Overexpression of SPARC protein contrasts with its transcriptional silencing by aberrant hypermethylation of SPARC CpG-rich region in endometrial carcinoma
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Abstract. Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) is a secreted matricellular glycoprotein involved in crucial processes that occur during cancer. This study explored the occurrence of deregulated expression of SPARC in endometrial carcinomas, since it has been associated with the progression of other tumor types. We analyzed the expression of SPARC in endometrial carcinomas by TaqMan, Western blotting and immunohistochemistry. The CpG island methylation status of SPARC was evaluated by bisulfite sequencing method. A significant down-regulation of SPARC mRNA expression (p<0.001) was observed in endometrial tumor tissues, regardless of their microsatellite instability status (MSI). The down-regulation can be accounted for by aberrant hypermethylation of its CpG-rich region, since we demonstrate that SPARC is a frequent target of this epigenetic event in this pathology. Although, differential expression of SPARC is already known in other cancer types, we report that down-regulation of the SPARC gene in endometrial tumors, formed by at least 80% of epithelial tumor cells, contrasts with a frequent overexpression of SPARC protein, with strong immunoreactivity in stromal cells. These results indicate a cell type specific expression of SPARC in endometrial carcinomas. Accumulation of SPARC protein in most tumors compared to normal tissues (p<0.025), suggests an important role in the carcinogenesis of endometrial tumors. SPARC overexpression can be a useful molecular tool that may contribute to the diagnosis of this disease.

Introduction

Endometrial cancer, which originates in the inner lining of the uterus, accounts for approximately 90% of uterine cancers and is the most common tumoral pathology that originates in the female reproductive system. The identification of proteins with different expression profiles between normal endometrium and endometrial carcinoma seems to be crucial for the understanding of the events occurring during the onset and progression of this pathology.

SPARC is a secreted matricellular glycoprotein which has been associated with the progression of various cancers. SPARC is not structurally involved in the extracellular matrix (ECM), but modulates its interactions with cells. SPARC interacts with other components of ECM (1) and stimulates endothelial cell adhesion disassembly (2,3). The role of SPARC in cancer is controversial. This molecule promotes angiogenesis, a process that favours tumor growth (4,5). In addition, it has recently been reported that SPARC produced by human melanoma cells impairs recruitment and antitumor cytotoxic activity of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (6). On the other hand, SPARC inhibited cell cycle in glioma (7) and showed suppressing activity in human ovarian cancer (8,9).

Regarding this, it has been suggested by Suzuki et al (10) that SPARC can act similarly to TGF-ß (11) as tumor suppressor or oncogene depending on tumor phase. SPARC has effects on tissue remodelling and wound healing (12). In fact, enhanced epidermal closure was observed in SPARC null mice (13). The observed results may be related to their capability for modulation of angiogenesis and ECM formation, both events present during the carcinogenic process (14).

Epigenetic changes, in particular hypermethylation of CpG islands, have been considered as a possible regulatory mechanism for the expression of a large number of genes during the carcinogenic process (15). Methylation at CpG sites in the 5’ region is associated with gene silencing by interfering with transcription initiation. This molecular event represses a large repertoire of tumor suppressor genes expressed in different tumor types (16). Indeed, SPARC is epigenetically silenced through DNA methylation in pancreatic adenocarcinoma (17) and lung cancers (10). Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a molecular phenotype present in approximately 20% of sporadic endometrial cancers. MSI has been considered as an indicator of recurrence in sporadic endometrial cancers (18). Discordance between SPARC transcripts and protein content has been previously reported in different tumors.
cancerous, where there was loss of SPARC mRNA expression in cancer cells because abnormal methylation was correlated with a pronounced protein expression in the peritumoral stromal cells (10,17). We studied the expression pattern of SPARC mRNA in endometrial tumors formed by >80% of epithelial tumor cells and classified concerning their MSI status. We evaluated the methylation status of a CpG-rich region of SPARC that may explain, at least partially, the down-regulation of the SPARC gene in these tumors. These results contrast with frequent overexpression of SPARC protein and with strong immunoreactivity in stromal cells of endometrial tumors.

**Materials and methods**

**Tissue samples.** Endometrial cancer tissues and their corresponding control samples were obtained from 29 patients who underwent surgery at San Carlos Hospital in Madrid. This study was approved by the ethics committee at the hospital, and informed consent was obtained prior to investigation. After surgical resection, samples were immediately embedded in TissueTek OCT medium (Sakura, Tokyo, Japan) and snap frozen at -80˚C. Cryostat-sectioned, hematoxylin-eosin-stained samples from each tumor block were examined microscopically by two independent pathologists to confirm the presence of >80% tumor cells. For gene expression analysis, tumors with less percentage of epithelial tumor cells were not included in the study. Paired normal tissues from the same patient, used as controls, were microscopically confirmed.

