Diagnostic accuracy of mammography, ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of intraductal spread of breast cancer following neoadjuvant chemotherapy
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Abstract. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the accuracy of mammography, ultrasonography, and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis of intraductal spread of breast cancer following preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy. We evaluated a total of 168 areas of normal breast tissue outside the mass in 42 consecutive female patients with breast cancer using each imaging modality both before and after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy comprised two to four cycles of adriamycin-based CAF regimen. Multivariate analysis indicated that calcification on mammography and size of hypoechoic structures on ultrasonography prior to neoadjuvant chemotherapy shows a correlation with intraductal spread on pathologic study. Our study reveals that mammography and ultrasonography are useful in avoiding residual cancer cells caused by intraductal spread following conservative breast surgery.

Introduction

Breast conservation treatment (BCT) for breast cancer is a well-established therapy (1-10). Previous studies of patients with invasive ductal breast carcinoma treated with conservative surgery indicate that the presence of an extensive intraductal component in the excised specimen is strongly associated with subsequent breast cancer recurrence; this diminishes the patient’s quality of life (11,12). Preoperative detection of intraductal spread (IDS) is extremely important to avoid local recurrence. IDS of breast cancer can be identified using breast-imaging techniques such as mammography (MMG), ultrasonography (US) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (13-17).

Preoperative systemic neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) improves both local control and survival rate (1,3,4,10,18-24). To our knowledge, breast-imaging techniques have not been used to identify IDS in breast cancer following preoperative chemotherapy. In the current study, we investigate the ability of breast imaging techniques to detect IDS in breast cancer following NAC.

Materials and methods

This study considered 42 consecutive breast cancer patients who were candidates for BCT intensified with preoperative NAC (600 mg/m 2 of cyclophosphamide, 20-40 mg/body of pirarubicin, and 600 mg/m 2 of 5-fluorouracil) between August 2000 and December 2005. All patients were female, with an average age of 51.5 years, range of 38-61 years. Surgery was performed in all 42 cases following NAC (2-4 cycles CAF regimen). The mean interval between the last administration of NAC and surgery was 21 days (range, 14-34 days). Breast cancer with absent or mild calcification outside the mass on MMG was resected with surrounding normal breast tissue in 37 cases (2 cases of quadrantectomy and 35 cases of partial resection with a macroscopically tumor-free margin of 2 cm). For 5 cases of the 35 partially resected patients, additional resection was performed after concurrent rapid pathological analysis during surgery revealed the presence of cancer cells near the edge of the specimen. The remaining 5 cases with calcification throughout the majority of the breast on MMG underwent total glandectomy because this condition precludes tissue preservation according to BCT guidelines in Japan (25). Continuous pathologic specimens were obtained at 5-mm intervals to determine the existence of IDS. The breast tissue outside the mass was divided into four areas: the area closest to the nipple, the area furthest from the nipple, and the remaining two areas. The pathological results of these 168 areas from 42 patients were correlated with image findings of the three modalities.

Pre-NAC evaluation using the three modalities was performed within 14 days before the first administration of NAC. Post-NAC imaging examination was performed between the last NAC administration and surgery.
Bilateral MMG (craniocaudal and mediolateral-oblique view) was performed using the Senographe DS system (GE Medical Systems, Wilwaukee, WI) before and after NAC. Findings were recorded prospectively without the knowledge of other imaging modality findings by one of several radiologists (Y.O., S.K. and A.N.) with 11-27 years of experience in MMG interpretation. Areas with microcalcification that were categorized as suspicious for cancer according to the BI-RADS lexicon (Fig. 1a) were considered to have IDS (26).

US. All patients were examined with ultrasound using a linear-array broadband transducer with a center frequency of 7-11 MHz both before and after NAC (LOGIQ 700 MR, GE Medical Systems). US was performed by one of two radiologists (K.K. and I.S.) with 11-16 years experience who were blinded to the results of other imaging modalities. We closely analyzed the minor axis diameter of hypoechoic tubular or glandular tissue surrounding the main tumor during the execution of US (Fig. 1b). We used the greatest value of the minor diameter for analysis.

