methods are still limited when attempting to distinguish HCC from CC.

KL-6 mucin is a type of MUC1, which is recognized by the KL-6 monoclonal antibody (mAb) that was obtained from a hybridoma established from splenocytes of a BALB/c mouse immunized with human pulmonary adenocarcinoma (5,6). Characteristically, sialylation of the carbohydrate moiety on the mucin molecule is essential for recognition by KL-6 mAb (6). KL-6 mucin has not only been detected in adenocarcinoma of the lung but also in various cancer cell lines, secretory epithelial tissues lining the respiratory, reproductive, gastrointestinal tracts, and bile duct, and carcinoma tissues (6.7). We have reported that aberrant expression of KL-6 mucin by primary colorectal carcinoma is correlated with invasion and metastasis of the tumor. We have also found that KL-6 expression can be detected in metastatic liver tissues, but not in the surrounding normal liver or HCC tissues (8). This distinct difference between metastatic liver cancer and HCC suggested that KL-6 mAb could be used for discrimination between HCC and CC. We found that KL-6 mAb stained CC tissues but not HCC tissues, even in cHCC-CC lesions, suggesting that immunohistochemical detection of KL-6 mucin is useful for distinguishing between these two types of tumors.

Materials and methods

Patients. Liver tissue samples were collected from 21 CC patients (12 men and 9 women with a median age of 58 years and a range of 33-80 years whose tumors ranged from 0.5 to
10.0 cm), 78 HCC patients (63 men and 15 women with a median age of 61 years and a range of 24-81 years whose tumors ranged from 1.2 to 17.0 cm), and 12 cHCC-CC patients (10 men and 2 women with a median age of 53 years and a range of 21-71 years whose tumors ranged from 3.0 to 9.0 cm). Patients underwent surgical resection at the Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Surgery Division of the Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, the University of Tokyo between January 1994 and December 2002. Their clinicopathological characteristics were evaluated according to the General Rules for the Clinical and Pathological Study of Primary Liver Cancer (9). The TNM system of the International Union Against Cancer was used for staging (10).

Immunohistochemical staining. Sections (4-μm thick) were cut from archival formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks, deparaffinized, and dehydrated using a graded ethanol series. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide/methanol for 30 min, after which the slides were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline and then blocked with normal goat serum at room temperature for 30 min. Next, the sections were incubated with anti-KL-6 mucin mIgG (1:200; Eisai, Tokyo, Japan), anti-cytokeratin 7 (CK7) mIgG (1:150; Chemicon, Inc., CA, USA), or anti-human hepatocyte (Hep1) mIgG (1:25; DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) for 60 min at room temperature. For Hep1 staining, the slides were pretreated with heat-induced epitope retrieval solution in an autoclave for 20 min before incubation with the antibody. After the sections were incubated with the biotinylated secondary antibody for 30 min, bound antibody was labelled by the biotin-streptavidin-peroxidase complex method using a commercial kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Histofine SAB-PO kit; Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan). 3,3’-Diaminobenzidine was used as the chromogen, and hematoxylin was employed for counter-staining. Negative control sections were treated by omitting the primary antibody to monitor background staining.

Overall immunohistochemical staining was evaluated for tumor cells in the entire region examined. Subcellular staining patterns were recorded by assessing the extent of staining of the apical membrane, circumferential membrane, and cytoplasm, as described elsewhere (8). Three investigators (W.T., Q.G., and N.K.) separately judged the staining, and discrepancies were resolved through discussion of the findings.

Results

Immunostaining of CC and HCC tissues for KL-6 mucin.
Typical staining profiles of CC and HCC tissues that were obtained with KL-6 mAb are shown in Fig. 1A and B, respectively. The number of tumors with positive staining is summarized in Table I. Staining for KL-6 mucin was positive in all CC tissues examined (Table I and right side of Fig. 1A). Staining was observed in the cytosol and cell membrane of CC cells and in a mucinous substance on the luminal surface of the glandular structures (Fig. 2). In contrast, staining for KL-6 mucin was not observed in HCC tissues (right side of Fig. 1A and B), although some positive staining was observed in the mucinous substance found in normal bile ducts and on the apical surface of non-cancerous cholangiocytes (data not shown).

Immunostaining of CC and HCC tissues for CK7 and Hep1.
Staining for CK7 was found in some CC and HCC tissues.
(right side of Fig. 1C and D, respectively), as well as in the surrounding normal hepatic parenchyma (left side of Fig. 1C and D). In contrast, staining for Hep1 was observed in the normal hepatic parenchyma (left side of Fig. 1E and F) and in some HCC tissues (right side of Fig. 1F), but Hep1 was not positive in CC tissues (right side of Fig. 1E). The number of tumors showing positive staining is summarized in Table I.