**RNA and DNA isolation.** Total-RNA was obtained from 29 homogenized frozen tissues by using Trizol solution (Invitrogen, Spain). Homogenates were incubated for 15 min on ice and then 1/5 volume of chloroform was added after vigorous shaking for 5 min. The inorganic phase was separated by centrifugation at 12000 x g for 20 min at 4˚C. RNA was then precipitated using a volume of isopropanol and centrifuged at 10000 x g for 30 min at 4˚C. RNA pellets were washed with 75% cold ethanol and then dissolved in diethyl pyrocarbonate water. For the genomic DNA extraction, the aqueous phase was removed. Subsequently, 0.3 ml 100% ethanol per ml Trizol was added, mixed and centrifuged at full speed at 4˚C. Pellets were treated with 1 ml 0.1 M sodium citrate in 10% ethanol per ml Trizol. Samples were washed with Solution B (0.075 M NaCl, 0.024 M EDTA). Then, 300 μl Solution B per ml Trizol, 10 μl SDS 20% and 5 μl proteinase K (20 mg/ml) were added for a better recovering of genomic DNA. Samples were precipitated with a volume of isopropanol and washed with ethanol 75%. Pellets were resuspended in MilliQ sterile water. The quality of the extracted RNA was checked by Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies).

**Microsatellite analysis.** Tumors were classified according to their MSI status in two groups: replication error positive (RER+) and negative (RER -). Genomic DNA was isolated as controls, were microscopically confirmed.

**Real-time PCR (TaqMan).** Total-RNA obtained from normal and tumor tissues from 29 patients was independently reverse transcribed using TaqMan reverse transcription reagents (Applied Biosystems, NJ, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The pool of endometrial normal tissues used as a reference was formed by cDNA from 10 randomly selected normal tissues of different patients. Analysis was performed with the ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System instrument and software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using the manufacturer's recommended conditions. Each reaction was performed in duplicate and as two independent experiments. cDNA (40 ng) was used as a template. TaqMan reactions for the target and housekeeping genes were prepared in separate tubes. MGB 'Assay on demand' probes were purchased (Applied Biosystems): SPARC (HS00234160 ml); GAPDH (Hs99999905 ml). The comparative threshold cycle (C>T) method was used to calculate the relative expression. For quantification of gene expression, the target gene (SPARC) value normalized to the expression of an endogenous reference (GAPDH) was designated as ΔC>T [ΔC>T = C>T (test gene) - C>T (GAPDH)]. ΔC>T for tumoral samples was then subtracted from the ΔC>T for the normal samples to generate ΔΔC>T [ΔΔC>T = ΔC>T (tumor) - ΔC>T (normal)]. The mean of these ΔΔC>T measurements was used to calculate the relative expression, 2-ΔΔC>T.

**Western blot analysis.** Pieces of frozen normal and tumoral tissues were homogenized in RIPA buffer (Sigma). Samples were centrifuged at 10000 x g for 30 min. The supernatant was collected and relative protein concentration was determined using Bio-Rad protein assay reagent following the manufacturer's instructions. Lysate (100 μg) from normal and tumoral tissues was denatured and loaded on 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions. Subsequently, proteins were electrophoretically transferred to a PVDF membrane. The membrane was blocked with 3% skim milk in TBST for 90 min and probed overnight with an anti-SPARC antibody (ON1, ZYMED Laboratories, San Francisco) (diluted 1:250 in 0.3% skim milk in TBST). Subsequently, the membrane was incubated with peroxidase-labelled anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:200). The blots were visualized by the ECL (Amersham, UK) detection system. Results were quantified by densitometry using the Quantity One software.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Frozen sections (5 μm) from 10 patients were cut from tumor and their corresponding normal endometrial tissues. Tissues were examined by immunohistochemistry using the avidin-biotin complex (ABC) (PK-6102, Vector Laboratories). Sections were air dried and fixed in acetone during 5 min. Endogenous peroxidases were blocked in PBS with 3% H2O2 for 10 min. Samples were incubated with 80% tumor cells. For gene expression analysis, tumors with
blocking solution (50 μl of normal serum from mouse, 2.5 ml of PBS) for 60 min. Subsequently, 10 sections from normal and tumoral tissues were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-SPARC antibody (ON1, ZYMED Laboratories) (diluted 1:100). Then, they were washed thoroughly with PBST before application of the biotinylated secondary antibody (PK-6102, Vector Laboratories) and incubation for 45 min. Sections were once again washed, before application of Avidin Biotin Complex, for 30 min. The complex was drained off and the chromogen DAB (SK-4100, Vector Laboratories) was added for 2-10 min. Finally, samples were counterstained with haematoxylin and mounted with Mowiol.