MRI. Dynamic MRI was performed using a 1.5-T unit (Signa Horizon, GE Medical Systems, Wilwaukee, WI). Patients underwent contrast-enhanced studies and routine T1-weighted sagittal imaging in the prone position before and after NAC. Fast spin echo images with fat suppression (TR/TE = 467/10.0 ms, slice thickness 5.0 mm, interslice gap 2.5 mm, FOV 24 cm, matrix 256x192) were obtained both prior to intravenous administration of gadopentetate dimeglumine and then 10 times over the following 5 min. We measured the minor axis diameter of the enhancing region for each area adjacent to the breast tumor during the early phase of the contrast-enhanced study (Fig. 1c). We employed the greatest minor axis diameter value in the analysis. Two radiologists (Y.M. and N.H.) with 11-16 years experience in breast MRI analyzed the MRI findings without information from any other imaging modality.

Statistical analysis. We tested differences in the frequency of IDS using the $\chi^2$ test. A variable with a $P$-value of <0.05 was considered a potential predictor for the presence of IDS. Multiple logistic regression analyses (forward stepwise) were performed to control the influence of potential confounding factors; a variable with a $P$-value <0.05 was regarded as an independent predictor for IDS using this model.

Results

Correlation between the findings of the three modalities and the histopathologic results are presented in Tables I and II,
Discussion

Several investigators report the use of imaging techniques that aim to detect IDS and avoid residual cancer cells in conservative breast surgery (13-17). The sensitivity of MMG, US and MRI in these studies ranged from 21.1-55%, 20.6-89% and 66.7-93%, respectively, while specificity ranged from 85.7-100%, 76-100% and 60-90%, and accuracy ranged from 42.3-72%, 50-85% and 65.6-92%. The breast cancer patients in these previous reports had not received NAC. In the present study, we found the predictive ability of MMG and US to be equal to the results of previous studies, with or without NAC. Imaging modalities were of use in detecting IDS; however, previous reports found the predictive ability of these modalities to vary widely. Previous investigators defined the criteria for IDS to be pleomorphic or heterogeneous calcification on MMG (14,15,17), small hypoechoic area on US (15,17), and enhanced area on MRI (14-17).

Enhancement around the main tumor is considered to be IDS on MRI; however, according to previous reports, MRI possesses low specificity despite its high sensitivity (13,14). We considered that the criteria employed in the previous studies contain inconsistencies that require resolution. Multivariate analysis excluded the MRI findings as a predictive variable of IDS in the present study. We consider that MRI is useful in the diagnosis of daughter lesions, as mentioned in previous reports, but is not useful in the detection of IDS (27-30): the diameters of the daughter lesions are detectable but the IDS is too small to be distinguished from normally-enhancing breast tissue. The hypoechoic area around the main tumor on US represents not only IDS but also normal structure enhancing breast tissue. The hypoechoic area around the main tumor on US was considered an adequate cut-off value to identify the existence of IDS on the basis of size of the hypoechoic structure. Therefore, US was reliable in the preoperative assessment of breast cancer in the current study.

Findings of a large hypoechoic area (>3 mm) on US and microcalcification on MMG both suggest the existence of IDS with an equally high positive predictive value. For this reason, breast tissue that contains these findings is contraindicated for preservation. In contrast, the sensitivity of these findings is too low to use as a basis for tissue conservation. As an alternative method, breast cancer that is not accompanied by a large hypoechoic area or microcalcification should be resected with a 1- to 2-cm safety margin in conservative surgery, followed by simultaneous rapid pathological analysis during surgery. Additional resection of breast tissue is required when pathological analysis reveals cancer cells near the surgical margin.

In the current study, we demonstrated that MMG and US enable the reliable prediction of IDS following NAC, thereby removing any uncertainty regarding the value of these modalities. MMG and US therefore provide a reliable basis for BCT, with or without NAC.
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Table II. Correlation between findings of US and MRI and pathologic analysis of IDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max diameter (mm)*</th>
<th>0-0.9</th>
<th>1.0-1.9</th>
<th>2.0-2.9</th>
<th>≤3.0</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-NAC-US</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-NAC-US</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-NAC-MRI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-NAC-MRI</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Maximal diameter of hypoechoic structure on US and enhanced area on MRI. Numbers represent the number of breast tissue areas with IDS (57 areas in total).

Table III. Accuracy of MMG and US.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MMG</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; OA, overall accuracy. Cut-off value for diameter of hypoechoic area is 3.0 mm for US.