**Immunostaining of cHCC-CC for KL-6 mucin, CK7, and Hep1.** Typical immunostaining patterns of cHCC-CC for KL-6, CK7, and Hep1 are shown in Fig. 3 and the number of tumors displaying positive staining of the cholangiocellular and hepatocellular areas is summarized in Table II. As can be seen in Fig. 3A, staining for KL-6 mucin was positive in the cholangiocellular tissue but not in the hepatocellular tissue. A similar staining profile was obtained in all of the cHCC-CC lesions examined (Table II). Conversely, staining for Hep1 was not found in any of the cholangiocellular tissues examined, but was seen in some hepatocellular tissues and all specimens of normal hepatic parenchyma (Fig. 3C). In contrast, as shown in Fig. 3B, CK7 was positive in both the cholangiocellular and hepatocellular tissues of cHCC-CC lesions.

**Discussion**

KL-6 mucin has been detected in various carcinomas and in normal secretory epithelial cells (5-8). Our previous studies showed that KL-6 mucin is expressed by primary colorectal carcinoma and ampullary carcinoma, and that aberrant expression of KL-6 mucin is associated with unfavorable clinicopathological characteristics, such as tumor invasion and metastasis as well as a worse prognosis (8). In the present study, we found that KL-6 staining was positive in all of the
CC tissues examined, while it was not positive in any of the HCC tissues examined (Fig. 1 and Table I). A similar selective pattern of KL-6 staining was also found in chHCC-CC tissues, and the cholangiocellular areas could be clearly detected by using KL-6 (Fig. 3 and Table II).

Primary liver cancer can be classified as HCC or intrahepatic CC. Since HCC and CC have different etiologic, epidemiologic, and clinical characteristics (1,3), distinguishing between these two types of cancer is important. In combined chHCC-CC lesions with hepatocellular and cholangiocellular components, the distribution of these two tissues should be determined for classification of the tumor and assessment of the clinicopathological characteristics.

Several immunohistochemical studies have already been performed with HCC, CC, and chHCC-CC to define the pathological characteristics of these tumors (3,11-13). Hep1 is an antigen that exists in both normal hepatocytes and HCC tissues (14). The present study showed that 79.5% of HCC specimens and 25.0% of chHCC-CC specimens were positive for Hep1 expression in the hepatocellular tissues, while none of the CC or chHCC-CC specimens had positive cholangiocellular tissues (Table I and II). Similar selectivity for HCC and the hepatocellular tissues of chHCC-CC has also been reported for α-fetoprotein (3).

The structural protein CK7 (part of the cytoskeleton) is often used for phenotyping of tumors together with CK20 (15). In the present study, staining for CK7 was observed in 95.2% of CC specimens and 35.9% of HCC specimens (Table I), although it was faint in some of the HCC specimens. Also, 58.3% and 25.0% of the chHCC-CC specimens were positive for CK7 in the cholangiocellular and hepatocellular tissues, respectively (Table II). This expression profile of CK7 was different from that previously reported showing predominant expression in CC and little expression in HCC (16,17). This discrepancy may be due to the use of different criteria for selection of tumors with positive antigen expression, as pointed out elsewhere (17). Other immunoreactive substances, such as carcinoembryonic antigen, α1-antitrypsin, and fibrinogen, were reported to be detected at a high rate in both HCC and CC tissues (3). Therefore, these antigens and CK7 are inappropriate for distinguishing between CC and HCC.

KL-6 mucin is a type of MUC1, and it appears to be a good marker for separating CC from HCC, since it is detected in CC tissues, but not in HCC tissues or normal hepatic parenchyma (Fig. 1A and B, and Table I). A similar distribution was also observed in combined chHCC-CC lesions, which showed positive staining in the cholangiocellular tissues but not in the hepatocellular tissues (Fig. 3A and Table II). Regarding MUC1 expression in CC tissues, a few investigations performed with mAbs for the core protein of MUC1 have suggested that increasing expression of MUC1 is related to unfavorable histopathological features and a poor prognosis (18-21). In contrast, the KL-6 mAb used in this study recognizes an oligosaccharide moiety of MUC1 as a part of antigen and sialylation of this oligosaccharide is essential for recognition (6). KL-6 mucin was detected in all of the CC specimens examined in this study, while detection of MUC1 core protein was limited. It is likely that the sialylated oligosaccharides of MUC1 may be exposed on surface of the molecule, while the core protein is buried in the oligosaccharide moiety. Therefore, the higher sensitivity of KL-6 may be due to the position of its antigens in the MUC1 molecule.

In conclusion, staining of KL-6 mucin was observed in all CC specimens examined, but not in HCC specimens, suggesting that KL-6 may be a tumor marker for distinguishing CC from HCC. In addition, KL-6 was positive in the cholangiocellular tissues but not in the hepatocellular tissues of chHCC-CC; therefore, this antibody may be useful to detect the cholangiocellular component of chHCC-CC and provide pathological information for selecting the clinical strategy.
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