CpG island methylation analysis of the SPARC gene in endometrial tissues by bisulphite treatment and sequencing (BSP). Genomic DNA (1 μg) was obtained from tumoral and normal tissues, as well as peripheral blood from patients included in the study. Then, genomic DNA was treated with sodium bisulphite by using the EZ DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer's instructions. Bisulphite-treated DNA was amplified with primers designed to both methylated and unmethylated DNA (BSP). A set of primers were designed to cover the CpG-rich dinucleotide region of the SPARC gene. Particularly, from the location 12229572-12229171 of the sequence with accession number: NT_029289.10, Hs5_29448. BSP SPARC sense primer (5'-GAT AGA GAT AGT TTT GGT TAT GGG A-3') and BSP SPARC antisense (5'-CCA CCT TCT AAA AAA CAA CAA AC-3'). PCR products were then sub-cloned into pCR4-TOPO (Invitrogen, Spain) and DNA isolated from at least 4-10 clones for each normal and tumor tissue. Finally, samples were sequenced using the BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) and ABI 310 automated sequencer.

Statistical analysis. The different comparisons were assessed by Student's t-test. All p-values are derived from two-tailed statistical test using SPSS 11.5 software. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Correlations were analyzed using the Pearson's coefficient.

Results

Expression of SPARC mRNA as well as SPARC protein were studied in normal and tumoral tissues obtained from patients with endometrial carcinomas. Using genomic DNA, tumors were classified according to their MSI status. We determined that 77% of the analyzed tumors were RER- and 23% were classified as RER+. RNA obtained from endometrial normal and tumoral tissues was converted to cDNA and amplified by real-time quantitative PCR (TaqMan).

Using exclusively tumors with >80% of epithelial tumor cells, we observed that 98% of the evaluated tissues showed a statistically significant down-regulated expression pattern for SPARC in endometrial cancer, as compared to a pool of...
control tissues (p<0.001) (Fig. 1). Furthermore, when the expression of SPARC was compared between RER- and RER+ tumors, no significant differences in the expression level were obtained (p>0.6 and >0.8, respectively).

Therefore, we focused our interest on SPARC expression in endometrial carcinomas independently of their microsatellite instability. To confirm our previous results, we studied SPARC mRNA expression in 10 additional tumoral tissues and their normal pairs. A decrease of SPARC number of transcripts was again observed when the expression of endometrial tumors was compared to their corresponding normal tissues (Fig. 1c). Since down-regulated expression of gene suppressors in endometrial carcinomas has been explained by promoter hypermethylation (20), we investigated whether the SPARC gene was also hypermethylated in endometrial carcinomas in comparison with their normal tissues. For this purpose, we examined by BSP method a CpG-rich region of the sequence NT_029289.10, Hs5_29448 (5q31.3-q32) which includes exon 1, spanning a length of 401 bp (Fig. 2). Bisulfite genomic sequencing was performed on this region in tumors and their corresponding normal tissues. This analysis showed that 66% of the tumors were aberrantly methylated in the mentioned genomic region, in contrast with their corresponding normal tissue. We also studied the methylation status in DNA from peripheral blood and did not observe hypermethylation in any of these control samples (data not shown). The expression of SPARC protein was examined in human endometrial tumors, and we observed that 70% showed higher protein expression in tumoral than in non-tumoral tissues (Fig. 3). Values from the densitometric analysis were statistically evaluated and showed that the expression of SPARC in endometrial tumors was significantly higher than in normal tissues (p<0.025). Overexpression of SPARC protein in tumor samples was independent of their MSI status (p>0.15).

Consistent with the results obtained by Western blotting, the immunohistochemical analysis showed in 90% of the patients, a higher protein expression level in tumor tissue than in their corresponding normal specimen. Immunohistochemistry studies revealed that SPARC protein expression was constrained to stromal cells, presumably fibroblasts, of
tumor tissues. The immunostaining was more intense in the peritumoral stroma surrounding the neoplastic epithelium. No SPARC protein expression was detected in epithelial tumor cells (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Detection of DNA alterations is crucial to provide invaluable information about mechanisms involved in the beginning and evolution of each tumor. Among those, epigenetic alterations such as aberrant hypermethylation of the CpG-rich regions are of particular relevance, since this genetic alteration changes the gene transcription rate and has been etiologically related to the cancer process. Although, there is not much available information regarding epigenetic changes in endometrial cancer, the hypermethylation of some genes in this disease is known (20). For other cervical cancers, a correlation between hypermethylation of the hTERT gene and poorer outcome has been established (21). Very recently, it has been demonstrated that the SPARC gene showed aberrant methylation in a high proportion of invasive cervical cancers (22). Here we demonstrate that SPARC is a frequent target for aberrant methylation in endometrial cancer, a mechanism that may be a major cause for the observed down-regulation of SPARC. Therefore, we consider the hypermethylation of SPARC as a specific and also frequent molecular event in endometrial tumor tissues. Our results suggest that a SPARC methylation profile may be of potential help for early diagnosis of endometrial cancer.

**Figure 3.** Protein level of SPARC in endometrial tumors compared to the corresponding adjacent normal tissues. Representative results from tumor and its corresponding normal tissue obtained by Western blotting. Graphics of the quantification of the optical density obtained from the corresponding bands are depicted below. Optical density was quantified using the image system Quantity One and normalized by the optical density of β-actin bands.

**Figure 4.** Immunolocalization of SPARC in human endometrial carcinoma and its matching normal endometrial tissue. Immunohistochemical procedure was performed in frozen sections using a dilution (1:100) SPARC-antibody. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin. (a) No significant staining was observed in non-cancerous endometrium. (b) Strong immunoreactivity was detected in stromal fibroblasts (dark brown colour), in contrast with the negative neoplastic epithelium. (c) A control tumor tissue section incubated with PBS, mouse serum and secondary antibody did not show a signal beyond background. Original magnification x200.
Discordant expression of endometrial tumors compared to normal endometrial tissues. Significant enhancement in the expression of SPARC in loss of (23,24). Another molecule, SFRP1, is preferentially hypermethylated and down-regulated in MSI phenotype tumors (25). However, we find that aberrant hypermethylation and loss of SPARC mRNA expression was present in endometrial tumors, independently of their MSI status.

Surprisingly, Western blotting analysis demonstrated significant enhancement in the expression of SPARC in endometrial tumors compared to normal endometrial tissues. Discordant expression of SPARC mRNA and protein in endometrial carcinoma is consistent with previous results of studies performed in other carcinogenic tissues from different organs. Thus, in lung and pancreatic carcinomas, SPARC mRNA is down-regulated in cancer cells through a DNA methylation mechanism while the protein was up-regulated in stromal cells adjacent to cancer cells (10,17). Another study showed that SPARC mRNA expression was not expressed by ovarian carcinoma or by surface epithelial cells, however, SPARC immunostaining was observed within their cytoplasm. Due to the fact that strong immunoreactivity was found in cytoplasm of stromal tumor cells, the authors suggested that SPARC secreted from stroma is internalized by epithelial cancer cells (26). Here we demonstrate that the expressing cells of SPARC protein in endometrial carcinomas, according to the immunolabeling, are the stroma cells surrounding epithelial cells of glands and lumen. Although, further studies must clarify the relevance of the presence of SPARC protein in stroma cells from the endometrial tumors, it has been suggested that it has a role as mediator of interactions among stromal fibroblast and cancer cells (17). Concerning the role of SPARC as mediator of interactions between stromal cells and cancer cells, it should be considered that SPARC is up-regulated during extracellular matrix remodelling; due to its counter-adhesive properties, SPARC modulates cell-extracellular matrix interactions. This is a key mechanism, since the process of tumor invasion involves disengagement of the cells from their microenvironment, followed by breakdown of the surrounding matrix, cell movement, and re-establishment of the local environment at a new site, enabling tumor cells at the tumor-invasive front to overcome the extracellular matrix barrier, and penetrate adjacent tissues.

Dual up- and down-regulation of protein and mRNA expression in endometrial cancer complicates the interpretation of results. The lack of expression of SPARC mRNA in our cancer tissue samples compared to normal tissues may be explained by the presence of epithelial cancer cells always being above 80%, discarding tumor samples with >20% of stromal cells. The absence of correlation in endometrial tumors between the expression level of SPARC protein and its mRNA may be due to an initial excess of SPARC protein, produced by stromal cells, followed by a silencing on the transcriptional level through DNA methylation, contributing to the creation of an optimal cancer cell economy. It is plausible that aberrant methylation of SPARC and tumor-stromal interactions might regulate the dual expression of this molecule in endometrial cancer. Other post-transcriptional mechanisms which are not currently elucidated, such as up-regulation of the translation process or reduction of protein degradation, might influence SPARC protein abundance in endometrial cancer tissues. We cannot rule out the possibility of a low level of transcripts but an increased mRNA stability in stromal cells causing a high level of SPARC protein in tumors. Since most of the evaluated tumor tissues showed an increased level of SPARC protein, it is possible that this molecule favours the tumorigenic process, playing a role in the etiopathology of endometrial carcinomas.

In conclusion, the overexpression of SPARC protein located in stromal cells, may contribute to the carcinogenic process in the endometrium, which can provide a tool for its molecular diagnosis.
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